

# EQUADIFF 7

---

Andrzej Szulkin

Hamiltonian systems with periodic nonlinearities

In: Jaroslav Kurzweil (ed.): Equadiff 7, Proceedings of the 7th Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Prague, 1989. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1990. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Bd. 118. pp. 131--134.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702354>

## Terms of use:

© BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS WITH PERIODIC NONLINEARITIES

SZULKIN A., STOCKHOLM, Sweden

## 1. Abstract result

Let  $M = E \times T^k$ , where  $E$  is a real Hilbert space with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $T^k$  is the  $k$ -torus. We will be concerned with a class of functionals  $\Phi \in C^1(M, \mathbf{R})$  of the form  $\Phi(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Lx, x \rangle - \psi(x, v)$ , where  $L$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the following hypotheses:

(i)  $L : E \rightarrow E$  is a bounded, linear and selfadjoint operator to which there corresponds an orthogonal decomposition  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  into  $L$ -invariant subspaces such that  $\langle Lx, x \rangle$  is positive definite on  $E^+$  and negative definite on  $E^-$ .

(ii) The gradient of  $\psi$ , denoted  $\nabla\psi$ , is compact (in the sense that it maps bounded sets into compact ones).

(iii)  $\nabla\psi(M)$  is bounded, i.e., there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\|\nabla\psi(x, v)\| \leq C \forall (x, v) \in M$ .

Recall that a differentiable functional  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the *Palais-Smale condition (PS)* if each sequence  $(z_n)$  such that  $\Phi(z_n)$  is bounded and  $\nabla\Phi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  possesses a convergent subsequence. Note that it is an easy consequence of hypotheses (i)-(iii) that our functional  $\Phi$  satisfies (PS). Recall also that a set  $A \subset M$  is said to be of *category  $k$  in  $M$*  (denoted  $\text{cat}_M(A) = k$ ) if  $k$  is the smallest integer such that  $A$  can be covered by  $k$  closed sets which are contractible to a point in  $M$ . If  $A = M$ , we will write  $\text{cat}(M) = \text{cat}_M(M)$ . Properties of the category may be found e.g. in [4, 9, 11, 12].

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the functional  $\Phi$  satisfies hypotheses (i)-(iii). Then  $\Phi$  possesses at least  $k + 1$  distinct critical points.*

If  $E^- = \{0\}$ ,  $\Phi$  is bounded below. So in this case Theorem 1 follows from a result by Palais [9] (because  $\text{cat}(M) = \text{cat}(T^k) = k + 1$  [11]). For finite dimensional  $E^-$  Theorem 1 was first proved by Chang [1, 2]. A different argument, which we sketch below, has been proposed by Fournier and Willem [8]. The proof for  $\dim E^- \leq \infty$  is due to the author [13].

**Remark 1.** (i) The conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid if  $T^k$  is replaced by a compact manifold  $V^d$  such that  $\text{cuplength}(V^d) = k$  [1, 2, 8, 13].

(ii) The conclusion remains valid if  $L$  has a finite dimensional kernel  $E^0$  and  $\psi(x^0, v) \rightarrow -\infty$  (or  $\psi(x^0, v) \rightarrow +\infty$ ) as  $\|x^0\| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $x^0 \in E^0$  [1, 2, 13].

If  $E^- = \{0\}$ , the proof of Theorem 1 is easy to obtain directly (without invoking Palais' theorem [9]) by using a standard argument [4, 12] based on the minimax characterization of critical values of  $\Phi$  as

$$b_j = \inf_{\text{cat}_M(A) \geq j} \sup_{(x, v) \in A} \Phi(x, v), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k + 1$$

and the deformation lemma. Note that  $\text{cat}_M(\{0\} \times T^k) = \text{cat}(T^k) = k + 1$ , so all  $b_j$  are well defined and finite. If  $\dim E^- > 0$ , the functional  $\Phi$  becomes unbounded below and the values  $b_j$  defined above are equal to  $-\infty$ . It is therefore necessary to employ a different argument.

We will introduce two notions of relative category and indicate how they enter into the proof of Theorem 1. A continuous mapping  $\eta : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\eta(0, z) = z \forall z \in M$  will be called a *deformation of M*. Let  $A, N$  be two closed subsets of  $M$ . The set  $A$  is said to be of *category k in M relative to N*, denoted  $\text{cat}_{M,N}(A) = k$ , if  $k$  is the smallest integer such that  $A = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ , where all  $A_j$  are closed, all  $A_j$  with  $j \geq 1$  are contractible in  $M$ , and there exists a deformation  $\eta_0$  of  $M$  satisfying  $\eta_0(1, A_0) \subset N$  and  $\eta_0(t, N) \subset N \forall t \in [0, 1]$ . If such  $k$  does not exist,  $\text{cat}_{M,N}(A) = \infty$ .

The above notion of relative category is due to Fournier and Willem [7, 8]. Our definition is a slight modification of theirs and may be found in [13].

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a given class of deformations of  $M$  such that the trivial deformation  $\eta(t, z) = z \forall (t, z)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and whenever  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are in  $\mathcal{D}$ , so is the deformation obtained by letting  $\eta_1$  be followed by  $\eta_2$ . The set  $A$  is said to be of *category k in M relative to N and D*, denoted  $\text{cat}_{M,N}^{\mathcal{D}}(A) = k$ , if  $k$  is the smallest integer such that  $A = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ , where  $A_j$  and  $\eta_0$  are as in the preceding definition and  $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ . If such  $k$  does not exist,  $\text{cat}_{M,N}^{\mathcal{D}}(A) = \infty$ .

The above definition may be found in [13].

Suppose  $\dim E^- < \infty$ . Denote  $\Phi_a = \{z \in M : \Phi(z) \leq a\}$ ,  $\Gamma_j = \{A \subset M : A \text{ is closed and } \text{cat}_{M,\Phi_a}(A) \geq j\}$  and

$$c_j = \inf_{A \in \Gamma_j} \sup_{z \in A} \Phi(z), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k + 1.$$

Then  $c_j \geq a$  (because  $\text{cat}_{M,\Phi_a}(A) = 0$  whenever  $A \subset \Phi_a$ ). Denote  $M_R = \{(x, v) \in M : \|x\| \leq R\}$ . It can be shown that if  $a$  is sufficiently small and  $R$  sufficiently large, then

$$(*) \quad \text{cat}_{M,\Phi_a}(M_R) \geq \text{cat}_{B \times T^k, S \times T^k}(B \times T^k) \geq k + 1,$$

where  $B = \{x \in E^- : \|x\| \leq R\}$  and  $S = \partial B$  (more precisely,  $(*)$  holds for a certain modified functional  $\tilde{\Phi}$  which has the same critical points as  $\Phi$ , cf. [8]). So  $\Gamma_j \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$  and the numbers  $c_j$  are well defined and finite. Now a standard argument shows that  $\Phi$  has at least  $k + 1$  critical points.

If  $\dim E^- = \infty$ , then  $B$  is contractible to  $S$ , so  $\text{cat}_{B \times T^k, S \times T^k}(B \times T^k) = 0$  and the above argument fails (because all  $\Gamma_j$  may be empty). Therefore a further modification is needed in order to prove Theorem 1 in the most general case. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the class of deformations which consists, roughly speaking, of solutions for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  of initial value problems of the form

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} = -\omega(\eta)V(\eta), \quad \eta(0, x, v) = (x, v),$$

where  $V$  is a certain pseudo-gradient vector field for  $\Phi$ ,  $\omega : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is locally Lipschitz continuous, and  $\omega = 0$  in a neighbourhood of the set of critical points of  $\Phi$  (the class  $\mathcal{D}$  is in fact somewhat larger, see [13]). Then it can be shown that for  $R$  sufficiently large and  $a$  sufficiently small,

$$\text{cat}_{M,\Phi_a}^{\mathcal{D}}(M_R) \geq \text{cat}_{B \times T^k, S \times T^k}(B \times T^k) \geq k + 1,$$

where  $B = \{x \in \tilde{E}^- : \|x\| \leq R\}$  is a ball in a *finite dimensional* subspace  $\tilde{E}^-$  of  $E^-$ . So replacing  $\text{cat}$  by  $\text{cat}^{\mathcal{D}}$  in the definition of  $c_j$  we obtain the conclusion.

## 2. Hamiltonian systems

Consider the Hamiltonian system of differential equations

$$(HS) \quad \dot{z} = JH_z(z, t),$$

where  $z = (p, q) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $H \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^{2N} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the standard symplectic matrix. Assume  $H$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $t$ . Let  $H^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbf{R}^{2N}) \equiv H^{1/2}$  be the Sobolev space of  $2\pi$ -periodic  $\mathbf{R}^{2N}$ -valued functions

$$z = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} c_k e^{ikt}, \quad \text{where } c_k \in \mathbf{C}^{2N} \text{ and } c_{-k} = \bar{c}_k,$$

such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (1 + |k|) |c_k|^2 < \infty.$$

Define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} (-J\dot{z} \cdot z) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} H(z, t) dt.$$

It is known [10] that  $\tilde{\Phi} \in C^1(H^{1/2}, \mathbf{R})$ , critical points of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  correspond to  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions of  $(HS)$  and the gradient of  $\int_0^{2\pi} H(z, t) dt$  is compact.

Suppose  $H$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic in all variables. Note that if  $z$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of  $(HS)$ , so are all functions  $\hat{z}$  such that  $\hat{z} - z \in 2\pi \mathbf{Z}^{2N}$  (by periodicity of  $H$ ). Two solutions  $\hat{z}$  and  $z$  will be called *geometrically distinct* if  $\hat{z} - z \notin 2\pi \mathbf{Z}^{2N}$ . Let  $H^{1/2} = H^+ \oplus H^0 \oplus H^-$  be the decomposition corresponding to the positive, zero and negative part of the spectrum of  $-J\dot{z}$ . Denote  $E = H^+ \oplus H^-$ . Then to each  $z \in H^{1/2}$  there corresponds a unique pair  $(x, v)$  such that  $x \in E$  and  $v$  is the mean value of  $z$  modulo  $2\pi$ . Clearly,  $v$  may be considered as an element of the torus  $T^{2N}$ , so  $(x, v) \in E \times T^{2N} \equiv M$ . Define

$$\Phi(x, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} (-J\dot{x} \cdot x) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} H(z, t) dt.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Phi \in C^1(M, \mathbf{R})$ , critical points of  $\Phi$  correspond to  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions of  $(HS)$  and, unlike for  $\tilde{\Phi}$ , distinct critical points correspond to solutions which are geometrically distinct. Since  $\Phi$  satisfies hypotheses (i)-(iii) of Section 1, we have the following

**Theorem 2** [13]. *Suppose that  $H$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic in all variables. Then  $(HS)$  possesses at least  $2N + 1$  geometrically distinct  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions.*

This result, which is known to imply an affirmative answer to one of Arnold's conjectures, has been first time proved by Conley and Zehnder for  $H \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^{2N} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  [5]. A different proof, also for  $H \in C^2$ , may be found in Chang [1, 2].

Using Remark 1 and a variant of the above argument, one can easily prove

**Theorem 3** [13]. Suppose that  $H \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^{2N} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $q$  and  $t$ ,  $H(z, t) = \frac{1}{2}Bp \cdot p + G(z, t)$ , where  $B$  is a symmetric  $N \times N$ -matrix and the derivative  $G_z$  is bounded. If the null space of  $B$ ,  $N(B)$ , is nontrivial, suppose also that  $G(p, q, t) \rightarrow +\infty$  (or  $G(p, q, t) \rightarrow -\infty$ ) uniformly in  $t$  as  $|p| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p \in N(B)$ . Then  $(HS)$  possesses at least  $N + 1$  geometrically distinct  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions.

Similar results, for nonlinearities of class  $C^2$ , may be found in [3, 6].

**Remark 2.** The proofs in [1-3, 5, 6] employ a finite dimensional reduction which requires that  $H \in C^2$  (and  $H_{zz}$  be bounded). On the other hand, our Theorem 1 allows one to avoid this reduction and therefore have  $H \in C^1$ .

## References

1. K.C. Chang, *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Its Applications*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, Vol. 97, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1985.
2. K.C. Chang, *Applications of homology theory to some problems in differential equations*, Proc. Sym. Pure Math., Vol. 45, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986, pp. 253-262.
3. K.C. Chang, *On the periodic nonlinearity and the multiplicity of solutions*, J. Nonl. Anal. 13 (1989), 527-537.
4. S.N. Chow and J.K. Hale, *Methods of Bifurcation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
5. C.C. Conley and E. Zehnder, *The Birkhoff-Lewis fixed point theorem and a conjecture of V.I. Arnold*, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 33-49.
6. A. Fonda and J. Mawhin, *Multiple periodic solutions of conservative systems with periodic nonlinearity*, Preprint.
7. G. Fournier and M. Willem, *Multiple solutions of the forced double pendulum equation*, Preprint.
8. G. Fournier and M. Willem, *Relative category and the calculus of variations*, Preprint.
9. R.S. Palais, *Critical point theory and the minimax principle*, Proc. Sym. Pure Math., Vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 185-212.
10. P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986.
11. J.T. Schwartz, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
12. A. Szulkin, *Critical point theory of Ljusternik-Schnirelmann type and applications to partial differential equations*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, Vol. 107, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1989, pp. 35-96.
13. A. Szulkin, *A relative category and applications to critical point theory for strongly indefinite functionals*, J. Nonl. Anal., to appear.