

Jaroslav Kurzweil

Addition to my paper “Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter”

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 9 (1959), No. 4, 564–573

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100382>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1959

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ADDITION TO MY PAPER "GENERALIZED ORDINARY
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND CONTINUOUS
DEPENDENCE ON A PARAMETER"

JAROSLAV KURZWEIL, Praha

(Received December 8, 1958)

This paper contains an improved treatment of section 3 of [1]. It follows that the results of section 4 of [1] are valid in a more general form. Further the results of section 5,1 [1] are formulated and proved in a correct way.

We shall use definitions and notations introduced in [1].

1. We shall give new proofs of Theorems 3,1 and 3,2 [1]. In these proofs weaker assumptions concerning the monotony properties of $\psi(\eta)$ are needed.

Let $\psi(\eta)$ be defined for $0 \leq \eta \leq \sigma$, ($\sigma > 0$), $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(\eta) \geq 0$. Let

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \psi\left(\frac{\eta}{2^j}\right) \tag{1}$$

converge uniformly. (This assumption is specially fulfilled if $\psi(\eta)$ is nondecreasing and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \psi\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^j}\right) < \infty$.) Let us put

$$\Psi(\eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^j}{\eta} \psi\left(\frac{\eta}{2^j}\right) \quad \text{for } 0 < \eta \leq \sigma, \quad \Psi(0) = 0.$$

If $\frac{\sigma}{2^{k+1}} \leq \eta \leq \frac{\sigma}{2^k}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), $\zeta = 2^k \eta$, then $\Psi(\eta) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^j}{\zeta} \psi\left(\frac{\zeta}{2^j}\right)$.

As (1) convergences uniformly, it follows, that $\Psi(\eta) \rightarrow 0$ for $\eta \rightarrow 0 +$.

Let $\tau_* < \tau^* \leq \tau_* + \sigma$. Let Q be the square $\tau_* \leq t \leq \tau^*$, $\tau_* \leq \tau \leq \tau^*$.

Let $V(\tau, t)$ be defined on Q , $\tau_* \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \tau^*$. Let us denote

$$S_i(V; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = S_i = \sum_{j=0}^{2^i-1} [V(\zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}) - V(\zeta_j, \zeta_j)], \tag{1a}$$

$$Z_i(V; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = Z_i = \sum_{j=0}^{2^i-1} [V(\zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_{j+1}) - V(\zeta_{j+1}, \zeta_j)] \tag{1b}$$

where

$$\zeta_j = \lambda_1 + \frac{j}{2^i} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^i.$$

Lemma 1. *If $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = v(\tau, t)$ is continuous and if $|v(\tau, t) - v(\tau', t)| \leq C|\tau - \tau'|$ for $\tau, \tau', t \in \langle \tau_*, \tau^* \rangle$, then $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV$ exists and*

$$\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} v(\tau, \tau) d\tau, \quad (2)$$

$$\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Z_i. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $h(t) = \int_{\lambda_1}^t v(\tau, \tau) d\tau$. As

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t) - h(\tau) - V(\tau, t) + V(\tau, \tau)| &= \left| \int_{\tau}^t v(\xi, \xi) d\xi - \int_{\tau}^t v(\tau, \xi) d\xi \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} |t - \tau|^2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows, that $h(t) + \varepsilon t$ (resp. $h(t) - \varepsilon t$), $\varepsilon > 0$ is an upper (lower) function of V , the integral $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV$ exists and (2) holds (cf. [1], section 1,1). Further

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV - S_i \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^i-1} \left[\int_{\zeta_j}^{\zeta_{j+1}} v(\tau, \tau) d\tau - \int_{\zeta_j}^{\zeta_{j+1}} v(\zeta_j, \tau) d\tau \right] \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{2^i-1} \int_{\zeta_j}^{\zeta_{j+1}} C(\tau - \zeta_j) d\tau = \\ &= \frac{C}{2} \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^2}{2^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV - Z_i \right| \leq \frac{C}{2} \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^2}{2^i}$$

and (3) holds.

Theorem 1. *Let $U(\tau, t)$ be defined and continuous on Q and let*

$$|U(\tau + \eta, t + \eta) - U(\tau + \eta, t) - U(\tau, t + \eta) + U(\tau, t)| \leq \psi(\eta)$$

if $0 < \eta \leq \sigma$ and if $(\tau + \eta, t + \eta), (\tau + \eta, \tau), (\tau, t + \eta), (\tau, t) \in Q$. Then $\int_{\tau_}^{\tau^*} DU$*

exists and

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U - U(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) + U(\lambda_1, \lambda_1) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1), \quad (4)$$

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U - U(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) + U(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \quad (5)$$

for $\tau^* \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \tau^*$.

Proof. By a usual approximating process we find such a sequence of functions $U_k(\tau, t)$ on Q that

- i) $U_k(\tau, t)$ has continuous derivatives of the second order,
- ii) $U_k(\tau, t) \rightarrow U(\tau, t)$ uniformly,
- iii) for every $\vartheta > 0$ there is such a $K(\vartheta)$, that

$$|U_k(\tau + \eta, t + \eta) - U_k(\tau + \eta, t) - U_k(\tau, t + \eta) + U_k(\tau, t)| \leq \varphi(\eta)$$

if

$$k > K(\vartheta), \quad \tau_* + \vartheta \leq \tau < \tau_* + \eta \leq \tau^* - \vartheta, \\ \tau_* + \vartheta \leq t < t + \eta \leq \tau^* - \vartheta.$$

Let

$$\vartheta > 0, \quad \tau_* + \vartheta \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \tau^* - \vartheta.$$

According to Lemma 1 $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k$ exists and $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i(U_k; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

$$S_{i+1}(U_k; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) - S_i(U_k; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{2^i-1} [U_k(\xi_j, \xi_j + \eta) - U_k(\xi_j, \xi_j) - U_k(\xi_j - \eta, \xi_j + \eta) + U_k(\xi_j - \eta, \xi_j)], \\ \xi_j = \lambda_1 + \frac{j}{2^i}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2^{i+1}}, \quad \eta = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2^{i+1}}.$$

If $k > K(\vartheta)$, then

$$|S_{i+1}(U_k; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) - S_i(U_k; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)| \leq 2^i \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2^{i+1}} \right)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k - U_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) + U_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_1) \right| = \left| \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i - S_0 \right| \leq \\ \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |S_{i+1} - S_i| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^i \varphi \left(\frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2^{i+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1). \quad (6)$$

Similarly

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k - U_k(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) + U_k(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1). \quad (7)$$

Let $0 < \delta \leq \sigma$ and let $A = \{\alpha_0, \tau_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \tau_s, \alpha_s\}$ be such a subdivision of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ (i. e. $\lambda_1 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_s = \lambda_2$, $\alpha_0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{s-1} \leq \tau_s \leq \alpha_s$) that

$$\tau_j - \alpha_{j-1} < \delta, \quad \alpha_j - \tau_j < \delta. \quad (8)$$

Let us put

$$R(V, A) = \sum_{j=1}^s [V(\tau_j, \alpha_j) - V(\tau_j, \alpha_{j-1})].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DU_k - R(U_k, A) \right| = \\ & = \left| \sum_{j=1}^s \left[\int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\tau_j} DU_k - U_k(\tau_j, \tau_j) + U_k(\tau_j, \alpha_{j-1}) + \int_{\tau_j}^{\alpha_j} DU_k - U_k(\tau_j, \alpha_j) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + U_k(\tau_j, \tau_j) \right] \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{2} [(\tau_j - \alpha_{j-1}) \Psi(\tau_j - \alpha_{j-1}) + (\alpha_j - \tau_j) \Psi(\alpha_j - \tau_j)] \leq \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2} \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t). \end{aligned}$$

If A_1, A_2 are subdivisions of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ which fulfil (8), then

$$|R(U_k, A_2) - R(U_k, A_1)| \leq (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t). \quad (9)$$

As $U_k \rightarrow U$ it follows that $R(U_k, A_1) \rightarrow R(U, A_1)$, $R(U_k, A_2) \rightarrow R(U, A_2)$ and

$$|R(U, A_2) - R(U, A_1)| \leq (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t). \quad (10)$$

Consequently $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DU$ exists as $\Psi(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ with $\delta \rightarrow 0$.¹⁾

¹⁾ Summarizing the results of [1], section 1 we obtain, that $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV$ exists if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists such a positive function $\delta(\tau)$ that λ_1

$$|R(V, A_2) - R(V, A_1)| < \varepsilon \quad (*)$$

if the subdivisions $A_1 = \{\alpha_0, \tau_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \tau_s, \alpha_s\}$, $A_2 = \{\alpha'_0, \tau'_1, \alpha'_1, \dots, \tau'_r, \alpha'_r\}$ of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ fulfil the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j - \alpha_{j-1} < \delta(\tau_j), \quad \alpha_j - \tau_j < \delta(\tau_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s, \\ \tau'_j - \alpha'_{j-1} < \delta(\tau'_j), \quad \alpha'_j - \tau'_j < \delta(\tau'_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

In this case $\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DV - R(V, A_1) \right| \leq \varepsilon$.

Let δ_1 be such a positive constant, that $(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\delta) < \varepsilon$ for $0 < \delta < \delta_1$. We proved that (*) is fulfilled for $V = U$ (cf. (10)) if $\delta(\tau) = \delta_1$. As in this case $(\delta(\tau) = \delta_1 = \text{const})$ (**) is equivalent to the usual conditions (that the respective subdivisions A_1, A_2 are fine enough) of the Riemann theory of integration, we may say, that $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DU$ exists in the sense of Riemann.

It follows from (9) and (10) that

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k - R(U_k, A_1) \right| \leq (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t),$$

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U - R(U, A_1) \right| \leq (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t).$$

As δ is arbitrary and $R(U_k, A_1) \rightarrow R(U, A_1)$, $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k \rightarrow \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U$.

Passing to the limit for $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (6) and (7) we obtain (4) and (5) with the additional assumption $\tau_* < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \tau^*$.

Let $\zeta \in (\tau_*, \tau^*)$. As $\int_{\zeta}^{\lambda} \mathbf{D}U$ is uniformly continuous in λ on (τ_*, τ^*) and $U(\tau, t)$ is continuous on Q , $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U$ exists if $\tau_* \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \tau^*$ (cf. Theorem 1,3,5, [1]) and (4) and (5) hold for $\tau_* \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \tau^*$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Let S be the set of such (τ, t) that $\tau_* \leq \tau \leq \tau^*$, $\tau_* \leq t \leq \tau^*$, $|\tau - t| \leq \sigma$.

Theorem 2. *Let the functions $U_k(\tau, t)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be defined and continuous on S and let*

$$|U_k(\tau + \eta, t + \eta) - U_k(\tau + \eta, t) - U_k(\tau, t + \eta) + U_k(\tau, t)| \leq \psi(\eta)$$

if

$$0 < \eta \leq \sigma, \quad (\tau + \eta, t + \eta), (\tau + \eta, t), (\tau, t + \eta), (\tau, t) \in S.$$

Let $U_k(\tau, t) \rightarrow U(\tau, t)$ uniformly on S with $k \rightarrow \infty$.

(11)

Then

$$\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k \rightarrow \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U \quad \text{with } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for } \tau_* \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \tau^*. \quad (12)$$

Proof. From (2) and (3) we obtain in a similar manner as in the proof of the preceding theorem that

$$\left| \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \mathbf{D}U_k - B(U_k, A) \right| \leq (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t)$$

in the subdivision A of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ fulfils (8), $0 < \delta \leq \sigma$ and (12) follows from (11), as $B(U_k, A) \rightarrow B(U, A)$ with $k \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Theorem 1,3,4, [1]).

Note 1. The results of section 4, [1] (specially Theorems 4,1,1, 4,1,2, 4,2,1, Lemma 4,1,1) are valid, if we omit the assumption that $\eta^{-1}\psi(\eta)$ is nondecreasing

(we assume of course, that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \psi\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^j}\right) < \infty$; $\psi(\eta) = \omega_1(\eta) \omega_2(\eta)$ is nondecreasing, as $\omega_1(\eta)$ and $\omega_2(\eta)$ are nondecreasing).

Note 2. Suppose that the values of the function $U(\tau, t)$ ($\tau, t \in \langle \tau_*, \tau^* \rangle$) belong to a Banach space B . For $X \in B$ let $|X|$ be the norm of X . Let $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle \subset$

$c \langle \tau_*, \tau^* \rangle$. If $A = \{\alpha_0, \tau_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \tau_s, \alpha_s\}$ is a subdivision of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$, put

$$R(U, A) = \sum_{j=1}^s [U(\tau_j, \alpha_j) - U(\tau_j, \alpha_{j-1})].$$

Suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists such a function $\delta(\tau) > 0$ that

$$|R(U, A) - R(U, A')| < \varepsilon$$

if the subdivisions $A = \{\alpha_0, \tau_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \tau_s, \alpha_s\}$, $A' = \{\alpha'_0, \tau'_1, \alpha'_1, \dots, \tau'_r, \alpha'_r\}$ of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ fulfil the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i - \alpha_{i-1} < \delta(\tau_i), \quad \alpha_i - \tau_i < \delta(\tau_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s, \\ \tau'_i - \alpha'_{i-1} < \delta(\tau'_i), \quad \alpha'_i - \tau'_i < \delta(\tau'_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \quad (1^*)$$

Then there exists such a $W \in B$ that

$$|W - R(U, A)| \leq \varepsilon$$

if the subdivision A of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ fulfils (1*). In this case we define

$$\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DU(\tau, t) = W.$$

We shall show that Theorem 1 remains valid if the values of U belong to B . Let us put

$$\bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \quad (2^*)$$

$$\bar{Z}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Z_i(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \quad (3^*)$$

where S_i, Z_i are defined by the formulas (1a), (1b). In the same manner as we deduced (6) we obtain that the limits in (2*) and (3*) exist and that

$$|\bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) - U(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) + U(\lambda_1, \lambda_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1), \quad (4^*)$$

$$|\bar{Z}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) - U(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) + U(\lambda_2, \lambda_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \Psi(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1). \quad (5^*)$$

Obviously

$$\varphi S_i(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = S_i(\varphi U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \quad \varphi Z_i(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = Z_i(\varphi U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

where φ is a linear functional on B . It follows that

$$\varphi \bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} D\varphi U(\tau, t) = \varphi \bar{Z}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Hence

$$\bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \bar{Z}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \quad (6^*)$$

and

$$\bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) + \bar{S}(U; \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_3). \quad (7^*)$$

Let $\delta > 0$ and let A be a subdivision of $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$, $\alpha_i - \tau_i < \delta$, $\tau_i - \alpha_{i-1} < \delta$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

It follows from (4*)-(7*) that

$$|\bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) - R(U, A)| \leq (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \Psi(t).$$

Consequently $\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DU(\tau, t)$ exists and

$$\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} DU(\tau, t) = \bar{S}(U; \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

From (4*), (5*) and (6*) we obtain (4) and (5). Theorem 1 holds.

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 in the same manner as in the scalar case.

2. Some considerations in section 5,1, [1] are not correct (especially p. 444, formulas in the 3rd and 5th line from below and p. 445 formula in the 6th line from above). The aim of section 5,1, [1] was to prove that the solutions of generalized linear differential equations are unique and to establish the variation-of-constants formula. Here we shall give the correct proofs. The author intends to use the variation-of-constants formula for an investigation of linear differential equations. Therefore we shall work with general moduli of continuity ω_3, ω_4 while in section 5,1 [1] it was assumed that the respective moduli of continuity are powers of η .

Let $\omega_3(\eta), \omega_4(\eta)$ be nondecreasing on $\langle 0, \sigma \rangle$, $\omega_3(\eta) \geq c\eta$, $\omega_4(\eta) \geq c\eta$ ($c > 0$), $\omega_5(\eta) = \max(\omega_3(\eta), \omega_4(\eta))$, $\psi_4(\eta) = \omega_4^2(\eta)$, $\psi_5(\eta) = \omega_5(\eta) \omega_4(\eta)$.

Suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \psi_5 \left(\frac{\sigma}{2^j} \right) < \infty$ and put $\Psi_i(\eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^j}{\eta} \psi_i \left(\frac{\eta}{2^j} \right)$, $i = 4, 5$.

Let $A(t)$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ be an $n \times n$ -matrix and let $B(t)$ be an n -vector and suppose that

$$\|A(t_2) - A(t_1)\| \leq \omega_4(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } |t_2 - t_1| \leq \sigma, \quad (13)$$

$$\|B(t_2) - B(t_1)\| \leq \omega_3(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } |t_2 - t_1| \leq \sigma. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 2. *Let $c \in E_n$. There exists at most one regular²⁾ solution $x(\tau)$ of*

²⁾ Let $F(x, t) \in F(G, \omega_1, \omega_2, \sigma)$ (cf. [1], section 4,1). Let $x(\tau)$, $\tau \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ be a solution of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x, t).$$

$x(\tau)$ is a regular solution, if there is such a $\sigma' > 0$, that $\|x(\tau_3) - x(\tau_4)\| \leq 2\omega_1(|\tau_3 - \tau_4|)$ for $\tau_3, \tau_4 \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$, $|\tau_3 - \tau_4| \leq \sigma'$. This definition is equivalent to Definition 4,2,1 [1], as the interval $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ is compact.

Let $y(\tau)$ be a solution of

$$\frac{dy}{d\tau} = D[A(t)y + B(t)] \quad (16)$$

on an interval I [I may be closed, open or $I = (-\infty, \infty)$]. Let $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ be a compact subinterval of I . $y(\tau)$ is continuous on I (cf. Theorem 1,3,6 and Definition 2,1,1 [1] and therefore there exists such a bounded open subset G of E_{n+1} [$(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space], that contains all the points $(y(\tau), \tau)$ for $\tau \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$. Obviously $A(t)y + B(t) \in F(G, K_3\omega_5(\eta), K_3\omega_4(\eta), \sigma)$ if K_3 is great enough. $y(\tau)$, $\tau \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ is regular [with respect to $F(G, K_3\omega_5(\eta), K_3\omega_4(\eta), \sigma)$], if there is such a $\sigma' > 0$, that $\|y(\tau_4) - y(\tau_3)\| \leq 2K_3\omega_5(|\tau_4 - \tau_3|)$ for $\tau_3, \tau_4 \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$, $|\tau_4 - \tau_3| \leq \sigma'$. We shall say that $y(\tau)$ is a regular solution of (16), if for every compact subinterval $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ of I there exist such positive K_4 and σ' that $\|y(\tau_4) - y(\tau_3)\| \leq K_4\omega_5(|\tau_4 - \tau_3|)$ for $\tau_3, \tau_4 \in \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$, $|\tau_4 - \tau_3| \leq \sigma'$

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DA(t)x, \quad (15)$$

which fulfils $x(t_0) = c$.

In order to prove Lemma 2 we may use the proof of Lemma 5,1 [1]. At the same time Lemma 2 is a consequence of Theorem 1 [2].

Lemma 3. *The regular solutions of (15) are defined for $\tau \in (-\infty, \infty)$.*

Lemma 3 is a consequence of Lemma 2 and Theorem 4,2,1 [1], where we put $F_1(x, t) = F_0(x, t) = A(t)x$, $x_0(\tau) = 0$, $\varepsilon = 1$, $G = E(x, t; \|x\| < 1)$. It follows that for every $T > 0$ there is such a $\delta > 0$ that the regular solutions of (15) which fulfil $\|x(0)\| < \delta$ are defined on $\langle 0, T \rangle$ and fulfil $\|x(\tau)\| < 1$ on $\langle 0, T \rangle$. By the substitution $t' = -t$ we obtain that the solutions of (15) which fulfil $\|x(0)\| < \delta'$ are defined on $\langle -T, 0 \rangle$ and fulfil $\|x(\tau)\| < 1$ on $\langle -T, 0 \rangle$.

The fundamental matrix of (15) is a $n \times n$ -matrix $\Phi(\tau)$, $\Phi(0) = E^3$ every column of which is a regular solution of (15). It follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 that $\Phi(\tau)$ is defined uniquely for $\tau \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Our aim is to establish the variation-of-constants formula for the solutions of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[A(t)x + B(t)]. \quad (16)$$

Let $A_k(t)$, $B_k(t)$ be such matrices and vectors, that $A_k(t) \rightarrow A(t)$, $B_k(t) \rightarrow B(t)$ uniformly on every bounded interval, $\frac{d}{dt} A_k(t) = a_k(t)$, $\frac{d}{dt} B_k(t) = b_k(t)$ are continuous, $A_k(t)$ fulfil (13), $B_k(t)$ fulfil (14).

As the generalized equation

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[A_k(t)x + B_k(t)]$$

is equivalent to the classical equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = a_k(t)x + b_k(t),$$

we have the variation-of-constants formula

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(s) &= \Phi_k(s) \left[z + \int_0^s \Xi_k(t) b_k(t) dt \right] = \\ &= \Phi_k(s) \left[z + \int_0^s D\Xi_k(\tau) B_k(t) \right], \quad x_k(0) = z, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\Phi_k(\tau)$ is the fundamental matrix of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = D[A_k(t)x] \quad (18)$$

and $\Xi_k(\tau) = \Phi_k^{-1}(\tau)$.

³⁾ E is the unit matrix.

According to Lemma 2 and Theorem 4,2,1, [1] $\Phi_k(\tau) \rightarrow \Phi(\tau)$ uniformly on every bounded interval. As $\Xi_k^*(\tau)$ ⁴⁾ is the fundamental matrix of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = -DA_k^*(t)x, \quad (19)$$

it follows similarly, that $\Xi_k^*(\tau) \rightarrow \Xi^*(\tau)$ uniformly on every bounded interval, where $\Xi^*(\tau)$ is the fundamental matrix of

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = -DA^*(t)x.$$

Passing to the limit for $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\Phi_k(\tau)\Xi_k(\tau) = E$ we obtain that $\Phi(\tau)\Xi(\tau) = E$ ($\Xi = \Xi^{**}$).

Let $T > 0$. As the columns of $\Xi_k^*(\tau)$ are regular solutions of (19), there exist such K_{5k} and σ'_k that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi_k(t_2) - \Xi_k(t_1)\| &\leq K_{5k}\omega_4(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \langle -T, T \rangle, \\ |t_2 - t_1| &\leq \sigma'_k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exist such constants K_{6k} , that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi_k(t_2) - \Xi_k(t_1)\| &\leq K_{6k}\omega_4(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \langle -T, T \rangle, \\ |t_2 - t_1| &\leq \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 4,1,1 [1] there exist such $\sigma^* > 0$ ($\sigma^* \leq \sigma$) and $L > 0$ (independent on k) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi_k(t_2) - \Xi_k(t_1)\| &\leq L\omega_4(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \langle -T, T \rangle, \\ |t_2 - t_1| &\leq \sigma^*. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_k(t_2) - \Phi_k(t_1)\| &\leq L'\omega_4(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \langle -T, T \rangle, \\ |t_2 - t_1| &\leq \sigma^*. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Theorem 2 together with (14) and (17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s D\Xi_k(\tau) B_k(t) \rightarrow \int_0^s D\Xi(\tau) B(t) \quad \text{uniformly with } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for} \\ s \in \langle -T, T \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Consequently the uniform limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(t) = x(t)$ exists. From (13), (14), (17), (20), (21) and Theorem 1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} D\Xi_k(\tau) B_k(t) \right\| &\leq K_7\omega_3(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \langle -T, T \rangle, \quad |t_2 - t_1| \leq \sigma^*, \\ \|x_k(t_2) - x_k(t_1)\| &\leq K_8\omega_5(|t_2 - t_1|) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \langle -T, T \rangle, \quad |t_2 - t_1| \leq \sigma^*. \end{aligned}$$

⁴⁾ Ξ_k^* is the conjugate transpose to Ξ_k .

⁵⁾ The number σ^* which occurs in Lemma 4,1,1 [1] depends only on $K, G_1, \omega_1, \omega_2$, not on the right-hand side of equation (4,1,04). Let us denote by $\xi_{kj}^*(\tau)$ ($\xi_j^*(\tau)$) the j -th column of the matrix $\Xi_k^*(\tau)$ ($\Xi^*(\tau)$). In the present case we choose such an open and bounded set G_1 that contains all the points $(\xi_{k,j}^*(\tau), \tau)$, $(\xi_j^*(\tau), \tau)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\tau \in \langle -T, T \rangle$.

According to Theorem 4,1,1 [1] $x(\tau)$ is a solution of (16).⁶⁾ Passing to the limit for $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (17) we obtain the required variation-of-constants formula

$$x(s) = \Phi(s)[z + \int_0^s D\mathcal{E}(\tau) B(t)], \quad x(0) = z. \quad (23)$$

As the difference of two regular solutions of (16) is a regular⁷⁾ solution of (15), Lemma 2 implies that $x(\tau)$ is the only regular solution of (16), which fulfils $x(0) = z$.

REFERENCES

- [1] *J. Kurzweil*: Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations and Continuous Dependence on a Parameter, Czech. Mat. Journal 7 (82), 1957, 3, 418—449.
 [2] *J. Kurzweil*: Unicity of Solutions of Generalized Differential Equations, Czech. Mat. Journal 8 (83), 1958, 4, 502—509.

Резюме

ДОБАВЛЕНИЕ К МОЕЙ СТАТЬЕ „ОБОБЩЕННЫЕ ОБЫКНОВЕННЫЕ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫЕ УРАВНЕНИЯ И НЕПРЕРЫВНАЯ ЗАВИСИМОСТЬ ОТ ПАРАМЕТРА“

ЯРОСЛАВ КУРЦВЕЙЛЬ (Jaroslav Kurzweil), Прага

(Поступило в редакцию 8/XII 1959 г.)

Дается улучшенное изложение третьего параграфа статьи [1]. В новых доказательствах теорем этого параграфа употребляются более слабые предположения, касающиеся монотонности функции $\psi(\eta)$. Следовательно, в этих более общих предположениях верны и основные результаты статьи [1], содержащиеся в четвертом параграде. Далее, для обобщенных линейных уравнений выводится формула вариации постоянных (что представляет корректное изложение параграфа 5,1 статьи [1]).

⁶⁾ $A_k(t)x + B_k(t) \in F(G, K_g \omega_5(\eta), \omega_4(\eta), \sigma)$ where G is a suitable bounded set and K_g is great enough.

⁷⁾ Cf. footnote ²⁾.