

Jan Kučera

On the accessibility of control system  $\dot{x} \in Q(x)$

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 20 (1970), No. 1, 122–129

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100951>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE ACCESSIBILITY OF CONTROL SYSTEM  $\dot{x} \in Q(x)$ 

JAN KUČERA, Praha

(Received March 27, 1969)

In this paper we present an algebraic condition under which the set of all points which are reachable from a fixed point  $\omega$  at a constant time along solutions of a system (1) is a closed manifold whose dimension depends only on algebraic properties of  $\omega$ . At the same time we present an explicit formula for this manifold.

**Notations.**  $E_n$  denotes a Euclidean  $n$ -dimensional space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The dimension of a (finite dimensional) vector space  $V$  is written  $\dim V$ .  $\{p \in P; P(p)\}$  is the set of all points  $p \in P$  with property  $P(p)$ . We use only Lebesgue measures and integrals.

In the space  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  of all  $n$ -by- $n$  matrices we define a "bracket" operation  $[A, B] = BA - AB$ ,  $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ , which makes  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  a Lie algebra. Remind,  $L$  is a Lie algebra if it is a linear space with a bilinear anticommutative operation  $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$  such that

$$[A, [B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]] = 0, \quad A, B, C \in L.$$

For  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r \in \mathfrak{C}_n$  we write  $[A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r] = [A_1, [A_2, \dots, [A_{r-1}, A_r] \dots]]$ . We often meet a matrix  $[A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r]$ , where  $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_{r-1}$ . Then in the case that there is no danger of misunderstanding we write it simply  $[A_1^{r-1} A_r]$ . Zero matrix is denoted by  $O$ , unit matrix by  $I$ , and the inverse of a nonsingular matrix  $A$  by  $A^{-1}$ .

A connected set  $S \subset E_n$  is called an  $r$ -dimensional manifold if for each  $x \in S$  there is an open nonempty set  $G \subset E_r$  and an injection  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S$  such that:

1.  $x \in \varphi(G)$ ,
2.  $\varphi(G)$  is open in  $S$ ,
3. Jacobian  $\partial\varphi/\partial t$  is continuous and has rank  $r$  on  $G$ .

Given an  $r$ -dimensional manifold  $S \subset E_n$  then the closure of  $S$  is called an  $r$ -dimensional closed manifold. A set  $S \subset E_n$  which contains only one element is said to be a 0-dimensional manifold.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{E}_n$  be a linear space. Then a mapping  $V$  defined on  $E_n$  by  $V(x) = \{Ax; A \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  is called a linear distribution created by  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If among all linear subspaces of  $\mathfrak{E}_n$  which create the same linear distribution  $V$  at least one is closed with respect to the bracket operation then we call  $V$  involutive.

**Definition 2.** Let  $V$  be a linear distribution and  $S \subset E_n$  a manifold. If for each  $x \in S$  the tangent space  $T(x)$  to  $S$  at  $x$  equals to  $V(x)$  then we call  $S$  an integral manifold of  $V$ .

Let  $V$  be a linear distribution. It was shown in [3] that then each  $x \in E_n$  is contained in an integral manifold of  $V$  if and only if  $V$  is involutive. Moreover, if  $V$  is involutive then each  $x \in E_n$  is contained in a unique integral manifold  $M_x$  of  $V$  which is maximal in the sense that any integral manifold  $M$  of  $V$  containing  $x$  is contained in  $M_x$ . Furthermore, let  $V$  be created by a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  and let  $P_i \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , be chosen so that  $P_1x, P_2x, \dots, P_kx$  form a base of  $V(x)$ . Then there exists an open set  $G \subset E_k$  such that the mapping  $\varphi(t) = e^{P_1t_1}e^{P_2t_2} \dots e^{P_kt_k}x$ ,  $t \in G$ , describes an integral manifold  $\varphi(G)$  of  $V$ .

**Formulation of the problem.** Let us have a compact, convex set  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{E}_n$ . Denote  $Q(x) = \{Ax; A \in \mathfrak{U}\}$ ,  $x \in E_n$ . Then for each  $x \in E_n$   $Q(x)$  is compact and convex and the mapping  $Q(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $E_n$  if we equip the image of  $Q$  with Hausdorff topology. Hence existence of solutions of an equation

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} \in Q(x), \quad x(0) = \omega,$$

makes no problem. By a solution of (1) we mean any vector function  $x(\cdot)$ , absolutely continuous on an interval  $J \subset E_n$ , which fulfils  $\dot{x}(t) \in Q(x(t))$  for almost all  $t \in J$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{U} = \{u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}; u \text{ measurable}\}$ . Then to each  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\omega \in E_n$  it corresponds a unique solution of an equation

$$(2) \quad \dot{x} = ux, \quad x(0) = \omega.$$

Without any ambiguity we denote this solution by  $x(\cdot, u, \omega)$ . According to implicit function theorem [4] for any solution  $x(\cdot)$  of (1) there exists  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x(t) = x(t, u, \omega)$ ,  $t \in J$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the smallest Lie algebra which contains  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{W}$  the smallest linear space which contains the set  $\mathfrak{B} = \{A - B; A, B \in \mathfrak{U}\}$  and is closed with respect to bracket multiplication by elements from  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then evidently  $\mathfrak{W} \subset \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{W}$  is a Lie algebra. Hence, mappings  $V$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ , defined by  $V(x) = \{Ax; A \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}(x) = \{Bx; B \in \mathfrak{W}\}$ ,  $x \in E_n$ , are involutive linear distributions.

For a given  $T \geq 0$  write  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T) = \{x(T, u, \omega); u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  and  $S_\omega(T) = \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{S}_\omega(t)$ . According to [3] the reachable cone  $\bigcup_{T \geq 0} S_\omega(T)$  of (1) is contained in the maximal integral manifold of  $V$  which passes through  $\omega$ . We are now looking for a condition

which guarantees that for any  $T > 0$  the set  $S_\omega(T)$ , resp.  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$ , is a closure of an integral manifold of  $V$ , resp.  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Auxiliaries. Lemma 1.** Denote by  $Z_u$  the fundamental matrix solution of (2), corresponding to  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , for which  $Z_u(0) = I$ . Then for any  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$  and any  $t \geq 0$  we have  $Z_u(t) A Z_u^{-1}(t) - A \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $Z_u^{-1}(t) A Z_u(t) - A \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

*Proof.* If  $u(\cdot)$  is piecewise constant then the assertion of Lemma 1 follows immediately from an identity  $e^{-C} B e^C = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1/k!) \underbrace{[C, C, \dots, C, B]}_{k\text{-times}}$  which holds for any  $B, C \in \mathfrak{E}_n$ .

If  $u(\cdot)$  is not piecewise constant then we take a sequence  $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , of piecewise constant functions which converges to  $u$  locally asymptotically on  $[0, \infty)$ . For any  $k$  and any  $t \geq 0$  we have  $Z_{u_k}(t) A Z_{u_k}^{-1}(t) - A \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $Z_{u_k}^{-1}(t) A Z_{u_k}(t) - A \in \mathfrak{B}$ . The sequence  $Z_{u_k}$ , resp.  $Z_{u_k}^{-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , converges to  $Z_u$ , resp.  $Z_u^{-1}$ , locally uniformly on  $[0, \infty)$ . The space  $\mathfrak{B}$  is finite dimensional, hence it is closed and the proof is complete.

*Remark.* We can similarly show that for  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $t \geq 0$  it holds  $Z_u(t) \cdot B Z_u^{-1}(t) \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $Z_u^{-1}(t) B Z_u(t) \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $\dim \mathcal{V}(x(t, u, \omega)) = \dim \mathcal{V}(\omega)$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and any  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* According to [3] all points  $x(t, u, \omega)$  are contained in the maximal integral manifold of  $V$  which passes through  $\omega$ . Therefore it suffices to prove an equivalence  $A\omega \in \mathcal{V}(\omega)$  iff  $A x(t, u, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}(x(t, u, \omega))$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

Fix  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Put, for brevity,  $B = Z_u(t) A Z_u^{-1}(t) - A$ . Then we can write  $A\omega = Z_u^{-1}(t) (Z_u(t) A Z_u^{-1}(t) - A + A) Z_u(t) \omega = Z_u^{-1}(t) (B + A) Z_u(t) \omega$ .

Assume  $A\omega \in \mathcal{V}(\omega)$ . As  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  we have  $Z_u^{-1}(t) B Z_u(t) \omega \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $Z_u^{-1}(t) A Z_u(t) \omega = A\omega - Z_u^{-1}(t) B Z_u(t) \omega \in \mathcal{V}(\omega)$ . This implies existence of such  $B_i \in \mathfrak{B}$  and real numbers  $b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , that  $Z_u^{-1}(t) A Z_u(t) \omega = \sum_{i=1}^p b_i B_i \omega$ . Hence  $Ax(t, u, \omega) = Z_u(t) Z_u^{-1}(t) A Z_u(t) \omega = Z_u(t) \sum_{i=1}^p b_i B_i \omega = \sum_{i=1}^p b_i (Z_u(t) B_i Z_u^{-1}(t)) x(t, u, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}(x(t, u, \omega))$ , due to the remark to Lemma 1.

The inverse implication can be obtained by the same way.

Denote  $\mathcal{U}_0$  the set of all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  which are piecewise continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and moreover at each point of discontinuity continuous from the right. Till the end of this paragraph fix  $T > 0$  and  $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$ . For any  $v \in \Delta = \{u - u_0; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  and any  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  we have  $u_\varepsilon = u_0 + \varepsilon v \in \mathcal{U}$ . The solution  $(x_\cdot, u_\varepsilon, \omega)$  of (2) is analytically dependent on  $\varepsilon$  and can be expanded into a power series

$$(3) \quad x(\cdot, u_\varepsilon, \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k x_k(\cdot, v),$$

where the coefficients  $x_k(\cdot, v)$  solve an equation

$$(4) \quad \dot{x}_k = u_0 x_k + v x_{k-1}, \quad x_k(0, v) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Here we write for brevity  $x_0(t, v) = x(t, u_0, \omega)$ .

If we put  $a = \max \{ \|A\|; A \in \mathfrak{A} \}$  then  $\|x_0(t, v)\| \leq \|\omega\| e^{at}$  and for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have  $(d/dt) \|x_k\| \leq a \|x_k\| + 2a \|x_{k-1}\|$ , which implies  $\|x_k(t, v)\| \leq 2a \int_0^t e^{a(t-\tau)} \cdot \|x_{k-1}\| d\tau$ . Finally  $\|x_k(t, v)\| \leq \|\omega\| (2a)^k (1/k!) t^k e^{at}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Hence  $\|x(t, u_0, \omega)\| \leq \|\omega\| \sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon^k \|x_k(t, v)\| \leq \|\omega\| e^{3at}$  and the series (3) is locally uniformly absolutely convergent on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Using the variation of constants formula we get  $x_1(T, v) = Z(T) \int_0^T Z^{-1}(t) \cdot v(t) x(t, u_0, \omega) dt = Z(T) \int_0^T Z^{-1}(t) v(t) Z(t) dt \cdot Z^{-1}(T) x(T, u_0, \omega)$ , where we, for brevity, write  $Z$  instead of  $Z_{u_0}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *The linear hull  $\mathfrak{M}(T, u_0)$  of set  $\{ \int_0^T Z^{-1}(t) v(t) Z(t) dt; v \in \Delta \}$  equals to the linear hull of  $\{ Z^{-1}(t) B Z(t); t \in [0, T], B \in \mathfrak{B} \}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ . There are matrices  $A_{1,2} \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $B = A_1 - A_2$ . As  $\mathfrak{A}$  is convex we have  $u_i(t) = \frac{1}{2}(A_i + u_0(t)) \in \mathfrak{U}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $v_i = u_i - u_0 \in \Delta$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The function  $u_0 \in \mathfrak{U}_0$  is everywhere continuous from the right therefore  $v_{1,2}$  are continuous from the right too.

Take  $t_0 \in [0, T)$  and for any  $\alpha \in (0, T - t_0)$  denote

$$v_{i,\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} v_i(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_0, t_0 + \alpha) \\ 0 & \text{for } t \notin [t_0, t_0 + \alpha) \end{cases}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then  $v_{i,\alpha} \in \Delta$ . Due to continuity from the right of functions  $v_i$  we get

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^T Z^{-1}(t) v_{i,\alpha}(t) Z(t) dt = Z^{-1}(t_0) v_i(t_0) Z(t_0) \in \mathfrak{M}(T, u_0)$$

and

$$Z^{-1}(t_0) B Z(t_0) = 2 Z^{-1}(t_0) (v_1(t_0) - v_2(t_0)) Z(t_0) \in \mathfrak{M}(T, u_0).$$

Finally

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow T^-} Z^{-1}(t_0) B Z(t_0) = Z^{-1}(T) B Z(T) \in \mathfrak{M}(T, u_0).$$

The inverse inclusion follows immediately from the fact that the values of any  $v \in \Delta$  lie in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Definition.** We say that a compact convex set  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{C}_n$  has a property (A) if such matrices  $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , and  $B_j \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , exists that the linear space generated by matrices  $[A_i^r B_j]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , equals to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{C}_n$  has property (A) then for any  $T > 0$  there exists such  $u_T \in \mathcal{U}_0$  that  $\mathfrak{M}(T, u_T) = \mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $T > 0$  and a partition  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{p+1} = T$  of interval  $[0, T]$ . Choose an arbitrary matrix from  $\mathfrak{A}$  and denote it by  $A_{p+1}$ . Define  $u(t) = A_i, t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, p+1, u(T) = A_{p+1}$ . Evidently  $u \in \mathcal{U}_0$  and  $Z_u(t) = e^{A_i(t-t_{i-1})} e^{A_{i-1}(t_{i-1}-t_{i-2})} \dots e^{A_1(t_1-t_0)}$ , for  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ .

For  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_u^{-1}(t) B Z_u(t) &= Z_u^{-1}(t_{i-1}) e^{-A_i(t-t_{i-1})} B e^{A_i(t-t_{i-1})} Z_u(t_{i-1}) = \\ &= Z_u^{-1}(t_{i-1}) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-t_{i-1})^r}{r!} [A_i^r B] Z_u(t_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By differentiation with respect to  $t$  we get  $Z_u^{-1}(t_{i-1}) [A_i^r B] Z_u(t_{i-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}(T, u)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots$

If we take  $t_p$  sufficiently small then the dimension of a linear space generated by matrices  $Z_u^{-1}(t_{i-1}) [A_i^r B_j] Z_u(t_{i-1}), i = 1, 2, \dots, p, j = 1, 2, \dots, q, r = 0, 1, \dots$ , equals to the dimension of a linear space  $L$  generated by matrices  $[A_i^r B_j], i = 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, 2, \dots, q, r = 0, 1, \dots$ . It implies  $\dim \mathfrak{M}(T, u) \geq \dim L$ . Lut  $\mathfrak{M}(T, u) \subset \mathfrak{B}$  and we have assumed  $L = \mathfrak{B}$ . This gives us the desired equality  $\mathfrak{M}(T, u) = \mathfrak{B}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $A_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$  be an arbitrary matrix. Then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a linear hull of  $A_0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* One inclusion is trivial. As  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a linear hull of matrices of a type  $[A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k]$ , where  $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , it suffices to show that each such matrix belongs to the linear hull of  $A_0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If  $k = 1$  then  $A_1 = A_0 + (A_1 - A_0)$ , where  $A_1 - A_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ . If  $k > 1$  then  $[A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, A_k] = [A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, A_k - A_{k-1}] \in \mathfrak{B}$  which completes the proof.

**Main result.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{C}_n$  have property (A). Construct distributions  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $V$ . For given  $T > 0$  and  $\omega \in E_n$  let  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$ , resp.  $S_\omega(T)$ , be the set of all points which are reachable at the time  $T$ , resp. at any time  $t \in [0, T]$ , from  $\omega$  along solutions of (1). Denote  $\dim \mathcal{V}(\omega) = q$  and  $\dim V(\omega) = r$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$ , resp.  $S_\omega(T)$ , is a closed  $q$ -, resp.  $r$ -, dimensional integral manifold of the distribution  $\mathcal{V}$ , resp.  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T > 0$ . Take  $x \in \mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By implicit function theorem there exists such  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  that  $x = x(T, u, \omega)$ . According to Lemma 4 for any  $\lambda > 0$  there exists  $\varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}(\lambda, \varphi_\lambda) = \mathfrak{B}$ . Define  $u_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} u(t), & t > \lambda \\ \varphi_\lambda(t), & t \in [0, \lambda] \end{cases}$ . As  $\mathfrak{A}$  is bounded the functions  $u_\lambda(\cdot)$  converge asymptotically to  $u(\cdot)$  on  $[0, T]$  with  $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$ .

Therefore  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} x(T, u_\lambda, \omega) = x(T, u, \omega) = x$  and we can fix such  $\lambda_0$  that  $\|x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega) - x\| < \varepsilon$ .

Denote, for brevity, by  $Z(\cdot)$  the fundamental matrix solution of (2), corresponding to  $u_{\lambda_0}$ . According to Lemma 3 there are functions  $v_i \in \{u - u_{\lambda_0}; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , such that matrices  $\int_0^{\lambda_0} Z^{-1}(t) v_i(t) Z(t) dt$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , form a base of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Define  $w_i(t) = \begin{cases} v_i(t), & t \in [0, \lambda_0] \\ 0, & t > \lambda_0 \end{cases}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then evidently also  $w_i(t) \in \{u - u_{\lambda_0}; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

The matrices  $B_i = Z(T) \int_0^T Z^{-1}(t) w_i(t) Z(t) dt Z^{-1}(T)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are linearly independent and according to Lemma 1 they belong into  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Hence they form a base of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and vectors  $B_i x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , generate the linear space  $\mathcal{V}(x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega))$ . According to Lemma 2 we have  $\dim \mathcal{V}(x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega)) = \dim \mathcal{V}(\omega) = q$ . Assume that vectors  $B_i x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , form a base of  $\mathcal{V}(x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega))$ .

Now

$$(5) \quad x(T, u_{\lambda_0} + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega), \quad \text{where } \tau \in G = \left\{ \tau \in E_q; \sum_{i=1}^q |\tau_i| < 1 \right\},$$

represents a mapping of an open set  $G \subset E_q$  into  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$ . It has continuous first partial derivatives with respect to  $\tau$ , which are for  $\tau = 0$  solutions of a corresponding equation

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau_i} = u_{\lambda_0} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau_i} + w_i x(t, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega), \quad \left. \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau_i} \right|_{\tau=0} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

If we compare (6) with (4) we see that the vectors  $B_i x(T, u_{\lambda_0}, \omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , are columns of Jacobian  $Dx/D\tau$  at  $\tau = 0$ . Therefore there exists a neighborhood  $G_0 \subset G$  of origin in  $E_q$  such that  $Dx/D\tau$  has rank  $q$  at any point of  $G_0$ .

Denote  $X_\tau$  the fundamental matrix solution of (2), corresponding to  $u_{\lambda_0} + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i$ , for which  $X_\tau(0) = I$ . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i} x(T, u_{\lambda_0} + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega) &= X_\tau(T) \int_0^T X_\tau^{-1}(t) \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i X_\tau(t) dt X_\tau^{-1}(T) \\ &\cdot x(T, u_{\lambda_0} + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}(x(T, u_{\lambda_0} + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$  is a closure of a union of a family of  $q$ -dimensional integral manifolds of distribution  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$  be two manifolds of this family. Choose  $x(T, u_i, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , so that there exists  $t_0 \in (0, T]$  such that  $u_0(t) = u_1(t)$  for  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(t_0, u_0) = \mathfrak{B}$ . Denote  $u_\lambda = (1 - \lambda) u_0 + \lambda u_1$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then the curve  $\Gamma(\lambda) = x(T, u_\lambda, \omega)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  links points  $x(T, u_i, \omega)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , and each its point is contained in  $\mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$ .

Let us prove that  $d\Gamma(\lambda)/d\lambda \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma(\lambda))$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . It holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{dx(t, u_\lambda, \omega)}{d\lambda} = u_\lambda(t) \frac{dx(t, u_\lambda, \omega)}{d\lambda} + (u(t) - u_0(t)) x(t, u_\lambda, \omega), \quad \left. \frac{dx(t, u_\lambda, \omega)}{d\lambda} \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Using variation of constants formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma(\lambda)}{d\lambda} &= \frac{dx(T, u_\lambda, \omega)}{d\lambda} = \\ &= Z_{u_\lambda}(T) \int_0^T Z_{u_\lambda}^{-1}(t) (u(t) - u_0(t)) Z_{u_\lambda}(t) dt Z_{u_\lambda}^{-1}(T) x(T, u_\lambda, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that  $\Gamma(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , is contained in the maximal integral manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  which passes through  $x(T, u_0, \omega)$ . As  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  it follows from [3] that  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{S}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega(T)$  is an integral manifold of  $\mathcal{V}$  which contains both points  $x(T, u_i, \omega)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . First part of the theorem is proved.

Take  $x \in S_\omega(T)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Again there exists  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  such that  $x = x(t_0, u, \omega)$ . By the same way we find functions  $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $w_i \in \{u - u_0; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , a number  $t_1 > 0$  and a neighborhood  $G \subset E_q$  of origin such that Jacobian of a mapping  $x(t_1, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega) : G \rightarrow E_n$  has rank  $q$  at any point of  $G$ , and moreover  $u_0(t_1) \neq 0$  and  $\|x(t_1, u_0, \omega) - x\| < \varepsilon$ .

If  $\dim V(\omega) = q$  there is nothing to be proved. Assume  $\dim V(\omega) > q$ . Investigate a mapping

$$(7) \quad x(t, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega), \quad |t - t_1| < \delta, \quad \tau \in G.$$

We know that for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i} x(t, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}(x(t, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega)).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x(t, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega) = \\ &= (u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i) x(t, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega) \in V(x(t, u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i, \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $\delta$  and an open set  $G_0 \subset G$ ,  $0 \in G_0$ , so small that  $A(t, \tau) = u_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^q \tau_i w_i(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \in (t_1 - \delta, t_1 + \delta)$ ,  $\tau \in G_0$ , then according to Lemma 5 the space  $\mathfrak{B}$  is equal to the linear hull of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $A(t, \tau)$  for any  $t \in (t_1 - \delta, t_1 + \delta)$ ,  $\tau \in G_0$ .



Hence Jacobian of the mapping (7) has at any point from  $(t_1 - \delta, t_1 + \delta) \times G_0$  rank  $r$ .

Similarly as in the first part we find out that  $S_\omega(T)$  is a closure of a union of a family of  $r$ -dimensional integral manifolds of the distribution  $V$ . Let again we have two manifolds  $S_0, S_1$ , of this family. Then we can choose points  $x(t_i, u_i, \omega) \in S_i, i = 0, 1$ , so that  $t_2 = \min(t_0, t_1) > 0$  and there exists  $t_3 \in (0, t_2]$  such that  $u_0(t) = u_1(t)$  for  $t \in [0, t_3]$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(t_3, u_0) = \mathfrak{B}$ . The case  $t_0 = t_1$  has been already treated, therefore assume  $t_0 < t_1$ . Then a curve  $\Gamma$  consisting of arches  $\Gamma_0(t) = x(t, u_0, \omega), t \in [t_0, t_1]$ , and  $\Gamma_1(\lambda) = x(t_1, (1 - \lambda)u_0 + \lambda u_1, \omega), \lambda \in [0, 1]$ , again links points  $x(t_i, u_i, \omega), i = 0, 1$ , is contained in  $S_\omega(T)$ , and through each of its points there passes an integral manifold of  $V$ . Hence the union of these manifolds is again an integral manifold of  $V$ , contains  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ , and is contained in  $S_\omega(T)$ . Q.E.D.

#### References

- [1] *E. A. Coddington, N. Levinson: Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, Mc Graw-Hill 1955.*
- [2] *C. Chevalley: Theory of Lie Groups I, Princeton 1946.*
- [3] *J. Kučera: Solution in Large of Control Problem  $\dot{x} = (A(1 - u) + Bu)x$ , Czech. Math. J., 16 (91), 1966, pp. 600—623.*
- [4] *E. J. Mc Shane, R. B. Warfield: On Filippov's Implicit Function Lemma, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18, 1967, pp. 41—47.*

*Author's address: Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV).*