

Ivan Dobrakov

On integration in Banach spaces, XII. Integration with respect to polymeasures

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 3, 424–440

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102395>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON INTEGRATION IN BANACH SPACES, XII  
(INTEGRATION WITH RESPECT TO POLYMEASURES)

IVAN DOBRAKOV, Bratislava

(Received July 11, 1988)

INTRODUCTION

Shortly to the context of this part (we shall use freely the notation and concepts of the previous parts, treated as chapters):

Theorems 1, 2 and 3 give new results concerning  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable functions  $f: T \rightarrow X$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \subset 2^T$  being a  $\delta$ -ring. Particularly we obtain that each  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable  $f: T \rightarrow X$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable function as  $f: T \rightarrow X_f = \text{sp} \{f(T)\}$ . Using this fact, in Theorem 4 we indicate some improvements of our previous results from parts IX and X. In Theorem 5 we show that  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{I}_2(\Gamma)$ , provided  $c_0 \not\subset Y$ .

In section 2 a treatment of weak (also called scalar) and weak\* integrability is given. For  $d = 1$  see also [25] and [26]. There are at least two reasons to do it carefully: a) General multilinear operators  $U: \mathcal{X}C_0(T_i, X_i) \rightarrow Y$  (even linear  $U: C_0(T) \rightarrow Y$ ) are representable by polymeasures  $\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \rightarrow L^{(d)}(X_i; Y^{**})$  such that only  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i)(y^*): \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \rightarrow K = \text{the scalars}$ , is separately countably additive for each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}X_i$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$ , see [17], [24], [18] and [19], and b) In both weak and weak\* integrability we have the good situation  $\Gamma^*: \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow L^{(d)}(X_i; K)$ , i.e., that  $Y = K \not\supset c_0$ . Let us also note that in proving the measurability of the partial integral, see section 2 in part III and section 3 in part VII, first we proved its weak measurability in Theorem III.10.

The contents of the last section 3 is given by its title. The proof of finiteness of the  $L_1$ -gauge  $\hat{I}[(\cdot), (T_i)]$  on  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  is postponed to Theorem XIII.12.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In the first three theorems  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\delta$ -rings of subsets of a non empty set  $T$ , and  $X$  is a Banach space.

A direct consequence of Generalized Egoroff-Lusin Theorem, i.e., of Theorem X.2, is the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mu: \sigma(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be either a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, or a submeasure*

in the sense of Definition 1 in [20]. Let  $f_n: T \rightarrow X$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  be  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable functions and let  $f_n(t) \rightarrow f_0(t)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $t \in T$ . Put  $F = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{t \in T, f_n(t) \neq 0\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ . Then there are  $N \in F \cap \sigma(\mathcal{P})$  and  $F_k \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\mu(N) = 0$ ,  $F_k \nearrow F - N$ , on each  $F_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  the sequence  $f_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  convergences uniformly to the function  $f_0$ , and the set  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(F_k) \subset X$  is relatively compact for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(F - N) \subset X$  is relatively  $\sigma$ -compact.

We shall need the following improvement of Theorem X.1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f: T \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable function and let  $f(T) \subset X$  be relatively  $\sigma$ -compact. Then there are  $F_k \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $F_k \nearrow F = \{t \in T, f(t) \neq 0\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $k^{-1} \leq |f(t)| \leq k$  for each  $t \in F_k$ , and each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and such that for any sequence  $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  there are:

1) a sequence of finite  $\mathcal{P}$ -partitions  $\pi_{\varepsilon_k}(F_k) = (F_{k,j})_{j=1}^{r_k}$  such that  $(F_{k+1,j} \cap F_k)_{j=1}^{r_{k+1}} \supseteq \pi_{\varepsilon_k}(F_k)$  in the sense of refinements for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and for arbitrary fixed  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , for any points  $t_{k,j} \in F_{k,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r_k$ , the inequality

$$|f(t) \chi_{F_k}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} f(t_{k,j}) \chi_{F_{k,j}}(t)| \leq \varepsilon_k(1 \wedge |f(t)|)$$

holds for each  $t \in T$ , and

2) a sequence  $f_k \in S(F_k \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $X_f = \overline{\text{sp}} \{f(T)\}$ , such that  $f_k = f_k \chi_{F_k}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $f_k \rightarrow f$ ,  $|f_k| \nearrow |f|$ , and the inequality

$$|f(t) \chi_{F_k}(t) - f_k(t)| \leq \varepsilon_k(1 \wedge |f(t)|)$$

holds for each  $t \in T$  and each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

*Proof.* 1) easily follows from Theorem X.1.

2) Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$ . Let us apply 1) for the sequence  $\varepsilon'_k = 3^{-1} \varepsilon_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and put  $f'_k = \sum_{j=1}^{r'_k} f(t'_{k,j}) \chi_{F'_{k,j}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\pi_{\varepsilon'_k}(F_k) = (F'_{k,j})_{j=1}^{r'_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfy the requirements of 1) and  $t'_{k,j} \in F'_{k,j}$ . For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $n_k$  be the whole part of  $k\varepsilon_k^{-1}$ , and put

$$\varphi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j-1}{3^{n_k}} \chi_{D_k} \quad \text{where} \quad D_k = \left\{ t \in T, \frac{j-1}{3^{n_k}} \leq |f(t)| < \frac{j}{3^{n_k}} \right\}.$$

Put finally  $f_k = (f'_k / |f'_k|) \varphi_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then clearly  $f_k \in S(F_k \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$  and  $f_k = f_k \chi_{F_k}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is easy to see that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  and that  $|f_k| \nearrow |f|$ . Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) \chi_{F_k}(t) - f_k(t)| &\leq |f(t) \chi_{F_k}(t) - f'_k(t)| + \\ &+ \left| f'_k(t) - \frac{f'_k(t)}{|f'_k(t)|} \varphi_k(t) \right| \leq 3^{-1} \varepsilon_k(1 \wedge |f(t)|) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |f'_k(t) - \varphi_k(t) \chi_{F_k}(t)| \leq 3^{-1} \varepsilon_k (1 \wedge |f(t)|) + \\
& + |f'_k(t) - f(t) \chi_{F_k}(t)| + |f(t) \chi_{F_k}(t) - \varphi_k(t) \chi_{F_k}(t)| \leq \\
& 2 \cdot 3^{-1} \varepsilon_k (1 \wedge |f(t)|) + k^{-1} \cdot 3^{-1} \varepsilon_k \chi_{F_k}(t) \leq \varepsilon_k (1 \wedge |f(t)|)
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $t \in T$ , since  $k^{-1} \leq |f(t)|$  for each  $t \in F_k$ , and each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

A function  $f: T \rightarrow X$  is called  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary if it is of the form  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \chi_{A_j}$ , where  $x_j \in X$ ,  $A_j \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $A_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , are pairwise disjoint. Obviously each  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ -elementary function is  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary. We denote by  $E(\mathcal{P}, X)$  the linear space of all  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary functions  $f: T \rightarrow X$ . Evidently  $\varphi f \in E(\mathcal{P}, X)$  whenever  $\varphi \in E(\mathcal{P}, K)$  and  $f \in E(\mathcal{P}, X)$ . It is well known, see [22], that each  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable function  $f: T \rightarrow X$  is a uniform limit of a sequence from  $E(\mathcal{P}, X)$ . Using this fact we prove

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f: T \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable function, let  $F = \{t \in T, f(t) \neq 0\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ , and let  $X_f = \overline{\text{sp}} \{f(T)\}$ . Then:

1) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a countable  $\mathcal{P}$ -partition  $\pi_\varepsilon^*(F) = (F_j)_{j \in J}$  such that for any points  $t_j \in F_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , the inequality

$$|f(t) - \sum_{j \in J} f(t_j) \chi_{F_j}(t)| \leq \varepsilon (1 \wedge |f(t)|)$$

holds for each  $t \in T$ ,

2)  $f: T \rightarrow X_f$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable function, i.e., there are  $u_n \in S(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow f$  and  $|u_n| \nearrow |f|$ , and

3) there is a sequence  $f_n \in E(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $|f_n| \nearrow |f|$ , and

$$|f(t) - f_n(t)| \leq (1/n) (1 \wedge |f(t)|)$$

for each  $t \in T$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

**Proof.** 1) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Put  $A_1 = \{t \in T, |f(t)| \geq 1\}$ , and  $A_k = \{t \in T, k^{-1} \leq |f(t)| < (k-1)^{-1}\}$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then  $A_k \in F \cap \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = F$ . Hence it is enough to prove 1) for each function  $f \chi_{A_k}: A_k \rightarrow X$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $k$  be fixed. Take  $h_k \in E(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X)$  such that  $|f(t) \chi_{A_k}(t) - h_k(t)| < \varepsilon/2k = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon (1 \wedge |f(t)|)$  for each  $t \in A_k$ . Let  $h_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{k,j} \chi_{A_{k,j}}$ , where  $x_{k,j} \in X$ ,  $A_{k,j} \in A_k \cap \mathcal{P}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $A_{k,j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint. Put  $A_{k,0} = A_k - \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{k,j}$ . Then there are pairwise disjoint  $A_{k,0,j} \in A_k \cap \mathcal{P}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $A_{k,0} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{k,0,j}$ . Now for any points  $t_{k,j} \in A_{k,j}$  and  $t_{k,0,j} \in A_{k,0,j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  we have the inequalities

$$|f(t) \chi_{A_k}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(t_{k,j}) \chi_{A_{k,j}}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(t_{k,0,j}) \chi_{A_{k,0,j}}(t)| =$$

$$= |f(t) \chi_{A_k}(t) - h_k(t)| + |h_k(t) - h'_k(t)| \leq 2 \cdot \|f \chi_{A_k} - h_k\|_{A_k} \leq \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon (1 \wedge |f(t)|),$$

$$\text{where } h'_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(t_{k,j}) \chi_{A_{k,j}}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(t_{k,0,j}) \chi_{A_{k,0,j}}(t),$$

for each  $t \in A_k$ , which we wanted to show.

2) Immediately follows from 1) since the  $F \cap \mathcal{P}$ -measurable functions  $f: T \rightarrow X_f$  are closed under the formation of pointwise limits of sequences, and each  $f \in E(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$  is evidently a pointwise limit of a sequence from  $S(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$ .

3) For  $k = 2, 3, \dots$  let  $A_k$  be as in the proof of 1), and put  $B_k = \{t \in F, k \leq |f(t)| < k + 1\} \in F \cap \sigma(\mathcal{P})$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\pi^*(F) = \{(A_k)_{k=2}^{\infty}, (B_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\}$  is a countable  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ -partition of  $F$ . Obviously it is enough to prove 3) for each  $A_k, k = 2, 3, \dots$ , and each  $B_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  put

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j-1}{2^n} \chi_{D_n} \quad \text{where } D_n = \left\{ t \in T, \frac{j-1}{2^n} \leq |f(t)| < \frac{j}{2^n} \right\}.$$

Then  $\varphi_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  are  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ -elementary, hence also  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary functions,  $0 \leq \varphi_n(t) \nearrow |f(t)|$  for each  $t \in T$ , and  $|f(t)| - \varphi_n(t) < 2^{-n}$  for each  $t \in T$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Consider first a fixed  $B_k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . By 1) for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary function  $f'_{k,n}: B_k \rightarrow f(B_k)$  such that

$$|f(t) - f'_{k,n}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{4n(k+1)}$$

for each  $t \in B_k$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  put

$$f_{k,n}(t) = \frac{\varphi_{n+3}(t)}{|f'_{k,n}(t)|} f'_{k,n}(t)$$

if  $t \in B_k$ , and put  $f_{k,n}(t) = 0$  if  $t \in T - B_k$ . Then  $f_{k,n}: B_k \rightarrow X_f$  is obviously a  $B_k \cap \mathcal{P}$ -elementary function for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $|f_{k,n}(t)| \nearrow |f(t)|$  for each  $t \in B_k$ . Since for each  $t \in B_k$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{\varphi_{n+3}(t)}{|f'_{k,n}(t)|} \leq \frac{|f(t)|}{|f(t)| - \frac{1}{4n(k+1)}} < 1 + \frac{1}{4n(k+1)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{8}} < 1 + \frac{1}{3n(k+1)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi_{n+3}(t)}{|f'_{k,n}(t)|} &\geq \frac{|f(t)| - \frac{1}{2^{n+3}}}{|f(t)| + \frac{1}{4n(k+1)}} > 1 - \frac{1}{4n(k+1)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{8}} + \frac{4n(k+1)}{2^{n+3} \cdot k} \right) > \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{2n(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{k,n}(t) - f(t)| &\leq |f_{k,n}(t) - f'_{k,n}(t)| + |f'_{k,n}(t) - f(t)| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\varphi_{n+3}(t)}{|f'_{k,n}(t)|} - 1 \right| |f'_{k,n}(t)| + \frac{1}{4n(k+1)} < \left| \frac{\varphi_{n+3}(t)}{|f'_{k,n}(t)|} - 1 \right| \cdot \\ &\cdot \left( k + 1 + \frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{4n(k+1)} < \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} (1 \wedge |f(t)|) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $t \in B_k$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Consider now a fixed  $A_k$ ,  $k \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ . By 1) for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary function  $g'_{k,n}: A_k \rightarrow f(A_k)$  such that  $|f(t) - g'_{k,n}(t)| \leq 1/4nk \cdot |f(t)|$  for each  $t \in A_k$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  put

$$g_{k,n}(t) = \frac{\varphi_{n+k+3}(t)}{|g'_{k,n}(t)|} g'_{k,n}(t)$$

if  $t \in A_k$ , and put  $g_{k,n}(t) = 0$  if  $t \in T - A_k$ . Then  $g_{k,n}: A_k \rightarrow X_f$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  are obviously  $A_k \cap \mathcal{P}$ -elementary functions, and  $|g_{k,n}(t)| \nearrow |f(t)|$  for each  $t \in A_k$ . Since for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and each  $t \in A_k$  the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi_{n+k+3}(t)}{|g'_{k,n}(t)|} &\geq \frac{|f(t)| - \frac{1}{2^{n+k+3}} + \frac{1}{4nk} \left( |f(t)| - \frac{1}{4nk} |f(t)| \right)}{|f(t)| + \frac{1}{4nk} |f(t)|} > \\ > 1 - \frac{1}{4nk} - \frac{1}{4n} \frac{4n(k+1)}{2^{n+k+3}} > 1 - \frac{1}{4n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\varphi_{n+k+3}(t)}{|g'_{k,n}(t)|} \leq \frac{|f(t)|}{|f(t)| - \frac{1}{4nk} |f(t)|} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4nk} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4nk}} < 1 - \frac{1}{7n},$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{k,n}(t) - f(t)| &\leq |g_{k,n}(t) - g'_{k,n}(t)| + |g'_{k,n}(t) - f(t)| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\varphi_{n+k+3}(t)}{|g'_{k,n}(t)|} - 1 \right| |g'_{k,n}(t)| + \frac{1}{4nk} |f(t)| \leq \left( \left| \frac{\varphi_{n+k+3}(t)}{|g'_{k,n}(t)|} - 1 \right| \cdot \right. \\ &\cdot \left. \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4nk} \right) + \frac{1}{4nk} \right) |f(t)| < \left( \frac{1}{4n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{8n} \right) + \frac{1}{8n} \right) |f(t)| < \frac{1}{n} |f(t)| \end{aligned}$$

for each  $t \in A_k$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Hence  $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k,n} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} g_{k,n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  have the required properties.

For  $\mathcal{P}_f$ -measurable  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , put  $X_{f_i} = \overline{\text{sp}} \{f_i(T_i)\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{f_i} =$

$= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_i \cap \{t_i \in T_i, |f_i(t_i)| > k^{-1}\}$ . Then, using assertion 2) of Theorem 3, and Theorems X.5 and XI.5 we immediately obtain the following improvements of results from part X:

**Theorem 4.** 1) Let  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  and let  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i): \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_{f_i} \rightarrow Y$  have locally control  $d$ -polymeasure for each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}_{f_i}$ . Then  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}_1(\Gamma)$  and its indefinite integral  $\int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Y$  has a control  $d$ -polymeasure (see Theorem X.3 and its Corollary 1).

2) The assertions of Theorems X.9, X.13 and X.15 remain to hold if we suppose either that  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i): \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_{f_i} \rightarrow Y$  has locally a control  $d$ -polymeasure for each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}_{f_i}$ , or that  $f_i(T_i) \subset X_i$  is relatively  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . In Theorem X.9 – 1) we may assert that  $(f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_{f_i}, \mathcal{X}_{f_i})$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

3) The assertion of Theorem X.13 remains to hold if  $c_0 \notin Y$ .

Using, among others, Theorems XI.5 and XI.10 we now prove

**Theorem 5.** 1) Let  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  and let there be  $(g_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $g_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma)$ .

2) Let  $c_0 \notin Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma)$ .

Proof. 1) Put  $F_i = \{t_i \in T_i, f_i(t_i) \neq 0\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $H_{i,n} = \{t_i \in F_i, |f_i(t_i)| \leq 2|g_{i,n}(t_i)|\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $H_{i,n} \rightarrow F_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Hence

$$\int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i \cap H_{i,n})} (f_i) d\Gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_i \chi_{H_{i,n}}) d\Gamma$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  by Theorems IX.4 and VIII.1. Since  $|f_i \chi_{H_{i,n}}| \leq 2|g_{i,n}|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and since  $(2g_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $(f_i \chi_{H_{i,n}}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Take  $(f_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i) = \mathcal{S}_0(\Gamma)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,k} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then

$$\int_{(A_i)} (f_i \chi_{H_{i,n}}) d\Gamma = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,k} \chi_{H_{i,n}}) d\Gamma$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem in  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ , i.e., by Theorem XI.10.

2) Let  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ . By assumed local  $\sigma$ -finiteness of the semivariation  $\hat{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , see the beginning of Part IX, there are  $(F'_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $F'_{i,k} \nearrow F_i = \{t_i \in T_i, f_i(t_i) \neq 0\}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $\hat{F}(F'_{i,k}) < \infty$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  put  $F_{i,k} = F'_{i,k} \cap \{t_i \in T_i, |f_i(t_i)| \leq k\} \in \mathcal{P}_i$ . Then  $\hat{F}[(f_i \chi_{F_{i,k}}), (T_i)] < +\infty$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $(f_i \chi_{F_{i,k}}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  by Theorem XI.5. It remains to apply the last consideration of 1).

## 2. WEAK AND WEAK\* INTEGRABILITY

**Definition 1.** Let  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We say that  $(f_i)$  is a *weakly  $\Gamma$ -integrable  $d$ -tuple*, and write  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  if  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(y^*\Gamma)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ . For  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ ,  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and  $y^* \in Y^*$  we put

$$(w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma)(y^*) = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma).$$

Let  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ . We write  $(f_i) \in (w\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma)$ , and say that  $(f_i)$  belongs to the first weak integrable class, if there are  $(f_{i,n}) \in (w\mathcal{I})_0(\Gamma) = \mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and

$$(w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma)(y^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (w \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n}) d\Gamma)(y^*)$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

Similarly, starting from  $(w\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma)$ , we define the second weak integrable class  $(w\mathcal{I})_2(\Gamma)$ .

The basic properties of the weak integral are given by

**Theorem 6.** 1)  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) \subset w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma) \subset (w\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma)$  and  $w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) = (w\mathcal{I})_2(\Gamma)$ .

2) Let  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma \in Y^{**}$  for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , and  $w \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Y^{**}$  is separately  $w^*$ -countably additive.

3) If  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ , then  $w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma$  for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , where  $\dot{y} \in Y^{**}$  is the image of  $y \in Y$  under the natural embedding of  $Y$  in  $Y^{**}$ .

4) If  $c_0 \not\subset Y$ , then  $w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  and the integrals coincide.

*Proof.* 1) and 2). The inclusions  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) \subset w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma) \subset (w\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma)$  follow from assertion 3) of Theorem IX.4.

Let  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ , i.e., let  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(y^*\Gamma)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Take  $(f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Let us use the notation from the proof of 2) of Theorem 5. Then by Theorems XI.5 and XI.10 we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma)(y^*) &= \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i \cap F_{i,k})} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma) = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i \cap F_{i,k})} (f_{i,n}) d(y^*\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Hence  $(f_i) \in (w\mathcal{I})_2(\Gamma)$ ,  $w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma \in Y^{**}$  for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  using Banach-Steinhaus theorem, and  $w \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Y^{**}$  is separately  $w^*$ -countably additive using (VHSN)-theorem for vector  $d$ -polymeasures, see the beginning of part VIII.

3) If  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ , then

$$y^*(\int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma) = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma) = (w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma)(y^*)$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$  by assertion 3) of Theorem IX.4. It remains to apply Hahn-Banach theorem.



4) Let  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ . Since  $(f_i \chi_{F_{i,k}}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  in the notation of the proof of 2) of Theorem 5, analogously as in the proof of Theorem X.14 it follows that  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}_2(\Gamma)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $g_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We write  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  if  $\hat{F}[(g_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$ . Put  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ .

We write  $(g_i) \in w\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  if  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  whenever  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  is  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable and  $|f_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

**Theorem 7.** 1)  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(y^*\Gamma)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

2)  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = w\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma) \subset (w\mathcal{S})_1(\Gamma)$ .

3) If  $c_0 \notin Y$ , then  $w\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ .

4) For the weak integral in  $w^*$ -convergence the analogues of Theorems XI.6 (Fubini), XI.9, XI.10 (LDCT) and their corollaries hold in  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ .

*Proof.* 1) If  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ , then  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(y^*\Gamma)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$  by Theorem XI.5. If  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(y^*\Gamma)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ , then  $y^*\Gamma[(g_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$  by Theorem XIII.6 for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ , hence  $\hat{F}[(g_i), (T_i)] = \sup_{|y^*| \leq 1} y^*\Gamma[(g_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$  by uniform boundedness principle.

2) The inclusions  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \subset w\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  and  $w\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma) \subset (w\mathcal{S})_1(\Gamma)$  are consequences of Theorem XI.5 and Corollary 1 of Theorem XI.10 respectively. If  $(g_i) \in w\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ , then  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(y^*\Gamma)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ , hence  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  by 1).

3) If  $c_0 \notin Y$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  by Theorem XI.5, while  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  by Theorem XIII.12.

4) is evident.

Let  $Y = Z^*$  = the dual of  $Z$ , where  $Z$  is a Banach space. Then we may suppose that only  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i) z: \mathbb{X}\mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow K$  is separately countably additive for each  $(x_i) \in \mathbb{X}X_i$  and each  $z \in Z$ . We keep however, the assumption that the semivariation  $\hat{F}: \mathbb{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is locally  $\sigma$ -finite. It is important to remind that by the deep result of J. Diestel and B. Faires, see 1.2 in [3] or Theorem I.4.2 in [2] if  $l_\infty \notin Z^*$  (equivalently, if  $c_0 \notin Z^*$ ), then a  $w^*$ -separately countably additive  $\gamma: \mathbb{X}\mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow Z^*$  is norm separately countably additive.

**Definition 3.** Let  $Y = Z^*$ , where  $Z$  is a Banach space and let  $\Gamma$  be as described above. Let  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable functions,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We say that  $(f_i)$  is a  $w^*$ - $\Gamma$ -integrable  $d$ -tuple, and write  $(f_i) \in w^*\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  if  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma(\dots) z)$  for each  $z \in Z$ . For  $(f_i) \in w^*\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ ,  $(A_i) \in \mathbb{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and  $z \in Z$  we put

$$(w^* \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma)(z) = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(\Gamma(\dots) z) \dots$$

We define  $(w^*\mathcal{S})_1(\Gamma)$ ,  $(w^*\mathcal{S})_2(\Gamma)$  and  $w^*\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  analogously as their  $w$  counterparts in Definition 1.

The basic properties of the weak\* integral are given by

**Theorem 8.** Let  $Y = Z^*$ , where  $Z$  is a Banach space, and let  $\Gamma$  be as described before Definition 3. Then:

- 1)  $w^*\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) = (w^*\mathcal{I})_2(\Gamma)$ .
- 2) Let  $(f_i) \in w^*\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $w^* \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma \in Z^*$  for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , and  $w^* \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Z^*$  is separately  $w^*$ -countably additive.
- 3)  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma(\dots)z)$  for each  $z \in Z$ .
- 4)  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = w^*\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma) \subset (w^*\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma)$ .
- 5) For the weak\* integral in  $w^*$ -convergence the analogues of Theorems XI.6 (Fubini), XI.9, XI.10 (LDCT), and their corollaries hold.
- 6) If  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i): \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow Z^* = Y$  is separately countably additive for each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}X_i$ , then  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) \subset w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) \subset w^*\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma) \subset (w\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma) \subset (w^*\mathcal{I})_1(\Gamma)$ .  
Further,

$$\int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma = w \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma = w^* \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma$$

for each  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  and each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ .

- 7) If  $Z$  is a Grothendieck space, i.e., if  $w^*$  and weak convergence of sequences in  $Z^*$  coincide, see p. 179 in [1], then  $w^*\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) = w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  and the integrals coincide.

Proof. Assertions 1)–6) follow similarly as their weak analogues in Theorems 6 and 7.

7) Let  $(f_i) \in w^*\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ . Since the weak\* and weak convergence of sequences coincide in  $Z^*$ , the proof of assertion 2) of Theorem 6 works for both weak\* and weak integration. Hence  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  and  $w^* \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma = w \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Z^*$ , and it is separately countably additive in the weak topology of  $Z^*$ . But then  $w \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Z^*$  is separately countably additive in the norm of  $Z^*$  by the Orlicz-Pettis theorem. Since  $(f_i \chi_{F_{i,k}}) \in \mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , see the proof of 2) of Theorem 5,  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}_2(\Gamma)$  by Corollary 2 of Theorem IX.4. (we used the fact that  $c_0 \not\subset Z^*$ ).

The just proved assertion is a particular case of assertion 1) of the next

**Theorem 9.** Let  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  and let  $w \int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow \dot{Y} =$  the image of  $Y$  in  $Y^{**}$  under natural embedding. Then:

1)  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  if and only if there are  $(F_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $F_{i,k} \nearrow F_i = \{t_i \in T_i, f_i(t_i) \neq 0\}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $(f_i \chi_{F_{i,k}}) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

2)  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma)$  provided  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i): \mathcal{X}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Y$  has a control  $d$ -polymeasure for each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}X_{F_i}$ , where  $X_{F_i} = \overline{\text{sp}} \{f_i(T_i)\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Particularly this is true if each  $\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , is generated by a countable family of sets, see Corollary of Theorem VIII.11.

3)  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma)$  provided  $f_i(T_i) \subset X_i$  is relatively  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Particularly this happens if each  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , is finite dimensional, and if  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{X}E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ .

Proof. 1) follows by Orlicz-Pettis theorem, which is valid for polymeasures, and by Corollary 2 of Theorem IX.4.

2) According to Theorems VIII.17 and VIII.19 there is a control  $d$ -polymeasure, say  $\lambda_1 \times \dots \times \lambda_d: \mathbf{X}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  for  $\Gamma' = \Gamma: \mathbf{X}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow L^d(X_{f_i}; Y)$ . Owing to assertion 2) of Theorem 3 for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  there are  $f_{i,n} \in S(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i, X_{f_i})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|$ . Applying coordinatewise Egoroff-Lusin theorem, the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of the semivariation  $\hat{\Gamma}'$  on  $\mathbf{X}\sigma(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i)$ , and Corollary 3 of Theorem IX.4 imply the existence of a sequence  $(F_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $(f_i \chi_{F_{i,k}}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(\Gamma')$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $F_{i,k} \nearrow F_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Hence  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma')$  by 1). Clearly  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma') \subset \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ .  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma') = \mathcal{S}_1(\Gamma')$  by Theorem X.3.

3) Follows similarly as 2), using Theorem X.1 instead of Egoroff-Lusin theorem, and Theorem X.5 instead of Theorem X.3.

From this theorem and from assertions 3) of Theorem 6 and 4) of Theorem 7 we immediately obtain the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  and suppose either that  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i): \mathbf{X}\mathcal{P}_{g_i} \rightarrow Y$  has locally a control  $d$ -polymeasure for each  $(x_i) \in \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}_{g_i}$ , or that  $g_i(T_i) \subset X_i$  is relatively  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then the assertions of Theorem XI.6 (Fubini), its Corollary 1 and a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) of its Corollary 2 hold for  $(g_i)$ .*

The next theorem is in the spirit of Theorems X.3 and X.5.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and let either  $\Gamma(\dots)(x_i): \mathbf{X}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow Y$  have a control  $d$ -polymeasure for each  $(x_i) \in \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}_{f_i}$ , where  $F_i = \{t_i \in T_i, f_i(t_i) \neq 0\}$ , and  $X_{f_i} = \overline{\text{sp}}\{f_i(T_i)\}$ , or that  $f_i(T_i) \subset X_i$  be relatively  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then:*

1) *If  $(f_i) \in \mathbf{w}\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ , then  $(f_i) \in (\mathbf{w}\mathcal{S})_1(\Gamma)$  and there are  $(f_{i,k}) \in \mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i, X_{f_i})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,k} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^*(\int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,k}) d\Gamma) = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma) = (\mathbf{w} \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma) (y^*)$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathbf{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

2) *If  $Y = Z^*$ , where  $Z$  is a Banach space, and if  $(f_i) \in \mathbf{w}^*\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ , then  $(f_i) \in (\mathbf{w}^*\mathcal{S})_1(\Gamma)$  and there are  $(f_{i,k}) \in \mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i, X_{f_i})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,k} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,k}) d\Gamma) (z) = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(\Gamma(\cdot) z) = (\mathbf{w}^* \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma) (z)$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathbf{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $z \in Z$ .

*Proof.* Using a control  $d$ -polymeasure for  $\Gamma' = \Gamma: \mathbf{X}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow L^d(X_{f_i}, Y)$ , see Theorems VIII.17 and VIII.19, through Theorem 1 the case of the first alternative assumption can be reduced to the case of the second assumption. Hence let  $f_i(T_i) \subset X_i$  be relatively  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Since by assumption the semivariation  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, there are  $(F'_{i,k}) \in \mathbf{X}(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $F'_{i,k} \nearrow F_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $\hat{\Gamma}(F'_{i,k}) < +\infty$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  put  $\varepsilon_k = [k^d d(\hat{\Gamma}(F'_{i,k}) + 1)]^{-1}$ , and for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  take  $F_{i,k} \in F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i$  and  $f_{i,k} \in$

$\in S(F_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i, X_{f_i})$   $k = 1, 2, \dots$  in accordance with assertion 2) of Theorem 2. For  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  put  $F''_{i,k} = F'_{i,k} \cap F_{i,k}$  and  $f''_{i,k} = f_{i,k} \chi_{F''_{i,k}}$ . Then  $F''_{i,k} \nearrow F_i$ ,  $f''_{i,k} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f''_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Further  $(f_i \chi_{F''_{i,k}}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\left| \int_{(A_i)} (f_i \chi_{F''_{i,k}}) d\Gamma - \int_{(A_i)} (f''_{i,k}) d\Gamma \right| < 1/k$$

for each  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

1) If now  $(f_i) \in w\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i \cap F''_{i,k})} (f_i) d(y^*\Gamma) = \\ &= (\text{by Theorem 6 - 3}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^*(\int_{(A_i)} (f_i \chi_{F''_{i,k}}) d\Gamma) = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^*(\int_{(A_i)} (f''_{i,k}) d\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$ , which we wanted to show.

2) Follows similarly as 1).

Let  $d = 1$  and  $m = \Gamma$ . Since each vector measure  $m(\cdot) x: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow Y$ ,  $x \in X$ , has locally a control measure, we have the following two consequences:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $d = 1$ . Then  $w\mathcal{S}(m) = (w\mathcal{S})_1(m)$  and for each  $f \in w\mathcal{S}(m)$  there is a sequence  $f_k \in S(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$ ,  $|f_k| \nearrow |f|$  and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^*(\int_E f_k dm) = \int_E f d(y^*m)$$

uniformly with respect to  $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ , for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $d = 1$ , let  $Y = Z^*$ , where  $Z$  is a separable Banach space, let  $m(\cdot) xz: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow Y$  be countably additive for each  $x \in X$  and each  $z \in Z$ , and let the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  be  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $w^*\mathcal{S}(m) = (w^*\mathcal{S})_1(m)$ , and for each  $f \in w^*\mathcal{S}(m)$  there is a sequence  $f_k \in S(F \cap \mathcal{P}, X_f)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$ ,  $|f_k| \nearrow |f|$ , and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\int_E f_k dm)(z) = \int_E f d(m(\cdot)z)$$

uniformly with respect to  $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ , for each  $z \in Z$ .

Since each scalar bimeasure is uniform, see (Y) at the beginning of part VIII, using Corollary 1 of Theorem VIII.16 and Theorem X.9 we also have

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $d = 2$  and suppose either  $Y$  has a countable norming set, or that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are finite dimensional. Then  $w\mathcal{S}(\Gamma) = (w\mathcal{S})_1(\Gamma)$  and for each  $(f_1, f_2) \in w\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  there are  $(f_{1,k}, f_{2,k}) \in S(F_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_1, X_{f_1}) \times S(F_2 \cap \mathcal{P}_2, X_{f_2})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,k} \rightarrow f_i$ ,  $|f_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^*(\int_{(A_1, A_2)} (f_{1,k}, f_{2,k}) d\Gamma) = \int_{(A_1, A_2)} (f_1, f_2) d(y^*\Gamma)$$

uniformly with respect to  $(A_1, A_2) \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_1) \times \sigma(\mathcal{P}_2)$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

**Corollary 4.** Let  $d = 2$ , let  $Y = Z^*$ , where  $Z$  is a Banach space, and suppose either  $Z$  is separable, or that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are finite dimensional. Then  $w^*\mathcal{J}(\Gamma) = (w^*\mathcal{J})_1(\Gamma)$  and for each  $(f_1, f_2) \in w^*\mathcal{J}(\Gamma)$  there are  $(f_{1,k}, f_{2,k}) \in S(F_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_1, X_{f_1}) \times S(F_2 \cap \mathcal{P}_2, X_{f_2})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,k} \nearrow f_i$ ,  $|f_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{(A_1, A_2)} (f_{1,k}, f_{2,k}) d\Gamma \right) (z) = \int_{(A_1, A_2)} (f_1, f_2) d(\Gamma(\cdot) z)$$

uniformly with respect to  $(A_1, A_2) \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_1) \times \sigma(\mathcal{P}_2)$  for each  $z \in Z$ .

Our polymasure  $\Gamma$  induces by the equality  $\Gamma^o(A_i)(k_i) = \prod_{i=1}^d k_i \Gamma(A_i)$ ,  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $(k_i) \in \mathcal{X}K_i$ ,  $K_i = K =$  the space of scalars for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , the polymasure  $\Gamma^o: \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow L^{(d)}(K; L^{(d)}(X_i; Y))$ . Conversely, each such  $\Gamma^o$  induces  $\Gamma$  by the equality  $\Gamma(A_i) = \Gamma^o(A_i)(1_i)$ . Now we make no requirements on  $\sigma$ -finiteness of the semivariation  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , since the semivariation  $\hat{\Gamma}^o = \|\Gamma^o\| = \|\Gamma\| =$  the scalar semivariation of  $\Gamma$  is finite valued on  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i$ , see Corollary 1 of Theorem VIII.2, Definition VIII.3 and assertion 4) of Theorem VIII.3.

**Definition 4.** Let  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow K$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We say that  $(f_i)$  is a  $\Gamma^o$ -integrable  $d$ -tuple, and write  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{J}(\Gamma^o)$ , if  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{J}(\Gamma(\dots)(x_i)) = \mathcal{J}_1(\Gamma(\dots)(x_i))$  for each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}X_i$ , see Theorem X.5. For  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{J}(\Gamma^o)$  and  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  we put

$$\left( \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma^o \right) (x_i) = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(\Gamma(\dots)(x_i)).$$

We write  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma^o)$  if  $g_i: T_i \rightarrow K$  is  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{J}(\Gamma^o)$  whenever  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow K$  is  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable and  $|f_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

Applying Theorem 2, and using the finiteness of the semivariation  $\hat{\Gamma}^o = \|\Gamma\|$ , similarly as the preceding theorem one can easily obtain the following

**Theorem 11.** Let  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{J}(\Gamma^o)$ . Then:

1) There is a sequence  $(F_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $F_{i,k} \nearrow F_i = \{t_i \in T_i, f_i(t_i) \neq 0\}$ ,  $k^{-1} \leq |f_i(t_i)| \leq k$  for each  $t_i \in F_{i,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and sequences of finite  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -partitions  $\pi_{i,k}(F_{i,k}) = (F_{i,k,j})_{j=1}^{r_{i,k}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , such that  $(F_{i,k+1,j} \cap F_{i,k,j})_{j=1}^{r_{i,k+1}} \geq \pi_{i,k}(F_{i,k})$  in the sense of refinements, for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and for any points  $t_{i,k,j} \in F_{i,k,j}$  the inequality

$$\left| \int_{(A_i \cap F_{i,k})} (f_i) d\Gamma^o - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{i,k}} \prod_{i=1}^d f(t_{i,k,j}) \Gamma(A_i \cap F_{i,k}) \right| < k^{-1}$$

holds for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

2) There are  $(f_{i,k}) \in \mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, K)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,k} \rightarrow f_i$ ,  $|f_{i,k}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,k}) d\Gamma^o \right) (x_i) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,k}) d(\Gamma(\dots)(x_i)) = \\ &= \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d(\Gamma(\dots)(x_i)) = \left( \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma^o \right) (x_i) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}X_i$ .

3)  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma^0) = \mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma^0)$ . For each  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma^0)$  the indefinite integral  $\int_{(\cdot)} (f_i) d\Gamma^0: \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow L^{(d)}(X_i; Y)$ , it is separately countably additive in the strong operator topology, and its semivariation  $\int_{(\cdot)} \widehat{(f_i)} d\Gamma^0$  is locally  $\sigma$ -finite provided  $\widehat{F}$  is locally  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ .

If  $g_i \in S(\mathcal{P}_i, K), f_i: T_i \rightarrow K$  is  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable and  $|f_i| \leq |g_i|$ , then  $f_i \in \overline{S}(G_i \cap \mathcal{P}_i, K)$ , where  $G_i = \{t_i \in T_i, g_i(t_i) \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{P}_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ . From this fact and from assertions 4) of Theorem 6 and 3) of Theorem 7 we immediately obtain

**Theorem 12** 1)  $\mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, K) \subset \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma^0)$ .

2) If  $c_0 \notin Y$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma^0) = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma^0)$ , and  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma^0)$  if and only if  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Y}^* \Gamma(\dots)(x_i))$  for each  $\mathcal{Y}^* \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  and each  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{X}X_i$ .

### 3. MONOTONE CONVERGENCE THEOREM AND CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ AND OF BEPPO LEVI PROPERTY

**Theorem 13** (Monotone Convergence Theorem in  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ). Let  $c_0 \notin Y$ , let for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  the functions  $f_i, f_{i,n}: T_i \rightarrow X_i, n = 1, 2, \dots$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable, and let  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|, i = 1, \dots, d, \Gamma$ -almost everywhere, see Definition XI.1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{F}[(f_{i,n}), (T_i)] = \widehat{F}[(f_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$ , and
- b)  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,

and if they hold, then  $(f_i), (f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{I}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n_1, \dots, n_d \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n_i}) d\Gamma = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ .

If in each of the  $d$  coordinates  $i = 1, \dots, d$  either the convergence  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  is uniform, or the multiple  $L_1$ -gauge  $\widehat{F}[(f_i), (\dots, T_{i-1}, \cdot, T_{i+1}, \dots)]: \sigma(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous on  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , then the limit in (1) is uniform with respect to  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ .

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we may suppose that the convergences  $f_{i,n}(t_i) \rightarrow f_i(t_i)$  and  $|f_{i,n}(t_i)| \nearrow |f_i(t_i)|$  hold for every  $t_i \in T_i$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then the equality in a) is a consequence of the Fatou property of the  $L_1$ -gauge  $\widehat{F}[(\cdot), (\cdot)]$ , see Theorem VIII.4. a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) by Theorem XI.5. b)  $\Rightarrow$  a) by Theorem XIII.12. The remaining assertions follow from LDCT in  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ , i.e., from Theorem XI.10.

**Definition 5.** We say that the polymasure  $\Gamma: \mathcal{X}\mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow L^{(d)}(X_i; Y)$  has the *Beppo Levi property* if a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) in the notations of Theorem 13, provided  $(f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Note that in this case the conclusions of Theorem 13 hold.

The following theorem is related to Theorem VII.2.

**Theorem 14.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- a)  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ ,
- b)  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{X}E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i) \subset \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ ,

c)  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,

d) If:  $(f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{XS}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $\hat{F}[(f_i), (T_i)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}[(f_{i,n}), (T_i)] < +\infty$  imply that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n}) d\Gamma \in Y$  exists for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , hence that  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{F}_1(\Gamma)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n}) d\Gamma = \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) d\Gamma$  for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , and if they hold, then  $\Gamma$  has the Beppo Levi property.

Conversely, if to each  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{XS}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$  there is a sequence  $(f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and if  $\Gamma$  has the Beppo Levi property, then  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ .

Proof. The only non obvious implication b)  $\Rightarrow$  c) is the assertion of Corollary of Theorem 17 below.

Concerning the last assertion of the theorem, let  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{XS}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ , let  $(f_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . For each considered  $i$  take  $\varphi_{i,n} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_i, [0, +\infty))$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\varphi_{i,n} \nearrow |f_i|$ . Put

$$h_{i,n} = \frac{f_{i,n}}{|f_{i,n}|} \varphi_{i,n} \chi_{\{t \in T_i, |f_i(t_i)| \geq 1/n\}}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , see Lemma XI.2, and  $h_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|h_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Hence  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  by Beppo Levi property of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\mathcal{XS}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i) \subset \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ . But then  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  by Beppo Levi property of  $\Gamma$ .

We now prove the following characterization of elements of  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 15.** Let  $g_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  if and only if the following condition holds:

$(C_{L_1})$ : If  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{XS}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $|h_{i,n}| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $h_{i,n} \rightarrow h_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and if at least one  $h_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  is identically the zero function, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(T_i)} (h_{i,n}) d\Gamma = 0$ .

Proof. The necessity of  $(C_{L_1})$  is a consequence of the LDCT in  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ , i.e., of Theorem XI.10.

Suppose  $(C_{L_1})$  holds. Let  $f_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable and let  $|f_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We have to show that  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  take a sequence  $f_{i,n} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $f_{i,n} \rightarrow f_i$  and  $|f_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|$ . We assert that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n}) d\Gamma \in Y$  exists for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , which implies  $(f_i) \in \mathcal{F}_1(\Gamma)$ .

Suppose the contrary. Then there are:  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a subsequence  $\{n_k\} \subset \{n\}$  such that

$$\left| \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n_{k+1}}) d\Gamma - \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n_k}) d\Gamma \right| > \varepsilon$$

for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since

$$\int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n_{k+1}}) d\Gamma - \int_{(A_i)} (f_{i,n_k}) d\Gamma = \int_{(A_i)} (f_{1,n_{k+1}} - f_{1,n_k}, \\ f_{2,n_{k+1}}, \dots, f_{d,n_{k+1}}) d\Gamma + \dots + \int_{(A_i)} (f_{1,n_k}, \dots, f_{d-1,n_k}, \\ f_{d,n_{k+1}} - f_{d,n_k}) d\Gamma,$$

there is an  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and a subsequence  $\{k_j\} \subset \{k\}$  such that the inequality

$$\left| \int_{(A_i)} (\dots, f_{i_0-1,n_{k_j}}, f_{i_0,n_{k_j+1}} - f_{i_0,n_{k_j}}, f_{i_0+1,n_{k_j+1}}, \dots) d\Gamma \right| > \varepsilon d^{-1}$$

for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Put  $h_{i,j} = f_{i,n_{k_j}} \chi_{A_i}$  for  $i < i_0$ ,  $h_{i_0,j} = (f_{i_0,n_{k_j+1}} - f_{i_0,n_{k_j}}) \chi_{A_{i_0}}$ , and  $h_{i,j} = f_{i,n_{k_j+1}} \chi_{A_i}$  for  $i > i_0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we have a contradiction with the condition  $(C_{L_1})$ .

Analogously the following characterization can be proved:

**Theorem 16.** *The polymeasure  $\Gamma$  has Beppo Levi property if and only if the following condition holds:*

$(C_{BL})$ : If:  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i) \cap \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\hat{\Gamma}[(\sup_n |h_{i,n}|), (T_i)] < +\infty$ ,  $h_{i,n} \rightarrow h_i$ ;  $T_i \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and at least one  $h_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  is identically equal to the zero function, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(T_i)} (h_{i,n}) d\Gamma = 0$ .

Another useful characterization of elements of  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  is given by the following

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $g_i$ ;  $T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\hat{\Gamma}[(g_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$  and  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  whenever  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{X}E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$  and  $|h_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity follows from Theorem XIII.6 and the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $f_i$ ;  $T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable and let  $|f_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . By assertion 3) of Theorem 3 for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  there is a sequence  $h_{i,n} \in E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $|h_{i,n}| \nearrow |f_i|$  and  $|f_i - h_{i,n}| \leq (1/n) |f_i|$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence

$$|h_{i,n}| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) |f_i| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) |g_i|$$

for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Thus

$$\left( \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1} h_{i,n} \right) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma),$$

hence  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  by assumption. Since  $h_{i,n}(t_i) \rightarrow f_i(t_i)$  for each  $t_i \in T_i$  and each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and since

$$\left| \int_{(A_i)} (h_{i,n}) d\Gamma - \int_{(A_i)} (h_{i,k}) d\Gamma \right| \leq \\ \leq \left| \int_{(A_i)} (h_{1,n} - h_{1,k}, h_{2,n}, \dots, h_{d,n}) d\Gamma \right| + \dots \\ \dots + \left| \int_{(A_i)} (h_{1,k}, \dots, h_{d-1,k}, h_{d,n} - h_{d,k}) d\Gamma \right| \leq$$



$$\leq \frac{2d}{n_0} \hat{F}[(f_i), (T_i)] \leq \frac{2d}{n_0} \hat{F}[(g_i), (T_i)]$$

for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$  and each  $k, n \geq n_0, (f_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  by Theorem IX.4 - 1). Since  $(f_i)$  with required properties was arbitrary,  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ .

**Corollary.**  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{X}E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i) \subset \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 18.** Let  $g_i: T_i \rightarrow X_i$  be  $\mathcal{P}_i$ -measurable,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$  if and only if the following condition holds:

$(C_{L_i}^*)$ :  $\hat{F}[(g_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$ , and if  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i), n = 1, 2, \dots, |h_{i,n}| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $h_{i,n} \cdot h_{i,k} = 0$  for  $n \neq k, i = 1, \dots, d$ , imply

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{(T_i)} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} h_{i,n} \right) d\Gamma - \int_{(T_i)} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N h_{i,n} \right) d\Gamma \right| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(g_i) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ . Then  $\hat{F}[(g_i), (T_i)] < +\infty$  by Theorem XIII.12. Let  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{X}S(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i), n = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfy the assumptions of  $(C_{L_i}^*)$ . Put  $h_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{i,n}, i = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{X}E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$  and  $|h_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Hence  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  by Theorem 17. Put  $\gamma(A_i) = \int_{(A_i)} (h_i) d\Gamma, (A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ . Since  $H_{i,N} = \{t_i \in T_i, \sum_{n=1}^N h_{i,n}(t_i) \neq 0\} \nearrow H_i = \{t_i \in T_i, h_i(t_i) \neq 0\}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(H_{i,N}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(T_i)} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N h_{i,n} \right) d\Gamma \in Y$$

exists by Theorem VIII.1.

Suppose  $(C_{L_i}^*)$  holds. Let  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{X}E(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$  and let  $|h_i| \leq |g_i|$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . According to Theorem 17 it is enough to show that  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ . Each  $h_i, i = 1, \dots, d$  is of the form  $h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{i,j} \chi_{A_{i,j}}$ , where  $x_{i,j} \in X_i$  and  $A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{P}_i, j = 1, 2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint. For  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  put  $u_{i,k} = \sum_{j=1}^k x_{i,j} \chi_{A_{i,j}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i)$ . Clearly  $u_{i,k} \rightarrow h_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . We assert that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(A_i)} (u_{i,k}) d\Gamma \in Y$  exists for each  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , and this by Theorem IX.1 will imply the integrability of  $(h_i)$ . Suppose the contrary. Then there is an  $(A_i) \in \mathcal{X}\sigma(\mathcal{P}_i)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a subsequence  $\{k_n\} \subset \{k\}$  such that

$$\left| \int_{(A_i)} (u_{i,k_{n+1}}) d\Gamma - \int_{(A_i)} (u_{i,k_n}) d\Gamma \right| > \varepsilon$$

for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d$  put  $h_{i,n} = (u_{i,k_n} - u_{i,k_{n-1}}) \cdot \chi_{A_i}$ , where  $u_{i,k_0} = 0$ . Then we have a contradiction with the assertion in  $(C_{L_i}^*)$ . Hence  $(h_i) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ , what we wanted to show.

Analogously our last characterization can be proved.

**Theorem 19.** The polymasure  $\Gamma$  has Beppo Levi property if and only if the following condition holds:

$(C_{BL}^*)$ : If  $(h_{i,n}) \in \mathcal{XS}(\mathcal{P}_i, X_i) \cap \mathcal{L}_1(\Gamma)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $h_{i,n} \cdot h_{i,k} = 0$  for  $n \neq k$ ,  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{F}[(\sum_{n=1}^N h_{i,n}), (T_i)] = \hat{F}[(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{i,n}), (T_i)] < +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\int_{(T_i)} (\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} h_{i,n}) d\Gamma - \int_{(T_i)} (\sum_{n=1}^N h_{i,n}) d\Gamma] = 0$ .

### References

- [1] Diestel, J.: Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 92, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin–Heidelberg–Tokyo, 1984.
- [2] Diestel, J., Faires, B.: On vector measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 198 (1974) 253–271.
- [3] Diestel, J., Uhl, J. J.: Vector Measures, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys, No. 15, Providence, 1977.
- [4] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, I, Czech. Math. J. 20 (95) (1970), 511–536.
- [5] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, II, Czech. Math. J. 20 (95) (1970), 680–695.
- [6] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, III, Czech. Math. J. 29 (104) (1979), 478–499.
- [7] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, IV, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105) (1980), 259–279.
- [8] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, V, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105) (1980), 610–628.
- [9] Dobrakov, I., Morales, P.: On integration in Banach spaces, VI, Czech. Math. J. 35 (110) (1985), 173–187.
- [10] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, VII, Czech. Math. J.
- [11] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, VIII (Polymeasures), Czech. Math. J. 37 (112) (1987), 487–506.
- [12] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, IX (Integration with respect to polymeasures), Czech. Math. J. 38 (113) (1988), 589–601.
- [13] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, X (Integration with respect to polymeasures), Czech. Math. J. 38 (113) (1988), 713–725.
- [14] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, XI (Integration with respect to polymeasures), Czech. Math. J. 40 (115) (1990), 8–24.
- [15] Dobrakov, I.: Remarks on the integrability in Banach spaces, Math. Slovaca 36, 1986, 323–327.
- [16] Dobrakov, I.: On extension of vector polymeasures, Czech. Math. J. 38 (113) (1988), 88–94.
- [17] Dobrakov, I.: On representation of linear operators on  $C_0(T, X)$ , Czech. Math. J. 21 (96) (1971), 13–30.
- [18] Dobrakov, I.: Representation of multilinear operators on  $\mathcal{XC}_0(T_i)$ , Czech. Math. J. 39 (114) (1989), 288–302.
- [19] Dobrakov, I.: Representation of multilinear operators on  $\mathcal{XC}_0(T_i, X_i)$ , I, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.
- [20] Dobrakov, I.: On submeasures, I, Dissertationes Math. 112, Warszawa, 1974.
- [21] Dobrakov, I., Farková, J.: On submeasures, II, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 65–81.
- [22] Kelley, J. L., Srinivasan, T. P.: On the Bochner integral, in: Vector and Operator Valued Measures and Applications, Edited by D. H. Tucker, H. B. Maynard, Academic Press, Inc., New York–London, 1973, 165–174.
- [23] Kelley, J. L., Srinivasan, T. P.: Measure and Integral, Volume I, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 116, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin–Heidelberg–London–Paris–Tokyo, 1986.
- [24] Mitter, S. K., Young, S. K.: Integration with respect to operator-valued measures with applications to quantum estimation theory, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. (IV), 137, 1984, 1–39.
- [25] Swartz, C.: Integrability for the Dobrakov integral, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105) (1980), 640–646.
- [26] Swartz, C.: Weak Fubini theorems for the Dobrakov integral, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105) (1980), 647–654.

Author's address: 842 15 Bratislava, Obrancov mieru 49, Czechoslovakia (MÚ SAV).