

Toposym 2

Jan Hejzman

Uniform dimension of mappings

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the second Prague topological symposium, 1966. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1967. pp. 182--183.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700859>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

UNIFORM DIMENSION OF MAPPINGS

J. HEJCMAN

Praha

By the dimension \dim of a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are topological spaces, the number $\sup \{\dim f^{-1}[y] \mid y \in Y\}$ is usually understood (and similarly with ind instead of \dim). Some authors considered in a certain sense stronger definitions of the dimension of mappings for metric spaces, e.g. uniformly zero-dimensional mappings [2] or, as a generalization, the strong dimension of mappings [4]. We define the uniform dimension of uniformly continuous mappings for uniform spaces. It is closely connected with the uniform dimension Δd (see [1]). Further, all mappings are supposed to be uniformly continuous, uniformities are considered as systems of entourages of the diagonal.

Definition. Let $(X, \mathcal{U}), (Y, \mathcal{V})$ be uniform spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a mapping. The *uniform dimension* of f , denoted by $\Delta d f$, is defined as the smallest non-negative integer n with the following property: for each U in \mathcal{U} there exist V in \mathcal{V} and W in \mathcal{U} such that, if M is a subset of Y and $M \times M \subset V$, then there exists a collection \mathcal{K} of subsets of X such that \mathcal{K} is a W -cover of $f^{-1}[M]$, $K \times K \subset U$ for each K in \mathcal{K} , and each point x of $f^{-1}[M]$ is contained in at most $n + 1$ sets of \mathcal{K} . If such a number does not exist we set $\Delta d f = \infty$.

If f is a mapping of a non-void uniform space X into a one-point space then $\Delta d f$ is equal to the mentioned Δd -dimension of the space X (and therefore we use the same symbol Δd).

If g is a restriction of a mapping f then $\Delta d g \leq \Delta d f$, if g is the restriction of f to a dense subspace then $\Delta d g = \Delta d f$. If p is the canonical projection of a non-void product $X \times Y$ onto X then $\Delta d p = \Delta d Y$.

The main results may be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. Let X, Y, Z be uniform spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Z$. Then $\Delta d(g \circ f) \leq \Delta d f + \Delta d g$.

Theorem 2. Let X, Y be uniform spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then $\Delta d X \leq \Delta d Y + \Delta d f$.

Theorem 3. Let $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}, \{Y_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be families of uniform spaces and $\{f_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ a family of mappings, $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$. Let $f : \prod \{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\} \rightarrow \prod \{Y_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be defined by the formula $f\{x_\alpha\} = \{f_\alpha x_\alpha\}$. Then $\Delta d f \leq \sum \Delta d f_\alpha$.

If X is a uniform space and (R, ϱ) is a metric space, we shall denote by $C_u(X, R)$ the set of all uniformly continuous mappings of X into R , endowed with the distance σ defined by

$$\sigma(f, g) = \min(1, \sup \{\varrho(fx, gx) \mid x \in X\}).$$

If R is complete then $C_u(X, R)$ is also a complete metric space. The following theorem characterizes the dimension Δd of pseudometric spaces by means of mappings into Euclidean spaces.

Theorem 4. *Let P be a pseudometric space, k, n integers, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) $\Delta d P \leq n$,
- (2) there exists a mapping $f: P \rightarrow E^{n-k}$ with $\Delta d f \leq k$,
- (3) the set of all mappings $f: P \rightarrow E^{n-k}$ with $\Delta d f \leq k$ is a dense G_δ -set in the space $C_u(P, E^{n-k})$.

The assumption of pseudometrizable of P is essential. Thus every metric space with finite dimension Δd can be mapped by a uniformly zero-dimensional mapping into a compact space.

Nevertheless this assertion does not hold for arbitrary metric spaces. Indeed, suppose that every metric space admits of such a mapping. Then, according to Theorem 3, this is true for every uniform space. On the other hand, it can be proved that the δd -dimension (see [3] or [1]) of a space admitting of such a mapping is equal to its Δd -dimension. This is a contradiction since these dimensions need not coincide for an arbitrary uniform space.

Theorems 2 and 4 are analogous to well-known Hurewicz theorems. We also obtain some results for the dimension \dim as we have the following

Theorem 5. *Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $\dim f = \Delta d f$.*

A paper containing the proofs of all theorems is intended for publication in *Matematičeskii Sbornik*.

References

- [1] *J. R. Isbell*: On finite-dimensional uniform spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* 9 (1959), 107–121.
- [2] *M. Kametov*: О размерности несепарабельных пространств. *Чехосл. мат. журнал* 2 (77) (1952), 333–368.
- [3] *Ю. М. Смирнов*: О размерности пространств близости. *Матем. сборник* 38 (80) (1956), 283–302.
- [4] *М. Л. Шершнеv*: Характеристика размерности метрического пространства при помощи размерностных свойств его отображений в евклидовы пространства. *Матем. сборник* 60 (102) (1963), 207–218.