

Bernd Stöckert

Remarks on the interpolation of anisotropic spaces of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev type

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 2, 233–244

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101799>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REMARKS ON THE INTERPOLATION OF ANISOTROPIC SPACES OF BESOV-HARDY-SOBOLEV TYPE

BERND STÖCKERT, Jena

(Received February 22, 1980)

This paper deals with the interpolation of anisotropic spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$ of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev type, which are introduced in [11], [9] (cf. also [12]). These spaces generalize the classical anisotropic Besov spaces, Sobolev spaces and Hardy spaces (cf. [2]), as we shall show in Section 3. The fundamental ideas are the same as those used when approaching the interpolation of the corresponding isotropic spaces of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev type, which are due to J. Peetre (cf. [5]) and H. Triebel (cf. [11], [13]).

1. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE SPACES

The definition of the anisotropic spaces of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev type is based on an anisotropic decomposition in the Fourier image of the distributions considered with the aid of decomposition-functions. For these functions we need an anisotropic decomposition of \mathbb{R}^n (Euclidean n -space).

Let $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a fixed n -tuple of positive numbers. Then we subdivide the corridors

$$(1) \quad K_k := \{ \mathbf{x} \mid |x_j| \leq 2^{ka_j}, j = 1, \dots, n \} - \{ \mathbf{x} \mid |x_j| < 2^{(k-1)a_j}, j = 1, \dots, n \}, \\ k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad \mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

in a natural way by the hyperplanes $\{ \mathbf{x} \mid x_j = 0 \}$, $\{ \mathbf{x} \mid x_j = 2^{(k-1)a_j} \}$ and $\{ \mathbf{x} \mid x_j = -2^{(k-1)a_j} \}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, into closed rectangles $P_{k,t}$, $t = 1, \dots, T$ ($T = 4^n - 2^n$). Furthermore, we set

$$K_0 := \{ \mathbf{x} \mid |x_j| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, n \}$$

and for simplicity, $P_{0,t} := K_0$ for $t = 1, \dots, T$.

In addition to the $P_{k,t}$ we consider a little larger rectangle $P_{k,t}^*$ with the same centre as $P_{k,t}$ and sides parallel to the corresponding sides of $P_{k,t}$, which are all \varkappa -times larger, $1 < \varkappa < 1 + 2^{1-\max a_i}$, so that

$$P_{k,t}^* \subset (K_{k-1} \cup K_k \cup K_{k+1}), \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

(modification for $k = 0$).

The decomposition

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{P} := \{P_{k,t}\}, \quad \mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}} P_{k,t},$$

is a regular covering of \mathbb{R}^n in the sense of [9].

Remark 1. If we take in (1) corridors K_k for $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ then we get by the same construction the so-called anisotropic homogeneous decomposition

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{P}^* := \{P_{k,t}\}, \quad \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} = \bigcup_{\substack{k=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}} P_{k,t}.$$

Remark 2. In addition to the decomposition \mathcal{P} of \mathbb{R}^n we shall later consider the so-called "local modification" \mathcal{P}^\sim of this decomposition. This means: $\mathcal{P}^\sim := \{\tilde{P}_{k,t}\}$, $\tilde{P}_{k,t}$ are rectangles with sides parallel to the co-ordinate axes, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t = 1, \dots, \tilde{T}$ (\tilde{T} a fixed natural number),

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{\substack{k=0,1,1,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}} \tilde{P}_{k,t}, \quad \tilde{P}_{0,t} := K_0$$

and there is a fixed natural number N such that

$$\tilde{P}_{k,t} \subset \bigcup_{h=-N}^N K_{k+h}$$

for an arbitrary k and $t = 1, \dots, \tilde{T}$ ($K_l := \emptyset$ for $l < 0$).

Now we come to the system of decomposition-functions. $S = S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz space of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{R}^n , and $S' = S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the corresponding dual space of tempered distributions. \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denote the Fourier transform and its inverse transform on $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If L is a natural number then $\mathcal{A}_L^0 = \mathcal{A}_L^0[\mathcal{P}]$ is the set of all systems $\varphi := \{\varphi_{k,t}\}$, such that

$$(4) \quad \varphi_{k,t} \in S, \quad \text{supp } \varphi_{k,t} \subset P_{k,t}^*, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sup_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T \\ |\alpha| \leq L}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (1 + x_j^2)^{1/2 a_j} \right]^{a \cdot \alpha} |D^\alpha \varphi_{k,t}(x)| = c_\varphi < \infty,$$

where

$$a \cdot \alpha := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \alpha_j, \quad \alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad \alpha_j \geq 0 \text{ integer},$$

$$D^\alpha \varphi_{k,t} := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \varphi_{k,t}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

Furthermore, let

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}} \varphi_{k,t}(x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{A}_L^0 is not empty.

After these preliminaries we are able to define the anisotropic spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$. We use the following abbreviations: For measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\|f\|_{L_p} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{if } 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|$$

and for sequences $\{g_{k,t}(x)\}_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}}$ of measurable functions,

$$\|g_{k,t}\|_{l_q(L_p)} := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^T \|g_{k,t}\|_{L_p}^q \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|g_{k,t}\|_{l_\infty(L_p)} := \sup_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}} \|g_{k,t}\|_{L_p}, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|g_{k,t}\|_{L_p(l_q)} := \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^T |g_{k,t}(x)|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p} \quad \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|g_{k,t}\|_{L_p(l_\infty)} := \left\| \sup_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots \\ t=1,\dots,T}} |g_{k,t}(x)| \right\|_{L_p}, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty.$$

Definition. Let $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the n -tuple of positive numbers which characterizes the decomposition \mathcal{P} and $s := (s/a_1, \dots, s/a_n)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$.

(i) If $0 < p \leq \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and if L is a fixed natural number, $L > L^B(s, p, q)^1$, then

$$B_{p,q}^s := \{f \mid f \in S', \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}^\varphi := \|2^{sk} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\|_{l_q(L_p)} < \infty \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_L^0\}.$$

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and if L is a fixed natural number, $L > L^F(s, p, q)^1$, then

$$F_{p,q}^s := \{f \mid f \in S', \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^\varphi := \|2^{sk} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\|_{L_p(l_q)} < \infty \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_L^0\}.$$

(iii) If $0 < p < \infty$, then

$$H_p^s := F_{p,2}^s.$$

Remark 3. In our definition we have used the sets $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S'(\mathbb{R})$ instead of $Z(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively, which were used in the paper [9]. Both definitions coincide, cf. [13], p. 27. It is easy to see that the definition of $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$ depends only on the quotients $s/a_1, \dots, s/a_n$; this justifies our notation.

We recall the basic properties of $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$ which are proved in [9]. $B_{p,q}^s$ equipped with the quasi-norm $\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}^\varphi$ is a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

¹⁾ The numbers L^F and L^B can be chosen (cf. [12], p. 82) so that

$$L^B(s, p, q) := |s| + \frac{6n}{p} + n + 4, \quad L^F(s, p, q) := |s| + \frac{6n}{\min(p, q)} + n + 4.$$

and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$). All the quasi-norms $\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}^\varphi$ with $\varphi \in \bigcup_{L>L^B} \mathcal{A}_L^0$ are mutually equivalent; so we omit the symbol φ in $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p,q}^s}^\varphi$.

The corresponding assertions hold for the spaces $F_{p,q}^s$ ($p < \infty$). For all admissible values of parameters we have

$$(7) \quad S \subset B_{p,q}^s \subset S', \quad S \subset F_{p,q}^s \subset S',$$

$$(8) \quad B_{p,\min(p,q)}^s \subset F_{p,q}^s \subset B_{p,\max(p,q)}^s,$$

where the sign \subset means a topological embedding.

Remark 4. If we replace the nonhomogeneous decomposition \mathcal{P} of \mathbb{R}^n by the homogeneous decomposition \mathcal{P}' of $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$, take the system of decomposition-functions from $D(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ instead from $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and replace the condition (5) by

$$\sup_{\substack{k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \\ t=1, \dots, T \\ |\alpha| \leq L}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^{1/a_j} \right]^{a,\alpha} |D^\alpha \varphi_{k,t}(x)| = c_\varphi < \infty,$$

then we get in the same way as above the homogeneous anisotropic spaces

$$\dot{B}_{p,q}^s, \dot{F}_{p,q}^s, \dot{H}_p^s := \dot{F}_{p,2}^s$$

consisting of distributions from $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ instead of $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ is the strong topological dual of the Fourier image $Z(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ of the space $D(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ (complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports in $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ equipped in the usual way with a locally convex topology). For these spaces we also have the corresponding basic properties as above, cf. [9].

Remark 5. Let $\tilde{B}_{p,q}^s, \tilde{F}_{p,q}^s$ be the spaces which are analogously defined as $B_{p,q}^s, F_{p,q}^s$, based on a local modified decomposition \mathcal{P}' of \mathcal{P} (cf. Definition 4 in [9]). Then it is easy to see that also in the anisotropic case the identities

$$\tilde{B}_{p,q}^s = B_{p,q}^s, \quad \tilde{F}_{p,q}^s = F_{p,q}^s$$

hold for all admissible values of s, p, q (for the isotropic case cf. [13], p. 43).

Finally, we remark that the definitions for $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n > 0$ yield the corresponding isotropic spaces. If the above relation is not fulfilled, then the result are anisotropic spaces even for $s = 0$. It is nontrivial to get isotropic spaces in the same cases, for instance: $H_p^0 = L_p, 1 < p < \infty$.

2. INTERPOLATION THEOREMS

The symbol $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,q}$ denotes the K -method of interpolation (cf. [11], Sec. 1.3.2 or [1], Sec. 3.1, and for the extension to the quasi-Banach spaces [1], Sec. 3.11).

For general (anisotropic) H^p spaces (including the usual Hardy spaces), A. P.

Calderon and A. Torchinsky proved in [2, II] the following interpolation theorem:

$$(9) \quad (H^{p_0}, H^{p_1})_{\theta, p} = H^p,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad 0 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

H. Triebel showed in [14] that in a special case these general H^p spaces coincide with the anisotropic spaces \dot{H}_p^0 from our definition (cf. Remark 4). So (9) yields the result for $0 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty, 0 < \theta < 1$,

$$(10) \quad (\dot{H}_{p_0}^0, \dot{H}_{p_1}^0)_{\theta, p} = \dot{H}_p^0, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1},$$

where all the spaces have the same underlying decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$.

This result for homogeneous spaces implies the corresponding result for non-homogeneous spaces.

Proposition 1. *If $0 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, then*

$$(11) \quad (H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0)_{\theta, p} = H_p^0, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1},$$

where all the spaces have the same underlying decomposition of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Let ψ be a function belonging to S with the properties:

$\text{supp } \psi \subset K_2 := \{x \mid |x| \leq 2\}$ and $\psi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \{x \mid |x_j| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Then we split the functions $f \in H_p^0$ into

$$f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi \mathcal{F} f + \mathcal{F}^{-1} (1 - \psi) \mathcal{F} f = f^{(0)} + f^{(1)},$$

where $f^{(0)} \in H_p^0$ and $f^{(1)} \in H_p^0 \cap \dot{H}_p^0$.

For the \mathcal{K} -functional of the interpolation method

$$\mathcal{K}(t, f, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0) := \inf_{f=f_0+f_1} \{ \|f_0\|_{H_{p_0}^0} + t \|f_1\|_{H_{p_1}^0} \}, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

we have by this splitting of $f = f_0 + f_1 \in (H_{p_0}^0 + H_{p_1}^0)$,

$$f = f_0^{(0)} + f_0^{(1)} + f_1^{(0)} + f_1^{(1)} = (f_0^{(0)} + f_1^{(0)}) + (f_0^{(1)} + f_1^{(1)}) = f^{(0)} + f^{(1)},$$

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{K}(t, f, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0) \sim \mathcal{K}(t, f^{(0)}, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0) + \mathcal{K}(t, f^{(1)}, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0).$$

Consider the term on the right-hand side. It is clear that

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{K}(t, f^{(1)}, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0) = \mathcal{K}(t, f^{(1)}, \dot{H}_{p_0}^0, \dot{H}_{p_1}^0)$$

and

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{K}(t, f^{(0)}, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0) \sim \mathcal{K}(t, f^{(0)}, L_{p_0}^{K_2}, L_{p_1}^{K_2}),$$

where $L_p^{\Omega} := \{g \mid g \in S', \text{supp } \mathcal{F}g \subset \Omega, \|g\|_{L_p^{\Omega}} := \|g\|_{L^p} < \infty\}$.

Further, for an appropriate $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\tilde{f}^{(0)}(x) := f^{(0)}(x) e^{ixh} \in (L_{p_0}^\Omega + L_{p_1}^\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega \cap K_2 = \emptyset,$$

and

$$(15) \quad \|f^{(0)}\|_{L_{p_i}} \sim \|\tilde{f}^{(0)}\|_{L_{p_i}} \sim \|\tilde{f}^{(0)}\|_{\dot{H}_{p_i}^0} \sim \|\tilde{f}^{(0)}\|_{\dot{H}_{p_i}^0}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

So we have

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{X}(t, f^{(0)}, H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0) \sim \mathcal{X}(t, \tilde{f}^{(0)}, L_{p_0}^\Omega, L_{p_1}^\Omega) \sim \mathcal{X}(t, \tilde{f}^{(0)}, \dot{H}_{p_0}^0, \dot{H}_{p_1}^0).$$

From (12), (13), (14) and (10), (15) we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{(H_{p_0}^0, H_{p_1}^0)_{\theta, p}} &\sim \|\tilde{f}^{(0)}\|_{(\dot{H}_{p_0}^0, \dot{H}_{p_1}^0)_{\theta, p}} + \|f^{(1)}\|_{(\dot{H}_{p_0}^0, \dot{H}_{p_1}^0)_{\theta, p}} \\ &\sim \|\tilde{f}^{(0)}\|_{\dot{H}_p^0} + \|f^{(1)}\|_{\dot{H}_p^0} \sim \|f^{(0)}\|_{L_p} + \|f^{(1)}\|_{\dot{H}_p^0} \\ &\sim \|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^0}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The construction of a retraction from the space $B_{p,q}^s$ into the vector-valued sequence space $l_q^s(A)^2$, the known interpolation theorems for $l_q^s(A)$ and the proposition above yield the following interpolation theorem for the spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a fixed n -tuple of positive numbers which characterizes the anisotropic decomposition for all considered spaces, $s_i := (s_i/a_1, \dots, s_i/a_n)$, $-\infty < s_i < \infty$ ($i = 0, 1$) and $0 < \theta < 1$.

(i) If $0 < p \leq \infty$, $0 < q_0, q_1, q \leq \infty$, $s_0 \neq s_1$, then

$$(17) \quad (B_{p,q_0}^{s_0}, B_{p,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta, q} = B_{p,q}^s,$$

and for $p < \infty$,

$$(18) \quad (F_{p,q_0}^{s_0}, F_{p,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta, q} = (F_{p,q_0}^{s_0}, B_{p,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta, q} = B_{p,q}^s,$$

where $s := (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$.

(ii) If $0 < p_0 < p_1 < \infty$, $0 < q_0 < q_1 < \infty$, then

$$(19) \quad (B_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}, B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta, p} = B_{p,p}^s,$$

where

$$s := (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}.$$

Proof. Step 1. First we recall a useful fact, given by H. Triebel in [15].

$$^2) \quad l_q^s(A) := \left\{ \xi \mid \xi = (\xi_{k,t})_{\substack{k=0,1,2,\dots, \\ t=1,\dots,T}}, \|\xi\|_{l_q^s(A)} := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^T 2^{ksq} \|\xi_{k,t}\|_A^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$, $\varphi = \{\varphi_{k,t}\} \in \mathcal{A}_L^0$ (L large enough) and all $f \in S'$, the inequality

$$(20) \quad c_1 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\|_{L_p} \leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\|_{H_p^0} \leq c_2 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\|_{L_p},$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

holds with constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ independent of k, t .

Hence in the definition of $B_{p,q}^s$ we can replace the space $l_q(L_p)$ by the space $l_q(H_p^0)$, $0 < p < \infty$, which is very useful, because for H_p^0 we have the Fourier transform and multiplier theorems.

Step 2. Now we establish that for $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $0 < q_0 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$, the following relation holds:

$$(21) \quad \|f\|_{(B_{p_0, q_0}^{s_0}, B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta, q}} \sim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\|_{(l_{q_0}^{s_0}(A_0), l_{q_1}^{s_1}(A_1))_{\theta, q}},$$

where $A_i := H_{p_i}^0$ if $p_i < \infty$ and $A_i := L_\infty$ if $p_i = \infty$ ($i = 0, 1$).

The equivalence of the quasi-norms in (21) follows from Theorem 1.2.4 in [11] (which also holds for quasi-Banach spaces), if we have a retraction \mathcal{R} from $B_{p,q}^s$ into $l_q^s(H_p^0)$ or $l_q^s(L_\infty)$, respectively. Now we construct such an operator \mathcal{R} .

Let $\varphi = \{\varphi_{k,t}\}$ be a system of decomposition functions corresponding to the decomposition \mathcal{P} , $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_L^0[\mathcal{P}]$. Then there is a local modification \mathcal{P}^\sim of \mathcal{P} and a corresponding system $\psi = \{\psi_{k,t}\} \in \mathcal{A}_L^0[\mathcal{P}^\sim]$, cf. [9], Definition 2, such that

$$(22) \quad \psi_{k,t}(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \text{supp } \varphi_{k,t}$$

and

$$\psi_{k,t}(x) = \psi(\{2^{-kaj}(x_j - \tilde{x}_j^{k,t})\}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

($\tilde{x}^{k,t}$ is the centre of $\tilde{P}_{k,t} \in \mathcal{P}^\sim$, ψ an appropriate function from S). With the aid of these systems we define the linear operators

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{S}f := \{\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{k,t} \mathcal{F} f\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots, t=1,\dots,T}, \quad f \in B_{p,q}^s,$$

and

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{R}\{g_{l,n}\} := \sum_{\substack{l=0,1,2,\dots \\ n=1,\dots,T}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{l,n} \mathcal{F} g_{l,n}, \quad \{g_{l,n}\} \in l_q^s(H_p^0).$$

i) \mathcal{S} is a bounded linear operator from $B_{p,q}^s$ into $l_q^s(H_p^0)$. This follows immediately from (20).

ii) \mathcal{R} is a bounded linear operator from $l_q^s(H_p^0)$ into $B_{p,q}^s$. We get this from the estimate

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}\{g_{l,n}\}\|_{B_{p,q}^s} &\leq \|\{2^{sk} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{k,t} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}\{g_{l,n}\})\}_{k,t}\|_{l_q(H_p^0)} \leq \\ &\leq c \|\{2^{sk} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sum_{l,n} \psi_{k,t} \psi_{l,n} \mathcal{F} g_{l,n}\}_{k,t}\|_{l_q(H_p^0)} \leq \\ &\leq c' \|\{2^{sk} \sum_{\substack{h=-N,\dots,N \\ l=1,\dots,T}} \psi_{k,t} \psi_{k+h,t+i} \mathcal{F} g_{k+h,t+i}\}_{k,t}\|_{l_q} \leq \\ &\leq c'' \|\{2^{sk} \sum_{\substack{h=-N,\dots,N \\ l=1,\dots,T}} \mathcal{F} g_{k+h,t+i}\}_{k,t}\|_{l_q} \leq c''' \|g_{k,t}\|_{l_q^s(H_p^0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where (25) is established by the multiplier property of $\psi_{k,t}$ (cf. [9], Theorem 5) for the spaces $\tilde{F}_{p,2}^0 = F_{p,2}^0$ (cf. Remark 5) with a constant which is independent of k, t .

$$\text{iii) } \mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}f = \sum_{\substack{l=0,1,2,\dots \\ n=1,\dots,T}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_{l,n} \varphi_{l,n} \mathcal{F}f = \sum \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{l,n} \mathcal{F}f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in B_{p,q}^s.$$

This means \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are a retraction and a coretraction for the spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $l_q^s(H_p^0)$, where $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, -\infty < s < \infty$. In the case $p = \infty$ the operators \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are a retraction and a coretraction for the spaces $B_{\infty,q}^s$ and $l_q^s(L_\infty)$. Instead of estimating by a multiplier theorem in (25), we use now the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_{k,t} \psi_{k+h,t+i} \mathcal{F}g_{k+h,t+i})(x) \right| = \\ & = \left| \left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi(\{2^{-ka_j}\}) \psi(\{2^{-(k+h)a_j}\})) * g_{k+h,t+i} \right](x) \right| = \\ & = \left| 2^{k\sum a_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi(\cdot) \psi(\{2^{-ka_j}\}))(\{2^{ka_j}(x_j - \tilde{x}_j^{k,t})\}) g_{k+h,t+i}(y) dy \right| \leq c \|g_{k+h,t+i}\|_{L_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. The concrete interpolation formula (17) follows now from (21) and the known interpolation theorem (cf. [1], p. 122, extended to quasi-Banach spaces A)

$$(l_{q_0}^{s_0}(A), l_{q_1}^{s_1}(A))_{\theta,q} = l_q^s(A), \quad s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1,$$

with $s_0 \neq s_1, 0 < q_0, q_1 \leq \infty, 0 < \theta < 1$ and $A := H_p^0$ for $0 < p < \infty$ and $A := L_\infty$ for $p = \infty$. Formula (18) is obtained from (17) and (8) by the reiteration theorem (cf. [1], p. 50, extended to quasi-Banach spaces). Formula (19) follows from (21) and the interpolation theorem (cf. [1], p. 123, extended to quasi-Banach spaces A_k)

$$(l_{q_0}^{s_0}(A_0), l_{q_1}^{s_1}(A_1))_{\theta,q} = l_q^s((A_0, A_1)_{\theta,q}), \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}, \quad s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1,$$

with $0 < q_0, q_1 < \infty, 0 < \theta < 1$ and $A_i := H_{p_i}^0$ ($i = 0, 1$), and the interpolation formula (11).

3. CLASSICAL ANISOTROPIC FUNCTION SPACES

Now we compare the spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$ with the classical anisotropic spaces.

If $s := (s_1, \dots, s_n), s_j > 0$, then the well-known anisotropic Lebesgue spaces (= Bessel-potential spaces) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_p^s & := \{f \mid f \in S', \|f\|_{H_p^s} := \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\sum_{j=1}^n (1 + x_j^2)^{s_j/2} \mathcal{F}f)\|_{L_p} < \infty\}, \\ & 1 < p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Denote as usual by $D_j := \partial/\partial x_j, D^\alpha := D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}, \alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_j \geq 0$ integer, the derivatives of functions or distributions on \mathbb{R}^n . For $m := (m_1, \dots, m_n), m_j > 0$ integer, we have the anisotropic Sobolev spaces

$$W_p^m := \{f \mid f \in S', \|f\|_{W_p^m} := \sum_{0 \leq \sum \alpha_j / m_j \leq 1} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_p} < \infty\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and the anisotropic Hölder spaces

$$C^m := \{f \mid f \in C, \|f\|_{C^m} := \sum_{0 \leq \sum \alpha_j / m_j \leq 1} \|D^\alpha f\|_C < \infty\},$$

where C is the set of all bounded uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n and

$$\|f\|_C := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|.$$

The following theorem shows the connection between the spaces $F_{p,2}^s = H_p^s$ and the anisotropic Lebesgue- and Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 2. ([9], p. 266). *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $s := (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, $s_j > 0$, then*

$$(26) \quad H_p^s = \mathbb{H}_p^s,$$

and, provided s_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are integers,

$$(27) \quad H_p^s = W_p^s,$$

where the corresponding norms are equivalent.

Before we give a classical interpretation for the spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s > 0$) we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Let $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $a_j > 0$, $s := (s/a_1, \dots, s/a_n)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$, be the numbers which characterize the anisotropic smoothness. Then*

$$(28) \quad B_{p,1}^0 \subset L_p \subset B_{p,\infty}^0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

$$(29) \quad B_{\infty,1}^0 \subset C \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^0,$$

and if $s/a_j = m_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are integers,

$$(30) \quad B_{p,1}^m \subset W_p^m \subset B_{p,\infty}^m, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$(31) \quad B_{\infty,1}^m \subset C^m \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^m.$$

Proof. The proof of the proposition is the same as in the isotropic case (cf. [13], p. 68), if we use the following identity that holds for $0 < p \leq \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s/a_j = m_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) integers:

$$B_{p,q}^m = \{f \mid f \in S', \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^m}^* := \sum_{0 \leq \sum \alpha_j / m_j \leq 1} \|D^\alpha f\|_{B_{p,q}^0} < \infty\}.$$

This statement follows from the lifting property for $B_{p,q}^s$ (analogous to $F_{p,q}^s$ ($p < \infty$) [9], p. 265) by standard arguments (cf. [13], p. 67) using the anisotropic multiplier theorems ([9], p. 264).

Theorem 3. *Let $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $a_j > 0$, $s > 0$, $s := (s/a_1, \dots, s/a_n) = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be the multiindices which characterize the anisotropic smoothness and $\delta := (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$, $0 < \delta_j \leq \infty$, $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $l := (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $0 \leq \beta_j$, l_j integers*

($j = 1, \dots, n$), such that

$$0 \leq \beta_j < s_j, \quad s_j - \beta_j < l_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

then

$$(32) \quad B_{p,q}^s = \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^s,$$

holds for $1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^s := \{f \mid f \in L_p, \|f\|_{p,q,t,\beta,\delta}^s < \infty\},$$

and the expressions

$$(33) \quad \|f\|_{p,q,t,\beta,\delta}^s := \|f\|_{L_p} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\int_0^{\delta_j} t^{(\beta_j - s_j)} \|A_{t,j}^{l_j} D_j^{\beta_j} f\|_{L_p}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} {}^3$$

are equivalent norms in $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^s$ and $B_{p,q}^s$ for all admissible values of parameters.

For $p = q = \infty$ we have

$$(34) \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^s = \mathcal{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s := \{f \mid f \in C, \|f\|_{\infty,t,\beta,\delta}^s < \infty\}$$

and the expressions

$$(35) \quad \|f\|_{\infty,t,\beta,\delta}^s := \|f\|_C + \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{0 < t < \delta_j} t^{(\beta_j - s_j)} \|A_{t,j}^{l_j} D_j^{\beta_j} f\|_C,$$

are equivalent norms in $\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s$ and $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ for all admissible values of parameters.

Proof. The theorem is based on interpolation theorems for classical anisotropic spaces and on Theorem 1.

For $1 \leq p < \infty, 0 < \theta < 1, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, H.-J. Schmeisser and H. Triebel have proved in [6] (cf. also [7], [8]) the identities

$$(36) \quad (L_p, W_p^l)_{\theta,q} = \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{\theta l}$$

and

$$(37) \quad (C, C^l)_{\theta,\infty} = \mathcal{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\theta l}.$$

We remark that the space C (completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$) in [6], p. 120, can be replaced by our space C .

Now we obtain the statements (32) and (34) from our Theorem 1, the formulas (28)–(31), (36), (37) and the reiteration theorem (cf. [1], p. 50).

Remark 6. Theorem 3 shows that our anisotropic $B_{p,q}^s$ spaces for $p, q \geq 1, s > 0$ coincide with the classical ones.

(i) If $s_j > 0$ are arbitrary numbers and $s_j = [s_j]^- + \{s_j\}^+ ([s_j]^- \text{ integer}, 0 <$

³⁾ $(A_{t,j} f)(x) := f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j + t, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x),$
 $A_{t,j}^l f := A_{t,j}(A_{t,j}^{l-1} f), \quad l = 2, 3, \dots$

$< \{s_j\}^- \leq 1$), then (33) and (35) for $\beta_j := [s_j]^-, s_j - \beta_j := \{s_j\}^+, l_j := 2, \delta_j := \infty$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) give the well-known norms for the classical anisotropic Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and Zygmund spaces \mathcal{C}^s , respectively (cf. [3], [4]) and we get from (34) and (36):

$$B_{p,q}^s = B_{p,q}^s, \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^s = \mathcal{C}^s,$$

($1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty, s = (s_1, \dots, s_n), s_j > 0$).

(ii) If $s_j > 0$ is not an integer and $s_j = [s_j] + \{s_j\}$ ($[s_j]$ integer, $0 \leq \{s_j\} < 1$), then (33) and (35) for $\beta_j := [s_j], s_j - \beta_j := \{s_j\}, l_j := 1, \delta_j := \infty$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) give the well-known norms for the classical anisotropic Slobodeckij spaces W_p^s ($p = q$) and Hölder spaces C^s , respectively, and (34), (36) imply

$$B_{p,p}^s = W_p^s, \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^s = C^s,$$

($1 \leq p < \infty, s = (s_1, \dots, s_n), 0 < s_j \neq \text{integer}$).

Remark 7. Together with the classical interpretations of the spaces $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$, Theorem 1 yields new interpolation formulas, e.g. for $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n), a_j > 0; s_i > 0, s_i := (s_i|a_1, \dots, s_i|a_n), i = 0, 1; 1 \leq p_0 < p_1 < \infty, 1 \leq q_0; q_1 < \infty$ we get

$$(B_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}, B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta,p} = B_{p,p}^s, \quad s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1,$$

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1} = \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}$$

and

$$(L_{p_0}, B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta,p} = B_{p,p}^s, \quad s = \theta s_1, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1},$$

which complete the interpolation results on the classical anisotropic function spaces in [11], [7].

References

- [1] J. Bergh, J. Löfström: Interpolation Spaces, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1976.
- [2] A. P. Calderon, A. Torchinsky: Parabolic Maximal Functions Associated with a Distribution, *Advances in Math.* 16, 1 (1975), 1—64; II. *Advances in Math.* 24, 2 (1977), 101—171.
- [3] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík: Function Spaces, Prague 1977.
- [4] S. M. Nikol'skij: Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems, Moskva 1969 (Russian); English translation, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1975.
- [5] J. Peetre: New Thoughts on Besov Spaces, *Duke Univ. Math. Series*, Durham, 1976.
- [6] H.-J. Schmeisser, H. Triebel: Anisotropic Spaces (Interpolation of Abstract Spaces and Function Spaces), *Math. Nachr.* 73 (1976), 107—123.
- [7] H.-J. Schmeisser: Anisotropic Spaces, II. Equivalent Norms for Abstract Spaces, Function Spaces with Weights of Sobolev-Besov type, *Math. Nachr.*
- [8] H.-J. Schmeisser: Anisotropic Räume — Äquivalente Normen und Interpolation, Dissertation, Jena.

- [9] *B. Stöckert, H. Triebel*: Decomposition Methods for Function Spaces of $B_{p,q}^s$ Type and $F_{p,q}^s$ Type, *Math. Nachr.* 89 (1979), 247—267.
- [10] *B. Stöckert*: Remarks on Anisotropic Function Spaces, *Proc. Int. Coll. Fourier Analysis and Approximation Theory*, Budapest 1977, 789—797.
- [11] *H. Triebel*: *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, Berlin 1978.
- [12] *H. Triebel*: *Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces*, Teubner-Texte Math., Leipzig 1977.
- [13] *H. Triebel*: *Spaces of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev Type*, Teubner-Texte Math., Leipzig 1978.
- [14] *H. Triebel*: Note on Papers by A. P. Calderon and A. Torchinsky Concerning Parabolic Maximal Functions and Related Spaces, preprint.
- [15] *H. Triebel*: Theorems of Littlewood-Paley Type for BMO and for Anisotropic Hardy Spaces, In "Constructive Function Theory", *Proc. Internat. Conf.*, Blagoevgrad 1977, Sofia.

Author's address: Universität Jena, Sektion Mathematik, Universitäts-Hochhaus, DDR-69, Jena.