

John C. Higgins

A faithful canonical representation for finitely generated  $N$ -semigroups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 19 (1969), No. 3, 375–379

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100909>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A FAITHFUL CANONICAL REPRESENTATION FOR  
FINITELY GENERATED  $N$ -SEMIGROUPS

JOHN C. HIGGINS, Provo

(Received May 3, 1967)

**Introduction.** The term  $N$ -semigroup was first employed in [2] to denote a commutative, archimedean, cancellative, and non-potent semigroup. A commutative semigroup  $S$  is called archimedean if for any  $a, c \in S$  there exist a positive integer  $m$  and an element  $b \in S$  such that  $a^m = bc$ . By "non-potent" we mean "without idempotent". Such semigroups have been studied in papers [1], [2], [3], and [4]. In particular [4] develops a method for representing  $N$ -semigroups as the cartesian product of the additive non-negative integers and an abelian group, with a special operation defined on this product. This representation will be briefly outlined.

As defined in [4] an index function or  $I$ -function is a non-negative integer valued function defined on all ordered pairs  $(s, t)$  of the elements of an abelian group  $G$ . The index function satisfies the following:

1.  $I(s, t) = I(t, s)$   $s, t \in G$ .
2.  $I(s, t) + I(st, r) = I(s, tr) + I(t, r)$  for all  $s, t, r \in G$ .
3. For any  $s \in G$  there exists a positive integer  $m$ , which depends on  $s$ , that  $I(s^m, s) > 0$ .
4.  $I(e, e) = 1$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ .

Let  $J$  be the non-negative integers, let  $G$  be an abelian group, and let  $I(s, t)$  be an  $I$ -function for  $G$ . The operation on  $J \times G$  given by:

$$(1) \quad (i, s)(j, t) = (i + j + I(s, t), st) \text{ defines a } N\text{-semigroup on } J \times G.$$

It is also shown in [4] that given any  $N$ -semigroup  $S$ , for any  $a \in S$  there is an abelian group  $S_a^*$  and an index function  $I_a$  both uniquely determined by  $a$ , such that  $S$  is isomorphic to  $J \times S_a^*$  (with  $I$ -function  $I_a$ ). Clearly, there are many distinct such representations for a given  $S$ . It is also shown in [4] that the following is a partial ordering on any  $N$ -semigroup  $S$ :

For  $x, y \in S, a \in S$  and  $a$  fixed, one says  $x \leq_a y \Leftrightarrow x \neq y$  and there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x = a^n y$ .

It is shown in [4] that the  $\leq_a$  ordering satisfies the ascending chain condition. Elements maximal under the  $\leq_a$  ordering are said to be *prime* to  $a$ .

In the following  $S$  is a finitely generated  $N$ -semigroup.

**1. The  $\succ_x$  Ordering. Definition 1.1.** For  $x, y \in S$  we have  $x \succ_x y$  if and only if either there is  $z \in S$  and  $y = zx$  or  $x = y$ .

The following is useful.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $x, z \in T$  and  $N$ -semigroup. Then  $x \neq xz$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x = xz$ , then by substitution we have  $x = xz = (xz)z = x(zz)$  and cancellation gives  $z = zz$ . This contradicts the non-potent property of  $T$ .

**Lemma 1.3.**  $\succ_x$  is partial ordering of  $S$ .

*Proof.* For  $z, x, y \in S$  if  $x \succ_x y$  and  $y \succ_x z$  then  $z = yz', y = xz''$  and substitution gives  $z = xz'z''$  and  $x \succ_x z$ . If  $y \succ_x x$  and  $x \succ_x y$  for some  $x \neq y$  then  $x = yz, y = xz'$  and  $x = xzz'$  which is impossible by Lemma 1.2.

**Lemma 1.4.** The  $\succ_x$  ordering on  $S$  satisfies the ascending chain condition.

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is finitely generated we may remove redundant elements from any finite generating set and obtain  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  as a minimal generating set. Suppose distinct  $x_i$  such that  $x_1 \leq_x x_2 \leq_x x_3 \dots$ , let  $x_1 = a_1^{k_1} \dots a_n^{k_n}$ , but  $x_1 = x_2 z_2, z_2 \in S$  and  $x_2 z_2 = a_1^{k_1} \dots a_n^{k_n} = a_1^{(k_1' + k_1'')} \dots a_n^{(k_n' + k_n'')}$  where  $k_1' + k_1'' = k_1$  etc. and  $k_i'$  is the  $a_i$  exponent of  $x_2$ . Clearly some  $k_i$  has been reduced. Similarly  $x_2 = x_3 z_3$  and since the  $k_i$  are finite and the  $a_i$  finite in number our chain must terminate.

Elements maximal in the  $\succ_x$  ordering on  $S$  are called  $\succ_x$  maximal elements. We may now show:

**Theorem 1.5.** The  $\succ_x$  maximal elements form a unique minimal generating set for  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be any finite generating set for  $S$ . If  $x \in S$  is  $\succ_x$  maximal and  $x \notin \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  then since  $x = \prod a_i^{k_i}$  either some  $k_i > 1$  or  $k_i, k_j > 0$  for at least two  $i, j; i \neq j$ . In either case  $x = a_i z$  for  $z \in S$  which contradicts the definition of  $\succ_x$  maximal element. On the other hand if some  $a_j$  is not  $\succ_x$  maximal then we have  $a_j = yz$  for  $y, z \in S$ . Expressing  $y, z$  in terms of the  $a_i$  we have:

$$a_j = a_1^{m_1} \dots a_n^{m_n}.$$

If  $a_j$  fails to appear in the expression on the right we eliminate  $a_j$  from the generating set  $\{a_i\}$ . If  $a_j$  appears we have  $a_j = a_j z$  which contradicts Lemma 1.2.

**Corollary.** The  $\succ_x$  maximal elements are maximal in any  $\succ_x$  ordering as defined in the Introduction.

**2. Normal Standard Elements.** The following is required.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $S_a^*$  has finite order for any  $a \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be a generating set for  $S$ . Select any  $a \in S$ , then  $a = \prod a_i^{k_i}$ . It is shown in [4] that the order of  $S_a^*$  is equal to the number of elements in  $S$  prime to  $a$ . In [2] p. 10 it is shown that for any  $x, y \in S$  there are positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $x^m = y^n$ . Thus, for all  $a_i$  in  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  there is a maximal positive integer  $n'$  such that  $a_i^{n'}$  is not equal to a times some element of  $S$ . Thus, the number of elements prime to  $a$  in  $S$  is finite.

We may now make:

**Definition 2.2.** A normal standard element of  $S$  is any  $a \in S$  such that  $S_a^*$  has minimal order.

That there are groups of minimal order is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $S_a^*$  and its corresponding  $I$ -function be a representation for  $S$  as defined in the introduction. Choose  $x \in S$  and let  $x$  have representation  $(p, r)$  in terms of  $S_a^*$  and  $I_a$ . (i.e.  $x = a^h r$ ,  $h \geq 0$ ,  $r \in S_a^*$  (see [4]). We define  $\mathfrak{J}(x)$  as:

$$\mathfrak{J}(x) = p|S_a^*| + \sum I_a(i, r)$$

as  $i$  ranges over  $S_a^*$ .

I am indebted to Professor TAMURA for suggesting the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $x, y \in S$ , where  $x = (m, s)$ ,  $y = (n, t)$  in terms of some  $S_a^*$  and its associated  $I_a$ ,  $x$  is prime to  $y$  if and only if  $m < I(t, t^{-1}s)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(p, r) \in S$  such that:

$(p, r)(n, t) = (p + n + I(r, t), rt) = (m, s)$ . By definition we then have  $r = t^{-1}s$  and  $p + n + I(t, t^{-1}s) = m$ . Thus, if  $m < n + I(t, t^{-1}s)$ , since  $p$  is always non-negative, no such  $(p, r)$  can exist.

If  $m \geq n + I(t, t^{-1}s)$  then choosing  $p = m - (n + I(t, t^{-1}s))$  we have:

$$(m - (n + I(t, t^{-1}s)), t^{-1}s)(n, t) = (m, s).$$

One then obtains:

**Lemma 2.5.** For all  $x \in S$ ,  $\mathfrak{J}(x)$  is the number of elements of  $S$  prime to  $x$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in S$  with representation  $(m, s)$ ,  $x$  will be prime to  $y \in S$ , where  $y = (n, t)$ , when  $m < n$ . There are exactly  $n|S_a^*|$  such elements, since by fixing  $m$  and letting  $n$  range through  $S_a^*$ , we obtain  $|S_a^*|$  elements prime to  $(n, t)$ . If  $m \geq n$  then  $I(t, t^{-1}s) > 0$ , by Lemma 2.4. Indeed, if  $I_a(t, t^{-1}s) = k$ , then we have  $(n, a)$ ,

$(n + 1, a), \dots, (n + k - 1, a)$  and only these of the form  $(m, a)$ , prime to  $(n, b)$ .

Thus the number of elements prime to  $(n, t)$  and where  $m > n$  is just  $\sum I(t, t^{-1}s)$ , as  $s$  runs through all  $S_a^*$ , but this is just  $\sum I(t, i)$  as  $i$  runs through all  $S_a^*$ .

Clearly, the normal standard elements of  $S$  are those for which  $\mathfrak{Z}(x)$  is minimal. To find such elements we may begin with any representation for  $S$ . We note that  $x$  is a normal standard element only if, when  $x$  is represented as  $(n, s)$ ,  $n = 0$ . Thus, if we construct a tabular representation of  $I_a$  for  $S_a^*$ , those elements  $s \in S_a^*$  such that  $\sum I_a(t, s)$  is minimal, as  $t$  ranges over  $S_a^*$ , will give normal standard elements in the form  $(0, s)$ . Practically, one examines the rows of the  $I_a$  table for rows with minimal sum, one then uses these group elements to form normal standard elements.

One may partially characterize normal standard elements by:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Every normal standard element is a  $\succcurlyeq$  maximal element.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in S$  be a normal standard element. Let us represent  $S$  by some  $S_a^*$  and its  $I_a$ . If  $x = (0, r)$  in this representation and  $x$  is not  $\succcurlyeq$  maximal then  $(0, r) = (0, s)(0, t)$ , and from the definition of the operation  $S, I_a(s, t) = 0$ . Using property (2) of the definition of  $I$ -functions and summing over  $i \in S_a^*$  we have:  $\sum I(s, t) + \sum I(st, i) = \sum I(s, it) + \sum I(t, i)$ .  $I(s, t) = 0$  and thus:  $\sum I(r, i) = \sum I(s, it) + \sum I(t, i)$ . But  $\sum I(r, i)$  is minimal and  $\sum I(s, it) \geq 1$  by property (3) of  $I$ -functions. This is clearly a contradiction.

In [2] PETRICH obtains a representation for  $N$ -semigroups with two generators. Using his terminology it is not difficult to show that an  $N$ -semigroup with two generators, in which  $n_1 > n_2$ , has two  $\succcurlyeq$  maximal elements but only one normal standard element. Thus, the converse of Theorem 2.5, is not true.

**3. An Isomorphism Theorem.** Let  $S, S'$  be two finitely generated  $N$ -semigroups. We then have the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let the mapping  $H : S \rightarrow S'$  be an isomorphism onto; then, if  $a \in S$ , is a normal standard element,  $(a)H \in S'$  is a normal standard element of  $S'$ ,  $S_a^*$  is isomorphic to  $S'_{(a)H}$  and  $I_a$  is identical to  $I_{(a)H}$ .*

*Proof.*  $x \in S$  fails to be prime to  $a$  if and only if  $x = y \cdot a, x = ya$ . But  $(x)H = (y \cdot a)H = (y)H(a)H$ . This shows that the number of elements prime to  $a$  in  $S$  is not increased by a homomorphism. But an isomorphism onto implies an isomorphism  $H^{-1}$  from  $S'$  to  $S$  and normal standard elements are preserved. One now need only note that  $S_a^*$  and  $S'_{(a)H}$  are defined by multiplication of elements of  $S$  and  $S'$  as follows. If  $x, y \in S$  are prime to  $a$  then we may represent classes of  $S_a^*$  by  $x$  and  $y$  and  $x \cdot y$  (as elements of  $S$ ) =  $z \cdot a^n$ . But clearly  $(x)H \cdot (y)H = (z)H(a)H^n$ . We now note that  $I_a(x, y) = n$  the exponent of  $a$  in  $x \cdot y = z \cdot a^n$ . It is now clear that  $H$  preserves the structure of  $S_a^*$  and the values of  $I_a$ .

We may now show:

**Theorem 3.2.** *S is isomorphic onto S' if and only if S and S' have a common representation in terms of a structure group S\* and it is corresponding I-function.*

*Proof.* The only if portion of the above is immediate. But if S is isomorphic onto S' we may use Lemma 3.1 and any pair of normal standard elements a and (a)H to obtain a common representation.

Thus, in the case of finitely generated N-semigroups the general problems of isomorphism discussed in [3] may be solved by examining the representations in terms of normal standard elements. This finite collection of representations may be used as a canonical set of representations. Then if one has two N-semigroup representations the method outlined in Section 2 may be used to construct the two sets of normal standard representations. If these two sets have a non-empty intersection then the two original N-semigroup representations really represent the same N-semigroup.

#### *References*

- [1] *E. Hewitt and H. S. Zuckermann: The L1-algebra of a Commutative Semigroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1956) 70—97.*
- [2] *M. Petrich: On the Structure of a Class of Commutative Semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 14 (1964) 147—153.*
- [3] *M. Sasaki: On the Isomorphism Problem of Certain Semigroups Constructed from Indexed Groups, Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Vol. 41, No. 9 (1965) 763—765.*
- [4] *T. Tamura: Commutative Nonpotent Archimedian Semigroup with Cancellation Law I, Journal of the Gakugei, Tokushima University, Vol. VII (1957) 6—11.*

*Author's address:* Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84601, U.S.A.