

Vítězslav Novák; Miroslav Novotný

Dimension theory for cyclically and cocyclically ordered sets

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 4, 647–653

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101917>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DIMENSION THEORY FOR CYCLICALLY AND COCYCLICALLY ORDERED SETS

VÍTĚZSLAV NOVÁK and MIROSLAV NOVOTNÝ, Brno

(Received March 7, 1983)

In [6], a general dimension theory for algebraic structures is developed. In this note we show that this theory can be applied also to cyclically and cocyclically ordered sets; the dimension of these sets — with a suitable choice of basic classes — coincides with the characteristics w, W, d, D as introduced in [7].

1. K_4 -CLASSES

Here we summarize some necessary concepts from [6].

1.1. Notation. Let $I \neq \emptyset$ be a set, $(G_i; i \in I)$ an indexed family of sets, i.e. a mapping assigning a set G_i to any $i \in I$. We put $\sum_{i \in I} G_i = \{(i, k); i \in I, k \in G_i\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a class; an indexed family $(G_i; i \in I)$ with $G_i \in \mathcal{C}$ is called a *family of elements in \mathcal{C}* . By $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ we denote the class of all families of elements in \mathcal{C} .

1.2. Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be a class, R a correspondence between \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ with the property: $G, H \in \mathcal{C}, (H_i; i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}), G R(H), H R(H_i; i \in I) \Rightarrow G R(H_i; i \in I)$. Then the pair (\mathcal{C}, R) is called a K_1 -class.

1.3. Notation. Let (\mathcal{C}, R) be a K_1 -class, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. We denote $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}, R) = \{G \in \mathcal{C}; \text{there exists } (G_i; i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ with } G R(G_i; i \in I)\}$.

1.4. Definition. Let (\mathcal{C}, R) be a K_1 -class, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. For any $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}, R)$ put $(\mathcal{L}, R) - \dim G = \min \{\text{card } I; \text{there exists } (G_i; i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ with } G R(G_i; i \in I)\}$. The cardinal $(\mathcal{L}, R) - \dim G$ is called the (\mathcal{L}, R) -dimension of G .

1.5. Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be a class, $T: \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a partial mapping with the property: $I = \{i_0\}, G_{i_0} = G \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow (G_i; i \in I) \in \text{dom } T$ and $TG_i = G$. Let \preceq be a (fixed) preorder on \mathcal{C} . Then the triple $(\mathcal{C}, T, \preceq)$ is called a K_2 -class.

1.6. Remark. Any K_2 -class (\mathcal{C}, T, \leq) is a K_1 -class if we define a correspondence $R(T, \leq)$ between \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ by $GR(T, \leq) (G_i; i \in I) \leftrightarrow (G_i; i \in I) \in \text{dom } T$ and $G \leq \prod_{i \in I} TG_i$.

1.7. Definition. Let (\mathcal{C}, T, \leq) be a K_2 -class with the following properties:
 (1) if $I \neq \emptyset$ is a set, $K_i \neq \emptyset$ a set for any $i \in I$, $G_{i,k} \in \mathcal{C}$ for any $(i, k) \in \sum_{i \in I} K_i$ and $(G_{i,k}; (i, k) \in \sum_{i \in I} K_i) \in \text{dom } T$, $(G_{i,k}; k \in K_i) \in \text{dom } T$ for any $i \in I$, $(\prod_{i \in I} TG_{i,k}; i \in I) \in \text{dom } T$, then $\prod_{i \in I} TG_{i,k} = T(\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{k \in K_i} TG_{i,k})$ (the associative rule)
 (2) if $I \neq \emptyset$ is a set, $(G_i; i \in I) \in \text{dom } T$, $(H_i; i \in I) \in \text{dom } T$ and $G_i \leq H_i$ for any $i \in I$, then $\prod_{i \in I} TG_i \leq \prod_{i \in I} TH_i$.

Then (\mathcal{C}, T, \leq) is called a K_3 -class. If (\mathcal{C}, T, \leq) is a K_3 -class and $\text{dom } T = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$, then (\mathcal{C}, T, \leq) is called a K_4 -class.

1.8. Remark. If (\mathcal{C}, T, \leq) is a K_4 -class and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, we write $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}; T, \leq)$ instead of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}, R(T, \leq))$, and $(\mathcal{L}; T, \leq) - \dim G$ instead of $(\mathcal{L}, R(T, \leq)) - \dim G$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}; T, \leq) = \{G \in \mathcal{C}; \text{there exists } (G_i; i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ with } G \leq \prod_{i \in I} TG_i\}$ and $(\mathcal{L}; T, \leq) - \dim G = \min \{\text{card } I; \text{there exists } (G_i; i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ with } G \leq \prod_{i \in I} TG_i\}$ for any $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}; T, \leq)$.

1.9. Notation. Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all pairs (G, C) where G is a set and C a ternary relation on G . Let us put $\bigcup_{i \in I} (G_i, C_i) = (\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i, \bigcup_{i \in I} C_i)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} (G_i, C_i) = (\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i, \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i)$ for any $((G_i, C_i); i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the identity relation $=$ on \mathcal{C} , thus $(G, C) = (H, D)$ means $G = H$, $C = D$.

1.10. Lemma. $(\mathcal{C}, \cup, \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$, $(\mathcal{C}, \cap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$ are K_4 -classes.

Proof. The identity $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a preorder on \mathcal{C} and the properties from 1.5. and 1.7. are simple consequences of the properties of the set-theoretical operations \cup, \cap .

1.11. Notation. Let \mathcal{C} be the same as in 1.9. We put $\mathbf{X}(G_i, C_i) = (\mathbf{X}G_i, \mathbf{X}C_i)$ for any $((G_i, C_i); i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$; here $\mathbf{X}G_i$ denotes the cartesian product of sets and $\mathbf{X}C_i$ the direct (cartesian) product of ternary relations, thus $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{X}C_i$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}G_i$ means $(x(i), y(i), z(i)) \in C_i$ for any $i \in I$. For $(G, C), (H, D) \in \mathcal{C}$ put $(G, C) i (H, D)$ iff there exists an isomorphism of (G, C) into (H, D) .

1.12. Lemma. $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{X}, i)$ is a K_4 -class.

Proof is trivial. i is evidently a preorder on \mathcal{C} and \mathbf{X} has the properties from 1.5. and 1.7.

2. CYCLICALLY AND COCYCLICALLY ORDERED SETS

2.1. Definition. Let G be a set, C a ternary relation on G . C is called a *cyclic order* on G , iff it is:

- (i) asymmetric, i.e. $(x, y, z) \in C \Rightarrow (z, y, x) \notin C$
- (ii) transitive, i.e. $(x, y, z) \in C, (x, z, u) \in C \Rightarrow (x, y, u) \in C$
- (iii) cyclic, i.e. $(x, y, z) \in C \Rightarrow (y, z, x) \in C$.

C is called a *cocyclic order* on G , iff it is cyclic,

- (iv) reflexive, i.e. $x, y, z \in G, \text{card} \{x, y, z\} \leq 2 \Rightarrow (x, y, z) \in C$
- (v) complete, i.e. $x, y, z \in G, x \neq y \neq z \neq x \Rightarrow (x, y, z) \in C$ or $(z, y, x) \in C$

and satisfies the condition

- (vi) $x, y, z, u \in G$, pairwise distinct, $(x, y, z) \in C \Rightarrow (x, y, u) \in C$ or $(x, u, z) \in C$.

If G is a set and C a cyclic (cocyclic) order on G , then the pair (G, C) is called a *cyclically (cocyclically) ordered set*.

If C is a ternary relation on a set G , then we denote by $\text{Co}_G C$ or, briefly, $\text{Co } C$ the complement of C in G^3 , i.e. $\text{Co } C = G^3 - C$.

2.2. Lemma. Let G be a set, C a ternary relation on G . C is a cyclic order on G iff $\text{Co } C$ is a cocyclic order on G .

Proof. [7], Theorem 3.2.

2.3. Lemma. Let $(G, <)$ be an ordered set. Put for any $x, y, z \in G (x, y, z) \in C_<$ iff either $x < y < z$ or $y < z < x$ or $z < x < y$. Then $C_<$ is a cyclic order on G .

Proof. [5], Theorem 3.5.

Let $(G, <)$ be an ordered set. We call $<$ a *linear order in G* , iff there exists a subset $H \subseteq G$ such that $< \subseteq H^2$ and $<$ is a linear order on H .

2.4. Lemma. Let (G, C) be a cyclically ordered set. Then there exists a family $(<_i; i \in I)$ of linear orders in G such that $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_{<_i}$.

Proof. [7], Theorem 1.9. and Corollary 1.10.

2.5. Lemma. Let (G, C) be a cocyclically ordered set. Then there exists a family $(<_i; i \in I)$ of linear orders in G such that $C = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co } C_{<_i}$.

Proof follows from 2.2. and 2.4.; see also [7], Theorem 3.5. and Corollary 3.6.

2.6. Definition. Let (G, C) be a cyclically ordered set. Put $w(G, C) = \min \{ \text{card } I; \text{ there exists a family } (<_i; I \in I) \text{ of orders on } G \text{ such that } C = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_{<_i} \}$, $W(G, C) = \min \{ \text{card } I; \text{ there exists a family } (<_i; i \in I) \text{ of linear orders in } G \text{ such that } C =$

$= \bigcup_{i \in I} C_{<_i}$. The cardinal $w(G, C)$ is called the *width*, the cardinal $W(G, C)$ the *strong width* of (G, C) .

If $w(G, C) = 1$, i.e. $C = C_{<}$ for a suitable order $<$ on G , then we shall say that the cyclic order C is *generated by an order*; if $W(G, C) = 1$, then C is said to be *generated by a linear order*.

2.7. Definition. Let (G, C) be a cocyclically ordered set. Put $d(G, C) = \min \{\text{card } I; \text{ there exists a family } (<_i; i \in I) \text{ of orders on } G \text{ such that } C = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co } C_{<_i}\}$, $D(G, C) = \min \{\text{card } I; \text{ there exists a family } (<_i; i \in I) \text{ of linear orders in } G \text{ such that } C = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co } C_{<_i}\}$.

If $d(G, C) = 1$, then we shall say that C is *generated by an order*; if $D(G, C) = 1$, then C is said to be *generated by a linear order*.

For the properties of characteristics $w(G, C)$, $W(G, C)$, $d(G, C)$, $D(G, C)$ see [7]. Here we recall only the following one:

2.8. Lemma. *Let (G, C) be a cocyclically ordered set. Then $d(G, C) = w(G, \text{Co } C)$, $D(G, C) = W(G, \text{Co } C)$.*

Proof. [7], Theorem 3.8.

3. DIMENSION THEORY

3.1. Notation. We denote by \mathcal{C}_y the class of all cyclically ordered sets, by $\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y$ the class of all cocyclically ordered sets. Further, let ℓ denote the class of all cyclically ordered sets generated by an order, \mathcal{L} the class of all cyclically ordered sets generated by a linear order, $\mathcal{C}_o \ell$ the class of all cocyclically ordered sets generated by an order and $\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{L}$ the class of all cocyclically ordered sets generated by a linear order.

3.2. Theorem. $\mathcal{C}_y(\ell; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset) = \mathcal{C}_y$, $\mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{L}; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset) = \mathcal{C}_y$, $\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{C}_o \ell, \bigcap, \text{id}_\emptyset) = \mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y$, $\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{L}; \bigcap, \text{id}_\emptyset) = \mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y$.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{L}; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_y(\ell; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_y$. On the other hand, if $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C}_y$, then by 2.4. there exists a family $(<_i; i \in I)$ of linear orders in G with $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_{<_i}$. Thus, $(G, C) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (G, C_{<_i})$ and $(G, C_{<_i}) \in \mathcal{L}$ for any $i \in I$, i.e. $((G, C_{<_i}); i \in I) \in \mathcal{L}$. This implies $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{L}; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset)$ and we have shown $\mathcal{C}_y \subseteq \mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{L}; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset)$. The identities $\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{C}_o \ell; \bigcap, \text{id}_\emptyset) = \mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y(\mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{L}; \bigcap, \text{id}_\emptyset) = \mathcal{C}_o \mathcal{C}_y$ follow analogously from 2.5.

3.3. Theorem. *For any $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C}_y$ it holds $(\ell; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset) - \dim(G, C) = w(G, C)$, $(\mathcal{L}; \bigcup, \text{id}_\emptyset) - \dim(G, C) = W(G, C)$.*

Proof. If $w(G, C) = m$, then there exists a family $(\prec_i; i \in I)$ of orders on G with $\bigcup_{i \in I} C_{\prec_i} = C$ and $\text{card } I = m$. Then $((G, C_{\prec_i}); i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\ell)$ and $(G, C) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (G, C_{\prec_i})$ which implies $(\ell; \bigcup, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C) \leq w(G, C)$. On the other hand, there exists a set $J \neq \emptyset$ with $\text{card } J = (\ell; \bigcup, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C)$ and $(G_j, C_j) \in \ell$ for any $j \in J$ such that $(G, C) = (\bigcup_{j \in J} G_j, \bigcup_{j \in J} C_j)$. This implies $C = \bigcup_{j \in J} C_j$. Further, for any $j \in J$, there exists an order \prec_j on G_j such that $C_j = C_{\prec_j}$. Clearly, \prec_j is an order on G , and if we denote by D_j the cyclic order on G generated by \prec_j , then $D_j = C_j$ for any $j \in J$. Thus, $C = \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$ and this implies $w(G, C) \leq (\ell; \bigcup, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C)$. Analogously we can prove $(\mathcal{L}; \bigcup, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C) = W(G, C)$.

3.4. Theorem. For any $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y$ it holds $(\mathcal{C} \circ \ell; \bigcap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C) = d(G, C)$, $(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{L}; \bigcap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C) = D(G, C)$.

Proof. Analogously as in the proof of 3.3. we easily see that $(\mathcal{C} \circ \ell; \bigcap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C) \leq d(G, C)$, $(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{L}; \bigcap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C) \leq D(G, C)$. On the other hand, let $((G_i, C_i); i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C} \circ \ell)$ be such a family that $(G, C) = (\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i, \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i)$ and $\text{card } I = (\mathcal{C} \circ \ell; \bigcap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C)$. Then $C = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$; furthermore, for any $i \in I$, there exists an order \prec_i on G_i such that $C_i = \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{\prec_i}$. Put $D_i = \text{Co}_G C_{\prec_i \cap G^2}$ for any $i \in I$. Clearly, $\prec_i \cap G^2$ is an order on G , hence $C_{\prec_i \cap G^2}$ is a cyclic order on G and D_i is a cocyclic order on G generated by an order, i.e. $(G, D_i) \in \mathcal{C} \circ \ell$ for any $i \in I$. Let $x, y, z \in G$, $(x, y, z) \bar{\in} \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. Then there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $(x, y, z) \bar{\in} D_{i_0}$, i.e. $(x, y, z) \in C_{\prec_{i_0} \cap G^2}$. This implies $x <_{i_0} y$, $y <_{i_0} z$ or $y <_{i_0} z$, $z <_{i_0} x$ or $z <_{i_0} x$, $x <_{i_0} y$. Thus $(x, y, z) \in C_{\prec_{i_0}}$ and, hence, $(x, y, z) \bar{\in} \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{\prec_i}$. We have proved $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{\prec_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. Suppose that $x, y, z \in G$, $(x, y, z) \bar{\in} \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{\prec_i}$. Then $(x, y, z) \in G^3$ and there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $(x, y, z) \in C_{\prec_{i_0}}$. Thus either $x <_{i_0} y$, $y <_{i_0} z$ or $y <_{i_0} z$, $z <_{i_0} x$ or $z <_{i_0} x$, $x <_{i_0} y$. As $x, y, z \in G$, we have $(x, y, z) \in C_{\prec_{i_0} \cap G^2}$ so that $(x, y, z) \bar{\in} \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{\prec_i \cap G^2} = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ and we have proved $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{\prec_i}$. Thus $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{\prec_i}$, which implies $(G, C) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (G, D_i)$ where D_i is a cocyclic order on G generated by an order on G . Hence $d(G, C) \leq (\mathcal{C} \circ \ell; \bigcap, \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) - \dim(G, C)$. For the second assertion the proof is similar.

3.5. Theorem. $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C} \circ \ell; \mathbf{X}, i) = \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y$, $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) = \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y$.

Proof. It suffices to show $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y \subseteq \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i)$, because the inclusion $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C} \circ \ell; \mathbf{X}, i) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y$ is trivial. Let $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}y$. By 2.5. there exists a family $(\prec_i; i \in I)$ of linear orders in G such that $C = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co } C_{\prec_i}$. For any $i \in I$, put $G_i = G$, $C_i = \text{Co}_G C_{\prec_i}$. Then $((G_i, C_i); i \in I) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{L})$. Further-

more, $\prod_{i \in I} (G_i, C_i) = (\prod_{i \in I} G_i, \prod_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{<_i})$. For any $x \in G$ and any $i \in I$, put $f(x)(i) = x$. Thus, f is a mapping of G into $\prod_{i \in I} G_i$; clearly, f is an injection. Let $x, y, z \in G$, $(x, y, z) \in C$. Then $(x, y, z) \in \text{Co}_G C_{<_i}$ for any $i \in I$, i.e. $(f(x)(i), f(y)(i), f(z)(i)) \in C_i$ for any $i \in I$. Hence $(f(x), f(y), f(z)) \in \prod_{i \in I} C_i$. If $(f(x), f(y), f(z)) \in \prod_{i \in I} C_i$, then we have $(x, y, z) = (f(x)(i), f(y)(i), f(z)(i)) \in C_i$ for any $i \in I$, i.e. $(x, y, z) \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{<_i} = C$. We have proved that f is an isomorphism of (G, C) into $\prod_{i \in I} (G_i, C_i)$ so that $(G, C) \in \mathcal{X}(\prod_{i \in I} (G_i, C_i))$ and $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i)$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{C}y \subseteq \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{C}y(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i)$.

3.6. Theorem. For any $(G, C) \in \mathcal{C}o\mathcal{C}y$ it holds $(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) - \dim(G, C) = d(G, C)$, $(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) - \dim(G, C) = D(G, C)$.

Proof. From the proof of 3.5. it follows that $(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) - \dim(G, C) \leq d(G, C)$, $(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) - \dim(G, C) \leq D(G, C)$. On the other hand, let $\{(G_i, C_i); i \in I\} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L})$ be such a family that $\text{card } I = (\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) - \dim(G, C)$ and there is an isomorphism f of (G, C) into $(\prod_{i \in I} G_i, \prod_{i \in I} C_i)$. For any $i \in I$, there exists an order $<_i$ on G_i such that $C_i = \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{<_i}$. For $i \in I$ and $x, y \in G$, put $x <_i y$ iff $f(x)(i) <_i f(y)(i)$. We show that $<_i$ is an order on G . Indeed, if $x \in G$ is arbitrary, then $x <_i x$ is equivalent to $f(x)(i) <_i f(x)(i)$ which never holds. Hence, $<_i$ is irreflexive. If $x, y, z \in G$ and $x <_i y, y <_i z$, then $f(x)(i) <_i f(y)(i), f(y)(i) <_i f(z)(i)$ which implies $f(x)(i) <_i f(z)(i)$, thus $x <_i z$. Hence, $<_i$ is transitive. Further, we prove $C = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{<_i}$. Indeed, suppose $x, y, z \in G$. If $(x, y, z) \in C$, then $(f(x)(i), f(y)(i), f(z)(i)) \in \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{<_i}$ for any $i \in I$. Suppose that there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $(x, y, z) \notin \text{Co}_G C_{<_{i_0}}$. Thus, $(x, y, z) \in C_{<_{i_0}}$ which means either $x <_{i_0} y, y <_{i_0} z$ or $y <_{i_0} z, z <_{i_0} x$ or $z <_{i_0} x, x <_{i_0} y$. By definition of $<_{i_0}$, this means either $f(x)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(y)(i_0), f(y)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(z)(i_0)$ or $f(y)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(z)(i_0), f(z)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(x)(i_0)$ or $f(z)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(x)(i_0), f(x)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(y)(i_0)$. Hence, $(f(x)(i_0), f(y)(i_0), f(z)(i_0)) \in C_{<_{i_0}}$ which is a contradiction for $(f(x)(i_0), f(y)(i_0), f(z)(i_0)) \in \text{Co}_{G_{i_0}} C_{<_{i_0}}$. Thus, $C \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{<_i}$. Assume that there exists $(x, y, z) \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{<_i} - C$. Then $(f(x), f(y), f(z)) \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Co}_{G_i} C_{<_i}$; hence, there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $(f(x)(i_0), f(y)(i_0), f(z)(i_0)) \in C_{<_{i_0}}$. This means either $f(x)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(y)(i_0), f(y)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(z)(i_0)$ or $f(y)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(z)(i_0), f(z)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(x)(i_0)$ or $f(z)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(x)(i_0), f(x)(i_0) <_{i_0} f(y)(i_0)$. By definition of $<_{i_0}$, we obtain either $x <_{i_0} y, y <_{i_0} z$ or $y <_{i_0} z, z <_{i_0} x$ or $z <_{i_0} x, x <_{i_0} y$, i.e. $(x, y, z) \in C_{<_{i_0}}$ which contradicts our hypothesis. Thus, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Co}_G C_{<_i} = C$ and we have proved $d(G, C) \leq \text{card } I = (\mathcal{C}o\mathcal{L}; \mathbf{X}, i) - \dim(G, C)$. If each $<_i$ is a linear order in G_i , then $<_i$ is a linear order in G which proves the second assertion of the theorem.

References

- [1] *G. Birkhoff*: Generalized arithmetic. *Duke Math. Journ.* 9 (1942), 283—302.
- [2] *E. Čech*: Bodové množiny. (Point sets.) Academia Praha, 1966.
- [3] *N. Megiddo*: Partial and complete cyclic orders. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 82 (1976), 274—276.
- [4] *G. Müller*: Lineare und zyklische Ordnung. *Praxis Math.* 16 (1974), 261—269.
- [5] *V. Novák*: Cyclically ordered sets. *Czech. Math. Journ.* 32 (107) (1982), 460—473.
- [6] *V. Novák, M. Novotný*: Abstrakte Dimension von Strukturen. *Ztschr. math. Logik und Grundl. d. Math.* 20 (1974), 207—220.
- [7] *V. Novák, M. Novotný*: On determination of a cyclic order. *Czech. Math. Journ.*, 33 (108) (1983), 555—563.

Addresses of authors: V. Novák, 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, ČSSR (Přírodovědecká fakulta UJEP); M. Novotný, 603 00 Brno, Mendlovo nám. 1, ČSSR (MÚ ČSAV).