

Norbert Polat

Spanning trees of infinite graphs

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 1, 52–60

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102432>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## SPANNING TREES OF INFINITE GRAPHS

NORBERT POLAT, Lyon

(Received October 31, 1989)

### INTRODUCTION

In [13] Zelinka conjectured that if  $G$  is a connected infinite locally finite graph, and  $\tau$  an end of  $G$  then:

**Conjecture 1.** *If  $m(\tau)$  is the maximum number of pairwise disjoint rays in  $\tau$ , then, for any cardinal  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq m(\tau)$ , there is a spanning tree of  $G$  having exactly  $k$  ends included in  $\tau_0$ .*

**Conjecture 2.** *Any spanning tree  $T$  of  $G$  contains a ray which belongs to the end  $\tau$ .*

He proved Conjecture 2 in the case where  $\tau$  is a free end, i.e.  $\tau$  can be separated from any other end by a finite set of vertices; and Conjecture 1 in the case where  $\tau$  is also free with  $m(\tau)$  finite. Actually Zelinka used the concept of *degree* of an end  $\tau$  rather than that of  $m(\tau)$ , but it turns out that these two notions coincide when the graph is locally finite.

In this paper we prove these conjectures, and even improve the first by replacing the local finiteness of  $G$  by the assumption that  $G$  has a coterminal spanning tree, i.e. a spanning tree having exactly one end included in each end of  $G$ ; this condition is always satisfied by locally finite graphs. We recall different partial results about the existence of coterminal spanning trees, a problem which is still far to be entirely solved, and we give a new one by showing that: *a connected graph having exactly one end has a coterminal spanning tree if the set of vertices, which cannot be separated from this end by a countable set of vertices, is countable.* For infinitely connected graphs, this condition turns out to be equivalent to a recent one given by Seymour and Thomas [12]. Finally we characterize some classes of connected infinite graphs such that if  $G$  is one of them and if, for every end  $\tau$  of  $G$ ,  $k(\tau)$  is a fixed cardinal  $\leq m(\tau)$ , then there is a spanning tree of  $G$  having, for any end  $\tau$ , exactly  $k(\tau)$  disjoint rays belonging to  $\tau$ .

To prove these results we almost essentially use the concepts and results of [11]. So the terminology and notation will be for the most part that used in that paper. Besides, since most of the results of the different papers [6] to [10] are recapitulated in [11], we will, for simplicity, only refer to [11] when possible.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

**1.1.** Each ordinal  $\alpha$  is defined as the set of ordinals less than  $\alpha$ . If  $X$  is a set we denote by  $|X|$  its cardinality and, for a cardinal  $n$ , by  $[X]^n$  (resp.  $[X]^{<n}$ ,  $[X]^{\leq n}$ ) the set of its subsets of cardinality  $n$  (resp.  $<n$ ,  $\leq n$ ).

**1.2.** A graph  $G$  is a set  $V(G)$  (*vertex set*) together with a set  $E(G) \subseteq [V(G)]^2$  (*edge set*). For  $x \in V(G)$  the set  $V(x; G) := \{y \in V(G) : \{x, y\} \in E(G)\}$  is the *neighborhood* of  $x$ , and its cardinality is the *degree* of  $x$ . A graph is *locally finite* if all its vertices have finite degrees.  $H$  is a *subgraph* of  $G$  if  $V(H)$  and  $E(H)$  are subsets of  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively.  $H$  is an *induced subgraph* if  $H$  is a subgraph such that  $E(H) = [V(H)]^2 \cap E(G)$ . For  $A \subseteq V(G)$  we denote by  $G - A$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $V(G) - A$ ; and if  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , then we set  $G - H := G - V(H)$ . For  $B \subseteq E(G)$  we denote by  $G \setminus B$  the smallest subgraph of  $G$  with  $E(G \setminus B) = E(G) - B$ . The *union* of a family  $(G_i)_{i \in I}$  of graphs is the graph  $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i$  given by  $V(\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} V(G_i)$  and  $E(\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} E(G_i)$ . The *intersection* is defined similarly. If  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , and  $X$  a subgraph of  $G - H$ , the *boundary* of  $H$  with  $X$  is the set  $\mathfrak{B}(H, X) := \{x \in V(H) : V(x; G) \cap V(X) \neq \emptyset\}$ . The set of components of  $G$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{C}_G$ , and if  $x$  is a vertex, then  $\mathfrak{C}_G(x)$  is the component of  $G$  containing  $x$ . A *path*  $W := \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle$  is a graph with  $V(W) = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $x_i \neq x_j$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $E(W) = \{\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} : 0 \leq i < n\}$ . A *ray* or *one-way infinite path*  $R := \langle x_0, x_1, \dots \rangle$  is defined similarly. A path  $\langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle$  is called an  $x_0 x_n$ -*path*. For  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ , an  $AB$ -*path* of  $G$  is an  $xy$ -path of  $G$  whose only vertices in  $A \cup B$  are  $x$  et  $y$ , with  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

**1.3.** The *ends* of a graph  $G$  (this concept was introduced by Freudenthal [1] and independently by Halin [2]) are the classes of the equivalence relation  $\sim_G$  defined on the set of all rays of  $G$  by:  $R \sim_G R'$  if and only if there is a ray  $R''$  whose intersections with  $R$  and  $R'$  are infinite; or equivalently if and only if  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(R) = \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(R')$  for any  $S \in [V(G)]^{<\omega}$  (where  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(R)$  denotes the component of  $G - S$  containing a subray of  $R$ ). We will denote by  $[R]_G$  the class of a ray  $R$  of  $G$  modulo  $\sim_G$ , by  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}([R]_G)$  the component  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(R)$ , and by  $\mathfrak{Z}(G)$  the set of all ends of  $G$ . Notice that if  $G$  is a tree, then two rays of  $G$  are equivalent modulo  $\sim_G$  if and only if they have a common subray; hence two disjoint rays of a tree correspond to different ends of this tree.

A subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  is *terminally faithful* (resp. *terminally full*, *coterminal*) if the map  $\varepsilon_{HG} : \mathfrak{Z}(H) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(G)$  given by  $\varepsilon_{HG}([R]_H) = [R]_G$  for every ray  $R$  of  $H$ , is injective (resp. surjective, bijective). We denote by  $\mathfrak{Z}_H(G)$  the image of  $\varepsilon_{HG}$ , i.e. the set of ends of  $G$  having rays of  $H$  as elements.

**1.4.** An infinite subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  is *concentrated* in  $G$  if it has the following equivalent properties [11, Theorem 1.4]:

(i) there is an end  $\tau$  such that  $S - V(\mathfrak{C}_{G-F}(\tau))$  is finite for any  $F \in [V(G)]^{<\omega}$  ( $S$  is said to be “concentrated in  $\tau$ ”);

(ii) for all infinite subsets  $T$  and  $U$  of  $S$  there is an infinite family of pairwise disjoint  $TU$ -paths in  $G$ .

**1.5.** A set  $S$  of vertices of  $G$  is *dispersed* if it has the following equivalent properties [11, 2.5]:

- (i) for every  $\tau \in \mathfrak{I}(G)$  there is an  $F \in [V(G)]^{<\omega}$  such that  $S \cap V(\mathfrak{C}_{G-F}(\tau)) = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii)  $S$  has no concentrated subset.

**1.6.** For  $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G)$  let  $m(\Omega) := \sup \{|\mathfrak{R}|: \mathfrak{R} \text{ is a set of pairwise disjoint elements of } \bigcup \Omega\}$ . If  $\Omega = \{\tau\}$ , we write  $m(\tau)$  for  $m(\{\tau\})$ , and we call it the *multiplicity* of  $\tau$ . By [10, 11.5] the supremum is attained, i.e. there is a set of pairwise disjoint rays in  $\bigcup \Omega$  of cardinality  $m(\Omega)$ . This was already proved by Halin [3, Satz 1] and [4, Satz 1] when  $\Omega = \mathfrak{I}(G)$  and  $|\Omega| = 1$ , respectively.

For a subgraph  $H$  and an end  $\tau$  of  $G$ , we will set  $m_H(\tau) := m(\varepsilon_{HG^{-1}}(\tau))$ . By the remark in 1.3 about ends of trees, notice that if  $H$  is a tree, then  $m_H(\tau) \leq |\varepsilon_{HG^{-1}}(\tau)|$ , with the equality in particular if  $m_H(\tau)$  is finite.

In [13] Zelinka defined the degree of an end  $\tau$  of a locally finite graph  $G$  as follows. For a non-empty finite subset  $A$  of  $V(G)$ , let  $c(A, \tau) := \min \{ |S|: S \in [V(G) - A]^{<\omega} \text{ and } A \cap V(\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau)) = \emptyset \}$ . Then the *degree* of  $\tau$  is  $d(\tau) := \sup \{c(A, \tau): A \in [V(G)]^{<\omega}\}$ .

A particular case of the Mengerian theorem [11, Theorem 1.9] states that: *For any non-empty subset  $A$  of  $V(G)$  and any end  $\tau$  of  $G$ ,  $c(A, \tau)$  is equal to the maximum number of rays in  $\tau$  originating in  $A$  and having at most their endpoints in common.* With this result we easily see that, *for a locally finite graph, the multiplicity and the degree of an end coincide.*

**1.7.** A vertex  $x$  is a *neighbor* of an end  $\tau$  if  $x \in V(\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau))$  for any  $S \in [V(G) - \{x\}]^{<\omega}$ . We denote by  $V_\tau$  the *neighborhood* of  $\tau$ . The cardinal  $v(\tau) := |V_\tau|$  is called the *valency* of  $\tau$ .

**1.8.** A *multi-ending* of a graph  $G$  is an inducted subgraph  $M$  of  $G$  satisfying:

- M1.**  $M$  is connected;
- M2.** the boundary of  $M$  with every component of  $G - M$  is finite;
- M3.** any infinite subset of  $V(M)$  which is concentrated in  $G$  is also concentrated in  $M$ ;
- M4.**  $M$  contains a ray;
- M5.**  $V_{[R]_M} = V_{[R]_G}$  for any ray  $R$  of  $M$ ;
- M6.** for any family  $(R_i)_{i \in I}$  of pairwise disjoint rays of  $G$  such that  $\{[R_i]_G: i \in I\} \subseteq$

$\subseteq \mathfrak{T}_M(G)$ , there is a family  $(R'_i)_{i \in I}$  of pairwise disjoint rays of  $M$  such that  $R_i \cap R'_i$  is infinite for every  $i \in I$ .

A multi-ending  $M$  is an *ending* if  $|\mathfrak{T}(M)| = 1$ ; it is a *discrete* multi-ending if each end  $\tau$  of  $M$  is *free*, i.e. there is a finite subset  $S$  of  $V(M)$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau) \neq \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau')$  for any end  $\tau' \neq \tau$  of  $M$ . By M3 a multi-ending is terminally faithful; and by M6  $m([R]_M) = m([R]_G)$  for any ray  $R$  of  $M$ .

By [11, Theorem 2.1] *for every end  $\tau$  of  $G$  there is an ending  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{T}_M(G) = \{\tau\}$* . By [8, 1.4.2] *for every discrete multi-ending  $M$  of  $G$ , there is a dispersed set  $S$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau) \neq \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau')$  for distinct  $\tau, \tau' \in \mathfrak{T}_M(G)$* .

**1.9.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph having no subdivision of the dyadic tree (3-regular infinite tree) as a terminally faithful subgraph. By [11, Theorem 2.6] there is a sequence  $(G_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of multi-endings of  $G$ , called a *terminal expansion* of  $G$ , satisfying the following conditions: for every  $n \geq 0$ ,

**E1.**  $G_n$  is an induced subgraph of  $G_{n+1}$ ;

**E2.** any component of  $G_n - G_{n-1}$  (with the convention  $G_{-1} := \emptyset$ ) is a discrete multi-ending of  $G - G_{n-1}$ ;

**E3.**  $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} G_n$  and  $\mathfrak{T}(G) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{T}_{G_n}(G)$ .

## 2. SPANNING TREES

**2.1 Theorem.** *Any spanning tree of a connected infinite locally finite graph is terminally full.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected infinite locally finite graph and  $T$  a spanning tree of  $G$ . Let  $\tau$  be an end of  $G$ . We have to prove that  $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_T(G)$ , i.e. that  $T$  contains a ray  $R \in \tau$ . By 1.8 there is an ending  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{T}_M(G) = \{\tau\}$ . Let  $T'$  be the smallest subtree of  $T$  containing  $T \cap M$ . Since  $M$  is infinite,  $T'$  is then an infinite locally finite tree. Thus it contains a ray  $R$ . For any component  $X$  of  $G - M$ ,  $R \cap X$  is finite by the definition of  $T'$ ; hence  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-B}(R) \neq X$  where  $B := \mathfrak{B}(M, X)$ ; notice that  $B$  is finite by 1.7.M2. Therefore  $[R]_G \in \mathfrak{T}_M(G) = \{\tau\}$ .  $\square$

This result generalizes Lemma 1 of [13], and thus proves Conjecture 2 of this same paper.

**2.2 Lemma.** [8, 3.1]. *Let  $G$  be a connected graph,  $T$  a spanning tree of  $G$ ,  $T_0$  any tree of  $G$ , and  $a$  a vertex of  $T_0$ . Then*

$$T_1 := T_0 \cup (T \setminus \{x, y\} \in E(T) : y \in V(T_0) \text{ and } x \leq_a y)$$

where  $\leq_a$  is the natural partial order on  $V(G)$  induced by  $T$  in which  $a$  is the least element, is a spanning tree of  $G$ .

**2.3. Lemma.** *Let  $T$  be a spanning tree of a connected infinite graph  $G$ . Let  $\tau_0$*

be an end of  $G$ , and  $k$  a cardinal such that  $m_T(\tau_0) \leq k \leq m(\tau_0)$ . Then  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T_0$  such that  $m_{T_0}(\tau_0) = k$  and  $m_{T_0}(\tau) = m_T(\tau)$  for every end  $\tau \neq \tau_0$ .

*Proof.* We can suppose that  $k > m_T(\tau_0)$ , otherwise  $T$  would have the required properties. For simplification we will still denote by  $k$  the function which maps every end to a cardinal such that  $k(\tau_0) = k$  and  $k(\tau) = m_T(\tau)$  if  $\tau \neq \tau_0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a set of pairwise disjoint rays in  $\tau_0$  such that  $m_{T \cup \mathfrak{R}}(\tau_0) = k(\tau_0)$ . This is possible since  $k(\tau_0) \leq m(\tau_0)$  and since, by 1.6, the supremum  $m(\tau_0)$  is attained. And let  $\mathfrak{R}'$  be a set of cardinality  $k(\tau_0)$  of pairwise disjoint rays of  $T \cup \mathfrak{R}$  belonging to the end  $\tau_0$ . Denote by  $T'$  a tree of  $G$  containing  $\mathfrak{R}'$ , and which is minimal with respect to inclusion. By the minimality of  $T'$ , any ray of  $T_0$  belongs to  $\tau_0$  since all element of  $\mathfrak{R}'$  belong to  $\tau_0$ , and furthermore  $T' - \mathfrak{R}'$  is finite if so is  $k(\tau_0)$ . Hence, in both cases,  $m_{T'}(\tau_0) = k(\tau_0)$ . Besides  $m_{T \cup T'}(\tau_0) = k(\tau_0)$ . Indeed, this is obvious if  $k(\tau_0)$  is infinite, and when it is finite this is a consequence of the fact that  $m_{T \cup \mathfrak{R}}(\tau_0) = k(\tau_0)$  and that  $T' - \mathfrak{R}'$  is finite. On the other hand, for any end  $\tau \neq \tau_0$  of  $G$ ,  $m_{T \cup T'}(\tau) = k(\tau) = 1$  since, for any ray  $R$  of  $T \cup T'$  which belongs to  $\tau$ , there is a finite set  $S$  of vertices such that  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau) \neq \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau_0)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap T'$  is finite by the minimality of  $T'$ ; this proves that  $R$  has a subray in  $T - T'$ , hence that  $m_{T \cup T'}(\tau) = m_T(\tau)$ .

Then, by Lemma 2, for  $a \in V(T')$  the tree

$$T_0 := T' \cup (T \setminus \{\{x, y\} \in E(T) : y \in V(T') \text{ and } x \leq_a y\})$$

is a spanning tree of  $G$  such that  $m_{T_0}(\tau) = k(\tau)$  for any end  $\tau$  of  $G$ , since  $k(\tau) = m_T(\tau) \leq m_{T_0}(\tau) \leq m_{T \cup T'}(\tau) = k(\tau)$ . Consequently  $T_0$  has the required properties.  $\square$

We get immediately:

**2.4. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a connected infinite graph having a coterminal spanning tree. Let  $\tau_0$  be an end of  $G$ , and  $k$  a cardinal such that  $1 \leq k \leq m(\tau_0)$ . Then  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$  such that  $m_T(\tau_0) = k$  and  $m_T(\tau) = 1$  for every end  $\tau \neq \tau_0$ .*

**2.5. Remarks.** The problem of determining which infinite connected graphs have a coterminal spanning tree is still unsolved. Recently Seymour and Thomas [12] proved that there is a one-ended connected graph without coterminal spanning tree; more precisely they showed that: *There is an infinitely connected graph  $G$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$  such that every spanning tree contains a subdivision of the  $\omega_1$ -regular tree as a subtree.* We recall the following partial results:

*A connected infinite graph  $G$  has a coterminal spanning tree if: (i)  $G$  is countable (Halin [2, Satz 3]); (ii)  $G$  contains no subdivided infinite complete graph as a subgraph (Halin [5, Theorem 10.1]); (iii)  $G$  is infinitely connected and contains no subdivision of the  $\omega_1$ -regular tree as a subgraph (Seymour and Thomas [12, (1.7)]); (iv)  $G$  is one-ended and its end has countable multiplicity or valency (Polat [11, Theorem 2.11]).*

See [11, Section 2.10] for some extensions of this last result. Any connected infinite

locally finite graph has a fortiori a coterminal spanning tree. Hence 2.4 proves and even improves Conjecture 1 of [13]. We will give another condition for the existence of a coterminal spanning tree in a one-ended graph which will generalize the above mentioned two. First we recall three results.

**2.6.** [11, Theorem 2.10] *Let  $G$  be a one-ended connected graph, and let  $\tau$  be its only end. Then  $G$  has a rayless spanning tree if and only if  $v(\tau) \neq 0$  and  $G$  has a coterminal spanning tree.*

**2.7** [11, Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2] *If  $m(\mathfrak{A}(G))$  is countable, and if the neighborhood of every end is not empty, then  $G$  has a rayless spanning tree.*

**2.8** [10, Theorem 12.3] *Let  $G$  be a connected graph, and let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{A}(G)$  be such that  $m(\Omega) \geq \aleph$  for some regular uncountable cardinal  $\aleph$ . Then the set*

$$V_\Omega^\aleph := \{x \in V(G) : \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(x) \text{ contains a ray belonging to } \bigcup \Omega \\ \text{for any } S \in [V(G-x)]^{<\aleph}\}$$

*is non-empty. Besides, on the one hand either  $|V_\Omega^\aleph| \geq \aleph$  or the set  $\Gamma$  of components of  $G - V_\Omega^\aleph$  containing an element of  $\bigcup \Omega$  is of cardinality  $\geq \aleph$ ; and on the other hand  $m(\{[R]_X : R \text{ is a ray of } X \text{ belonging to } \bigcup \Omega\}) < \aleph$  for every  $X \in \Gamma$ .*

**2.9. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a one-ended connected graph with  $\mathfrak{A}(G) = \{\tau\}$ . If  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is countable, then  $G$  has a coterminal spanning tree.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of 2.6 if  $m(\tau) \leq \omega$ . Suppose  $m(\tau) > \omega$ .  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is countably infinite. Indeed, suppose that  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is finite, then, since  $G$  is one-ended, there is just one component of  $G - V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  containing a ray, but this is a contradiction with 2.8.

(a) First we show that  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is contained in a ray of  $G$ . Let  $V_\tau^{\omega_1} = \{x_n : n < \omega\}$ . We define by induction a sequence  $(W_n)_{n < \omega}$  of finite paths of  $G$  such that  $x_n \in V(W_n)$  and  $W_n \subseteq W_{n+1}$ . Let  $W_0 := \langle x_0 \rangle$ , and  $n \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $W_n = \langle y_0, \dots, y_k \rangle$  is defined such that  $y_0 = x_0$ ,  $y_k = x_i$  for some  $i \leq n$ , and  $x_n = y_j$  for some  $j \leq k$ . If  $x_{n+1} \in V(W_n)$ , then  $W_{n+1} := W_n$ . Assume  $x_{n+1} \notin V(W_n)$ . The vertices  $y_k$  and  $x_{n+1}$  belong to the same component of  $G - (W_n - y_k)$ , since  $W_n$  is finite and  $y_k, x_{n+1} \in V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ . Thus there is a  $(y_k, x_{n+1})$ -path  $P$  having only  $y_k$  in common with  $W_n$ . Then define  $W_{n+1} := W_n \cup P$ . Finally,  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} W_n$  is a ray which contains  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ .

(b) Let  $X$  be a component of  $G - W$ . By 2.8,  $m(\mathfrak{A}(X)) \leq \omega$ . Let  $a \notin V(G)$ , and let

$$X^+ := X \cup \bigcup \{ \langle a, v, x \rangle : (v, x) \in V(W) \times V(X) \text{ and } \{v, x\} \in E(G) \}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{A}(X) = \emptyset$ , then denote by  $T_X$  any spanning tree of  $X^+$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $m(\mathfrak{A}(X^+))$  is countable, and  $a$  is a neighbor of every end of  $X^+$ . Hence, by 2.7,  $X^+$  has a rayless tree  $T_X$ .

Thus clearly  $T := W \cup \bigcup \{T_X - a : X \in \mathfrak{C}_{G-W}\}$  is a spanning tree of  $G$  which is also coterminal since any ray of  $T$  has a subray in  $W$ .  $\square$

This condition of existence of a coterminal spanning tree is strictly weaker than condition 2.5 (iv), since if  $m(\tau)$  or  $v(\tau)$  are countable then so is  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ , but the converse is false in general. Furthermore, Theorem 2.9 with next result show that condition 2.5 (iii) given by Seymour and Thomas for infinitely connected graphs only, also holds if this restriction is replaced by the weaker one of one-ended graphs.

**2.10. Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a one-ended graph, with  $\mathfrak{I}(G) = \{\tau\}$ . Then  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is countable if and only if  $G$  has no subdivision of the  $\omega_1$ -regular tree  $T_{\omega_1}$  as a subgraph.*

We need the following lemma.

**2.11. Lemma.** *Let  $G$  be a one-ended graph, with  $\mathfrak{I}(G) = \{\tau\}$ , and  $V_\tau^{\omega_1} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $(V\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(x)) \cap (V_\tau^{\omega_1} - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ , and any  $S \in [V(G - x)]^{\leq \omega}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X := \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(x)$ . Suppose that  $m(\mathfrak{I}(X))$  is countable. Let  $\Delta$  be a set of pairwise disjoint rays of  $X$ , which is maximal with respect to inclusion, and such that  $x \notin A := V(\bigcup \Delta)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-(S \cup A)}(x)$  is rayless, with  $S \cup A$  countable; a contradiction with  $x \in V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ . Hence  $m(\mathfrak{I}(X))$  is uncountable, thus  $V_{\mathfrak{I}(X)}^{\omega_1} \neq \emptyset$  by 2.8, and this proves the result since  $V_{\mathfrak{I}(X)}^{\omega_1} \subseteq V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ .  $\square$

**2.12. Proof of Proposition 2.10.**

(a) If  $G$  has a subdivision  $T$  of  $T_{\omega_1}$  as a subgraph, then every vertex of  $T$  whose degree in  $T$  is  $> 2$ , hence equal to  $\omega_1$ , clearly belongs to  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ . Therefore  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is uncountable.

(b) Assume now that  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  is uncountable. We define by induction the sequence  $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of countable trees of  $G$ , such that  $T_\alpha$  is a subtree of  $T_\beta$  if  $\alpha < \beta$ , and the sequence  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of pairwise distinct elements of  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  such that  $x_\beta \in V(T_\alpha)$  if and only if  $\beta < 2^\alpha$  (ordinal exponentiation). Let  $x_0$  be any element of  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ , and  $T_0 := \langle x_0 \rangle$ , and let  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Assume that  $T_\beta$  and  $x_\gamma$  are defined for every  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\gamma < 2^\beta$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $T_\alpha := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} T_\beta$ . Suppose that  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ . We define by induction on  $\gamma$ , with  $-1 \leq \gamma < \alpha$ , the countable tree  $A_\gamma$  and the vertex  $x_{\alpha+\gamma} \in V(A_\gamma) \cap V_\tau^{\omega_1}$ . Let  $A_{-1} := T_\beta$  and  $x_{\alpha-1} = x_\beta$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an ordinal  $< \alpha$ . Suppose that  $A_\delta$  and  $x_{\alpha+\delta}$  are defined for all  $\delta$ ,  $-1 \leq \delta < \gamma$ . By the hypothesis  $x_\gamma$  is a vertex of  $A_{<\gamma} := \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} A_\delta$ . Since  $A_{<\gamma}$  is countable, there is, by Lemma 2.11, an element  $y$  of  $V_\tau^{\omega_1}$  distinct from  $x_\gamma$  and belonging to the component of  $G - (A_{<\gamma} - x_\gamma)$  containing  $x_\gamma$ . Denote by  $W$  an  $x_\gamma y$ -path of this component, and define  $x_{\alpha+\gamma} := y$  and  $A_\gamma := A_{<\gamma} \cup W$ . Finally let  $T_\alpha := \bigcup_{-1 \leq \gamma < \alpha} A_\gamma$ .

Then, by the construction, the tree  $T := \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} T_\alpha$  is a subdivision of the  $\omega_1$ -regular tree; the vertices  $x_\alpha$  being the vertices of  $T$  of degree  $\omega_1$ .  $\square$

We conclude this paper with a result which partially extends Theorem 2.4.

**2.13. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a connected infinite graph having no subdivision of the dyadic tree as a terminally faithful subgraph, and such that each of its endings has a coterminal spanning tree. Let  $k$  be a function which maps every end  $\tau$  of  $G$*



to a cardinal  $k(\tau) \leq m(\tau)$  with  $k(\tau) > 0$  if  $v(\tau) = 0$ . Then  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$  such that  $m_T(\tau) = k(\tau)$  for every end  $\tau$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* (a) Suppose that all ends of  $G$  are free. By 1.8 there is a dispersed set  $S$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau) \neq \mathfrak{C}_{G-S}(\tau')$  if  $\tau \neq \tau'$ . Since  $S$  is dispersed, for every end  $\tau$ , there is a finite set  $A_\tau$  of vertices of  $G$  such that  $S \cap V(\mathfrak{C}_{G-A_\tau}(\tau)) = \emptyset$ . The set  $S' := S \cup \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(G)} A_\tau$  is obviously dispersed. Then, by the connectivity of  $G$ , there is a tree  $T_S$  of  $G$  containing  $S'$  and every rayless component of  $G - S'$ , and such that  $T_S \cap \mathfrak{C}_{G-A_\tau}(\tau)$  is finite for every end  $\tau$ .  $V(T_S)$  is then dispersed, and the boundary of  $T_S$  with every component of  $G - T_S$  is finite. Let  $X$  be such a component, and  $B_X := \mathfrak{B}(T_S, X)$ . Then the subgraph  $M_X$  of  $G$  induced by  $V(X) \cup B_X$  is an ending of  $G$ . Let  $\tau_X$  be its only end. By the axioms M5 and M6 of multi-endings,  $\tau_X$  and the corresponding end of  $G$  have the same multiplicity and the same valency. Thus, for simplicity, we will still denote by  $\tau_X$  this end of  $G$ . By the hypothesis  $M_X$  has a coterminal spanning tree. Thus, by 2.6 if  $k(\tau_X) = 0$ , and by 2.4 if  $k(\tau_X) > 0$ ,  $M_X$  has a spanning tree  $T_X$  such that  $m_{T_X}(\tau_X) = k(\tau_X)$ . Now denote by  $E_X$  a subset of the set of edges of  $T_X$  which are incident with both  $B_X$  and  $V(X)$ , so that, for each component  $C$  of  $T_X - B_X$ , there is exactly one edge in  $E_X$  which is incident with  $C$ . And let  $F_X$  be the spanning forest of  $M_X$  whose set of edges is  $E(T_X - B_X) \cup E_X$ . Then clearly

$$T := T_S \cup \bigcup \{F_X : X \in \mathfrak{C}_{G-T_S}\}$$

is a spanning tree of  $G$  such that  $m_T(\tau) = k(\tau)$  for every end  $\tau$  of  $G$ .

(b) Suppose now that some end of  $G$  is not free, and let  $(G_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a terminal expansion of  $G$  (see 1.9). By 1.9 E2, each component  $X$  of  $G_n - G_{n-1}$  ( $G_{-1} := \emptyset$ ) is a discrete multi-ending of  $G - G_{n-1}$ . As in (a) denote by  $B_X$  the boundary of  $G_{n-1}$  with  $X$ . This is a finite set, thus the subgraph  $M_X$  of  $G$  induced by  $V(X) \cup B_X$  is a discrete multi-ending of  $G$ . Then all ends of  $M_X$  are free and have, by the axioms of multi-endings, the same valencies and the same multiplicities as the corresponding end of  $G$ . Thus, by (a),  $M_X$  has a spanning tree  $T_X$  such that  $m_{T_X}(\tau) = k(\tau)$  for every end  $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}_{M_X}(G)$ . Finally denote by  $E_X$  a subset of the set of edges of  $T_X$  which are incident with both  $B_X$  and  $V(X)$ , so that, for each component  $C$  of  $T_X - B_X$ , there is exactly one edge in  $E_X$  which is incident with  $C$ . And let  $F_X$  be the spanning forest of  $M_X$  whose set of edges is  $E(T_X - B_X) \cup E_X$ . Then clearly

$$T := T_{G_0} \cup \bigcup \{F_X : X \in \mathfrak{C}_{G_n - G_{n-1}}\}$$

is a spanning tree of  $G$  such that  $m_T(\tau) = k(\tau)$  for every end  $\tau$  of  $G$ . □

#### References

- [1] *H. Freudenthal*: Über die Enden diskreter Räume und Gruppen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 17 (1944), 1–38.
- [2] *R. Halin*: Über unendliche Wege in Graphen, *Math. Ann.* 157 (1964), 125–137.
- [3] *R. Halin*: Über die Maximalzahl fremder unendlicher Wege in Graphen, *Math. Nachr.* 30 (1965), 63–85.

- [4] *R. Halin*: Die Maximalzahl fremder zweiseitig unendliche Wege in Graphen, *Math. Nachr.* **44** (1970), 119–127.
- [5] *R. Halin*: Simplicial decomposition of infinite graphs, *Ann. Discrete Math.* **3** (1978), 93–109.
- [6] *N. Polat*: Aspects topologiques de la séparation dans les graphes infinis. I, *Math. Z* **165** (1979), 73–100.
- [7] *N. Polat*: Aspects topologiques de la séparation dans les graphes infinis. II, *Math. Z* **165** (1979), 171–191.
- [8] *N. Polat*: Développements terminaux des graphes infinis. I. Arbres maximaux coterminaux, *Math. Nachr.* **107** (1982), 283–314.
- [9] *N. Polat*: Développements terminaux des graphes infinis. II. Degré de développement terminal, *Math. Nachr.* **110** (1983), 97–125.
- [10] *N. Polat*: Développements terminaux des graphes infinis. III. Arbres maximaux sans rayon, cardinalité maximum des ensembles disjoint de rayons, *Math. Nachr.* **115** (1984), 337–352.
- [11] *N. Polat*: Topological aspects of infinite graphs, in *Cycles and Rays* (G. Hahn et al., eds), NATO ASI Ser. C, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1990, pp. 197–220.
- [12] *P. Seymour* and *R. Thomas*: As end-faithful spanning tree counterexample. Preprint.
- [13] *B. Zelinka*: Spanning Trees of Locally Finite Graphs, *Czech. Math. J.* **39** (1989), 193–197.

*Author's address*: Université Jean Moulin (Lyon III), 15, quai Claude Bernard, 69239 Lyon, Cedex 2, France.