

Ján Jakubík

On some types of kernels of a convergence l -group

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 39 (1989), No. 2, 239–247

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102299>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON SOME TYPES OF KERNELS OF A CONVERGENCE l -GROUP

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received January 30, 1987)

In the paper [9], two types of kernels in lattice ordered groups which were defined by means of properties of sequences were investigated.

In the present paper the notion of a convergence lattice ordered group (or, shorter, a convergence l -group) is applied in the same sense as in [7]. This notion was studied also in [5], [6], [8], [10] and [11]. Particular cases of convergence l -groups were dealt with in [3] and [20].

Let G be a convergence l -group. Assume that p is a condition concerning convex l -subgroups of G . A convex l -subgroup H of G is said to be a p -kernel of G if H is the largest element of the system consisting of those convex l -subgroups of G which satisfy the condition p . If p is given, then the question arises whether the p -kernel exists.

The existence of some types of p -kernels will be investigated below. All these kernels are related to properties of sequences which were dealt with in the literature on convergence structures (for a more detailed notation, cf. below).

As an illustration, let us mention the following result. Let G be a lattice ordered group and let $c(G)$ be the system of all convex l -subgroups of G . Each element H of $c(G)$ is viewed as a convergence l -group with respect to the o -convergence. H will be said to *satisfy the condition (M)* if, whenever (x_n) is a sequence in H which o -converges to 0, then there exists a sequence (k_n) of positive integers such that $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $k_n x_n \rightarrow_0 0$. It will be proved below that in each lattice ordered group G the M -kernel does exist.

The condition (M) was dealt with by several authors; e.g., it was applied for defining the notion of regular vector lattices (cf. B. Z. Vulich [23], W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen [15]).

1. PRELIMINARIES

The standard notions and notation for lattice ordered groups will be used (cf., e.g., [2] and [13]). The group operation in a lattice ordered group will be written additively.

Let N be the set of all positive integers. The direct product $\prod_{n \in N} G_n$, where $G_n = G$

for each $n \in N$, will be denoted by G^N . The elements of G^N are written as $(g_n)_{n \in N}$, or simply (g_n) . (Instead of n , the symbols i, j or k are sometimes used.) If there exists $g \in G$ such that $g_n = g$ for each $n \in N$, then we write $(g_n) = \text{const } g$.

(g_n) is said to be a *sequence in G* . The notion of a subsequence has the usual meaning.

We recall the notion of the convergence l -group (cf. [8], Definition 1.4, Lemma 1.9 and Theorem 1.10; cf. also [7] and [10]).

A subset α of $(G^N)^+$ will be said to be G -normal if for each $g \in G$ the relation

$$-\text{const } g + \alpha + \text{const } g \subseteq \alpha$$

is valid.

Let α be a convex G -normal subsemigroup of the semigroup $(G^N)^+$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (I) If $(g_n) \in \alpha$, then each subsequence of (g_n) belongs to α .
- (II) Let $(g_n) \in (G^N)^+$. If each subsequence of (g_n) has a subsequence belonging to α , then (g_n) belongs to α .
- (III) Let $g \in G$. Then $\text{const } g$ belongs to α if and only if $g = 0$.

Under these assumptions α is said to be a *convergence in G* . The pair $(G; \alpha)$ is called a *convergence l -group*. If no misunderstanding can occur, then we often write G instead of $(G; \alpha)$.

For $(g_n) \in G^N$ and $g \in G$ we put $g_n \rightarrow_\alpha g$ if and only if $(|g_n - g|) \in \alpha$. If the convergence α is fixed, then we often write $g_n \rightarrow g$ instead of $g_n \rightarrow_\alpha g$.

In view of Theorem 1.10, [8], a convergence group is a FLUSH convergence structure (for this notion cf., e.g., the monograph [19]).

Let X be a nonempty set and let $\beta \neq \emptyset$ be a subset of $X^N \times X$. The set β will be said to be a *convergence structure on X* . If $((x_n), x) \in \beta$, then we write $x_n \rightarrow x$. Hence, under the above notation, the set

$$\alpha_0 = \{((g_n), g): g_n \rightarrow_\alpha g\}$$

is a convergence structure on G .

If A is a nonempty subset of G , then we always consider it to be equipped with the convergence structure $(A^N \times A) \cap \alpha_0$.

A set equipped with a convergence structure will be called a *convergence space*.

A condition p concerning convergence l -groups will be called *trivial* if each convergence l -group satisfies the condition p .

2. THE DIAGONAL CONDITIONS (P), (SD) AND (PSD)

Let X be a nonempty set equipped with a convergence structure. For each $i \in N$ let S_i be a sequence of elements of X ; we denote $S_i = (x_{ij})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). Let $j(1), j(2), j(3), \dots$ be positive integers, $j(1) < j(2) < j(3) < \dots$. Then the sequence

$$(1) \quad (x_{i, j(i)}) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

is said to be a diagonal sequence of the system $S = \{S_i\}$ ($i \in N$). A subsequence of the sequence (1) is called a *diagonal subsequence of the system S*.

Let $V = (v_i)$ be a sequence in X and let $v \in X$, $v_i \rightarrow v$. If for each $i \in I$ the relation $x_{ij} \rightarrow v_i$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is valid, then (S, V, v) is said to be an *s-system* (cf. [4]).

Consider the following conditions for X :

(D) For each *s-system* (S, V, v) there exists a diagonal sequence of S converging to v .

(SD) For each *s-system* (S, V, v) there exists a diagonal subsequence of S converging to v .

(PSD) For each *s-system* (S, V, v) with $v_i = v$ for each $i \in N$ there exists a diagonal subsequence of S converging to v .

These conditions were investigated, e.g., in [12], [14] (the condition (D)), [18] (the condition (SD)), and [17] (the condition (PSD)).

2.1. Lemma. *All the conditions (D), (SD) and (PSD) are nontrivial for abelian convergence l-groups.*

Proof. Clearly $(D) \Rightarrow (SD) \Rightarrow (PSD)$. Hence it suffices to construct an abelian convergence *l-group* G which does not satisfy the condition (PSD).

Let R be the additive group of all reals with the natural linear order. For all $m, n \in N$ let $G_{mn} = R$ and let $G = \prod_{(m,n) \in N \times N} G_{mn}$. We denote by α the set of all sequences f_k in G which have the following properties:

- (i) for each $(m, n) \in N \times N$, $0 \leq f_k(m, n) \rightarrow 0$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) in R (with respect to the usual topology of R);
- (ii) there exist $k_0, m_0 \in N$ such that $f_k(m, n) = 0$ for each $m > m_0$, $k > k_0$ and for each $n \in N$.

It is obvious that α satisfies the conditions (I), (II) and (III) from Section 1. Hence α is a convergence on the lattice ordered group G .

For each $i, j \in N$ let $f_{ij} \in G$ be such that $f_{ij}(m, n) = 1/j$ if $i = m$, and $f_{ij}(m, n) = 0$ otherwise. Let $V = \text{const } 0$, $v = 0$. Put

$$S = \{(f_{ij})_{j=1,2,3,\dots}\}_{i=1,2,3,\dots}$$

Then (S, V, v) is an *s-system* such that $v_i = v$ for each $i \in N$. No diagonal subsequence of S satisfies (ii), hence no such subsequence converges to v . Thus G does not satisfy the condition (PSD).

Let G be a convergence *l-group* and let $x, y \in G$. We put

$$x \varrho_D y$$

if the interval $[x \wedge y, x \vee y]$ of G satisfies the condition (D).

2.2. Theorem. *Let G be a convergence l-group. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ϱ_D is a congruence relation of the lattice ordered group G .
- (ii) If $a, b, c \in G$, $a \leq b \leq c$, $a \varrho_D b$ and $b \varrho_D c$, then $a \varrho_D c$.

For proving 2.2 we need some lemmas. Let $x, y \in G$ be such that $x \varrho_D y$ is valid. Put $x \wedge y = q, x \vee y = r$. Hence $[q, r]$ satisfies the condition (D).

2.3. Lemma. *Let $z \in G$. Then $z \vee x \varrho_D z \vee y$ and $z \wedge x \varrho_D z \wedge y$.*

Proof. Put $q_1 = q \vee z, r_1 = r \vee z$. We have to verify that the interval $[q_1, r_1]$ satisfies the condition (D).

Let (x_n) be a sequence in $[q_1, r_1]$ and let $x \in [q_1, r_1]$. Denote

$$(2) \quad x'_n = x_n \wedge r, \quad x' = x \wedge r.$$

Then we have

$$(3) \quad x_n = x'_n \vee q_1, \quad x = x' \vee q_1.$$

From (2) and (3) we obtain that

$$(4) \quad x_n \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow x'_n \rightarrow x'$$

is valid. In view of (4), the interval $[q_1, r_1]$ satisfies (D) if and only if the interval $[q_1 \wedge r, r]$ satisfies (D). Since $[q_1 \wedge r, r] \subseteq [q, r]$, the condition (D) is valid for $[q_1 \wedge r, r]$. Therefore (D) holds for $[q_1, r_1]$ as well. Hence $x \vee z \varrho_D y \vee z$. The relation $x \wedge z \varrho_D y \wedge z$ can be verified dually.

2.4. Lemma. *Let $z \in G$. Then $z + x \varrho_D z + y$ and $x + z \varrho_D y + z$.*

Proof. Put $u_1 = z + q, v_1 = z + r$. Because of $(z + x) \wedge (z + y) = u_1$ and $(z + x) \vee (z + y) = v_1$, we have to verify that $[u_1, v_1]$ satisfies (D). Let (x_n) be a sequence in $[u_1, v_1]$ and let $x \in [u_1, v_1]$. Denote $x'_n = -z + x_n, x' = -z + x$. Then (4) holds and hence the interval $[u_1, v_1]$ satisfies (D). Thus $z + x \varrho_D z + y$. Similarly we verify that $x + z \varrho_D y + z$.

2.5. Lemma. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ϱ_D is an equivalence relation on G .
- (ii) If $a, b, c \in G, a \leq b \leq c, a \varrho_D b$ and $b \varrho_D c$, then $a \varrho_D c$.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. Assume that (ii) is valid. The relation ϱ_D is reflexive and symmetric; it remains to verify that it is transitive.

Let $x, y, z \in G$ such that $x \varrho_D y$ and $y \varrho_D z$. Denote $q_1 = x \vee y, q_2 = y \vee z, t_1 = q_1 \wedge q_2, t_2 = q_1 \vee q_2$. We have $t_1 \varrho_D q_2$, because $t_1, q_2 \in [y \wedge z, y \vee z]$. Hence the relations

$$t_2 = q_2 - t_1 + q_1, \quad q_1 = q_2 - q_2 + q_1$$

and 2.4 imply that $q_1 \varrho_D t_2$ is valid. We have also $x \varrho_D q_1$, thus in view of (ii) we get $x \varrho_D t_2$. Moreover, $x \vee z \in [x, t_2]$ and thus $x \varrho_D x \vee z$. In a dual way we can verify that $x \varrho_D x \wedge z$ holds. By applying (ii) again we infer that $x \wedge z \varrho_D x \vee z$ is valid. Therefore $x \varrho_D z$.

From 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 we obtain that 2.2 is valid. If the relations ϱ_{SD} and ϱ_{PSD} are defined analogously to ϱ_D , then the same method can be used.

2.6. Proposition. *Let G be a convergence l -group. Let $\varrho \in \{\varrho_{SD}, \varrho_{PSD}\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ϱ is a congruence relation of the lattice ordered group G .
- (ii) If a, b, c are elements of G such that $a \leq b \leq c$ and $a \varrho b, b \varrho c$, then $a \varrho c$.

A convex l -subgroup H of G will be said to have the property $p(D)$ if each interval of H satisfies the condition (D) . The properties $p(SD)$ and $p(PSD)$ are defined analogously. For each equivalence relation ϱ on G and $x \in G$ we denote $[x]_{\varrho} = \{t \in G: t \varrho x\}$.

By applying the notion of the p -kernel as defined in the introduction, we infer from 2.2 and 2.6:

2.7. Theorem. *Let G be a convergence l -group and let $\varrho \in \{\varrho_D, \varrho_{SD}, \varrho_{PSD}\}$. Then the $p(\varrho)$ -kernel in G exists if and only if the condition (ii) from 2.6 is satisfied. If this condition holds, then $[0]_{\varrho}$ is the $p(\varrho)$ -kernel of G .*

2.8. Open questions:

(2.8.1) *Let $\varrho \in \{\varrho_D, \varrho_{SD}, \varrho_{PSD}\}$. Does the condition (ii) from 2.6 hold for each convergence l -group?*

(2.8.2) *Let $X \in \{D, SD, PSD\}$. Does the X -kernel exist for each convergence l -group?*

3. THE DIAGONAL CONDITIONS (Y) AND (P)

Again, let X be a nonempty set equipped with a convergence structure and let (S, V, v) be an s -system in X .

Let $f = (x_{i,j(i)})$ and $g = (x_{i,j_i(i)})$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) be diagonal sequences of the system S such that for each $i \in N$ we have $j(i) \leq j_i(i)$; then we write $f \leq g$. If, moreover, $f \neq g$, then we put $f < g$.

We consider the following condition:

(Y) For each s -system (S, V, v) there exists a diagonal f of S such that each diagonal g with $f < g$ converges to v .

The relation between the conditions (D) and (Y) was investigated in the papers [1], [4], [14] (this investigation was inspired by a question proposed in [12]).

3.1. Lemma. *The condition (Y) is nontrivial for abelian convergence l -groups.*

Proof. This follows from 2.1 since $(Y) \Rightarrow (D)$.

Let G be a convergence l -group. For $x, y \in G$ we put $x \varrho_Y y$ if the interval $[x \wedge y, x \vee y]$ satisfies the condition (Y) .

3.2. Lemma. *Let a, b, c be elements of G such that $a \leq b \leq c$ and $a \varrho_Y b, b \varrho_Y c$. Then $a \varrho_Y c$.*

Proof. We shall apply the following notation. If f is a sequence in $[a, c]$, $f = (x_n)$, then we put $\varphi_1(f) = (x_n \wedge b)$ and $\varphi_2(f) = (x_n \vee b)$. Hence $\varphi_1(f)$ is a sequence in $[a, b]$ and $\varphi_2(f)$ is a sequence in $[b, c]$. Conversely, let $g = (x'_n)$ be a sequence

in $[a, b]$ and let $h = (x_n'')$ be a sequence in $[b, c]$. We put $\psi(g, h) = (y_n)$, where $y_n = x_n' - b + x_n''$; thus $\psi(g, h)$ is a sequence in $[a, c]$. If f converges to an element x in G , then $x \in [a, c]$; moreover, $\varphi_1(f)$ converges to $x \wedge b$ and $\varphi_2(f)$ converges to $x \vee b$. Next, if g converges to x' and h converges to x'' , then $\psi(g, h)$ converges to $x' - b + x''$.

Let (S, V, v) be an s -system in $[a, c]$ (under the notation as above). Put

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{ij} &= x_{ij} \wedge b, & v'_i &= v_i \wedge b, & V' &= (v'_i), & v' &= v \wedge b, \\ x''_{ij} &= x_{ij} \vee b, & v''_i &= v_i \vee b, & V'' &= (v''_i), & v'' &= v \vee b. \end{aligned}$$

The meaning of S' and S'' is analogous. Then (S', V', v') is an s -system in $[a, b]$ and S'' is an s -system in $[b, c]$. Since $[a, b]$ satisfies the condition (Y), there exists a diagonal

$$g = (x'_{ij_1(i)}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

of S' such that if g' is a diagonal of S' with $g' > g$, then g' converges to v' . Similarly, there exists a diagonal

$$h = (x''_{ij_2(i)}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

of S'' such that if h' is a diagonal of S'' with $h' > h$, then h' converges to v'' .

Let $(j_3(i))$ be a sequence of integers such that $j_3(1) < j_3(2) < j_3(3) < \dots$, $j_1(i) \leq j_3(i)$ and $j_2(i) \leq j_3(i)$ for each $i \in N$. Put

$$f = (x_{i,j_3(i)}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and let f' be a diagonal of S with $f' > f$. Then we have

$$x'_{i,j_3(i)} \rightarrow v', \quad x''_{i,j_3(i)} \rightarrow v'',$$

whence

$$x_{i,j_3(i)} = x'_{i,j_3(i)} - b + x''_{i,j_3(i)} \rightarrow v' - b + v'' = v.$$

Therefore $[a, c]$ satisfies (Y) and hence $a \varrho_Y c$.

Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 remain valid if (D) is replaced by (Y). Hence in view of 2.5 and 3.2 we obtain

3.3. Theorem. *Let G be a convergence l -group. Then ϱ_Y is a convergence relation on G .*

A convex l -subgroup H of G is said to have the property $p(Y)$ if each interval of H satisfies the condition (Y).

3.4. Corollary. *Let G be a convergence l -group, $H = [0] \varrho_Y$. Then H is the $p(Y)$ -kernel of G .*

An l -subgroup H_1 of G is called *closed in G* if, whenever K is a subset of H_1 such that $\sup K$ exists in G , then $\sup K$ belongs to H_1 .

The following example shows that the $p(Y)$ -kernel of a convergence l -group G need not be closed in G .

3.5. Example. Let G be as in the proof of 2.1. Let H be the $p(Y)$ -kernel of G .

Then H consists of all elements of G with finite support; hence H fails to be closed in G . Let us remark that H is, at the same time, the $p(\varrho)$ -kernel of G for each $\varrho \in \{D, SD, PSD\}$.

The natural question arises whether the $p(Y)$ -kernel of a convergence l -group G must satisfy the condition (Y). The answer is "No"; it suffices to consider the above example. (Roughly speaking, in this example the $p(Y)$ -kernel H of G is "good" with respect to intervals, but it fails to be "good" as a whole.) The same is valid provided Y is replaced by D, SD or PSD .

Again, let G be a convergence l -group and let us consider the following condition for G :

(P) If $x_{ij} \in G, x_i \in G$ for $i, j \in N$, and

(i) for each $i, x_{ij} \rightarrow x_i$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$),

(ii) for each sequence $(p(i))$ in N ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) we have $x_{i,p(i)} \rightarrow x$,

then $x_i \rightarrow x$.

The condition (P) was introduced in [22].

3.6. Lemma. *The condition (P) is non-trivial for abelian convergence l -groups.*

Proof. Let G be the set of all real functions defined on the set N . The operation $+$ and the partial order on G is defined componentwise. Let α be the set of all sequences (x_n) in G^+ which have the following property: for each $t \in N$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for each $n > n_0$ and each $t_1 < t_0$ the relation $x_n(t_1) = 0$ is valid. Then α is a convergence on G .

Let $i, j \in N$. We put $x_i(t) = 1/i$ for each $t \in N$. Next we set $x_{ij}(t) = 1/i$ if $t = j$, and $x_{ij}(t) = 0$ otherwise. Let $x(t) = 0$ for each $t \in N$. Then the conditions (i) and (ii) from the condition (P) are satisfied, but (x_i) does not converge to x .

For $x, y \in G$ we put $x \varrho_P y$ if the interval $[x \wedge y, x \vee y]$ fulfils the condition (P).

Now 3.2 remains valid if Y is replaced by P . Similarly, 2.3 and 2.4 remain valid if D is replaced by P . Hence we obtain

3.7. Theorem. *Let G be a convergence l -group. Then ϱ_P is a congruence relation of the lattice ordered group G .*

Let $p(P)$ be defined analogously as $p(Y)$.

3.8. Corollary. *Let G be a convergence l -group, $H = [0] \varrho_P$. Then H is the $p(P)$ -kernel of G .*

4. THE CONDITIONS (M_b) AND (M_b^*)

In this section we assume (when not otherwise stated) that G is a vector lattice (a K -lineal in the terminology of Soviet papers (cf., e.g. [23]), or a Riesz space in the terminology of [15]), and that, at the same time, G is a convergence l -group such that whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$ in G and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ in R , then $\lambda_n x_n \rightarrow \lambda x$. Under these conditions G will be said to be a *convergence vector lattice*.

Let us consider the following conditions:

(M) If (x_n) is a sequence in G such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$, then there exists a sequence (λ_n) of reals such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n x_n \rightarrow 0$.

(M*) If (x_n) is a sequence in G such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$, then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n(i)})$ of (x_n) and a sequence (λ_i) of reals such that $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_i x_{n(i)} \rightarrow 0$.

(Cf., e.g., [16], [19], [21] and [23], Chap. VI, § 4 and 5.)

Let (M_b) be the condition which we obtain from (M) if the words “ (x_n) is a sequence in G ” are replaced by “ (x_n) is a bounded sequence in G ”. Let the condition (M_b^*) have an analogous meaning. Clearly $(M_b) \Rightarrow (M_b^*)$.

4.1. Lemma. *Both the conditions (M_b) and (M_b^*) are nontrivial for convergence vector lattices.*

Proof. Cf. the example from [23], p. 178 (concerning the o -convergence).

It is easy to verify that (M_b) is valid for G if and only if the assertion of the condition (M_b) holds whenever $x_n \geq 0$ for each $n \in N$.

4.2. Theorem. *Let G be a convergence vector lattice. Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the system of all convex l -subgroups of G which satisfy the condition (M_b) . Put $H = \bigvee_{i \in I} G_i$. Then H satisfies the condition (M_b) as well.*

Proof. Let (c_n) be a bounded sequence in H such that $c_n \geq 0$ for each $n \in N$ and $c_n \rightarrow 0$. Hence there is $0 < c \in H$ with $c \geq c_n$ for each $n \in N$. There exist $m \in N$, $i(1), i(2), \dots, i(m) \in I$ and $c'_j \in G_{i(j)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), $0 < c'_j$, such that

$$c = c'_1 + c'_2 + \dots + c'_m.$$

Let $n \in N$. In view of $0 \leq c_n \leq c$ there exists elements c_{nj} of G ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) such that

$$c_n = c_{n1} + c_{n2} + \dots + c_{nm}$$

and $0 \leq c_{nj} \leq c'_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and each $n \in N$ we have $c_{nj} \in G_{i(j)}$ and $c_{nj} \rightarrow 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in $G_{i(j)}$.

Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Because $G_{i(j)}$ satisfies the condition (M_b) , there exists a sequence (λ_{jn}) ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) of reals such that $\lambda_{jn} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_{jn} c_{nj} \rightarrow 0$.

Denote $\lambda_n = \min \{\lambda_{jn}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n c_{nj} \rightarrow 0$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus $\lambda_n x_n \rightarrow 0$, which completes the proof.

4.3. Corollary. *Let G be a convergence vector lattice and let H be as in 4.2. Then H is the M_b -kernel of G .*

By a slight modification of the method applied in the proof of 4.2 we obtain

4.4. Theorem. *Let G be a convergence vector lattice. Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the system of all convex l -subgroups of G which satisfy the condition (M_b^*) . Put $H' = \bigvee_{i \in I} G_i$. Then H' is the M_b^* -kernel of G .*

It is easy to verify that if in the condition (M) the words “a sequence (λ_n) of reals” are replaced by “a sequence (λ_n) of positive integers”, then we obtain a condition which is equivalent to (M). In this modified formulation the condition can be applied

to convergence l -groups as well. The same holds with respect to the condition (M^*) . Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 remain valid, if G is any convergence l -group (with the same proofs). Moreover, if G is a convergence l -group with respect to the o -convergence, then (M) coincides with (M_b) and (M^*) coincides with (M_b^*) .

4.5. Open question: Let $X \in \{M, M^*\}$. Does the X -kernel exist for each convergence l -group?

References

- [1] *J. Burzyk*: The example of FLUSHD convergence without Y. Convergence structures, Proc. Conf. Bechyně (1984), Math. Research 24, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [2] *P. Conrad*: Lattice ordered groups, Tulane University, 1970.
- [3] *C. J. Everett, S. Ulam*: On ordered groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 57, 1945, 208—216.
- [4] *R. Frič, P. Vojtáš*: Diagonal conditions in sequential convergence, Convergence structures, Proc. Conf. Bechyně (1984), Math. Research 24, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1985.
- [5] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergence on abelian lattice-ordered groups. Convergence structures 1984. Mathem. Research, Band 24, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 153—158.
- [6] *M. Harminc*: The cardinality of the system of all convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group. Czechoslov. Math. J. 37, 1987, 533—546.
- [7] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups. Czechoslov. Math. J. (submitted).
- [8] *M. Harminc*: Convergences on lattice ordered groups. Dissertation, Math. Inst. Slovak Acad. Sci., 1986. (In Slovak.)
- [9] *J. Jakubík*: Kernels of lattice ordered groups defined by properties of sequences. Čas. pěst. matem. 109, 1984, 290—298.
- [10] *J. Jakubík*: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slovaca 38, 1988, 269—272.
- [11] *J. Jakubík*: On summability in convergence l -groups. Čas. pěst. matem. 113, 1988, 286—292.
- [12] *A. Kamiński*: On characterization of topological convergence, Proc. Conf. on Convergence, Szczyrk (1979), Katowice 1980, 50—70.
- [13] *B. M. Копытов*: Решеточно упорядоченные группы, Москва 1984.
- [14] *P. Kratochvíl*: Sequential convergences generated by neighborhoods and an example of D not Y space. Czechoslov. Math. J. (to appear).
- [15] *W. A. J. Luxemburg, A. C. Zaanen*: Riesz Spaces, Vol. I, Amsterdam 1971.
- [16] *P. Mikusiński, J. Pochcial*: On Mackey convergence. Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Vol. 31 (1983), 151—155.
- [17] *J. Novák*: On convergence groups. Czechoslov. Math. J. 20, 1970, 357—374.
- [18] *J. Novák, L. Mišík*: On L -spaces of continuous functions. Matem. fyz. sborník I, 1951, 1—17. (In Slovak.)
- [19] *E. Pap*: Funkcionalna analiza, nizovne konvergencije, neki principi funkcionalen analize, Novi Sad 1982.
- [20] *F. Papangelou*: Order convergence and topological completion of commutative lattice-groups. Math. Ann. 155, 1964, 81—107.
- [21] *J. Pochcial*: An example of FLUSHK-convergence semigroup without M -property. Proc. Conference of Convergence, Szczyrk 1979, 95—96 (1980).
- [22] *J. Pochcial*: On functional convergences. Rend. Ist. Matem. Univ. Trieste, 17, 1985, 47—54.
- [23] *Б. З. Вулих*: Введение в теорию полупорядоченных пространств, Москва 1961.

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Ždanovova 6, Czechoslovakia (Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko).