

Aplikace matematiky

Stanislav Míka

On the approximate solution of the multi-group time-dependent transport equation by P_L -method

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 24 (1979), No. 2, 133–154

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103789>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE
MULTI-GROUP TIME-DEPENDENT TRANSPORT
EQUATION BY P_L -METHOD

STANISLAV MÍKA

(Received August 3, 1977)

The P_L -method, sometimes called the spherical-harmonics method, is one of the most powerful tools available for solving the neutron transport equation especially for the steady-state one-velocity equation. This paper deals with a study of the P_L -method for an approximation of solution of the multi-group time-dependent neutron transport mixed problem with three-dimensional geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $N(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, c)$ the neutron density function, which represents the flux of neutrons at the time t at the position $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. The velocity of the moving neutron is denoted by c (it is sometimes interpreted as an energy of neutron) and the direction of the motion of the neutron is denoted by the unit vector $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$. We consider the following integro-differential equation (see [1], [2])

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + c\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } N + c\sigma N = \\ = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_s(\mathbf{x}, c')}{4\pi} h(\mathbf{x}, c', \boldsymbol{\omega}' \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega}, c) c' N(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}', c') d\boldsymbol{\omega}' dc' + F,$$

where $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, c)$, $\sigma_s(\mathbf{x}, c)$ are total and differential cross sections for scattering neutrons (characterizing the medium – $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, c)$, $\sigma_s(\mathbf{x}, c)$ is the probability per unit time that a neutron in position \mathbf{x} with speed c will undergo a collision), $F = F(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, c)$ represents extraneous neutron sources, $h(\mathbf{x}, c', \boldsymbol{\omega}' \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega}, c)$ describes the transfer of neutron energy, $(h(\mathbf{x}, c', \boldsymbol{\omega}' \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega}, c) d\boldsymbol{\omega} dc)$ is the probability that a neutron in position \mathbf{x} , with energy c' , moving in the direction $\boldsymbol{\omega}'$ after collision is moving in the range of directions $\langle \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\omega} + d\boldsymbol{\omega} \rangle = \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + d\omega_1 \rangle \times \langle \omega_2, \omega_2 + d\omega_2 \rangle \times \langle \omega_3, \omega_3 + d\omega_3 \rangle$ and velocities $\langle c, c + dc \rangle$.

We shall now assume that in our medium there are only neutrons with discrete distributions of velocities (energies) $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_l$ and that h depends only on the angle of the directions ω, ω' (precisely on $\cos(\hat{\omega}, \omega') = \mu_0 = \omega_1 \omega'_1 + \omega_2 \omega'_2 + \omega_3 \omega'_3$).

After a rearrangement of some terms in the equation (1.1) we obtain the usual multi-group transport equation (see [6], [16]) ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$):

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{c_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} + \omega \cdot \text{grad } u_j + \sigma_j(\mathbf{x}) u_j = \\ = \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}(\mu_0) u_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega' + f_j.$$

Here $u_j = u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = c_j n_j$, where n_j is the neutron density of the j -th velocity group of neutrons with a speed $c_j > 0$, $f_j = f_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega)$ is the source function, $\sigma_j(\mathbf{x})$, $\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x})$ are the total and differential cross sections, respectively, related with the velocity group j , h_{kj} represents a probability that after a collision the neutrons pass from the k -th velocity group to the j -th velocity group. For example, if in our medium two nuclear reactions are taking place – scattering and fission – then instead of $\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}$ we have $\sigma_k^s(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}^s(\mu_0) + \sigma_k^f v_k^f(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}^f$ (v_k is the mean number of secondary neutrons per fission in the group k). From the physical assumptions it follows that $h_{jk}^s = 0$ for $j > k$ and therefore for scattering the 1-st – j -th terms in the sum (1.2) can be left out.

Our approach to the problem is based on some results of [5], [7], [8].

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Let us denote the region of the medium by G and assume that G is a bounded convex domain in the three-dimensional Euclidean space R_3 with boundary ∂G , consisting of a finite number of sufficiently smooth hypersurfaces with the outward unit normal vector $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$, Ω – the unit sphere with the centre at $\mathbf{x} \in G$ is a set of directions ω .

Assuming an l -group formalism, $\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \mathbf{f}$ are vectors of order l with components $u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega)$, $\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$, $f_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega)$, we consider the equation (1.2) in the form

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f},$$

where the operator \mathbf{L} is diagonal with elements L_j , where

$$(2.2) \quad L_j u_j \equiv \frac{1}{c_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} + \omega \cdot \text{grad } u_j + \sigma_j u_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} \equiv \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mu_0) \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega',$$

where the j -th component of vector \mathbf{Hu} is

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \frac{\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega} h_{jk}(\mu_0) u_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

l -dimensional vector-valued function \mathbf{Hu} is given by the sum of integrals in the equations (1.2).

The boundary condition to be imposed in the present paper is that no neutrons enter G from outside through the surface ∂G . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_- = \partial G \times \Omega, \\ \Gamma_- &= \{(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \partial G \times \Omega, \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} < 0\}, \\ \Gamma_+ &= \{(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \partial G \times \Omega, \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then this boundary condition is expressed by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Gamma_-.$$

The initial condition will be

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

We further introduce the abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} (u_j, v_j)_Q &= \int_Q u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \bar{v}_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) dt d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\omega}; \quad Q = (0, T) \times G \times \Omega, \\ [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]_Q &= \sum_{j=1}^l (u_j, v_j)_Q; \quad [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = \sum_{j=1}^l u_j v_j. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_2^k = \mathcal{C}_2^k(\langle 0, T \rangle; L_2(G \times \Omega))$ the cartesian product (taken l -times) of spaces $C_2^k = C^k(\langle 0, T \rangle; L_2(G \times \Omega))$ with the norm

$$(2.6) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_j\|_{C_2^k} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^k \sup_{t \in \langle 0, T \rangle} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha u_j}{\partial t^\alpha} \right\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)}.$$

Then

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^k} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \|\mathbf{u}_j\|_{C_2^k}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The cartesian product of spaces $C(G \times \Omega)$ or $C(Q)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(G \times \Omega)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ respectively.

Analogously \mathcal{L}_2 will be the cartesian product of spaces L_2 with the norm

$$\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \|\varphi_j\|_{L_2}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We introduce the following *Hypothesis*:

- i) $\sigma_k, \sigma_k^r \in L_\infty(G)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$,

- ii) $\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$, $\sigma_k(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ and there exist constants $\sigma_{k0} > 0$ such that $\sigma_k(\mathbf{x}) > \sigma_{k0}$,
 $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$,
iii) $\int_{-1}^1 h_{jk}^2(\mu_0) d\mu_0 < \infty$, $h_{jk}(\mu_0) \geq 0$, $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

Lemma 2.1. *Under the Hypotheses i), ii), iii), suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)$ for all $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$. Then for all $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$*

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)} \leq \text{const} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)}.$$

Proof. Using the results of [4],

$$(a) \quad \int_{\Omega} |h_{jk}(\mu_0)|^2 d\omega' = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 h_{jk}^2(\mu_0) d\mu_0$$

and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G \times \Omega} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} h_{jk}(\mu_0) u_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega' \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\omega \leq \\ & \leq \text{const} \int_{G \times \Omega} |u_k|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\omega \left[\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |h_{jk}(\mu_0)|^2 d\omega d\omega' \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. *For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}_2^k$ it is $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}_2^k$ and $\|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^k} \leq \text{const} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^k}$.*

Let $u_j, v_j \in W_2^1(G)$ (for fixed $(t, \omega) \in \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Omega$), then Green's formula (generally for complex-valued functions) holds

$$(2.7) \quad \int_G \omega v_j \cdot \text{grad} u_j d\mathbf{x} = - \int_G \omega u_j \cdot \text{grad} v_j d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial G} \mathbf{n} \cdot \omega u_j v_j ds,$$

where the derivatives should be taken in the sense of Sobolev and the surface integral for traces. If u_j, v_j and ∂G are sufficiently smooth, then (2.7) is obvious via the integration by parts. Hence it is valid also in $W_2^1(G)$.

The formula (2.7) will play an important role hereafter.

We define a diagonal matrix-operator Λ with elements Λ_j , where

$$\Lambda_j u_j \equiv \omega \cdot \text{grad} u_j + \sigma_j u_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l,$$

with the domain $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\Lambda) = \{ & \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega); \Lambda \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega), \forall t \in \langle 0, T \rangle; u_j \in C^1(Q) \\ & \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, l \text{ and satisfies the boundary condition (2.4)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the range of $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{E}$. The closure of $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$ in \mathcal{E}_2^1 will be denoted again by $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$. Λ is a densely defined closable operator in this space. We denote its closure again by Λ .

Lemma 2.2. *Under the assumption ii) Λ is dissipative on $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda)$, i.e.*

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} [\Lambda \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda).$$

Proof. According to the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} (\bar{u}_j \operatorname{grad} u_j) &= \operatorname{Re} (u_j \operatorname{grad} \bar{u}_j) = \operatorname{Re} u_j \operatorname{grad} (\operatorname{Re} u_j) + \\ &+ \operatorname{Im} u_j \operatorname{grad} (\operatorname{Im} u_j) \end{aligned}$$

and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \int_{G \times \Omega} \bar{u}_j \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \operatorname{grad} u_j \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{G \times \Omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \operatorname{grad} (u_j \bar{u}_j) \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial G \times \Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} u_j \bar{u}_j \, ds \, d\boldsymbol{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} (\Lambda_j u_j, u_j)_{G \times \Omega} &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{G \times \Omega} \bar{u}_j \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \operatorname{grad} u_j \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} + \operatorname{Re} \int_{G \times \Omega} \sigma_j u_j \bar{u}_j \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial G \times \Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} u_j \bar{u}_j \, ds \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{G \times \Omega} \sigma_j u_j \bar{u}_j \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis ii) and the boundary condition (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial G \times \Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} u_j \bar{u}_j \, ds \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \int_{\Gamma_+} \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} u_j \bar{u}_j \, ds \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} \geq 0 \quad \text{because } \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_+; \\ \int_{G \times \Omega} \sigma_j u_j \bar{u}_j \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} &\geq \sigma_{j0} \int_{G \times \Omega} u_j \bar{u}_j \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} = \sigma_{j0} \int_{G \times \Omega} [(\operatorname{Re} u_j)^2 + \\ &+ (\operatorname{Im} u_j)^2] \, dx \, d\boldsymbol{\omega} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which was to be proved.

Remark 2.1. *In the course of proving Lemma 2.2 we obtained*

$$(2.9) \quad \operatorname{Re} (\Lambda_j u_j, u_j)_{G \times \Omega} \geq \sigma_{j0} \|u_j\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)}^2.$$

Remark 2.2. *If (2.8) holds we will say that the boundary condition (2.4) is dissipative.*

Denote

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbf{D}^* = \mathbf{L}^* - \mathbf{H}^*,$$

where \mathbf{L}^* is formally adjoint to \mathbf{L} , therefore \mathbf{L}^* is also a diagonally matrix-operator with elements L_j^* , where $L_j^* v_j = -(1/c_j) \cdot (\partial v_j / \partial t) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \operatorname{grad} v_j + \sigma_j v_j$. Similarly

to \mathbf{H} , the operator \mathbf{H}^* is a matrix-integral operator

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{v} = \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{H}^*(x, \mu_0) \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) d\omega,$$

where the j -th component of the vector $\mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{v}$ is

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \frac{1}{4\pi} \sigma_k^r(x) \int_{\Omega} h_{kj}(\mu_0) v_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) d\omega.$$

3. SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM. A PRIORI BOUND

In order to study the solution of Problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) we use the following notation

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}) \equiv \{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}_2^1; \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \omega), \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega); \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = 0 \text{ on } \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Gamma_- \text{ (in the sense of traces); } \omega \cdot \text{grad } u_j \in C_2, t \in \langle 0, T \rangle \};$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}^*) \equiv \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{Q}); \mathbf{v}_t \in \mathcal{C}(Q), \omega \cdot \text{grad } v_j \in C(\bar{Q}); \mathbf{v}(T, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Gamma_+ \}.$$

The problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) can be formulated as follows: To find $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D})$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{v}]_Q - [\mathbf{c}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{G \times \Omega} = [\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}^*).$$

If, moreover, \mathbf{u} is a sufficiently smooth function on \bar{Q} (for details see [16]), then it is a solution in the classical sense (\mathbf{c}^{-1} is the diagonal matrix with the elements $1/c_j$).

In [13] conditions are given for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of general time-dependent multi-velocity transport equation in the space $\mathcal{L}_2(Q)$ and a construction of the solution is given by a successive approximations. Analogous results by methods of integral equations are obtained in [17], [18]. Our considerations are based on similar ideas which were used for mono-velocity time-dependent transport equation in [5]. For the solution of Problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) we shall obtain an a priori estimate for \mathbf{u} , which is based on an energy inequality.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}_2^1$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0}$ on Γ_- , $h_{jk}(\mu_0) \in L_2(-1, 1)$ and let \mathbf{u} be a real solution of Problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) in the sense of (3.1); then*

$$(3.2) \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^1} \leq \chi_1 (\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}) + \chi_2 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{C}_2^1};$$

$$(3.3) \quad [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{\partial G \times \Omega} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{c_{\min}} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \sigma_0^r \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \right\},$$

$$\forall t \in \langle 0, T \rangle.$$

The constants χ_1, χ_2 depend only on $\sup_{k, \mathbf{x}} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x})$, $l, T, c_{\max}, \inf_k \sigma_{k0} > 0$.

Proof. We multiply Eq. (2.1) by the function $2\mathbf{u}$ and integrate over $G \times \Omega$ (assuming t fixed). We estimate the form $[\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} = [\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} - [\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega}$.

We have (using (2.3))

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} &= [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} = \left[\mathbf{u}, \int_{\Omega} \dot{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mu_0) \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega' \right]_{G \times \Omega} = \\ &= \int_{G \times \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^l u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) \sum_{k=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \frac{\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} h_{jk}(\mu_0) u_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega' dx d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz's inequality and the result (a) used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{G \times \Omega} \left(\frac{\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) \int_{\Omega} h_{jk}(\mu_0) u_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega' \right) dx d\omega \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in G} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{h}_{jk} \|u_j\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)} \cdot \|u_k\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_{jk} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 h_{jk}^2(\mu_0) d\mu_0 \right)^{1/2}.$$

From here and from the assumptions i)–iii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{H}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in G} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{j=1}^l \|u_j\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)} \sum_{k=1}^l \|u_k\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)} \leq \\ &= l \max_{j,k} \left(\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in G} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{h}_{jk} \right) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)}^2 = l\sigma_0^r \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the obvious inequality $2|a| |b| \leq (1/\varepsilon) a^2 + \varepsilon b^2$ ($\varepsilon > 0$, a, b real), we further obtain

$$2[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)}^2.$$

By (2.9) we can write

$$[\Lambda\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} \geq \min_j \sigma_{j0} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)}^2 = \sigma_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2.$$

Then

$$2[\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]_{G \times \Omega} \geq \frac{1}{c_{\max}} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 + 2\sigma_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2$$

(it is easily shown that $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2$ is differentiable with respect to t).

By combining these results we obtain (for all $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 \leq \sigma^* \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 + \frac{c_{\max}}{\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2, \quad \sigma^* = c_{\max}(2\sigma_0^r l - 2\sigma_0 + \varepsilon)$$

(we take such an ε to guarantee $\sigma^* > 0$).

The integration and $\|\mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 = \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2$ leads to

$$(3.4) \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 \leq \chi_1 \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 + \chi_2 \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2},$$

where

$$\chi_1 = \sqrt{e^{\sigma^* T}}, \quad \chi_2 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{c_{\max}}{\varepsilon \sigma^*} (e^{\sigma^* T} - 1)\right)} \quad \text{for } \sigma^* > 0.$$

Applying this procedure to the equation $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{f}_t$ we get

$$(3.5) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \leq \chi_1 \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \chi_2 \|\mathbf{f}_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_2},$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_j} \tilde{\varphi}_j(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = & -\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } u_j(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) - \sigma_j u_j(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{\sigma_k^f(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega} h_{jk}(\mu_0) u_k(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}') d\boldsymbol{\omega}' + f_j(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2) and from the equation (2.1) in the form

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{c}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \Lambda \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f},$$

we get by an analogous procedure (3.3) (\mathbf{c}^{-1} is the diagonal matrix with the elements $1/c_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, h_{jk}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and let the assumptions i)–iii) hold. Then the solution \mathbf{u} of Problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) is uniquely determined and depends continuously upon the data $\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \sigma_k, \sigma_k^f$.*

Proof. See [16].

4. CONSTRUCTION OF AN APPROXIMATE PROBLEM BY P_L -METHOD

In this section we shall construct an approximate problem in the following form

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{D}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \mathbf{f}^{(n)} \quad \text{on } Q,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{u}^{(n)}(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \quad \text{on } \bar{G} \times \Omega,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in N^-(\partial G),$$

where $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)}$ are approximations of \mathbf{f} and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ respectively. Condition (4.3) is an approximation of the boundary condition (2.4) in the *Marschak-Vladimirov* sense. The solution of Problem (4.1)–(4.3) will be an approximate solution of Problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5). The convergence $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ to \mathbf{u} depends on the *boundary space* $N^-(\partial G)$ as well as on the convergence of $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}$ to $\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and \mathbf{D} respectively.

As Ω is a unit sphere, we shall characterize $\omega \in \Omega$ by a couple of angle coordinates ϑ, ψ in the sense of the spherical coordinate system. Then equation (2.1) or (3.6) can be written in the form

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{c}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)} \cos \psi \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_1} + \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)} \sin \psi \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_2} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_3} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{u} = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mu_0) \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu', \psi') d\mu' d\psi' + \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi),$$

where \mathbf{c}^{-1} and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are diagonal matrices with the elements $1/c_j, \sigma_j(\mathbf{x})$ respectively, $\mu = \cos \vartheta, \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi), \mu_0 = \omega \cdot \omega' = \mu\mu' + \sqrt{(1 - \mu^2)}\sqrt{(1 - \mu'^2)} \cos(\psi - \psi')$.

We shall consider the system of $(n + 1)^2$ base functions (*spherical harmonics*)

$$(4.5) \quad \{C_0^0, C_1^0, C_2^0, \dots, C_n^0; C_1^1, C_2^1, \dots, C_n^1; S_1^1, S_2^1, \dots, S_n^1; \dots \\ \dots; C_{n-1}^{n-1}; C_n^{n-1}; S_{n-1}^{n-1}, S_n^{n-1}; C_n^n, S_n^n\}.$$

$$C_p^m = C_p^m(\mu, \psi) = P_p^{(m)}(\mu) \cos m\psi; \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p;$$

$$S_p^m = S_p^m(\mu, \psi) = P_p^{(m)}(\mu) \sin m\psi; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, p;$$

$$P_p^{(m)}(\mu) = (1 - \mu^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{d\mu^m} P_p(\mu), \quad p \geq 0, \quad m \leq p;$$

$P_p(\mu)$ are Legendre polynomials.

Applying the *Galerkin procedure* to the velocity variables in Eq. (4.4), i.e. multiplying each term of the j -th equation of system (4.4) by base function (4.5) and integrating over $\langle -1, 1 \rangle \times \langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$ (see [1], [2], [16]) we obtain, after some rearrangement, a first order system of partial differential equations

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{c_j} B_j \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^3 A_{ji} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} + \sigma_j B_j U_j = \\ = \sum_{k=1}^l H_{jk} U_k + B_j F_j; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Here $U_j = U_j(t, \mathbf{x})$ are vector-valued functions with $(n + 1)^2$ components (ordered by (4.5))

$$U_{j,p}^{c,m} = U_{j,p}^{c,m}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) C_p^m(\mu, \psi) d\mu d\psi,$$

$$U_{j,p}^{s,m} = U_{j,p}^{s,m}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) S_p^m(\mu, \psi) d\mu d\psi$$

(analogously for $F_j = F_j(t, \mathbf{x})$). It can be easily shown that B_j (for all j) is a diagonal and positive matrix and A_{ji} are symmetric (for details see [16]). $H_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_k^t(\mathbf{x}) B_k \tilde{H}_{jk}$,

\tilde{H}_{jk} is a diagonal matrix with $(n + 1)^2$ elements (ordered again by (4.5))

$$h_{jk}^0, h_{jk}^1, h_{jk}^2, \dots, h_{jk}^n; h_{jk}^1, h_{jk}^2, \dots, h_{jk}^n; h_{jk}^1, h_{jk}^2, \dots, h_{jk}^n; \dots \\ \dots; h_{jk}^{n-1}, h_{jk}^n; h_{jk}^{n-1}, h_{jk}^n; h_{jk}^n; h_{jk}^n,$$

where

$$h_{jk}^s = \int_{-1}^1 h_{jk}(\mu_0) P_s(\mu_0) d\mu_0$$

and we denote

$$h_{jk}^{(n)} = \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{2s+1}{2} h_{jk}^s P_s(\mu_0).$$

B_j, A_{ji} are constant matrices, too.

If

$$\mathbf{U} = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_l); \quad \mathbf{F} := (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_l), \\ \mathbf{B} = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus B_j, \quad \mathbf{B}_c = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus \frac{1}{c_j} B_j, \\ \mathbf{B}_\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus \sigma_j B_j, \quad \mathbf{A}_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus A_{ji}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

(direct sum of matrices), then we can write (4.6) in the form

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbf{B}_c \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{A}_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial x_i} + \mathbf{R} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F},$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{B}_\sigma - \mathbf{E}$. The matrices $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{E}$ are square matrices of order $\alpha = l(n + 1)^2$ and B_j, A_{ji}, H_{jk} are their submatrices.

We shall seek the solution $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(t, \mathbf{x})$ of (4.7) in the cylinder $(0, T) \times G$ satisfying the initial condition

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{U}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in G$$

and the boundary condition

$$(4.8') \quad \mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{N}^-(\partial G),$$

where the boundary space will be prescribed by a boundary matrix (see (4.12)). Function Φ is determined by $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ as a vector-valued function with the components (ordered by (4.5))

$$\Phi_{j,p}^{c,m}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) C_p^m(\mu, \psi) d\mu d\psi, \\ \Phi_{j,p}^{s,m}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) S_p^m(\mu, \psi) d\mu d\psi.$$

The equation (4.7) forms a *symmetric hyperbolic system* (see [11], [14]).

We shall now describe the construction of the boundary space $\mathcal{N}^-(\partial G)$ or $N^-(\partial G)$.

As is well-known, the solution $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D})$ of Problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) may be represented in the form

$$u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{2p+1}{2\pi(1+\delta_{m0})} \frac{(p-m)!}{(p+m)!} U_{j,p}^{c,m}(t, \mathbf{x}) C_p^m(\mu, \psi) + \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^p \frac{2p+1}{2\pi} \frac{(p-m)!}{(p+m)!} U_{j,p}^{s,m}(t, \mathbf{x}) S_p^m(\mu, \psi),$$

or formally

$$u_j(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = \sum_{\beta}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\beta} U_{j\beta}(t, \mathbf{x}) Y_{\beta}(\mu, \psi),$$

where Y_{β} , $\beta = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, represent spherical harmonics base functions (4.5), $U_{j\beta}$ are Fourier coefficients of u_j , ε_{β} are numerical coefficients dependent on p, m .

As an *approximate solution* of the problem (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) we shall take

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{u}^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = (u_1^{(n)}, u_2^{(n)}, \dots, u_l^{(n)}); \\ u_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = \sum_{\beta}^n \varepsilon_{\beta} U_{j\beta} Y_{\beta}(\mu, \psi),$$

(sum of $(n+1)^2$ members).

In this expression the approximations $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)}$ of \mathbf{f} , $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in (4.1), (4.2) will be represented by

$$(4.10) \quad f_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = \sum_{\beta}^n \varepsilon_{\beta} F_{j\beta} Y_{\beta}; \quad \varphi_j^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = \sum_{\beta}^n \varepsilon_{\beta} \Phi_{j\beta} Y_{\beta}.$$

To be able to formulate the boundary condition (4.8') for equation (4.7) we must take the weak Marschak-Vladimirov condition in the form

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{\Omega^-} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{1+q} u_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) C_{2(p-q)}^m(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0, \\ \int_{\Omega^-} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{1+q} u_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) S_{2(p-q)}^m(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} = 0,$$

$(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Gamma_-; j = 1, 2, \dots, l; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2p - 3q; p = 2q, 2q + 1, 2q + 2, \dots, [n/2] + 2[(n+1)/2] - (n+1); q = 0$ for n odd, $q = 1$ for n even
We integrate over those directions $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega$ for which $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} < 0$ holds ($\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the outward unit normal vector at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \partial G$).

After substituting from (4.8') into (4.11) and integrating we obtain the matrix form of the boundary conditions

$$(4.12) \quad M_j^- U_j = 0, \quad t \in \langle 0, T \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial G.$$

The elements of the matrix M_j^- are independent of j and are calculated by means of integration formulas for spherical harmonics. This procedure is described also in [7] and others.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{M}^- = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus M_j^-$ a quasidiagonal matrix with blocks M_j^- on the diagonal. Then

$$\mathcal{N}^-(\partial G) \equiv \{ \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(t, \mathbf{x}); \mathbf{M}^- \mathbf{U} = 0 \text{ on } \langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \}.$$

We further introduce the adjoint boundary condition to (4.11)

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^+} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{1+q} v_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) C_{2(p-q)}^m(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} &= 0, \\ \int_{\Omega^+} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{1+q} v_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) S_{2(p-q)}^m(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Gamma_+$ (we integrate over those directions $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega$ for which $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \geq 0$ holds). The other conditions are the same as in (4.11).

In (4.13) we assume

$$v_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mu, \psi) = \sum_{\beta} \varepsilon_{\beta} V_{j\beta} Y_{\beta}(\mu, \psi).$$

The conditions (4.13) can again be written in the matrix form as

$$(4.14) \quad M_j^+ V_j = 0, \quad t \in \langle 0, T \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial G.$$

Denoting $\mathbf{M}^+ = \sum_{j=1}^l \oplus M_j^+$ we define

$$\mathcal{N}^+(\partial G) \equiv \{ \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(t, \mathbf{x}); \mathbf{M}^+ \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \}.$$

Let $\langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^l \langle U_j, V_j \rangle$ be the usual inner product of α -dimensional vectors ($\alpha = l(n+1)^2$). Using elementary rearrangements (see [16]) we have (Y is a vector with $(n+1)^2$ components Y_{β} , i.e. (4.5)):

$$\begin{aligned} u_j^{(n)} &= \langle B_j U_j, Y \rangle; \quad \sigma_j u_j^{(n)} = \langle \sigma_j B_j U_j, Y \rangle; \\ \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}^{(n)}(\mu_0) u_k^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}') d\boldsymbol{\omega}' &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^l H_{jk} U_k, Y \right\rangle; \\ \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } u_j^{(n)} + \sigma_j u_j^{(n)} + r_j^{(n)} &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^3 A_{ji} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} + \sigma_j B_j U_j, Y \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_j^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{(n-m+2)!}{(n+m)!} C_{n+1}^{m-1} + \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} C_{n+1}^{m+1} \right] \frac{\partial U_{j,n}^{c,m}}{\partial x_1} + \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{(n-m+2)!}{(n+m)!} S_{n+1}^{m-1} + \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} S_{n+1}^{m+1} \right] \frac{\partial U_{j,n}^{s,m}}{\partial x_1} + \\
& + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(n-m+2)!}{(n+m)!} S_{n+1}^{m-1} + \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} S_{n+1}^{m+1} \right] \frac{\partial U_{j,n}^{c,m}}{\partial x_2} + \right. \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \left[\frac{(n-m+2)!}{(n+m)!} C_{n+1}^{m-1} + \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} C_{n+1}^{m+1} \right] \frac{\partial U_{j,n}^{s,m}}{\partial x_2} + \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-m+1)!}{(n+m)!} C_{n+1}^m \frac{\partial U_{j,n}^{c,m}}{\partial x_3} + \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{(n-m+1)!}{(n+m)!} S_{n+1}^m \frac{\partial U_{j,n}^{s,m}}{\partial x_3} \right\} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By these identities, after multiplying every equation of the system (4.6) by Y , we get ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$)

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.15) \quad & \frac{1}{c_j} \frac{\partial u_j^{(n)}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } u_j^{(n)} + \sigma_j u_j^{(n)} + r_j^{(n)} = \\
& = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l \int_{\Omega} \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}^{(n)}(\mu_0) u_k^{(n)} d\boldsymbol{\omega}' + f_j^{(n)},
\end{aligned}$$

whose operator form is (4.1), where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.16) \quad & \mathbf{D}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)} \equiv \mathbf{c}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{(n)}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{u}^{(n)} + \mathbf{r}^{(n)} - \mathbf{H}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \\
& \mathbf{r}^{(n)} = (r_1^{(n)}, r_2^{(n)}, \dots, r_l^{(n)}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the integral operator $\mathbf{H}^{(n)}$ Lemma 2.1 holds under the same hypotheses on the kernel $\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}^{(n)}(\mu_0)$ instead of $\sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) h_{jk}(\mu_0)$.

On the other hand, it is not possible to extend the validity of Lemma 2.2 to $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$, as $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \notin \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$ (the boundary condition is not fulfilled).

We say that $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in N^-(\partial G)$ if the corresponding $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{N}^-(\partial G)$ and vice versa. Similarly we define the boundary space $N^+(\partial G)$.

$\mathbf{D}^{(n)*}$ is defined analogously as \mathbf{D}^* :

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad & \mathbf{D}_j^{(n)*} v_j^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{c_j} \frac{\partial v_j^{(n)}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } v_j^{(n)} + \sigma_j v_j^{(n)} - \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\Omega} h_{kj}^{(n)}(\mu_0) v_k^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega},
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\mathbf{H}^{(n)*} \mathbf{v}^{(n)} = \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{H}^{(n)*}(\mathbf{x}, \mu_0) \mathbf{v}^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

For $\forall \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}_2(Q)$ we have

$$[\mathbf{H}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_Q = [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{H}^{(n)*} \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_Q.$$

5. SOLUTION OF PARTICULAR SYMMETRIC HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

Let the operator \mathbf{K} be defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{K}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{B}_c \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{A}_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial x_i} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{U}, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \langle 0, T \rangle \times G,$$

and let \mathbf{K}^* be the adjoint operator of \mathbf{K} :

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{K}^*\mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{B}_c \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{A}_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial x_i} + \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{V},$$

where \mathbf{R}^T denotes the transpose matrix to \mathbf{R} (in the case of complex valued coefficients this is to be replaced by conjugate transpose).

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,2} = \mathcal{C}(\langle 0, T \rangle; L_2(G))$ be the cartesian product of $\alpha = l(n+1)^2$ spaces $C(\langle 0, T \rangle; L_2(G))$ and $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^1 \equiv \mathcal{C}_\alpha^1(\langle 0, T \rangle \times G)$ the cartesian product of the spaces $C^1(\langle 0, T \rangle \times G)$.

For (real) vector-valued functions $\mathbf{U}(t, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{V}(t, \mathbf{x})$ with $\alpha = l(n+1)^2$ components, ordered by (4.5), we define

$$(5.3) \quad \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_G = \int_G \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle dx; \quad \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\partial G} = \int_{\partial G} \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle ds,$$

where $\langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle$ is the usual scalar product of α -dimensional vectors.

We will make use of the following lemmas by *Friedrichs*.

Lemma 5.1. *For any functions $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha^1(\langle 0, T \rangle \times \bar{G})$, where G has a smooth boundary ∂G , we have:*

$$(5.4) \quad \langle \mathbf{K}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times G} - \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}^*\mathbf{V} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times G} = \langle \mathbf{B}_c \mathbf{U}(T, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{V}(T, \mathbf{x}) \rangle_G - \langle \mathbf{B}_c \mathbf{U}(0, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{V}(0, \mathbf{x}) \rangle_G + \langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G};$$

here $\mathcal{A} = n_1 \mathbf{A}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{A}_2 + n_3 \mathbf{A}_3$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ being the unit outward normal. The matrix \mathcal{A} is called a boundary matrix.

To prove (5.4) it is enough to use Green's formula – the integration by-parts for the functions \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} . It is clear that (5.4) can be proved for the function from W_2^1 .

Lemma 5.2. *For any function $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}_2^1(\langle 0, T \rangle \times \bar{G})$ we have*

$$(5.5) \quad 2\langle \mathbf{K}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times G} = \langle (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T) \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times G} + \langle \mathbf{B}_c \mathbf{U}(T, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{U}(T, \mathbf{x}) \rangle_G - \langle \mathbf{B}_c \mathbf{U}(0, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{U}(0, \mathbf{x}) \rangle_G + \langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.3. *The boundary spaces $\mathcal{N}^-(\partial G), \mathcal{N}^+(\partial G)$ are \mathcal{A} -orthogonal, i.e.*

$$(5.6) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G} = 0, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{N}^-(\partial G), \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{N}^+(\partial G).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the spaces $N^-(\partial G)$, $N^+(\partial G)$ are \mathcal{A} -orthogonal. If $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ are given by \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{V} by means of (4.9) (4.14) then for all $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$ (for details see [16])

$$(5.7) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\partial G} = [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\partial G \times \Omega} = 0.$$

The following result is based on Lemma 5.4 concerning the polynomials.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $Q_n(\mu)$, $\tilde{Q}_n(\mu)$ be arbitrary polynomials of degree $\leq n$ satisfying the relations ($m < -1$)*

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{-1}^0 \mu(1 - \mu^2)^m T_l(\mu^2) Q_n(\mu) d\mu = 0,$$

$$\int_0^1 \mu(1 - \mu^2)^m T_l(\mu^2) \tilde{Q}_n(\mu) d\mu = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r; n = 2r + 1,$$

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{-1}^0 \mu^2(1 - \mu^2)^m T_l(\mu^2) Q_n(\mu) d\mu = 0,$$

$$\int_0^1 \mu^2(1 - \mu^2)^m T_l(\mu^2) \tilde{Q}_n(\mu) d\mu = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1; n = 2r,$$

where $T_l(\mu^2)$ are arbitrary polynomials of argument μ^2 of degree $\leq r$. Then

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \mu(1 - \mu^2)^m Q_n(\mu) \tilde{Q}_n(\mu) d\mu = 0,$$

$$(5.11) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \mu(1 - \mu^2)^m Q_n^2(\mu) d\mu \geq 0.$$

Proof. If we consider the functions $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega)$, $\mathbf{v}^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega)$ as functions of the arguments $\omega = (\xi, \tau, \mu)$, $\xi = \cos \psi \sin \vartheta$, $\tau = \sin \psi \sin \vartheta$, $\mu = \cos \vartheta$, where $\xi^2 + \tau^2 + \mu^2 = 1$, we can express $u_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega)$ as a linear combination of the harmonic polynomials $Y_p(\vartheta, \psi)$:

$$u_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = K_n(\xi, \tau, \mu) = \sum_{p=0}^n \alpha_p Y_p(\vartheta, \psi).$$

Then (4.11) can be written (for n odd) as

$$(5.12) \quad \int_{\Omega^-} \mu K_n(\xi, \tau, \mu) L_{2s}(\xi, \tau, \mu) d\omega = 0,$$

where $L_{2s}(\xi, \tau, \mu)$ is a polynomial on the unit sphere of an even degree satisfying

$$L_{2s}(\xi, \tau, \mu) \approx L_{2s}(-\xi, -\tau, -\mu).$$

The integral (5.12) can be expressed as a linear combination of integrals of the types (5.8), (5.9). Hence (5.10) implies (5.7). The details of the proof of this lemma can be found in [7], [8], [16].

Lemma 5.5. *The boundary space $\mathcal{N}^-(\partial G)$ (or $N^-(\partial G)$) is dissipative, i.e.*

$$(5.13) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U} \rangle_{\partial G} = [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{u}^{(n)}]_{\partial G \times \Omega} \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in \langle 0, T \rangle,$$

for $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{N}^-(\partial G)$ (or $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in N^-(\partial G)$).

Proof is based on (5.11) since

$$\langle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U} \rangle_{\partial G} = \sum_{j=1}^l \langle A_j U_j, U_j \rangle_{\partial G}, \quad A_j = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i A_{ji}.$$

The boundary conditions (4.3) or (4.9) are called dissipative (non-negative for \mathbf{K} or $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}$) if at every point of the boundary, the matrix \mathcal{A} is non-negative over the boundary space $\mathcal{N}^-(\partial G)$, i.e. if the inequality (5.13) holds. Under this assumption the space $\mathcal{N}^-(\partial G)$ is the maximal one on which the matrix \mathcal{A} is non-negative.

According to the results of [8] we can easily prove that the matrix \mathcal{A} does not change its rank on G .

The domains of the operators \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}^* are as follows:

$$W(\mathbf{K}) \equiv \{ \mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,2} \cap \mathcal{N}^-(\partial G); \mathbf{U}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \},$$

$$W(\mathbf{K}^*) \equiv \{ \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^1 \cap \mathcal{N}^+(\partial G); \mathbf{V}(T, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \}.$$

We say that $\mathbf{U} \in W(\mathbf{K})$ is a *weak solution* of the problem (4.7)–(4.9) if

$$(5.14) \quad \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}^* \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times G} - \langle \mathbf{B}_c \Phi, \mathbf{V}(0, \mathbf{x}) \rangle_G = \langle \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times G}$$

for all $\mathbf{V} \in W(\mathbf{K}^*)$.

We say that $\mathbf{U} \in W(\mathbf{K})$ is a *strong solution* of the problem (4.7)–(4.9) if there exists a sequence $\mathbf{U}^N \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^1$ of functions satisfying the boundary conditions $\mathbf{M}^- \mathbf{U}^N = 0$ at every point $\mathbf{x} \in \partial G$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}^N - \mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,2}} &\rightarrow 0; \quad \|\mathbf{U}^N(0, \mathbf{x}) - \Phi(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(G)} \rightarrow 0; \\ \|\mathbf{K} \mathbf{U}^N - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,2}} &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Friedrichs [11] proved the existence of a weak solution. He also proved the equivalence of the strong and weak solutions for the mixed problem for the symmetric hyperbolic system under the following assumptions:

- i) the boundary ∂G is sufficiently smooth,
- ii) the boundary condition is maximally dissipative,
- iii) the rank of the boundary matrix \mathcal{A} is constant on ∂G .

If there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T \geq c_0 \mathbf{I}$ on G , where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix, we shall show, using (5.5) and (5.13) that

$$(5.15) \quad \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,2}} \leq \gamma_1 \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \gamma_2 \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,2}},$$

and the uniqueness follows.

However, for our purposes it would be more important to have an analog of (5.15) with $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$, $\varphi^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}$ instead of \mathbf{U} , Φ , \mathbf{F} . Applying the same procedure to the equation $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \mathbf{f}^{(n)}$ as was used in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we obtain the inequalities

$$(5.16) \quad \|\mathbf{u}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2,1}} \leq \kappa_1 (\|\Phi^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \|\tilde{\Phi}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}) + \kappa_2 \|\mathbf{f}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{C}_{2,1}},$$

$$(5.17) \quad [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{u}^{(n)}]_{\partial G \times \Omega} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{u}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{c_{\min}} \|\mathbf{u}_t^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \sigma_0^r \|\mathbf{u}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \|\mathbf{f}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} \right\}, \quad \forall t \in \langle 0, T \rangle.$$

The function $\tilde{\varphi}$ is obtained by substituting $t = 0$ into (4.15).

Lemma 5.6. *If $\mathbf{U} \in W(\mathbf{K})$ is a weak solution of the problem (4.7)–(4.9) in the sense (5.14) and $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ is defined by (4.9), then*

$$(5.18) \quad [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{D}^{(n)*}\mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\mathcal{Q}} - [\mathbf{c}^{-1}\varphi^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{G \times \Omega} = [\mathbf{f}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v}^{(n)} \in W^{(n)*},$$

where $\mathbf{D}^{(n)*}$ is given by (4.16) and

$$W^{(n)*} \equiv \{\mathbf{v}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\langle 0, T \rangle \times \bar{G} \times \Omega) \cap \mathcal{N}^+(\partial G); \mathbf{v}^{(n)}(T, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Proof. It can be proved by the following identities (for details see [16])

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}^*\mathbf{V} \rangle &= [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{D}^{(n)*}\mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\mathcal{Q}}; \langle \mathbf{B}_c\Phi, \mathbf{V}(0, \mathbf{x}) \rangle = \\ &= [\mathbf{c}^{-1}\varphi^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.1. We say that $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in W^{(n)}$, if and only if $\mathbf{U} \in W(\mathbf{K})$.

6. CONVERGENCE OF THE P_L -METHOD

Theorem 6.1. *Let us assume that $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}_2^1$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_2(G \times \Omega)$ and the hypotheses of §2 hold. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D})$ be the solution of the problem (3.1) and $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ the solution of the approximate problem (4.1)–(4.3) by the P_L -method. Then $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ converges weakly to \mathbf{u} in the sense*

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{Q}} = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{Q}).$$

Proof. Let us denote by index ε the regularized function [3] with radius of regularization ε ; for example $\sigma_{k\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})$, $\sigma_{k\varepsilon}^r(\mathbf{x})$ are the regularized coefficients of the equation (2.1). The transport operator \mathbf{D} with these coefficients is denoted by \mathbf{D}_ε . The same notation is also used for \mathbf{D}_ε^* .

Let us formulate the following problem:

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^* \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q),$$

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbf{v}(T, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, \omega) \ni G \times \Omega,$$

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Gamma_+.$$

From the regularity conditions which are proved to be valid for the monoenergetic boundary-value transport problem in [5], we conclude that $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}^*)$ (see §3 – \mathbf{v} is a solution of the problem (6.2)–(6.4)). If $\mathbf{v}(T, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{V}(T, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$, where \mathbf{V} is the α -dimensional vector-valued function representing the partial sum of the expansion of the function \mathbf{v} into a Fourier series using the spherical harmonics. Hence $\mathbf{v}^{(n)}(T, \mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mathbf{0}$. Furthermore to guarantee $\mathbf{v}^{(n)} \in N^+(\partial G)$ we have to put restrictions (4.13) upon the Fourier coefficients of \mathbf{v} .

From (4.15) and (4.1) we get

$$(6.5) \quad [\mathbf{D}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_Q = [\mathbf{f}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_Q.$$

According to (4.16), (4.17) and by Green's formula (2.7) we have

$$(6.6) \quad [\mathbf{D}^{(n)} \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_Q = [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{D}^{(n)*} \mathbf{v}]_Q - [\mathbf{c}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{G \times \Omega} + \\ + [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \times \Omega} + [\mathbf{r}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_Q.$$

If we put (6.6) into (6.5) and use the following identities

$$\mathbf{D}^{(n)*} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{H}^{(n)*} - \mathbf{H}^*) \mathbf{v}; \quad \mathbf{f}^{(n)} = \mathbf{f} + (\mathbf{f}^{(n)} - \mathbf{f}); \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)} = \boldsymbol{\varphi} + (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\varphi}); \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(n)} + (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{(n)}),$$

it will be

$$(6.7) \quad [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{v}]_Q - [\mathbf{c}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{G \times \Omega} = \\ = [\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q + [\mathbf{f}^{(n)} - \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q + [\mathbf{c}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\varphi}), \mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{G \times \Omega} - \\ - [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \times \Omega} - \\ - [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \times \Omega} + \\ + [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, (\mathbf{H}^{(n)*} - \mathbf{H}^*) \mathbf{v}]_Q + [\mathbf{r}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_Q.$$

That is

$$(6.7') \quad [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{v}]_Q - [\mathbf{c}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}, \omega)]_{G \times \Omega} = [\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q + \tau_n,$$

where τ_n denotes all the members on the right hand side of (6.7) except $[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q$.

After subtracting (6.7') and (3.1) and substituting $\mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v})$ we obtain

$$(6.8) \quad [\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v}]_Q = \tau_n + [\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v}]_Q,$$

for $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in W^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D})$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}^*)$.

Since $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\tau_n + [\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v}]\}_Q = 0.$$

Using the component form of $\mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_j^*v_j &= -\frac{1}{c_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } v_j + \sigma_j v_j - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_j^r(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\Omega} h_{kj}(\mu_0) v_k d\boldsymbol{\omega}', \\ \mathbf{D}_{j\varepsilon}^*v_j &= -\frac{1}{c_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \text{grad } v_j + \sigma_{j\varepsilon} v_j - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_{j\varepsilon}^r(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\Omega} h_{kj}(\mu_0) v_k d\boldsymbol{\omega}', \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v}]_Q = \sum_{j=1}^l (u_j^{(n)} - u_j, \mathbf{D}_{j\varepsilon}^*v_j - \mathbf{D}_j^*v_j)_Q = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \int_Q (u_j^{(n)} - u_j) \left\{ (\sigma_{j\varepsilon} - \sigma_j) v_j + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l (\sigma_j^r - \sigma_{j\varepsilon}^r) \int_{\Omega} h_{kj}(\mu_0) v_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}') d\boldsymbol{\omega}' \right\} dQ. \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundedness of $\|\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ and Schwarz's inequality we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |(u_j^{(n)} - u_j, \mathbf{D}_{j\varepsilon}^*v_j - \mathbf{D}_j^*v_j)| &\leq \text{const} (\|\sigma_{j\varepsilon} - \sigma_j\|_{L_2(G)} + \\ &+ \|\sigma_j^r - \sigma_{j\varepsilon}^r\|_{L_2(G)}). \end{aligned}$$

We choose the radius of regularization $\varepsilon = \text{const}/n^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, where the constant depends on the initial condition and on the diameter of the region G . Then $[\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{D}^*\mathbf{v}]_Q \rightarrow 0$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{C}_2^1$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}^*) \subset \mathcal{C}(\bar{Q})$, we have

$$[\mathbf{f}^{(n)} - \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q \leq \sum_{j=1}^l \int_Q (f_j^{(n)} - f_j) v_j dt d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\omega} \leq 4\pi T \text{mes } G \sum_{j=1}^l \|v_j\|_{C(Q)} \|f_j^{(n)} - f_j\|_{C_2^1}.$$

That is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{f}^{(n)} - \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}]_Q = 0.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{c}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\varphi}), \mathbf{v}(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega})]_{G \times \Omega} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{c_j} \int_{G \times \Omega} (\varphi_j^{(n)} - \varphi_j) v_j(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\omega} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{4\pi \text{mes } G}{\min_j c_j} \sum_{j=1}^l \|v_j(0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega})\|_{C(G \times \Omega)} \|\varphi_j^{(n)} - \varphi_j\|_{L_2(G \times \Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega)} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{D}^*) \subset \mathcal{C}(\bar{Q}),$$

the continuity of the function $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{(n)}$ on \bar{Q} and the boundedness of the function $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ on ∂G for all $(t, \omega) \in \langle 0, T \rangle \times \Omega$ guarantee that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \times \Omega} = 0.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, (\mathbf{H}^{(n)*} - \mathbf{H}^*) \mathbf{v}]_Q = \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^l \int_Q u_j^{(n)}(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega) \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_k^r(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\Omega} (h_{kj}^{(n)}(\mu_0) - h_{kj}(\mu_0)) v_k(t, \mathbf{x}, \omega') d\omega' dQ. \end{aligned}$$

From the hypotheses i) ii) iii) and from the boundedness of the functions $v_k, u_j^{(n)}$ we obtain

$$[\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, (\mathbf{H}^{(n)*} - \mathbf{H}^*) \mathbf{v}]_Q \leq \text{const} \sum_{k,j=1}^l \|h_{kj}^{(n)} - h_{kj}\|_{L_2(-1,1)}^2.$$

From (5.10) it follows that

$$[\mathbf{n} \cdot \omega \mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}^{(n)}]_{\langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial G \times \Omega} = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{v}^{(n)} \in N^+(\partial G), \mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in N^-(\partial G).$$

The following identities for the spherical harmonics

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \left(\frac{2n+1}{1+\delta_{m0}} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\Omega} C_n^m(\omega) z(\omega) d\omega = 0, \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \left((2n+1) \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\Omega} S_n^m(\omega) z(\omega) d\omega = 0, \quad z \in L_2(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

when used to the components of $\partial \mathbf{U} / \partial x_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, instead of $z(\omega)$, give $[\mathbf{r}^{(n)}, \mathbf{v}]_Q \rightarrow 0$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$ (it is necessary to use the component form $r_j^{(n)}$ of $\mathbf{r}^{(n)}$ (see §4)).

From this consideration it is seen that $\lim \tau_n = 0$ and the proof of Theorem 6.1 is complete.

7. REMARKS

The questions of the strong convergence of the P_L -method for the time-dependent mono-velocity transport equations were studied in [15]. The authors obtained estimates of the rate of convergence for the spherical symmetry and slab geometries.

For the steady state neutron transport equation S. Ukai shows in [19] the order of convergence $O((1/n)^{s+1/2})$ for the transport solution in $W_2^{s+2}(G \times \Omega)$.

For the slab geometry it can be shown that

$$[r_j^{(n)}, v_j]_Q \leq \text{const} \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \quad (\text{see [10]}).$$

References

- [1] Г. И. Марчук, В. И. Лебедев: Численные методы в теории переноса нейтронов. Атомиздат, Москва 1972.
- [2] В. I. Bell, S. Glasstone: Nuclear Reactor Theory. 1970. (Russian translation — Moscow Atomizdat 1974).
- [3] J. Nečas: Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques. Academia, Praha 1967.
- [4] В. С. Владимиров: Математические задачи односкоростной теории переноса нейтронов. Труды математического института им. В. И. Стеклова АН СССР, № 59 (1961), 3—154.
- [5] У. М. Султангазин: Дифференциальные свойства решений смешанной задачи Коши для нестационарного кинетического уравнения. ВЦ СО АН СССР (препринт), Новосибирск 1971.
- [6] И. Марек: Некоторые математические задачи теории ядерных реакторов на быстрых нейтронах. Aplikace matematiky 8, č. 6 (1963), 442—467.
- [7] С. К. Годунов, У. М. Султангазин: О диссипативности граничных условий Владимирова для симметрической системы метода сферических гармоник. ЖВМ и МФ 11, № 3 (1971), 688—704.
- [8] У. М. Султангазин: К вопросу о сходимости метода сферических гармоник для нестационарного уравнения переноса. ЖВМ и МФ 14, № 1 (1974), 166—178.
- [9] С. Мика, У. М. Султангазин: Сходимость метода сферических гармоник для многогруппового кинетического уравнения. Численные методы механики сплошной среды, СО АН СССР, том 6, № 4 (1975), 69—85.
- [10] S. Mika: Approximation of the solution of the multi-group neutron transport equation in the slab geometry. Proceedings of "Software and algorithms of the numerical mathematics", JČMF 1975, 150—159, (in Czech).
- [11] K. O. Friedrichs: Symmetric positive linear differential equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 11 (1958), 333—418.
- [12] K. O. Friedrichs, P. D. Lax: Boundary Value Problems for First Order Operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1965), 355—388.
- [13] C. V. Pao: On Nonlinear Time-Dependent Multivelocity Transport Equations. Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl. 44 (1973), 725—744.
- [14] P. Lesaint: Finite Element Method for Symmetric Hyperbolic Equations. Numerische Mathematik 21 (1973), 244—255.
- [15] А. Ш. Акишев, У. М. Султангазин: О сильной сходимости метода сферических гармоник для кинетического уравнения переноса в случае сферической симметрии. Сборник „Математика и механика 8, Алма-Ата 1974, 12—18.
- [16] S. Mika: Approximation of the solution of the multi-group time-dependent neutron transport equation by P_L -method. КМА VŠSE Plzeň 1976 (Dissertation — in Czech).
- [17] A. Douglis: The Solutions of Multidimensional Generalized Transport Equation and Their Calculation by Difference Methods. Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations ed. by Bramble. Academic Press, New York 1965, 197—256.

- [18] *D. G. Wilson*: Time Dependent Linear Transport I. Existence, Uniqueness and Continuous Dependence. *Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl.* 47 (1974), 182–209.
- [19] *S. Ukai*: Solution of Multi-Dimensional Neutron Transport Equation by Finite Element Method. *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology* 9 (1972), 366–373.

Souhrn

PŘIBLIŽNÉ ŘEŠENÍ l -RYCHLOSTNÍ NESTACIONÁRNÍ TRANSPORTNÍ ROVNICE P_L -METODOU

STANISLAV MÍKA

V článku je vyšetřován l -rychlostní model obecné lineární nestacionární transportní rovnice. Předpokládá se, že pravděpodobnost reakce (rozptyl, dělení) závisí pouze na úhlu směrů pohybu neutronu před a po reakci. Je podána zobecněná formulace problému a jsou odvozeny apriorní odhady. Dále je provedena konstrukce přibližného řešení P_L -metodou. U získaného symetrického hyperbolického systému je ukázána dissipativnost a \mathcal{A} -ortogonalita příslušných hraničních prostorů a souvislost s jednorychlostním modelem transportní rovnice vyšetřovaným v [5], [7], [8]. V závěru práce je proveden důkaz slabé konvergence přibližných řešení k přesnému.

Author's address: RNDr. *Stanislav Míka*, katedra matematiky VŠSE, Nejedlého sady 14, 306 14 Plzeň.