

Karel Drbohlav

Concerning representations of small categories

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 4 (1963), No. 4, 147--151

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104946>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1963

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CONCERNING REPRESENTATIONS OF SMALL CATEGORIES

Karel DRBOHLAV, Praha

The existence of non-concrete categories was proved by J.R. Isbell in [2]. On the other hand the well-known theorem of S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane (see e.g. [1] or [3]) states that every small category (the category the objects of which form a set) is concrete. The proof of this fact assigns to every object a the set A consisting exactly of all morphisms α which end in a and it may be used without any change for proving our theorems 1 and 2.

In what follows we use the following notation. \mathcal{C} is any small category, \mathcal{C}^0 is the set of all objects of \mathcal{C} , $H(a, b)$ is the set of all morphisms of \mathcal{C} from the object a into the object b . For $\alpha \in H(a, b)$ and $\beta \in H(b, c)$ the product of α and β , which lies in $H(a, c)$, is written as $\alpha\beta$. In relation to this, for any mapping F from some set A into some set B and for any $a \in A$ the image of a will be denoted by aF , whereas AF means the set of all aF for all $a \in A$. \aleph is any infinite cardinality and \mathcal{U}_\aleph is the category of all sets X with $\text{card } X < \aleph$ and of all mappings.

Theorem 1. Let $\text{card } \mathcal{C}^0 < \aleph$ and let $\text{card } H(a, b) \leq \aleph_1$ for all objects $a, b \in \mathcal{C}^0$ and for some fixed cardinality $\aleph_1 < \aleph$. Then \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to some subcategory of \mathcal{U}_\aleph .

Theorem 2. Let $\text{card } \mathcal{C}^0 < \aleph$ and let $\text{card } H(a, b) < \aleph$

for all objects $a, b \in \mathcal{L}^0$. If m is regular then \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to some subcategory of \mathcal{U}_m .

For the first irregular cardinality \aleph_ω the following is true.

Theorem 3. There exists a small category \mathcal{L} with the following properties: 1) $\text{card } \mathcal{L}^0 = \aleph_0$ 2) $\text{card } H(a, b) < \aleph_\omega$ for all objects $a, b \in \mathcal{L}^0$ 3) \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to no subcategory of $\mathcal{U}_{\aleph_\omega}$.

Before proving it we formulate our last theorem.

Theorem 4. For any infinite cardinality m there exists always a small category \mathcal{L} with the following properties: 1) $\text{card } \mathcal{L}^0 = m$ 2) $\text{card } H(a, b) < \aleph_0$ for all objects $a, b \in \mathcal{L}^0$ 3) \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to no subcategory of \mathcal{U}_m .

Proof of the theorem 3. Let m_0 be any infinite cardinality and let W be a well-ordered set with $\text{card } W = m_0$. Consider a category \mathcal{L}_{m_0} consisting of three objects a, b, c , of identity-morphisms, of some morphisms $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ ($i \in W$) and of their products so that the following is true: 1) $H(a, b)$ is the system $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in W}$ 2) $H(b, c)$ is the union of disjoint systems $\{\beta_j\}_{j \in W}$ and $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in W}$ 3) $H(a, c)$ is formed by all products $\alpha_i \beta_j$ and $\alpha_i \gamma_j$ under the assumption that, by definition,

$$(1) \quad \alpha_i \beta_j = \alpha_i \gamma_j$$

holds if and only if $i < j$.

Let us suppose that F is any embedding-functor from \mathcal{L}_{m_0} into the category \mathcal{U} of all sets and of all mappings. Let $A = F(a)$, $B = F(b)$. For every $i \in W$ define B_i by the formula $B_i = \bigcup_{k \leq i} A F(\alpha_k)$ so that $B_i \subset B$. It is clear that $B_i \subset B_l$ holds for $i < l$ ($i, l \in W$). We shall prove that

$i < l$ implies $B_i \neq B_l$. Really, we have $\alpha_l \beta_l + \alpha_l \gamma_l$ (see (1)) and consequently $F(\alpha_l \beta_l) \neq F(\alpha_l \gamma_l)$. Hence there exists an element $x_l \in A$ such that $x_l F(\alpha_l) F(\beta_l) \neq x_l F(\alpha_l) F(\gamma_l)$. Putting $y_l = x_l F(\alpha_l)$ we have $y_l \in B_l$ and

$$(2) \quad y_l F(\beta_l) \neq y_l F(\gamma_l)$$

Assume now that $y_l \in B_i$ holds for some $i < l$. Then it is possible to find $k \leq i$ and $x_k \in A$ such that $y_l = x_k F(\alpha_k)$. But $k < l$ and thus, by (1), it is $\alpha_k \beta_l = \alpha_k \gamma_l$. Hence $y_l F(\beta_l) = y_l F(\gamma_l)$ in contradiction to (2). Hence $y_l \notin B_i$. The mapping $l \rightarrow y_l$ is an injection from W into B , hence $\text{card } B \geq \aleph_0$.

This result gives us the possibility of constructing a small category \mathcal{C} which satisfies conditions of our theorem 3. Consider categories \mathcal{C}_{\aleph_0} for all infinite cardinalities $\aleph_0 < \aleph_\omega$. Let the objects of \mathcal{C}_{\aleph_0} be denoted by a_{\aleph_0} , b_{\aleph_0} , c_{\aleph_0} . Now, we identify all objects b_{\aleph_0} by putting $b_{\aleph_0} = b$ and by considering sets $H(a_{\aleph_0}, c_{\aleph_0})$ for $\aleph_0 \neq \aleph_0$ as being formed by all formal products $\xi \eta$ with $\xi \in H(a_{\aleph_0}, b)$ and $\eta \in H(b, c_{\aleph_0})$. In this way we get a new category \mathcal{C} which satisfies all conditions of theorem 3. Especially, for any embedding-functor F from \mathcal{C} into \mathcal{U} we have $\text{card } F(b) \geq \aleph_0$ for any $\aleph_0 < \aleph_\omega$ hence $\text{card } F(b) \geq \aleph_\omega$.

Remark. A slight modification of this proof gives us an example of a category \mathcal{C} which, like that of Isbell [2], is not concrete. We have only to force $\text{card } F(b) \geq \aleph_0$ for any cardinality \aleph_0 what may be done by taking categories \mathcal{C}_{\aleph_0} for all cardinalities \aleph_0 and by identifying their "middle"

objects b_{μ} in a way similar to that described above.

Proof of theorem 4. Let \mathcal{M} be any infinite cardinality and let W be a well-ordered set with $\text{card } W = \mathcal{M}$. Let the objects of \mathcal{L} be any symbols a_i ($i \in W$), b , c_j ($j \in W$) so that $\text{card } \mathcal{L}^0 = \mathcal{M}$. Assume that each $H(a_i, b)$ consists of exactly two morphisms α_i and β_i whereas each $H(b, c_j)$ contains exactly one morphism γ_j . The sets $H(a_i, c_j)$ consist of products $\alpha_i \gamma_j$ and $\beta_i \gamma_j$ and we put, by definition,

$$(3) \quad \alpha_i \gamma_j = \beta_i \gamma_j$$

if and only if $i < j$.

No other morphisms are in \mathcal{L} besides identity-morphisms, of course.

Let F be any embedding-functor from \mathcal{L} into the category \mathcal{U} of all sets. We define to every $i \in W$ a binary relation S_i on $F(b)$ by putting $y S_i z$ if and only if there exist some $k \leq i$ and some $x_k \in F(a_k)$ such that $y = x_k F(\alpha_k)$ and $z = x_k F(\beta_k)$. It is clear that $S_i \subset S_l$ holds for $i < l$ ($i, l \in W$). We shall prove that $i < l$ implies $S_i \neq S_l$. By (3) we have $\alpha_l \gamma_l \neq \beta_l \gamma_l$ and consequently $F(\alpha_l \gamma_l) \neq F(\beta_l \gamma_l)$. Hence there exists an element $x_l \in F(a_l)$ such that

$$(4) \quad x_l F(\alpha_l) F(\gamma_l) \neq x_l F(\beta_l) F(\gamma_l)$$

Putting $y = x_l F(\alpha_l)$ and $z = x_l F(\beta_l)$ we have $y S_l z$. Assume that $y S_i z$ is true for some $i < l$. Then it is $y = x_k F(\alpha_k)$ and $z = x_k F(\beta_k)$ for some $k \leq i$ and for some $x_k \in F(a_k)$. But $k < l$ implies $\alpha_k \gamma_l = \beta_k \gamma_l$ and $x_k F(\alpha_k) F(\gamma_l) = x_k F(\beta_k) F(\gamma_l)$. Hence $y F(\gamma_l) = z F(\gamma_l)$ in contradiction to (4). It follows $\mathcal{M} = \text{card } W \leq \text{card } (F(b) \times F(b)) = \text{card } F(b)$. The category \mathcal{L} satisfies all conditions

of theorem 4 .

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] S. EILENBERG, S. MAC LANE, General theory of natural equivalences, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc., 58 (1945), 231-294.
- [2] J.R. ISBELL, Two set-theoretical theorems in categories, Fund.Math., LIII:1 (1963) 43-49.
- [3] A.G. KUROŠ, A.H. LIVŠIC, E.G. ŠUL'GEIFER, Osnovy teorii kategorij, Uspehi Mat.Nauk, XV, 6(96), 1960, 3-52.