

Jan Hamhalter

The sums of closed subspaces in a topological linear space

*Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica*, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 2, 61--64

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701795>

**Terms of use:**

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## The Sums of Closed Subspaces in a Topological Linear Space

JAN HAMHALTER

Prague\*)

Received 15 March 1989

In this paper we collect some results concerning the structure of closed subspaces in a topological linear space. We establish some relations between algebraical properties of this structure and the topology of the space.

Throughout the paper, let  $X$  be a real Hausdorff topological linear space with a topological dual  $X^*$ . Let  $L(X)$  denote the set of all closed subspaces of  $X$ . By an (algebraical) sum  $A + B$  of subspaces  $A, B \in L(X)$  we mean the set  $\{x + y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$ . Our starting point is the following question: When is the sum of two closed subspaces again a closed subspace? For example, it is well known that the sum in question is closed provided at least one of the summands is finite-dimensional. In this connection there is an interesting theorem of V. I. Gurarii and H. P. Rosenthal (see e.g. [10]).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $E, F \in L(X)$ . If any closed subspaces  $A$  and  $B$  of  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively, are finite-dimensional, then  $E + F \in L(X)$ .

We restrict now attention to spaces in which every sum of finitely many closed subspaces is a closed subspace.

**Definition 1.**  $X$  is said to be modular if  $E + F \in L(X)$  for all  $E, F \in L(X)$ .

As G. W. Mackey proved ([8]),  $X$  is modular if and only if the set  $L(X)$  equipped with the set inclusion forms a modular lattice. This assertion justifies the terminology used in definition 1. Important example of modular space is the locally convex direct sum of any system of one-dimensional spaces  $(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha, \text{ where } R_\alpha = R \text{ for every } \alpha \in I)$  and the product of this system  $(\prod_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha, \text{ where } R_\alpha = R \text{ for every } \alpha \in I)$ . Indeed, the space  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$  has the strongest admissible locally convex topology. So every linear subspace of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$  is closed and the modularity follows immediately. According to a canonical duality between spaces  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$  and  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$ , the lattices  $L(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha), L(\prod_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha)$

\*) Technical University of Prague, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Department of Mathematics, 166 27 Praha 6, Czechoslovakia

are dual isomorphic (dual isomorphism is given by the polars). Consequently, the product  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$  is modular, too. On the other hand, there are many examples of non-modular spaces. A classical theorem of G. W. Mackey ([8]) says that a normed space is modular if and only if it is finite-dimensional. In the first part of this paper we summarize some results extending this Mackey theorem ([4]). A space  $X$  has a Hahn-Banach extension property (abb. HBEP) if the following version of Hahn-Banach theorem holds: Every linear form continuous on a given closed subspace of  $X$  has a continuous extension over the entire space  $X$ . Let us remark that there are many non locally convex spaces with HBEP [2, 5]. Following Wilbur [12], we say that  $X$  is total if  $X$  admits a continuous norm. The following theorem characterizes bounded subsets in some modular spaces.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a modular space satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $X$  has a HBEP,
- (2) there is a weaker locally convex metrizable topology on  $X$ ,
- (3) if  $M \in L(X)$  is infinite-dimensional then the Mackey topology  $\tau(M, M^*)$  is strictly stronger than the weak topology  $\sigma(X, X^*)$ .

Then every bounded subset of  $X$  is finite-dimensional (i.e., it is contained in some finite-dimensional space).

So, modularity of  $X$  implies in this case some topological properties such as quazicompleteness, semicompleteness and semireflexivity in a locally convex case (for precise definitions, see [11]).

We intend to prove Theorem 2 in a subsequent paper, the proofs of the following results may be found in [4].

**Corollary. 1.** Total modular locally convex space has only finite-dimensional bounded subsets.

Making use of the foregoing corollary, we obtain the following consequences giving a lucid characterizations of modular spaces in some typical situations.

**Theorem 3.** Total bornological space  $X$  is modular if and only if it is isomorphic to any locally convex direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} R_\alpha$ , where  $R_\alpha = R$  for every  $\alpha \in I$ .

Let us now consider total metrizable locally convex modular spaces. According to the Baire category theorem, all metrizable locally convex direct sums of real lines are finite-dimensional, so, using Theorem 3, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** The only modular total metrizable locally convex spaces are finite-dimensional spaces.

From this point of view the foregoing results can be interpreted as a generalization of Mackey theorem.

In the second part of this paper we shall deal with a modular  $F$ -space. By an  $F$ -space we mean a complete metrizable topological linear space. First we need to introduce

some notions. A space  $X$  is called minimal if there is no Hausdorff linear topology on  $X$  strictly weaker than the original one, and it is called  $q$ -minimal (quotient-minimal, see [1]) if all its Hausdorff quotients are minimal. Trivial examples of minimal spaces are finite-dimensional spaces and their products. As known, a locally convex space  $X$  is (quotient-) minimal if and only if  $X$  is isomorphic to the product of real lines. (see [1, 9, 11 p. 191]). A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  is said to be  $M$ -basic sequence [6, 7] if there is a sequence  $(x_n^*)$  in the dual space  $\overline{\text{sp}} \{x_n \mid n \in N\}^*$  such that  $x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{n,m}$  for every  $n, m \in N$  and moreover  $\bigcap_{n \in N} \text{Ker } x_n^* = \{0\}$  (i.e., the sequence  $(x_n^*)$  is total on  $\overline{\text{sp}} \{x_n \mid n \in N\}^*$ ). An  $M$ -basic sequence is called regular if there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $x_n \notin U$  for all  $n \in N$ . Deep theorem of N. J. Kalton [6] says that every nonminimal  $F$ -space contains a regular  $M$ -basic sequence. We need the following theorem of L. Drewnowski [1] which generalizes Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $X$  be an  $F$ -space and let  $E, F \in L(X)$ . If any isomorphic closed subspaces  $A$  and  $B$  of  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively, are  $q$ -minimal, then  $E + F \in L(X)$ .

The following interesting theorem of A. Martineu characterizes modular Frechet spaces.

**Theorem 5.** A Frechet space is modular if and only if it is minimal.

Let us present here the proof of the non-locally convex version of Theorem 5.

**Theorem 6.** An  $F$ -space is modular if and only if it is  $q$ -minimal.

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a modular  $F$ -space. First we prove that  $X$  is minimal. If, on the contrary,  $X$  is not minimal then  $X$  contains a regular  $M$ -basic sequence  $(x_n)$ . Put  $u_n = x_{2n-1}$  and choose a sequence  $(v_n)$  such that for every  $n \in N$  we have

$$\text{sp} \{u_n, v_n\} = \text{sp} \{x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho(u_n - v_n, 0) < 1/n,$$

where  $\varrho$  is a metric inducing the topology of  $X$ .

Put  $A = \overline{\text{sp}} \{u_n \mid n \in N\}$ ,  $B = \overline{\text{sp}} \{v_n \mid n \in N\}$ . Making use of the properties of  $M$ -basic sequences, it can be shown that  $A \cap B = \{0\}$ . Then we can define the mapping  $p: A + B \rightarrow A$  by putting

$$p(x + y) = x \quad \text{for every } x \in A, \quad y \in B.$$

The mapping  $p$  is not continuous, because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n - v_n = 0$ , while the sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded away from zero ( $(x_n)$  is regular). Since  $p$  is a closed mapping, we see, according to the closed graph theorem, that the space  $A + B$  is not complete. Thus  $A + B \notin L(X)$ , which is a contradiction.

Because every Hausdorff quotient of modular  $F$ -space is again a modular  $F$ -space, the preceding considerations implies that  $X$  is  $q$ -minimal.

The reverse implication in Theorem 6 follows easily from Theorem 4 and the fact that every closed subspace of  $q$ -minimal space is again  $q$ -minimal [1, Prop. 3.1 (a)].

A crucial problem in the theory of basic sequences in  $F$ -spaces is the following

problem [6]: Are there some non locally convex  $q$ -minimal  $F$ -spaces? If the answer is no, as some results indicates [6, 7], then by Theorem 6, the only infinite-dimensional modular  $F$ -space is the space of all sequences (with the product topology).

### References

- [1] DREWNOWSKI L., On minimally subspace-comporable  $F$ -spaces, *Journal of Functional analysis* 26, 315—332, 1977.
- [2] GREGORY D. A., SHAPIRO J. H., Nonconvex linear topologies with Hahn-Banach extension property, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25, 1970, 902—905.
- [3] HAMHALTER J., On the lattice of closed subspaces in topological linear space, *Proc. of the 1 st Winter School on Measure theory, Liptovský Ján, January 10—15, 1988.*
- [4] HAMHALTER J., On modular spaces, to appear in *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences Mathematics.*
- [5] KAKOL J., Basic sequences and non locally convex topological spaces, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematiko di Palermo, Ser II, Tomo XXXVI*, 95—102.
- [6] KALTON N. J., Basic sequences in  $F$ -spaces and their applications, *Edinburg Math. Soc.* 19, 151—167, 1974.
- [7] KALTON N. J., SHAPIRO J. H., Bases and basic sequences in  $F$ -spaces, *Studia Mathematica T. LVI*, 47—61, 1976.
- [8] MACKEY G. W., On infinite dimensional linear spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 57, 155—207, 1945.
- [9] MARTINEU A., Sur une propriete caracteristique d'un produit de droites, *Arch Math.* 11, 423—426, 1960.
- [10] ROSENTHAL H. P., On totally incomporable Banach spaces, *Journal of Functional Anal.* 4 167—175, 1969.
- [11] SCHAEFER H. H., *Topological vector spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [12] WILBUR W. J., Reflective and coreflective hulls in the category of locally convex spaces, *General topology and its applications* 4, 235—254, 1974.