

Shunsuke Kurima

Nonlinear diffusion equations with perturbation terms on unbounded domains

In: Karol Mikula (ed.): Proceedings of Equadiff 14, Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications, Bratislava, July 24-28, 2017. Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, SPEKTRUM STU Publishing, Bratislava, 2017. pp. 37–44.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/703024>

Terms of use:

© Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, 2017

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

NONLINEAR DIFFUSION EQUATIONS WITH PERTURBATION TERMS ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

SHUNSUKE KURIMA*

Abstract. This paper considers the initial-boundary value problem for the nonlinear diffusion equation with the perturbation term

$$u_t + (-\Delta + 1)\beta(u) + G(u) = g \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

in an unbounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth bounded boundary, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 0$, β is a single-valued maximal monotone function on \mathbb{R} , e.g.,

$$\beta(r) = |r|^{q-1}r \quad (q > 0, q \neq 1)$$

and G is a function on \mathbb{R} which can be regarded as a Lipschitz continuous operator from $(H^1(\Omega))^*$ to $(H^1(\Omega))^*$. The present work establishes existence and estimates for the above problem.

Key words. porous media equations, fast diffusion equations, subdifferential operators

AMS subject classifications. 35K59, 35K35, 47H05

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the initial-boundary value problem for the nonlinear diffusion equation with the perturbation term

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta + 1)\beta(u) + G(u) = g & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_\nu \beta(u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

where Ω is an *unbounded* domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \in \mathbb{N}$) with smooth bounded boundary $\partial\Omega$ (e.g., $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B(0, R)}$, where $B(0, R)$ is the open ball with center 0 and radius $R > 0$) or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $T > 0$, and ∂_ν denotes the derivative with respect to the outward normal of $\partial\Omega$. Though the precise conditions for β , G , g and u_0 will be given in (A1)-(A4) stated later, we roughly explain that β is a single-valued maximal monotone function, e.g.,

$$\beta(r) = |r|^{q-1}r,$$

where the problem is the porous media equation in the case that $q > 1$ (see e.g., [1, 13, 17, 18]) and is the fast diffusion equation in the case that $0 < q < 1$ (see e.g., [5, 15, 17]); G can be regarded as a Lipschitz continuous operator from $(H^1(\Omega))^*$ to $(H^1(\Omega))^*$; g and u_0 are known functions.

Nonlinear diffusion equations on unbounded domains are not so substantially studied from a viewpoint of the operator theory, whereas in the case that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ the equations are studied by the method of real analysis (see e.g., [11]). The case of unbounded domains would be important in both mathematics and physics. Also, since compact methods do not work directly on unbounded domains, it would be worth studying the case of unbounded domains mathematically. Also, the perturbation term $G(u)$ makes proving existence for (P) without growth conditions for β be difficult (see

*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan (shunsuke.kurima@gmail.com).

Remark in the end of this section). Although we give an example of G only as $G(u) = u$ in this paper, if we can weaken the condition for G and we can take $G(u) = -\beta(u)$, then we can possibly deal with the “pure” diffusion equation as $u_t - \Delta\beta(u) = g$.

In the case that $G \equiv 0$, in [12] and [9], existence of weak solutions to (P) and their estimates were shown by monotonicity methods.

The new point of this paper is that the perturbation term “ $G(u)$ ” is added to the left-hand side of the equation $u_t + (-\Delta + 1)\beta(u) = g$ studied in [12] and [9]. The purpose of this paper is to show existence of weak solutions to (P) and to obtain their estimates. In particular, we prove existence for (P) by using Brézis’s theory which is a monotonicity method for an abstract evolution equation including a subdifferential operator and a perturbation term.

We first give assumptions, notations and definitions used in this paper before introducing main results.

Assume that β , G , g and u_0 satisfy the following conditions:

(A1) The following (A1a), (A1b) and (A1c) hold:

(A1a) $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a single-valued maximal monotone function and

$$\beta(r) = \hat{\beta}'(r) = \partial\hat{\beta}(r),$$

where $\hat{\beta}'$ and $\partial\hat{\beta}$ respectively denote the differential and subdifferential of a proper differentiable (lower semicontinuous) convex function $\hat{\beta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ satisfying $\hat{\beta}(0) = 0$. This entails $\beta(0) = 0$.

(A1b) There exist constants $m > 1$ and $c_0, c'_0 > 0$ such that for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\hat{\beta}(r) \geq c_0|r|^m$$

and

$$|\beta(r)| \leq c'_0|r|^{m-1}$$

hold.

(A1c) For all $z \in H^1(\Omega)$, if $\hat{\beta}(z) \in L^1(\Omega)$, then $\beta(z) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. For all $z \in H^1(\Omega)$ and all $\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, if $\hat{\beta}(z) \in L^1(\Omega)$, then $\hat{\beta}(z + \psi) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

(A2) $G : (H^1(\Omega))^* \rightarrow (H^1(\Omega))^*$ is a Lipschitz continuous operator.

(A3) $g \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$.

(A4) $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\hat{\beta}(u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

From (A3) we can fix a solution $f \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + 1)f(t) = g(t) & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu f(t) = 0 & \text{in the sense of traces on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla f(t) \cdot \nabla z + \int_{\Omega} f(t)z = \int_{\Omega} g(t)z \quad \text{for all } z \in H^1(\Omega).$$

An example of (A2) is given as $G(v^*) = v^*$ for all $v^* \in (H^1(\Omega))^*$.

We define the Hilbert spaces

$$H := L^2(\Omega), \quad V := H^1(\Omega)$$

with inner products $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$, respectively. Moreover we put

$$W := \{z \in H^2(\Omega) \mid \partial_\nu z = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

The notation V^* denotes the dual space of V with duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V^*, V}$. Moreover the Riesz representation theorem ensures the existence of a bijective mapping $F : V \rightarrow V^*$ satisfying

$$\langle Fv_1, v_2 \rangle_{V^*, V} := (v_1, v_2)_V \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in V$$

and we define the inner product in V^* as

$$(v_1^*, v_2^*)_{V^*} := \langle v_1^*, F^{-1}v_2^* \rangle_{V^*, V} \quad \text{for all } v_1^*, v_2^* \in V^*.$$

We remark that (A3) implies

$$Ff(t) = g(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T). \quad (1.1)$$

We give the definition of weak solutions to (P).

DEFINITION 1.1. *A pair (u, μ) with*

$$u \in H^1(0, T; V^*), \quad \mu \in L^2(0, T; V)$$

is called a weak solution of (P) if (u, μ) satisfies

$$\langle u'(t) + G(u(t)), z \rangle_{V^*, V} + (\mu(t), z)_V = 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in V \text{ and a.a. } t \in (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mu(t) = \beta(u(t)) - f(t) \quad \text{in } V \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T), \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega. \quad (1.4)$$

We next state the main result which asserts existence and estimates for (P).

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume (A1)-(A4). Then there exists a unique weak solution (u, μ) of (P) satisfying $u \in H^1(0, T; V^*), \mu \in L^2(0, T; V)$. Moreover, if it holds that $G(v^*) = av^*$ for $v^* \in V^*$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, $u(t) \in H$ and*

$$|u(t)|_H^2 \leq M, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\int_0^t |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds + a|u(t)|_{V^*}^2 \leq M, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\int_0^t |\mu(s)|_V^2 ds \leq M, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\int_0^t |\beta(u(s))|_V^2 ds \leq M. \quad (1.8)$$

In the case that $G \equiv 0$, in [12], existence of weak solutions to (P) was proved by rewriting (P) to

$$u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) = g(t) \quad \text{in } V^*,$$

where ϕ is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function on V^* and $\partial\phi$ is the subdifferential of ϕ , and by applying Brézis's theory ([3, Theorem 3.6]). Also, the m -growth

condition for β was assumed to derive the lower semicontinuity of $\phi : V^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The examples are the porous media equation and the fast diffusion equation. Recently, in [9], the approximation

$$u'_\varepsilon(t) + (-\Delta + 1)(\varepsilon(-\Delta + 1)u_\varepsilon(t) + \beta(u_\varepsilon(t)) + \pi_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t))) = g \quad (\text{P})_\varepsilon$$

was considered and existence of weak solutions to $(\text{P})_\varepsilon$ with their estimates was shown; moreover, existence of weak solutions to (P) with their estimates was obtained without growth conditions for β , and existence of weak solutions to (P) , their estimates were obtained without growth conditions for β by passing to the limit in $(\text{P})_\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. In addition to the porous media equation and fast diffusion equation, the examples of (P) include the Stefan problem (see e.g., [2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10]) which is described by (P) with

$$\beta(r) = \begin{cases} k_s r & \text{if } r < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq L, \\ k_\ell(r - L) & \text{if } r > L \end{cases}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, where k_s, k_ℓ, L are positive constants.

The strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to prove existence for (P) under the m -growth condition for β by setting some proper lower semicontinuous convex function $\phi : V^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ appropriately as in [12, Section 3], by rewriting (P) to

$$u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) + G(u(t)) = g \quad \text{in } V^*$$

and by applying Brézis's theory to the above abstract evolution equation with the perturbation term.

Remark. At the moment, we do not know whether existence of weak solutions to (P) can be proved in a similar way to [9] or not. Since existence of weak solutions to the approximation of (P)

$$u'_\varepsilon(t) + (-\Delta + 1)(\varepsilon(-\Delta + 1)u_\varepsilon(t) + \beta(u_\varepsilon(t)) + \pi_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t))) + G(u_\varepsilon(t)) = g \quad (1.9)$$

can be proved in a similar way to the above strategy for (P) , we can prove existence of weak solutions to (P) by passing the limit in (1.9) if we can obtain estimates for (1.9). In this paper we will directly prove existence of weak solutions to (P) under the m -growth condition for β without approximation (1.9). We hope that we can avoid growth conditions for β in a future work.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we prove existence of weak solutions to (P) . Section 3 obtains estimates for (P) . In Section 4 we present the porous media equation and the fast diffusion equation as examples of (P) .

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (existence). In this section we will prove existence of a unique weak solution to (P) . The following lemma is known in the Brézis's theory for a nonlinear evolution equation with a perturbation term including a subdifferential operator (see e.g., [3, Proposition 3.12]) and plays an important role in this section.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a real Hilbert space, let $\psi : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper l.s.c. convex function and let $G : X \rightarrow X$ be a Lipschitz continuous operator. If $u_0 \in D(\psi)$ and $\tilde{f} \in L^2(0, T; X)$, then there exists a unique function u such that $u \in H^1(0, T; X)$, $u(t) \in D(\partial\psi)$ for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ and u solves the following initial value problem:*

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\psi(u(t)) + G(u(t)) \ni \tilde{f}(t) & \text{in } X \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } X. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (existence). Defining $\phi : V^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ as

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}(z(x)) dx & \text{if } z \in D(\phi) := \{z \in V^* \cap L^m(\Omega) \mid \hat{\beta}(z) \in L^1(\Omega)\}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we deduce from [12, Section 3] that this ϕ is proper lower semicontinuous convex on V^* and

$$\beta(z) \in V, \quad \partial\phi(z) = F\beta(z) \quad (2.1)$$

hold for all $z \in D(\partial\phi)$. Hence, from (1.1) and (2.1) we can rewrite (1.2)-(1.4) in Definition 1.1 to

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) + G(u(t)) = g(t) & \text{in } V^* \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0 & \text{in } V^*. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Invoking Lemma 2.1, we can find a unique solution $u \in H^1(0, T; V^*)$ of (2.2) and $u(t) \in D(\partial\phi)$ for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$. Hence there exists a unique weak solution of (P). \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (estimates). We will obtain the estimates for weak solutions of (P) in this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (estimates). In addition to (A2) we assume further that

$$G(v^*) = av^*$$

for all $v^* \in V^*$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\lambda > 0$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= -\Delta : D(A) := W \subset H \rightarrow H, \\ J_{\lambda} &:= (I + \lambda A)^{-1} : H \rightarrow H, \\ A_{\lambda} &:= \lambda^{-1}(I - J_{\lambda}) : H \rightarrow H, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &:= F - I : V \rightarrow V^*, \\ \tilde{J}_{\lambda} &:= (I + \lambda \tilde{A})^{-1} : V^* \rightarrow V. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in H^1(0, T; V^*)$ be a unique solution of (2.2). We first show (1.5). Noting that $\tilde{J}_{\lambda}^{1/2} : V^* \rightarrow H$ is defined as a bounded operator (see e.g., [14, Lemma 3.3]) and putting

$$u_{\lambda}(t) := \tilde{J}_{\lambda}^{1/2} u(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),$$

we derive from [12, Lemma 3.3] that

$$u_{\lambda} \in H^1(0, T; H)$$

and

$$u'_{\lambda}(t) + \tilde{J}_{\lambda}^{1/2} F\beta(u(t)) + \tilde{J}_{\lambda}^{1/2} G(u(t)) = \tilde{J}_{\lambda}^{1/2} g(t).$$

Then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} |u_\lambda(s)|_H^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} |g(s)|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_\lambda(s)|_H^2 + (\tilde{J}_\lambda^{1/2} G(u(s)), u_\lambda(s))_H \quad (3.1)$$

in a similar way to [12, Section 3]. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{J}_\lambda^{1/2} G(u(s)), u_\lambda(s))_H &= a(\tilde{J}_\lambda^{1/2} u(s), u_\lambda(s))_H \\ &= a|u_\lambda(s)|_H^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus combining (3.1) and (3.2) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} |u_\lambda(s)|_H^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} |g(s)|_H^2 + \left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) |u_\lambda(s)|_H^2,$$

and hence the inequality

$$|u_\lambda(t)|_H^2 \leq e^{(2a+1)|T|} |u_0|_H^2 + e^{(2a+1)|T|} |g|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2$$

holds for all $t \in (0, T)$. Therefore it follows from a similar way to [12, Section 3, Proof of Theorem 1.1 (continued)] that for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$u(t) \in H$$

and there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)} \leq C,$$

which means that the estimate (1.5) holds.

Next we verify (1.6). The equation in (2.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 &= -(u'(s), \partial\phi(u(s)))_{V^*} + (u'(s), Ff(s))_{V^*} - a(u'(s), u(s))_{V^*} \\ &= -(u'(s), \partial\phi(u(s)))_{V^*} + (u'(s), Ff(s))_{V^*} - \frac{a}{2} \frac{d}{ds} |u(s)|_{V^*}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Here we have

$$(u'(s), \partial\phi(u(s)))_{V^*} = \frac{d}{ds} \phi(u(s))$$

(see e.g., Showalter [16, Lemma IV.4.3]) and it follows from the definition of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{V^*}$ and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} (u'(s), Ff(s))_{V^*} &= \langle u'(s), f(s) \rangle_{V^*, V} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f(s)|_V^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.3) combined with these facts leads to the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds \leq -\phi(u(t)) + \phi(u_0) + \frac{1}{2} |f|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 - \frac{a}{2} |u(t)|_{V^*}^2 + \frac{a}{2} |u_0|_{V^*}^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds + \int_\Omega \hat{\beta}(u(t)) + \frac{a}{2} |u(t)|_{V^*}^2 \leq \int_\Omega \hat{\beta}(u_0) + \frac{1}{2} |f|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 + \frac{a}{2} |u_0|_{V^*}^2.$$

Since (A1a) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}(u(t)) \geq 0,$$

it holds that

$$\int_0^t |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds + a|u(t)|_{V^*}^2 \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \hat{\beta}(u_0) + |f|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 + a|u_0|_{V^*}^2.$$

Next we show (1.7). The fact that $\mu(s) = -F^{-1}(u'(s))$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |\mu(s)|_V^2 ds &= \int_0^t |F^{-1}(u'(s))|_V^2 ds \\ &= \int_0^t |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (1.7) can be obtained from (1.6).

Next we prove (1.8). We see from (1.3) and the definition of $\mu(\cdot)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(u(s))|_V^2 &= (-F^{-1}(u'(s)) - au(s) + f(s), \beta(u(s)))_V \\ &\leq (|F^{-1}(u'(s))|_V + |a|u(s)|_{V^*} + |f(s)|_V) |\beta(u(s))|_V \\ &\leq |F^{-1}(u'(s))|_V^2 + a^2|u(s)|_{V^*}^2 + |f(s)|_V^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\beta(u(s))|_V^2 \\ &= |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 + a^2|u(s)|_{V^*}^2 + |f(s)|_V^2 + \frac{3}{4}|\beta(u(s))|_V^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this inequality, we have

$$\int_0^t |\beta(u(s))|_V^2 ds \leq 4 \int_0^t |u'(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds + 4a^2 \int_0^t |u(s)|_{V^*}^2 ds + 4|f|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2.$$

Therefore there exists a constant $M > 0$ satisfying (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8). Moreover, (1.5) means that $u \in L^\infty(0, T; H)$. \square

4. Examples. An example of $G : (H^1(\Omega))^* \rightarrow (H^1(\Omega))^*$ is given by $G(v^*) = v^*$ for all $v^* \in (H^1(\Omega))^*$. As to β , we give the following two examples.

The porous media equation. We consider

$$\beta(r) = |r|^{q-1}r \quad (q > 1).$$

This β is the function in the porous media equation (see e.g., [1, 13, 17, 18]).

The fast diffusion equation. Consider

$$\beta(r) = |r|^{q-1}r \quad (0 < q < 1).$$

This β is the function in the fast diffusion equation (see e.g., [5, 15, 17]).

In both examples we can show that β satisfies (A1), (A4) (see [12, Section 6]).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions and to appreciate that Professor Tomomi Yokota encouraged him very kindly and gave comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. AKAGI, G. SCHIMPERNA, AND A. SEGATTI, *Fractional Cahn–Hilliard, Allen–Cahn and porous medium equations*, J. Differential Equations, 261 (2016), pp. 2935–2985.
- [2] D. BLANCHARD AND A. PORRETTA, *Stefan problems with nonlinear diffusion and convection*, J. Differential Equations, 210 (2005), pp. 383–428.
- [3] H. BRÉZIS, “Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semi-groupes de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert”, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [4] A. DAMLAMIAN, *Some results on the multi-phase Stefan problem*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 2 (1977), pp. 1017–1044.
- [5] E. DiBENEDETTO, *Continuity of weak solutions to a general porous medium equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 32 (1983), pp. 83–118.
- [6] A. FRIEDMAN, *The Stefan problem in several space variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (1968), pp. 51–87.
- [7] T. FUKAO, N. KENMOCHI, AND I. PAWŁOW, *Transmission problems arising in Czochralski process of crystal growth*, Mathematical aspects of modelling structure formation phenomena (Będlewo/Warsaw, 2000), pp. 228–243, GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl., 17, Gakkōtoshō, Tokyo, 2001.
- [8] T. FUKAO, *Convergence of Cahn–Hilliard systems to the Stefan problem with dynamic boundary conditions*, Asymptot. Anal., 99 (2016), pp. 1–21.
- [9] T. FUKAO, S. KURIMA, AND T. YOKOTA, *Nonlinear diffusion equations as asymptotic limits of Cahn–Hilliard systems on unbounded domains via Cauchy’s criterion*, preprint.
- [10] A. HARAUX AND N. KENMOCHI, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to some degenerate parabolic equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac., 34 (1991), pp. 19–38.
- [11] C. E. Kenig, “Degenerate Diffusions”, Initial value problems and local regularity theory. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 1. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007.
- [12] S. KURIMA AND T. YOKOTA, *Monotonicity methods for nonlinear diffusion equations and their approximations with error estimates*, J. Differential Equations, 263 (2017), pp. 2024–2050.
- [13] G. MARINOSCHI, *Well-posedness of singular diffusion equations in porous media with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions*, Nonlinear Anal., 72 (2010), pp. 3491–3514.
- [14] N. OKAZAWA, T. SUZUKI, AND T. YOKOTA, *Energy methods for abstract nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory, 1 (2012), pp. 337–354.
- [15] A. RODRIGUEZ AND J. L. VÁZQUEZ, *Obstructions to existence in fast-diffusion equations*, J. Differential Equations, 184 (2002), pp. 348–385.
- [16] R. E. SHOWALTER, “Monotone Operators in Banach Space and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations”, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 49, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [17] J. L. VÁZQUEZ, “The Porous Medium Equation”, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [18] H. -M. YIN, *On a degenerate parabolic system*, J. Differential Equations, 245 (2008), pp. 722–736.