

Matúš Harminc; Ján Jakubík

Maximal convergences and minimal proper convergences in  $\ell$ -groups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 39 (1989), No. 4, 631–640

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102339>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

MAXIMAL CONVERGENCES AND MINIMAL PROPER  
CONVERGENCES IN  $l$ -GROUPS

MATUŠ HARMINC, JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received May 8, 1987)

In this paper the notion of convergence on a lattice ordered group  $G$  will be applied in the same sense as in [11]. This notion was investigated (for the abelian case) also in [5], [8], [10], [14]. Particular cases of convergences on lattice ordered groups were dealt with in [6] and [17].

The system of all convergences on  $G$  will be denoted by  $\text{Conv } G$ . This system is partially ordered by inclusion (cf. [9], [11], [14]). Assume that  $G$  is a direct product of lattice ordered groups  $G_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) with  $G_i \neq \{0\}$  for each  $i \in I$ . To each system  $(\alpha_i; i \in I)$  with  $\alpha_i \in \text{Conv } G_i$  for each  $i \in I$  there corresponds in a natural way an element  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ . Let  $S$  be the set of all  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  which can be constructed in this way. Under the notation as above,  $\alpha$  is said to be the product of the system  $(\alpha_i; i \in I)$ .

If  $I$  is finite, then  $S = \text{Conv } G$  (cf. [9]). It will be shown below that if  $I$  is infinite, then

$$\text{card}(\text{Conv } G \setminus S) \geq 2^{\aleph_0}.$$

The question arises whether a direct product of maximal elements  $\alpha_i$  of  $\text{Conv } G_i$  must be a maximal element of  $\text{Conv } G$ . Analogous questions were studied for topological groups and for convergence groups. In both these cases the answers are "No", cf. [4], [5], [7]. (Let us remark that in [4], [7] the term "coarse" is applied instead of "maximal".)

For the case of lattice ordered groups the following positive result will be established:

(A) Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ , where  $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\alpha$  is a maximal element of  $\text{Conv } G$ ;
- (ii) there is a system  $(\alpha_i; i \in I)$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\alpha_i$  is a maximal element of  $\text{Conv } G_i$ , and  $\alpha$  is the product of the system  $(\alpha_i; i \in I)$ .

The least element of  $\text{Conv } G$  will be denoted by  $d(G)$ . If  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $\alpha \neq d(G)$  then  $\alpha$  will be said to be a proper convergence in  $G$ . A minimal proper convergence in  $G$  is called an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ .

The atoms of  $\text{Conv } G$  of an abelian lattice ordered group will be dealt with. The following results (B), (C) and (D) will be established:

(B) Let  $\alpha$  be an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ . Then the interval  $[d(G), \alpha]$  of  $\text{Conv } G$  is a direct factor of  $\text{Conv } G$ .

(C) Assume that  $\text{Conv } G$  has an atom. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (i)  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element; (ii) each atom of  $\text{Conv } G$  has a pseudocomplement; (iii) there exists an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  which has a pseudocomplement.

(D) Let  $S$  be as above. Then each atom of  $\text{Conv } G$  belongs to  $S$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall basic notions concerning the convergence lattice ordered groups (cf. [11], [14]).

Let  $G$  be a lattice ordered group (shortly:  $l$ -group). Let  $N$  be the set of all positive integers. An element of the direct product  $\prod_{n \in N} G_n$ , where  $G_n = G$  for each  $n \in N$ , will be denoted by  $(g_n)_{n \in N}$  (or, if no misunderstanding can occur, by  $(g_n)$ ). If there is  $g \in G$  such that  $g_n = g$  for each  $n \in N$ , then we denote  $(g_n) = \text{const}(g)$ .  $(g_n)$  is called a sequence in  $G$ . The notion of a subsequence has the usual meaning. A subset  $A$  of the positive cone  $(G^N)^+$  of the  $l$ -group  $G^N$  is said to be  $G$ -normal, if

$$\text{const}(g) + (g_n) - \text{const}(g) \in A \quad \text{whenever } g \in G \text{ and } (g_n) \in A.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a convex  $G$ -normal subsemigroup of  $(G^N)^+$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (I) If  $(g_n) \in \alpha$ , then each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $\alpha$ .
- (II) If  $(g_n)$  is a sequence in  $G^+$  such that each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  has a subsequence belonging to  $\alpha$ , then  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $\alpha$ .
- (III) Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $\text{const}(g)$  belongs to  $\alpha$  if and only if  $g = 0$ .

Under these assumptions  $\alpha$  is said to be a convergence on  $G$ . Let  $\text{Conv } G$  be the system of all convergences on  $G$ ; this system is partially ordered by inclusion.

For  $(g_n) \in G^N$  and  $g \in G$  we put  $g_n \rightarrow_\alpha g$  if and only if  $(|g_n - g|) \in \alpha$ . Then  $G$  with the convergence  $\rightarrow_\alpha$  is a FLUSH-convergence group in the sense of [15], [16] (cf. [11]).

Let  $A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ . We denote by  $\delta A$  the system of all subsequences of sequences belonging to  $A$ . The convex closure in  $G^N$  of the set  $A \cup \{\text{const}(0)\}$  will be denoted by  $[A]$ . Next, let  $\langle A \rangle$  be the  $G$ -normal subsemigroup of  $G^N$  generated by the set  $A$ ; hence  $\langle A \rangle$  is the set of all sequences  $(x_n)$  such that there are sequences  $(y_n^1), (y_n^2), \dots, (y_n^k)$  in  $A$  and elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  of  $G$  with

$$x_n = \sum_{m=1}^k (g_m + y_n^m - g_m) \quad \text{for each } n \in N.$$

Finally, the symbol  $A^*$  will denote the set of all sequences in  $G^+$  for which each subsequence has a subsequence belonging to  $A$ .

For each  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$  put  $T(A) = [\langle \delta A \rangle]^*$ . The set  $A$  will be said to be regular if, whenever  $0 \neq g \in G$ , then  $\text{const}(g) \notin T(A)$ .

**1.1. Theorem** (cf. [11], Theorem 2.2). *Let  $A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ . If  $A$  is regular, then  $T(A)$  is the least element of  $\text{Conv } G$  which contains  $A$  as a subset. In the opposite case there exists no  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $A \subseteq \alpha$ .*

## 2. DIRECT PRODUCTS

Let  $I$  be a nonempty set and for each  $i \in I$  let  $G_i \neq \{0\}$  be an  $l$ -group. Put  $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ . If  $i \in I$  and  $g \in G$ , then the  $i$ -th component of  $g$  will be denoted by  $g(i)$ .

Let  $i$  be a fixed element of  $I$  and let  $h \in G_i$ . If no misunderstanding can occur, then the element  $h$  will be identified with the element  $h'$  of  $G$  such that  $h'(i) = h$  and  $h'(j) = 0$  for each  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ . In this sense  $G_i$  is considered to be a subset of  $G$ .

For  $X \subseteq G^N$  and  $i \in I$  we denote

$$X(i) = \{(x_n(i))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : (x_n) \in X\}.$$

If  $i \in I$  and  $A \subseteq (G_i^N)^+$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $T^{(i)}(A)$  has an analogous meaning as above with the distinction that we take  $G_i$  instead of  $G$ . Then we obviously have

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then  $T^{(i)}(A(i)) = (T(A))(i)$ .*

**2.2. Corollary.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (G^N)^+$ . Assume that  $A$  fails to be regular. Then there is  $i \in I$  such that  $T^{(i)}(A(i))$  is not regular.*

**2.3. Definition.** *For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\emptyset \neq \alpha_i \subseteq (G_i^N)^+$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the set of all elements  $(g_n)$  of  $(G^N)^+$  such that  $(g_n(i)) \in \alpha_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then  $\alpha$  is called the product of the convergences  $\alpha_i$  and we write  $\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ .*

Next, we denote by  $S$  the set of all elements  $\beta \subseteq (G_n)^+$  having the property that there are  $\beta_i \in \text{Conv } G_i$  with  $\beta = \prod_{i \in I} \beta_i$ .

**2.4. Lemma.** *For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\alpha_i \in \text{Conv } G_i$ . Put  $\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ . Then  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ .*

*Proof.* It is a routine to verify that  $\alpha$  is a convex  $G$ -normal subsemigroup of  $(G^N)^+$  and satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and (III).

Let  $d(G)$  be the set of all  $(x_n) \in (G^N)^+$  such that there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x_n = 0$  whenever  $n > n_0$ . It is clear that  $d(G)$  is the least element of  $\text{Conv } G$ . Let  $d(G_i)$  have an analogous meaning (with  $G$  replaced by  $G_i$ ).

Put  $d_0 = \prod_{i \in I} d(G_i)$ . In view of 2.4,  $d_0 \in \text{Conv } G$ . Let us remark that if  $I$  is infinite, then clearly  $d_0 > d(G)$ .

Now let us assume that  $I$  is infinite. Then there exists a system  $\{M_j : j \in J\}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $I$  such that

- (i)  $\text{card } M_j = \text{card } I$  for each  $j \in J$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{card } J = \text{card } I$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the family of all nonempty subsets of the system  $\{M_j : j \in J\}$ . For  $K \in \mathcal{K}$

we denote by  $\alpha(K)$  the set of all elements  $(g_n)$  of  $(G^N)^+$  such that  $(g_n) \in d_0$  and there is  $m \in N$  such that for each  $n > m$  and each  $i \in I \setminus K_0$  we have  $x_n(i) = 0$ , where  $K_0$  is the join of all  $M_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) with  $M_j \in K$ .

**2.5. Lemma.** *For each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $T(\alpha(K))$  belongs to  $\text{Conv } G$ . If  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $K_1 \not\subseteq K_2$ , then  $T(\alpha(K_1)) \not\subseteq T(\alpha(K_2))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\alpha(K) \subseteq d_0$ , hence  $\alpha(K)$  is regular. Thus in view of 1.1 we have  $T(\alpha(K)) \in \text{Conv } G$ .

Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be elements of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $K_1$  fails to be a subset of  $K_2$ . Hence there is  $M_{j(1)} \in K_1 \setminus K_2$ . Next, there are distinct elements  $s(1), s(2), s(3), \dots$  in  $M_{j(1)}$ . For each  $n \in N$ , let  $0 < g_n \in G_{s(n)}$ . Then  $(g_n) \in \alpha(K_1) \subseteq T(\alpha(K_1))$ , but  $(g_n)$  does not belong to  $T(\alpha(K_2))$ . Hence  $T(\alpha(K_1)) \not\subseteq T(\alpha(K_2))$ .

**2.5.1. Corollary.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be distinct elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $T(\alpha(K_1)) \neq T(\alpha(K_2))$ .*

**2.6. Lemma.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $K \neq \{M_j : j \in J\}$ . Then  $T(\alpha(K))$  does not belong to  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $\beta = T(\alpha(K))$ . By way of contradiction, assume that  $\beta$  belongs to  $S$ . Hence there are  $\beta_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) such that

- (i)  $\beta_i \in \text{Conv } G_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , and
- (ii)  $\beta = \prod_{i \in I} \beta_i$ . Because  $\beta_i \supseteq d(G_i)$  is valid for each  $i \in I$ , we obtain  $\beta \supseteq \prod_{i \in I} d(G_i) = d_0 \supseteq T(\alpha(K_1))$  for each  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ , contradicting 2.5.

Since  $\text{card } \mathcal{K} \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ , from 2.5.1 and 2.6 we obtain:

**2.7. Theorem.** *Let  $I$  be an infinite set and for each  $i \in I$  let  $G_i$  be a nonzero  $l$ -group. Let  $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ . Then  $\text{card}(\text{Conv } G \setminus S) \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

### 3. MAXIMAL ELEMENTS

As above, let  $G$  be an  $l$ -group. We denote by  $M(G)$  the set of all maximal elements of  $\text{Conv } G$ . We apply Axiom of Choice; then in view of Zorn Lemma, the set  $M(G)$  is nonempty.

**3.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\alpha \in M(G)$ ;
- (ii) if  $(g_n)$  is a sequence in  $G^+$  with  $(g_n) \notin \alpha$ , then the set  $\alpha \cup \{(g_n)\}$  fails to be regular;
- (iii) if  $(g_n)$  is a sequence in  $G^+$  with  $(g_n) \notin \alpha$ , then there are  $(h_n^1), (h_n^2), \dots, (h_n^k) \in \alpha \cup \delta(g_n)$  and elements  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in G$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $g > 0$  such that for each  $n \in N$  the relation  $\sum_{j=1}^k (t_j + h_n^j - t_j) \geq g$  is valid.

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of 1.1.

In particular, for the abelian case we obtain:

**3.2. Corollary.** Let  $G$  be an abelian  $l$ -group and let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\alpha \in M(G)$ ;
- (ii) if  $(g_n)$  is a sequence in  $G^+$  with  $(g_n) \notin \alpha$ , then there are  $(g_n^1), (g_n^2), \dots, (g_n^k) \in \delta\{(g_n)\}, (h_n) \in \alpha$  and  $0 < g \in G$  such that for each  $n \in N$  the relation  $g_n^1 + g_n^2 + \dots + g_n^k + h_n \geq g$  is valid.

**3.3. Theorem.** Let  $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ , where  $G_i \neq \{0\}$  for each  $i \in I$ . Let  $\alpha_i \in M(G_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ . Then  $\alpha \in M(G)$ .

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume that there is  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ . Thus there exists  $(g_n) \in \beta \setminus \alpha$ . Therefore there exists  $j \in I$  such that

$$(1) \quad (g_n(j)) \notin \alpha_j.$$

We have  $0 \leq g_n(i) \leq g_n$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $i \in I$ , hence  $(g_n(i)) \in \beta$ . Also, if  $i \in I$ , then  $\alpha_i \leq \alpha < \beta$ , whence  $\alpha_i \cup \{(g_n)\} \subseteq \beta$ . Thus  $\alpha_i \cup \{(g_n)\}$  is a regular subset of  $(G^N)^+$ . Therefore in view of 2.1,  $\alpha_i \cup \{(g_n(i))\}$  is a regular subset of  $(G_i^N)^+$ . Hence there is  $\gamma \in \text{Conv } G_j$  with  $\alpha_j \cup \{(g_n(j))\} \subseteq \gamma$ . Then according to (1) we have  $\alpha_j \subset \gamma$ . Since  $\alpha_j \in M(G_j)$ , we have arrived at a contradiction.

**3.4. Theorem.** Let  $G$  be as in 3.3. Let  $\alpha \in M(G)$ . Then  $\alpha(i) \in M(G_i)$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \alpha(i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in I$ . We obviously have  $\alpha(i) \in \text{Conv } G_i$ . By way of contradiction, assume that  $\alpha(i)$  does not belong to  $M(G_i)$ . Hence there is  $\alpha' \in M(G_i)$  with  $\alpha(i) < \alpha'$ . Put  $\beta_i = \alpha'$  and  $\beta_j = \alpha(j)$  for each  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ . Let  $\beta = \prod_{i \in I} \beta_i$ . According to 2.4 we have  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G$  and clearly  $\alpha < \beta$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\alpha(i) \in M(G_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ .

Next, we have  $\alpha \leq \prod_{i \in I} \alpha(i)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is maximal, the relation  $\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} \alpha(i)$  must be valid.

In view of 3.3 and 3.4 we infer that (A) holds.

#### 4. ATOMS IN $\text{Conv } G$

In this section the abelian  $l$ -groups will be investigated. The assertions (B), (C) and (D) formulated above will be proved.

Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -group. If  $X$  is a sequence in  $G$ , then its  $n$ -th member will be denoted by  $X(n)$ . For a subset  $C$  of  $G$  we denote  $C^\perp = \{g \in G: |g| \wedge |c| = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\}$ .

**4.1. Lemma.** Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -group,  $C$  its convex non-trivial linearly ordered  $l$ -subgroup, and let  $H$  be an  $l$ -subgroup generated by  $C \vee C^\perp$ . If  $\gamma \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $X \in \gamma$ , then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $X(n) \in H$  for each  $n \geq m$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C \neq \{0\}$ , there exists  $c_1 \in C$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ . The set  $\{n \in N: X(n) \geq c_1\}$  is finite: if not, there is a subsequence  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $Y(n) \geq c_1$  for each  $n \in N$ .

Because  $Y \in \gamma$ , we have  $\text{const}(c_1) \in \gamma$ . Therefore  $c_1 = 0$ , which contradicts our assumption.

Thus there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $X(n) \not\leq c_1$  for each  $n \geq m$ . Take  $n \in N$ ,  $n \geq m$ . Then  $X(n) \not\leq c_1$  and we shall show that  $X(n) \in H$ . Clearly,  $X(n) = X(n) - (X(n) \wedge c_1) + (X(n) \wedge c_1)$  and  $X(n) \wedge c_1 \in C$ . Denote  $b = X(n) - (X(n) \wedge c_1)$ ,

$$c_2 = c_1 - (X(n) \wedge c_1).$$

It is easy to see that  $b \geq 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $b \wedge c_2 = 0$  and  $c_2 \in C$ . For completing the proof it suffices to verify that  $b \in C^\perp$ . Let  $c$  be an element of  $C^+$ . Then  $b \wedge c$  is an element of  $C$  and thus it is comparable with  $c_2$ . However, if  $b \wedge c \geq c_2$ , then  $b \geq b \wedge c \geq c_2$  and then  $0 = b \wedge c_2 = c_2 > 0$ , a contradiction. So we have  $b \wedge c < c_2$  and then  $b \wedge c \leq b \wedge c_2 = 0$ . Hence for each  $c \in C^+$  the relation  $b \wedge c = 0$  is valid, i.e.,  $b \in C^\perp$ .

**4.2. Remark.** Let  $H$  be a convex  $l$ -subgroup of an abelian  $l$ -group  $G$ . For each  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  we denote by  $\varphi_H(\alpha)$  the set  $\alpha \cap (H^N)^+$  and for each  $\beta \in \text{Conv } H$  we denote by  $\psi_G(\beta)$  the set of all  $X \in (G^N)^+$  such that there are  $T \in \beta$  and  $m \in N$  with  $T(m+n)_{n \in N} \in \beta$ . In [13], it was shown (cf. Lemma 5.1) that

(i) if  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ , then  $\varphi_H(\alpha) \in \text{Conv } H$  and  $\psi_G(\varphi_H(\alpha)) \subseteq \alpha$ , and

(ii) if  $\beta \in \text{Conv } H$ , then  $\psi_G(\beta) \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $\varphi_H(\psi_G(\beta)) = \beta$ .

Let  $H$  be a convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $C \vee C^\perp$ , where  $C$  is a nontrivial convex linearly ordered subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\varphi_H, \psi_G$  be as above. In this case, the assertion (i) can be improved in the following way:

(i') if  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ , then  $\varphi_H(\alpha) \in \text{Conv } H$  and  $\psi_G(\varphi_H(\alpha)) = \alpha$ . In fact, if  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $X \in \alpha$ , by Lemma 4.1 there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $X(n) \in H$  for each  $n \in N$ ,  $n \geq m$ ; i.e.,  $X(m+n)_{n \in N} \in \varphi_H(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $X \in \psi_G(\varphi_H(\alpha))$ .

**4.3. Lemma.** Let  $H$  be a convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $C \vee C^\perp$ , where  $C$  is a nontrivial convex linearly ordered subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\varphi_H, \psi_G$  be as above. If  $\gamma \in \text{Conv } G$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Conv } H$  such that  $\gamma \subseteq \psi_G(\beta)$ , then  $\psi_G(\varphi_H(\gamma)) = \gamma$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**4.4. Corollary.** Let  $G$  be an abelian  $l$ -group containing a non-trivial convex linearly ordered  $l$ -subgroup  $C$ . Let  $H$  be a convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $C \vee C^\perp$ . Then  $\varphi_H$  and  $\psi_G$  are mutually inverse isomorphisms of partially ordered sets  $\text{Conv } G$  and  $\text{Conv } H$ .

Let  $\zeta$  be an isomorphism of a partially ordered set  $P$  onto a direct product  $A' \times B'$ . Assume that  $0_P, 0_{A'}, 0_{B'}$  are the least elements of  $P, A', B'$ . Denote  $A = \zeta^{-1}\{(a, 0_{B'}) : a \in A'\}$  and let  $B$  be defined analogously. Then  $A$  and  $B$  are convex subsets of  $P$  and each element  $p$  of  $P$  can be uniquely represented in the form  $p = p_A \vee p_B$  where  $p_A \in A, p_B \in B$ . Conversely, if this condition is fulfilled then the mapping  $\eta(p) = (a, b)$  is obviously an isomorphism of  $P$  onto  $A \times B$ . Motivated by the above observation we introduce the following definition.

**4.5. Definition.** Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set containing the least element. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be convex subsets of  $P$ . Then  $P$  will be called the direct product of  $A$  and  $B$  if for each  $p \in P$  there exists exactly one pair  $(p_A, p_B)$  of elements of  $P$  such that  $p_A \in A$ ,  $p_B \in B$  and  $p = p_A \vee p_B$ . The sets  $A$  and  $B$  will be called direct factors of  $P$ .

**4.6. Lemma.** Let  $H$  be an abelian  $l$ -group containing a convex linearly ordered  $l$ -subgroup  $C$  such that  $H$  is generated by  $C \vee C^\perp$ . Let  $\beta$  be an atom of  $\text{Conv } H$  such that  $\beta \cap C_N \neq d(C)$ . Then  $\text{Conv } H$  is a direct product of the prime interval  $[d(H), \beta]$  and of the set  $\{\varrho \in \text{Conv } H: \beta \cap \varrho = d(H)\}$ .

Proof. Denote

$$A = [d(H), \beta] \quad \text{and} \\ B = \{\varrho \in \text{Conv } H: \beta \cap \varrho = d(H)\}.$$

Since  $\beta$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } H$ , then  $A$  is a convex subset of  $\text{Conv } H$ . It is easy to verify that  $B$  is convex as well. Take  $\gamma \in \text{Conv } H$  and denote  $\gamma_A = \gamma \cap \beta$  and  $\gamma_B = \bigvee \{\varrho \in \text{Conv } H: \varrho \subseteq \gamma \text{ and } \beta \cap \varrho = d(H)\}$ . We will verify that

- (1)  $\gamma_A \in A$ ,
- (2)  $\gamma_B \in B$ ,
- (3)  $\gamma = \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B$ , and
- (4) if  $\gamma = \varrho_A \vee \varrho_B$  for some  $\varrho_A \in A$ ,  $\varrho_B \in B$ , then  $\varrho_A = \gamma_A$  and  $\varrho_B = \gamma_B$ .

Since  $\beta \cap \gamma \in \text{Conv } H$  (cf. [11], Lemma 2.1) and  $\beta$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } H$ , (1) is true. By [11] (Lemma 2.3),  $\gamma_B \in \text{Conv } H$ . According to Thm. 2.5 (c) of [11], the closed interval  $[d(H), \gamma]$  is a complete Brouwerian lattice, therefore (cf. [1]) the infinite meet-distributive law holds there. Hence  $\beta \cap \gamma_B = d(H)$ , and the assertion (2) holds.

Assume  $X \in \gamma$ . The relations  $\gamma \subseteq (H^+)^N$  and  $H^+ = C^+ \times (C^\perp)^+$  (see [2], Prop. 3.5.8) imply that there exist  $X_A \in C^N$  and  $X_B \in (C^\perp)^N$  such that  $X = X_A + X_B$ . First,  $\text{const}(0) \leq X_A \leq X \in \gamma$ , thus  $X_A \in \gamma$ . In view of [10], Thm. 3.9, we have  $\text{Conv } C = [d(C), \beta \cap C^N]$ . Since  $\gamma \cap C^N \in \text{Conv } C$ , we obtain  $X_A \in \gamma \cap C^N \subseteq \beta \cap C^N \subseteq \beta$ ; finally,  $x_A \in \gamma_A$ . Secondly,  $\text{const}(0) \leq X_B \leq X$ , thus  $X_B \in \gamma$ ; hence the set  $\{X_B\}$  is regular,  $T(\{X_B\}) \in \text{Conv } H$  and  $T(\{X_B\}) \subseteq \gamma$ . Let  $R \in \beta \cap T(\{X_B\})$ .

Then there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $R(n) \in C$  for each  $n \geq m$ . On the other hand, we have  $R(n) \leq X_B^1(n) + X_B^2(n) + \dots + X_B^k(n)$  where  $k \in N$  and  $X_B^1, X_B^2, \dots, X_B^k$  are subsequences of  $X_B$ . Because  $X_B \in (C^\perp)^N$  and  $C^\perp$  is a convex subgroup of  $H$ , we have obtained that  $R(n) \in C^\perp$  for each  $n \in N$ . Hence for  $n \in N$ ,  $n \geq m$  we get  $R(n) \in C \cap C^\perp = \{0\}$ . We have shown that  $R \in d(H)$ , thus  $\beta \cap T(\{X_B\}) = d(H)$  and  $X_B \in T(\{X_B\}) \subseteq \gamma_B$ . In this way the inclusion  $\gamma \subseteq \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B$  holds; the converse inclusion is trivial. Suppose  $\gamma = \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B = \varrho_A \vee \varrho_B$  for some  $\gamma_A, \varrho_A \in A$ ,  $\gamma_B, \varrho_B \in B$ . In the same way as when proving (2), we get  $\varrho_A \cap \gamma_B = \gamma_A \cap \varrho_B = d(H)$ . Since  $\beta \in A$ , we have

$$\gamma_A = \gamma_A \wedge \beta = (\gamma_A \wedge \beta) \vee (\gamma_B \wedge \beta) = (\gamma_A \vee \gamma_B) \wedge \beta = \\ = (\varrho_A \vee \varrho_B) \wedge \beta = (\varrho_A \wedge \beta) \vee (\varrho_B \wedge \beta) = \varrho_A \wedge \beta = \varrho_A$$



and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_B &= \gamma_B \wedge \gamma = \gamma_B \wedge (\varrho_A \vee \varrho_B) = (\gamma_B \wedge \varrho_A) \vee (\gamma_B \wedge \varrho_B) = \\ &= \varrho_B \wedge \gamma_B = (\varrho_B \wedge \gamma_B) \vee (\varrho_B \wedge \gamma_A) = \varrho_B \wedge (\gamma_B \vee \gamma_A) = \varrho_B \wedge \gamma = \varrho_B. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\text{Conv } H$  is a direct product of the sets  $A$  and  $B$ .

**4.7. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian  $l$ -group and let  $\alpha$  be an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ . Then  $\text{Conv } G$  is a direct product of the prime interval  $[d(G), \alpha]$  and of the set  $\{\gamma \in \text{Conv } G: \alpha \cap \gamma = d(G)\}$ .*

*Proof.* By [11] (Thm. 3.6), there exists a convex linearly ordered  $l$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $G$  containing a decreasing sequence belonging to  $\alpha$ . Let  $H$  denote a convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $C \vee C^\perp$ . By Lemma 4.6,  $[d(H), \varphi_H(\alpha)]$  is a direct factor of  $\text{Conv } H$ . Applying the isomorphisms  $\varphi_H$  and  $\psi_G$ , namely their properties (i') and (ii) of Remark 4.2, we obtain that  $\text{Conv } G$  is a direct product of  $[d(G), \alpha]$  and  $\{\gamma \in \text{Conv } G: \alpha \cap \gamma = d(G)\}$ .

**4.8. Definition.** *Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set containing the least element  $p_0$  and let  $p_1 \in P$ . Then an element  $\text{pc}(p_1) \in P$  will be called a pseudocomplement of  $p_1$  if  $\text{pc}(p_1)$  is the greatest element of the set  $\{p \in P: p \wedge p_1 = p_0\}$ .*

**4.9. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian  $l$ -group and let  $\text{Conv } G$  have an atom. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element;
- (ii) each atom of  $\text{Conv } G$  has a pseudocomplement;
- (iii) there exists an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  which has a pseudocomplement.

*Proof.* (i) implies (ii): If we denote by  $\gamma$  the greatest element of  $\text{Conv } G$  and by  $\alpha$  an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ , then by Theorem 4.7 (under the notation as in the proof of 4.6) there exist  $\gamma_A, \gamma_B \in \text{Conv } G$  such that  $\gamma = \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B$  and  $\alpha \cap \gamma_B = d(G)$ . Since  $\gamma$  is the greatest element of  $\text{Conv } G$ , we conclude that  $\gamma_B$  is a pseudocomplement of  $\alpha$ .

In view of the assumption of the theorem, (ii) implies (iii). (iii) implies (i): Let  $\alpha$  be an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ ,  $\text{pc}(\alpha)$  its pseudocomplement and  $\beta$  an arbitrary element of  $\text{Conv } G$ . Let us again apply the notation introduced in the proof of 4.6. According to Theorem 4.7, there exist  $\beta_A \in [d(G), \alpha]$  and  $\beta_B \in \text{Conv } G$  such that  $\beta = \beta_A \vee \beta_B$  and  $\alpha \cap \beta_B = d(G)$ . Since  $\beta_A \subseteq \alpha$  and  $\beta_B \subseteq \text{pc}(\alpha)$ , we have  $\beta \subseteq \alpha \vee \text{pc}(\alpha)$ . Thus  $\alpha \vee \text{pc}(\alpha)$  is the greatest element of  $\text{Conv } G$ .

From the results of [10] (Sections 4, 5) we get the following lemma (for the definition of the lex-sum of linearly ordered groups see [3]).

**4.10. Lemma.** *Let  $G_i$  be an abelian linearly ordered group for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . If  $G$  is a lexico-sum of  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ , then the partially ordered set  $\text{Conv } G$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the partially ordered sets  $\text{Conv } G_1, \text{Conv } G_2, \dots, \text{Conv } G_m$ .*

**4.11. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian  $l$ -group which contains  $m$  strictly positive*

pairwise disjoint elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  but not  $m + 1$  such elements. Let  $k$  be the number of such  $g_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , for which the  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $g_i$  contains a strictly decreasing sequence  $X$  with  $\inf \{X(n) : n \in N\} = 0$ . Then  $\text{Conv } G$  is a Boolean algebra isomorphic to  $2^k$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G_i$  be an  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $g_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Since every  $G_i$  is linearly ordered, according to [10] (Theorem 3.9) we have  $\text{card}(\text{Conv } G_i) \in \{1, 2\}$ . By [3] (Theorem, p. 2.47), [10] (Corollary 3.10) and the assumption, there exist exactly  $k$  groups  $G_{i(1)}, G_{i(2)}, \dots, G_{i(k)}$  such that  $\text{Conv } G_j \simeq 2$  for each  $j \in \{i(1), i(2), \dots, i(k)\}$ . The other  $G_i$ 's possess only the discrete convergence. By Lemma 4.10,  $\text{Conv } G$  is isomorphic to the direct product of  $\text{Conv } G_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Thus  $\text{Conv } G \simeq 2^k$ .

In 4.12 and 4.13 we assume that  $I$  is a non-empty set of indices and  $G(i)$  is an abelian  $l$ -group for each  $i \in I$ . Denote by  $G$  the direct product of  $G(i)$ . Since no misunderstanding can occur, we again identify the elements of  $G$  which have only one non-zero component with their projections on the corresponding factor (like in Section 2),  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are as in 4.2.

**4.12. Theorem.**  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$  if and only if there exist  $i \in I$  and an atom  $\beta$  of  $\text{Conv } G(i)$  such that  $\alpha = \psi_G(\beta)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ , then by [11] (Thm. 3.6) there exist  $C \subseteq G$  and  $X \in C^N$  such that  $C$  is a convex linearly ordered  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $X$  is a strictly decreasing sequence. Since  $C$  is a convex linearly ordered subset of  $G$ , there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $C \subseteq G(i)$ . Denote  $\beta = \varphi_{G(i)}(\alpha)$ . By [13],  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G(i)$ ,  $\psi_G(\beta) \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $\psi_G(\beta) = \psi_G(\varphi_{G(i)}(\alpha)) \subseteq \alpha$ . Because  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$  and  $X \in \psi_G(\beta) \setminus d(G)$ , we have obtained that  $\psi_G(\beta) = \alpha$ . To show that  $\beta$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G(i)$ , let  $\gamma$  be an element of  $\text{Conv } G(i)$  such that  $d(G(i)) \subseteq \gamma \subseteq \beta$ . Then by [10] (Thm. 3.9),  $d(G) = \psi_G(d(G(i))) \subseteq \psi_G(\gamma) \subseteq \psi_G(\beta) = \alpha$ . According to the assumption,  $\alpha$  is an atom and therefore either  $\psi_G(\gamma) = d(G)$  or  $\psi_G(\gamma) = \alpha$ .

Thus  $\gamma = \varphi_{G(i)}(\psi_G(\gamma)) = d(G(i))$  or  $\gamma = \varphi_{G(i)}(\psi_G(\gamma)) = \varphi_{G(i)}(\alpha)$ .

Conversely, let  $\beta$  be an atom of  $\text{Conv } G(i)$  and let  $\alpha = \psi_G(\beta)$ . In view of [13] (Lemma 5.1),  $\alpha \in \text{Conv } G$ . If  $d(G) \subseteq \gamma \subseteq \alpha$  for some  $\gamma \in \text{Conv } G$ , then  $d(G(i)) = \varphi_{G(i)}(d(G)) \subseteq \varphi_{G(i)}(\gamma) \subseteq \varphi_{G(i)}(\alpha) = \varphi_{G(i)}(\psi_G(\beta)) = \beta$ . Thus  $\varphi_{G(i)}(\beta) = d(G(i))$  or  $\varphi_{G(i)}(\gamma) = \beta$ . By Lemma 4.3,  $\gamma = d(G)$  or  $\gamma = \alpha$ .

**4.13. Corollary.** If  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$ , then  $\alpha \in S$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.12,  $\alpha = \psi_G(\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in \text{Conv } G(i)$ . In order to get  $\alpha$  as a product convergence, it suffices to take  $\beta$  and all  $d(G(j))$  for  $j \in I$ ,  $j \neq i$ .

#### References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: Lattice theory. Third edition, Providence, 1967.
- [2] A. Bigard, K. Keimel, S. Wolfenstein: Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés. Lecture Notes in Math., No. 608, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.

- [3] *P. F. Conrad*: Lattice-ordered groups. Tulane University, 1970.
- [4] *D. Dikranjan, R. Frič, F. Zanolin*: On convergence groups with dense coarse subgroups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 37, 1987, 471–479.
- [5] *D. Doitchinov*: Produits de groupes topologiques minimaux. Bull. Sci. Math. 97, 1972, 59–64.
- [6] *C. J. Everett, S. Ulam*: On ordered groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 57, 1945, 208–216.
- [7] *R. Frič*: Products of coarse convergence groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 38, 1988, 285–290.
- [8] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergences on abelian lattice-ordered groups. Convergence structures 1984. Mathematical Research, Band 24, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, 153–158.
- [9] *M. Harminc*: Convergences on lattice ordered groups. Dissertation, Math. Inst. Acad. Sci., Bratislava, 1986. (In Slovak.)
- [10] *M. Harminc*: Cardinality of the system of all sequential convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group. Czechoslovak Math. J. 37, 1987, 533–546.
- [11] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 39, 1989, 232–238.
- [12] *J. Jakubík*: On summability in convergence  $I$ -groups. Čas. pěst. mat. 113, 1988, 286–292.
- [13] *J. Jakubík*: Lattice ordered groups having a largest convergence. Czechoslov. Math. J. 39, 1989, 717–729.
- [14] *J. Jakubík*: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slovaca 38, 1988, 269–272.
- [15] *P. Mikusiński*: Problems posed at the conference. Proc. Conf. on Convergence, Szczyrk 1979, Katowice 1980, 110–112.
- [16] *E. Pap*: Funkcionalna analiza, nizovne konvergenciji, neki principi funkcionalne analize. Novi Sad, 1982.
- [17] *F. Papangelou*: Order convergence and topological completion of commutative lattice-groups. Math. Ann. 155, 1964, 81–107.

*Authors' address*: 040 01 Košice, Ždanovova 6, Czechoslovakia (Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko).