

Heinrich von Weizsäcker

On barycentrs in non-compact sets

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 4th Winter School on Abstract Analysis.  
Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1976. pp. 151--153.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701066>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,  
1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic  
provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any  
part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery  
and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*:  
*The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

FOURTH WINTER SCHOOL (1976)

## ON BARYCENTERS IN NON-COMPACT SETS

by

Heinrich v. WEIZSÄCKER

I. The following theorem is due to G. Winkler (Thesis, München '76). It is an extension of a particular case of the Choquet-Bishop-de Leeuw to the non-compact case. It improves an earlier result of myself (Math. Zeitschrift 1975).

Let  $T$  be a completely regular space. Let  $C_b(T)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}(T)$ ) be the space of all continuous (resp. Borel measurable) real-valued bounded functions on  $T$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  be the space of all bounded Radon measures on  $T$ .

Theorem A: If  $X$  is a convex  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}(T), C_b(T))$ -closed bounded subset of  $\mathcal{M}_+(T)$ , then for each  $\mu \in X$  there is a probability measure  $p$  on the  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebra over  $\text{ex } X$  generated by the functions  $\nu \mapsto \nu(\varphi)$  ( $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(T)$ ) such that

$$\mu(\varphi) = \int_{\text{ex } X} \nu(\varphi) dp(\nu) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(T)$$

In particular  $\text{ex } X \neq \emptyset$ .

Problem: Find a proof of  $\text{ex } X \neq \emptyset$  which does not use Choquet theory.

II. Theorem B: (Fremlin-Pryce, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 1974). Let  $E$  be a real locally convex linear space. Let  $X$  be a bounded subset of  $E$ . Then

$X$  is measure convex  $\longleftrightarrow$   $X$  is a Krein set  
 (i.e. every Radon measure on  $X$  has a barycenter which is in  $X$ ) (i.e. if  $L \subset X$  is compact, then the closed convex hull of  $L$  is compact and contained in  $X$ )

Remark: A convex set  $X$  is a Krein set, if e.g.: a)  $X$  is complete in some  $(E, E')$ -topology (Thm. of Krein-Šmulian), b)  $X$  is locally compact in the relative topology, c)  $X$  is the intersection of Krein sets.

The next theorem shows (by b) and c) in the above Remark) that a convex  $G_\delta$  set in a compact  $Z$  need not be the intersection of convex open subsets of  $Z$ . It gives a negative answer to questions of Christensen and Topsøe.

Theorem C: There is a compact convex metrizable subset  $Z$  of a locally convex space  $E$ , a convex  $G_\delta$  set  $X$  in  $Z$  and a probability measure  $p$  such that

- 1             $\text{supp } p \subset X$
- 2             $X$  does not contain the barycenter of  $p$
- 3             $p(L) = 0$  for all compact convex subsets  $L$  of  $X$ .

Proof by example:  $E = \mathcal{M}([0,1])$  with topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}([0,1]), C([0,1]))$ .  
 $Z = \{\mu \in E : \mu \geq 0, \mu(1) = 1\}$ ,  $\lambda =$  Lebesgue measure on  $[0,1]$ ,  
 $X = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\mu \in Z : \lambda + \frac{1}{n}(\lambda - \mu) \in Z\}$ ,  $p = \varphi(\lambda)$ , where  
 $\varphi: [0,1] \ni t \mapsto \delta_t$ .

This example can be embedded into other spaces (e.g. Hilbert space or non locally convex spaces) by

Theorem D Let  $Z_1$  be a compact metrizable subset of a locally convex space  $E_1$  and let  $Z_2$  be a compact convex infinite dimensional subset of a topological linear space  $E_2$ . Then there is an affine homeomorphism from the closed convex hull of  $Z_1$  to a subset of  $Z_2$ .

(Thm C + Thm D are contained in a paper of mine submitted to Math. Scand.)