Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Barry James Gardner Mal'tsev–Neumann products of semi-simple classes of rings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 63 (2022), No. 4, 415-421

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151643

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

Mal'tsev-Neumann products of semi-simple classes of rings

BARRY JAMES GARDNER

Abstract. Malt'tsev-Neumann products of semi-simple classes of associative rings are studied and some conditions which ensure that such a product is again a semi-simple class are obtained. It is shown that both products, $S_1 \circ S_2$ and $S_2 \circ S_1$ of semi-simple classes S_1 and S_2 are semi-simple classes if and only if they are equal.

Keywords: radical class; semi-simple class; Mal'tsev-Neumann product

Classification: 16N80, 08C99

1. Introduction

A Mal'tsev-Neumann product $\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}$ of classes \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} of rings is the class of rings A which have an ideal $I \in \mathcal{X}$ with $A/I \in \mathcal{Y}$. The concept was introduced about the same time by A. I. Mal'tsev in [4] for general algebras, where the role of ideals is played by congruence classes which are subalgebras, and in H. Neumann's book [5] for groups. In [4] there was some concentration on varieties and quasivarieties, while [5] was concerned with varieties of groups. Subsequently products have been studied in various contexts; a good survey is given in the introduction to [6].

It seems natural to ask when a Mal'tsev–Neumann product of radical classes (or semi-simple classes) is again a radical class (semi-simple class, respectively). In [2] we examined the former question with some emphasis on elementary radical classes. One quite general result was that for radical classes \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 , $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_2 \circ \mathcal{R}_1$ are both radical classes if and only if $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_2 \circ \mathcal{R}_1$.

Here we investigate the question for semi-simple classes. We fare a bit better than in [2] with examples. Thus if S_2 is the semi-simple class corresponding to a hereditary radical class, then $S_1 \circ S_2$ is a semi-simple class for every semi-simple class S_1 , though the hereditary condition is not necessary. Hence if S_1 and S_2 both correspond to hereditary radicals, then both their products are semi-simple classes. As with radical classes this means that the products must coincide. The

416 B. J. Gardner

behaviour relating to products of the semi-simple classes corresponding to special radicals is completely described.

All rings throughout are associative, and we are dealing with rings, not rings with identity. Two results from radical theory for associative rings that are crucially involved in our proofs are the following.

- (1) A nonempty class of rings is a semi-simple class if and only if it is isomorphically closed, hereditary and closed under subdirect products and extensions.
- (2) Absolute direct summand (ADS) condition (Anderson, Divinsky and Suliński condition). For every radical class \mathcal{R} , if $I \triangleleft A$ then $\mathcal{R}(I) \triangleleft A$.

In some contexts, e.g., alternative rings, groups and modules, (1) and (2) are valid and so many of our results carry over with only cosmetic changes. In other settings, though, (1) and/or (2) may fail, notoriously in the class of all (not necessarily associative) rings.

We use the terms "radical class" and "radical" as synonyms, but mostly use the former. For unexplained notation and terminology pertaining to radical theory see [3]. For terms from abelian group theory see [1].

2. Results

Throughout this paper, S_1 and S_2 always denote semi-simple classes, \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 , respectively, the corresponding radical classes, though distinctive notation will be used for specific radical and semi-simple classes. The first, simple result will be indispensible for some of our proofs.

Proposition 2.1. For semi-simple classes S_1 and S_2 , the following conditions are equivalent for a ring A

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}_2(A) \in \mathcal{S}_1$.
- (iii) A has an ideal J such that $J \in \mathcal{S}_1$, $A/J \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and $J \triangleleft R$ for every ring R for which $A \triangleleft R$.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) If $I \triangleleft A$, $I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $A/I \in \mathcal{S}_2$, then $\mathcal{R}_2(A) \subseteq I$ as A/I is \mathcal{R}_2 -semi-simple. Thus $\mathcal{R}_2(A) \in \mathcal{S}_1$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) $A/\mathcal{R}_2(A) \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and the other requirement follows from the (ADS) condition.

Clearly (iii)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i).

A Mal'tsev–Neumann product of semi-simple classes always satisfies some of the requirements for a semi-simple class.

Proposition 2.2. For all semi-simple classes S_1 and S_2 , the product $S_1 \circ S_2$ is

- (i) hereditary and
- (ii) closed under subdirect products.

PROOF: (i) If $A \triangleleft B \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ let I be an ideal of B with $I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $B/I \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Then $I \cap A \triangleleft A$ and $I \cap A \triangleleft I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ so $I \cap A \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Also $A/I \cap A \cong (A+I)/I \triangleleft B/I \in \mathcal{S}_2$, so $A/I \cap A \in \mathcal{S}_2$.

(ii) If A is a subdirect product of rings $A/K_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ with $\bigcap K_{\lambda} = 0$ for each λ let I_{λ} be an ideal of A containing K_{λ} such that $I_{\lambda}/K_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $A/I_{\lambda} \cong (A/K_{\lambda})/(I_{\lambda}/K_{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $I = \bigcap I_{\lambda}$. Then

$$I/I \cap K_{\lambda} \cong (I + K_{\lambda})/K_{\lambda} \triangleleft I_{\lambda}/K_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_1.$$

Now $\bigcap (I \cap K_{\lambda}) \subseteq \bigcap K_{\lambda} = 0$, so I is a subdirect product of the rings $I/I \cap K_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ whence $I \in \mathcal{S}_1$.

Also $A/I = A/\bigcap I_{\lambda}$ and $\bigcap (I_{\lambda}/I) = 0$ so A/I is a subdirect product of the rings $(A/I)/(I_{\lambda}/I) \cong A/I_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$.

Corollary 2.3. $S_1 \circ S_2$ is a semi-simple class if and only if it is closed under extensions.

Proposition 2.4. If $S_1 \circ S_2$ is a semi-simple class, then $S_2 \circ S_1 \subseteq S_1 \circ S_2$.

PROOF: S_2 and $S_1 \subseteq S_1 \circ S_2$ and the latter is closed under extensions. \square

The following result mirrors Theorem 2.3 of [2].

Theorem 2.5. Let S_1, S_2 be semi-simple classes. Then $S_1 \circ S_2$ and $S_2 \circ S_1$ are both semi-simple classes if and only if they are equal.

PROOF: First suppose that $S_1 \circ S_2 = S_2 \circ S_1$. If $A \triangleleft B$ with $A, B/A \in S_1 \circ S_2$, then A has an ideal $I \in S_1$ with $A/I \in S_2$ and B has an ideal J containing A with $J/A \in S_1$ and $B/J \cong (B/A)/(J/A) \in S_2$. By Proposition 2.1 it can be assumed that $I \triangleleft J$ and $I \triangleleft B$. Since $A/I \in S_2$ and $J/A \in S_1$ the exact sequence

$$0 \to A/I \to J/I \to J/A \to 0$$

shows that $J/I \in \mathcal{S}_2 \circ \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$. Hence J has an ideal K containing I such that $K/I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $J/K \cong (J/I)/(K/I) \in \mathcal{S}_2$.

We can assume that $K/I \triangleleft B/I$ (by Proposition 2.1) whence $K \triangleleft B$. Since I and $K/I \in \mathcal{S}_1$, so also is $K \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Moreover, $J/K \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and $B/J \in \mathcal{S}_2$, so from the exact sequence

$$0 \to J/K \to B/K \to B/J \to 0$$

418 B. J. Gardner

we see that $B/K \in \mathcal{S}_2$. But then $B \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ as required, and $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ is therefore closed under extensions and hence a semi-simple class.

The converse follows from Proposition 2.4.

This is all well and good, but as yet we have given no examples of products of semi-simple classes which are themselves semi-simple classes. The next result provides a plentiful supply of such examples.

Theorem 2.6. If the radical class \mathcal{R}_2 corresponding to \mathcal{S}_2 is hereditary, then $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ is a semi-simple class.

PROOF: Let A be an ideal of a ring C with A and $C/A \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$. Then $\mathcal{R}_2(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2(C/A)$ are in \mathcal{S}_1 by Proposition 2.1. Now $\mathcal{R}_2(A) \triangleleft C$ so $\mathcal{R}_2(a) \triangleleft \mathcal{R}_2(C)$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_2(C)/\mathcal{R}_2(A) = \mathcal{R}_2(C)/(A \cap \mathcal{R}_2(C)) \cong (\mathcal{R}_2(C) + A)/A \triangleleft C/A$$

and $\mathcal{R}_2(C)/\mathcal{R}_2(A) \in \mathcal{R}_2$ so $(\mathcal{R}_2(C) + A)/A \triangleleft \mathcal{R}_2(C/A) \in \mathcal{S}_1$, consequently $\mathcal{R}_2(C)/\mathcal{R}_2(A) \in \mathcal{S}_1$. But also $\mathcal{R}_2(A) \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and thus $C \in \mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$. By Corollary 2.3, $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ is a semi-simple class.

Corollary 2.7. If \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are both hereditary then $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_2 \circ \mathcal{S}_1$ are both semi-simple classes and hence equal.

Example 2.8. If \mathcal{R}_2 is not hereditary then $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ need not be a semi-simple class.

Let \mathcal{B} denote the prime (Baer) radical class and \mathcal{E} the radical class of all idempotent rings, and let $S(\mathcal{B}), S(\mathcal{E})$, respectively, denote their corresponding semi-simple classes. Then \mathcal{B} is hereditary, so $S(\mathcal{E}) \circ S(\mathcal{B})$ is a semi-simple class. If $S(\mathcal{B}) \circ S(\mathcal{E})$ were a semi-simple class, we should have $S(\mathcal{B}) \circ S(\mathcal{E}) = S(\mathcal{E}) \circ S(\mathcal{B})$. For a prime p, let $\mathbb{Z}(p)^0 * \mathbb{Z}(p)$ denote the standard unital extension obtained by the adjunction to the zeroring $\mathbb{Z}(p)^0$ on the cyclic group of order p of the identity of the field $\mathbb{Z}(p)$. Then $\mathbb{Z}(p)^0 * \mathbb{Z}(p)$ is in the semi-simple class $S(\mathcal{E}) \circ S(\mathcal{B})$. But $\mathbb{Z}(p)^0 * \mathbb{Z}(p)$ has no nonzero semiprime ideals and is idempotent, so it is not in $S(\mathcal{B}) \circ S(\mathcal{E})$.

Example 2.9. Nevertheless, \mathcal{R}_2 need not be hereditary for $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ to be a semi-simple class.

Let \square be the radical class of boolean rings and \mathcal{D} the A-radical class of divisible rings, i.e. rings with divisible additive groups, $S(\square)$ and $S(\mathcal{D})$, respectively, their associated semi-simple classes. (It would be unwise to call the rings in $S(\mathcal{D})$ reduced because of an established meaning of that word in ring theory.) Since \square is hereditary, $S(\mathcal{D}) \circ S(\square)$ is a semi-simple class. In fact for every ring A we have $2\square(A) = 0$, so $\square(A) \in S(\mathcal{D})$, whence $S(\mathcal{D}) \circ S(\square)$ is the class of all rings. We

shall show that $S(\beth) \circ S(\mathcal{D})$ is also the class of all rings. Since $S(\beth)$ and $S(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq S(\beth) \circ S(\mathcal{D})$ we consider an arbitrary ring A with $\beth(A) \neq 0$. For convenience let $I = \beth(A)$. Likewise we can assume $\mathcal{D}(A/I) \neq 0$ and let $D/I = \mathcal{D}(A/I)$.

We need to consider additive groups for a moment: let R^+ denote the additive group of a ring R. Now

$$\operatorname{Ext}((D/I)^+, I^+) = \operatorname{Ext}(2(D/I)^+, I^+) = 2\operatorname{Ext}((D/I)^+, I^+) = 0,$$

as 2I = 0, see [1], pages 266–267, Lemma 3.1 and (C), so that $D^+ = I^+ \oplus E$, where E is a group isomorphic to $(D/I)^+$. But then E is the maximum divisible subgroup of D^+ , so $E = \mathcal{D}(D)^+$. Moreover $I = \beth(D)$, so the group direct sum $D^+ = I^+ \oplus E$ is "really" a ring direct sum $D = \beth(D) \oplus \mathcal{D}(D)$.

Now $I \in S(\mathcal{D})$,

$$I \cong I/I \cap \mathcal{D}(D) \cong (I + \mathcal{D}(D))/\mathcal{D}(D)$$

and

$$A/I \oplus \mathcal{D}(D) \cong (A/I)/((I + \mathcal{D}(D)/I)) = (A/I)/(D/I) = (A/I)/\mathcal{D}(A/I) \in S(\mathcal{D}).$$

Hence from the exact sequence

$$0 \to (I + \mathcal{D}(D))/\mathcal{D}(D) \to A/\mathcal{D}(D) \to A/(I + \mathcal{D}(D)) \to 0$$

we deduce that $A/\mathcal{D}(D) \in S(\mathcal{D})$. (Note that $A/\mathcal{D}(D)$ exists by (ADS).) Since $\mathcal{D}(D) \in S(\beth)$ we see that A is in $S(\beth) \circ S(\mathcal{D})$.

We can completely describe the behaviour of semi-simple classes of *special* radicals with respect to Mal'tsev–Neumann products. For this we make use of the following result which generalizes a theorem of R. L. Snider in [7]. Recall that an ideal I of a ring A is *essential* if it has nonzero intersection with every nonzero ideal of A, and A is then said to be an *essential extension* of I. We write $I \triangleleft^{\bullet} A$ to denote this situation.

Theorem 2.10. Let \mathcal{X} be a class of semiprime rings closed under essential extensions, \mathcal{Y} a class of rings. If A is an ideal of a ring B, A is a subdirect product of rings in \mathcal{X} and B/A is a subdirect product of rings in \mathcal{Y} , then B is a subdirect product of rings in $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$.

PROOF: Let A have ideals I_{λ} such that each $A/I_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\bigcap I_{\lambda} = 0$, and let B/A have ideals J_{μ}/A such that each $(B/A)/(J_{\mu}/A) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\bigcap J_{\mu}/A = 0$ (so that each $J_{\mu} \triangleleft B$, each $B/J_{\mu} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\bigcap J_{\mu} = A$).

Then $I_{\lambda} \triangleleft A \triangleleft B$ and A/I_{λ} is semiprime, so $I_{\lambda} \triangleleft B$ (e.g. by the Andrunakievich lemma) for each λ . For each λ let $N_{\lambda}/I_{\lambda} \triangleleft B/I_{\lambda}$ be maximal with respect to

420 B. J. Gardner

having zero intersection with A/I_{λ} . Then $N_{\lambda} \cap A = I_{\lambda}$ for each λ . Now

$$A/I_{\lambda} \cong (A/I_{\lambda})/(A/I_{\lambda}) \cap (N/I_{\lambda}) \cong (A/I_{\lambda} + N_{\lambda}/I_{\lambda})/(N_{\lambda}/I_{\lambda})$$
$$\triangleleft^{\bullet} (B/I_{\lambda})/(N_{\lambda}/I_{\lambda}) \cong B/N_{\lambda}.$$

Hence $B/N_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{X}$ for all λ . Now

$$\bigcap N_{\lambda} \cap \bigcap J_{\mu} = \bigcap N_{\lambda} \cap A = \bigcap (N_{\lambda} \cap A) = \bigcap I_{\lambda} = 0.$$

This shows that B is a subdirect product of the $B/N_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{X}$ and the $B/J_{\mu} \in \mathcal{Y}$. \square

In Snider's theorem, \mathcal{X} is a class of prime rings with identity. This is a special case of Theorem 2.10 since rings with identity have no proper essential extensions. Now for special radicals.

Theorem 2.11. Let \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 be special radical classes with semi-simple classes \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 , respectively, and for i = 1, 2 let \mathcal{C}_i be a special class of prime rings with upper radical class \mathcal{R}_i . Then $\mathcal{S}_1 \circ \mathcal{S}_2$ is the semi-simple class corresponding to the upper radical class defined by $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$, which is special.

PROOF: Special radicals are hereditary, so by Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, $S_1 \circ S_2$ (= $S_2 \circ S_1$) is a semi-simple class. Since special classes are closed under essential extensions, Theorem 2.10 says that every ring in $S_1 \circ S_2$ is a subdirect product of rings in the special class $C_1 \cup C_2$. But C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq S_1 \circ S_2$, so all subdirect products of rings from $C_1 \cup C_2$ are in $S_1 \circ S_2$.

Theorem 2.10 might suggest that if S_1 is the semi-simple class of a special radical, then $S_1 \circ S_2$ is a semi-simple class for every semi-simple class S_2 . This is not so. See Example 2.8: \mathcal{B} is special.

References

- [1] Fuchs L., Abelian Groups, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [2] Gardner B. J., A note on Mal'tsev-Neumann products of radical classes, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 24 (2018), 1-11.
- [3] Gardner B. J., Wiegandt R., Radical Theory of Rings, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 261, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004.
- [4] Mal'tsev A. I., Ob umnozhenii klassov algebraicheskikh sistem, Sibirskii Mat. Zh. 8 (1967), 346–365 (Russian).
- [5] Neumann H., Varieties of Groups, Springer, New York, 1967.

- [6] Penza T., Romanowska A.B., Mal'tsev products of varieties, I., Algebra Universalis 82 (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 33, 19 pages.
- [7] Snider R. L., Subdirect decompositions of extension rings, Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969), 225–226.

B. J. Gardner:

Discipline of Mathematics, University of Tasmania, PB 37, Hobart TAS 7001, Australia

E-mail: Barry.Gardner@utas.edu.au

(Received July 27, 2021, revised January 17, 2022)