

Govindasamy Ayyappan; George E. Chatzarakis; Thaniarasu Kumar; Ethiraj Thandapani
Oscillatory properties of third-order semi-noncanonical nonlinear delay difference
equations

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 148 (2023), No. 1, 35–47

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151525>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2023

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

OSCILLATORY PROPERTIES OF THIRD-ORDER
SEMI-NONCANONICAL NONLINEAR DELAY
DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

GOVINDASAMY AYYAPPAN, Pappireddipatti,
GEORGE E. CHATZARAKIS, Athens, THANIRASU KUMAR, Salem,
ETHIRAJ THANDAPANI, Chennai

Received March 22, 2021. Published online March 3, 2022.
Communicated by Josef Diblík

Abstract. We study the oscillatory properties of the solutions of the third-order nonlinear semi-noncanonical delay difference equation

$$D_3y(n) + f(n)y^\beta(\sigma(n)) = 0,$$

where $D_3y(n) = \Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha))$ is studied. The main idea is to transform the semi-noncanonical operator into canonical form. Then we obtain new oscillation theorems for the studied equation. Examples are provided to illustrate the importance of the main results.

Keywords: semi-noncanonical operator; third-order; delay difference equation; oscillation

MSC 2020: 39A10

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the third-order nonlinear delay difference equation

$$(E) \quad D_3y(n) + f(n)y^\beta(\sigma(n)) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0),$$

where $\mathbb{N}(n_0) = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots\}$, n_0 is a positive integer and D_3 denotes the difference operator

$$(1.1) \quad D_3y(n) = \Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)).$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that

- (C₁) $\{b(n)\}$, $\{a(n)\}$ and $\{f(n)\}$ are positive real sequences for all $n \geq n_0$;
- (C₂) $\{\sigma(n)\}$ is a sequence of integers with $\sigma(n) \leq n - 1$ and $\sigma(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (C₃) α and β are ratios of odd positive integers;
- (C₄) the operator D_3 is in semi-noncanonical form, that is,

$$(1.2) \quad \Pi(n_0) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(n)}\right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty.$$

By a solution of (E), we mean a nontrivial real sequence $\{y(n)\}$ that satisfies (E) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. We only consider those solutions of (E) which exist for all $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$ and satisfy the condition

$$\sup\{|y(n)| : N \leq n < \infty\} > 0 \quad \text{for any } N \in \mathbb{N}(n_0).$$

A solution of (E) is called *oscillatory* if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise, it is said to be *nonoscillatory*.

Several results have been reported in the literature on the oscillatory and asymptotic properties of the solutions of (E), see for example [2]–[19], [21], and [24]. Most papers are devoted to canonical type equations, that is,

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(n)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{1/\alpha}(n)} = \infty.$$

This is due to the fact that the canonical type equation is relatively simpler to study. In this paper, we connect the semi-noncanonical equation (E) to the canonical type.

While considering the nonoscillatory solutions of (E), we can restrict our attention to the positive ones since the proof for the negative case is similar. It follows from a well-known result in [1], that the set of positive solutions of (E) has the property described by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that $\{y(n)\}$ is a positive solution of (E). Then $\{y(n)\}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (I) $(\Delta y(n))^\alpha > 0$, $\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) > 0$, $\Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) < 0$,
- (II) $(\Delta y(n))^\alpha < 0$, $\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) > 0$, $\Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) < 0$,
- (III) $(\Delta y(n))^\alpha > 0$, $\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) < 0$, $\Delta(b(n)\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) < 0$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_1)$, $n_1 \geq n_0$.

From the above lemma it is clear that if we want to establish oscillation criteria for a semi-noncanonical equation, we have to eliminate the above mentioned classes. To overcome this, we present a simple condition that leads to a canonical representation of (E), which essentially simplifies the study of (E).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout the paper, we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(n) &= \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(s)}, \quad d(n) = b(n)\Pi(n)\Pi(n+1), \quad c(n) = \frac{a(n)}{\Pi(n)}, \\ F(n) &= \Pi(n+1)f(n), \quad G(n) = F(n) \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{\sigma(n)-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{t=n_1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{d(t)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right)^\alpha, \\ \mu(n) &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(n) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\mu(\sigma(s))}{c(\sigma(s))} \right)^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for all } n_1 \geq n_0.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Pi(n)}{a(n)} \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty.$$

Then the semi-noncanonical equation (E) can be written in the canonical form as

$$(E_1) \quad \frac{1}{\Pi(n+1)} \Delta \left(b(n)\Pi(n)\Pi(n+1) \Delta \left(\frac{a(n)}{\Pi(n)} (\Delta y(n))^\alpha \right) \right) + f(n)y^\beta(\sigma(n)) = 0.$$

Proof. By a straightforward computation we can show that

$$b(n)\Pi(n)\Pi(n+1) \Delta \left(\frac{a(n)}{\Pi(n)} (\Delta y(n))^\alpha \right) = \Pi(n)b(n) \Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) + a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha.$$

So

$$\Delta \left(b(n)\Pi(n)\Pi(n+1) \Delta \left(\frac{a(n)}{\Pi(n)} (\Delta y(n))^\alpha \right) \right) = \Pi(n+1) \Delta(b(n) \Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha))$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\Pi(n+1)} \Delta \left(b(n)\Pi(n)\Pi(n+1) \Delta \left(\frac{a(n)}{\Pi(n)} (\Delta y(n))^\alpha \right) \right) = \Delta(b(n) \Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)),$$

which shows that equations (E) and (E₁) are equivalent.

Now, we show that (E_1) is canonical, that is,

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(n)\Pi(n)\Pi(n+1)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{\Pi(n)}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Pi(n)} - \frac{1}{\Pi(n_0)} = \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Pi(n)}{a(n)}\right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty$$

by (2.1). The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let (2.1) hold. If $\{y(n)\}$ is a positive solution of (E), then $\{y(n)\}$ is a positive solution of*

$$(E_2) \quad \Delta(d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) + F(n)y^\beta(\sigma(n)) = 0$$

and $\{y(n)\}$ satisfies either

$$(\Delta y(n))^\alpha < 0, \quad \Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) > 0, \quad \Delta(d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) < 0,$$

in which case we say $y(n) \in S_0$, or

$$(\Delta y(n))^\alpha > 0, \quad \Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) > 0, \quad \Delta(d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) < 0,$$

in which we say $y(n) \in S_2$.

Corollary 2.2 simplifies the form of possible positive solutions of (E). Therefore, to obtain oscillation criteria for (E) it suffices to eliminate the classes S_0 and S_2 instead of the three classes postulated by Lemma 1.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (2.1) hold. Assume that $\{y(n)\}$ is a positive solution of (E). If $\alpha = \beta$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(t)} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} F(j) \right)^{1/\alpha} > 1,$$

then $\{y(n)\}$ does not satisfy (S_0) .

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $y(n) \in S_0$. Summing up (E₂) from s to $n - 1$ yields

$$d(s)\Delta(c(s)(\Delta y(s))^\alpha) \geq \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} F(t)y^\beta(\sigma(t)) \geq y^\beta(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} F(t).$$

Summing it up twice from s to $n - 1$, we obtain

$$y(s) \geq y^{\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(t)} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(j)} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} F(i) \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

By setting $s = \sigma(n)$ and $\alpha = \beta$ we reach a contradiction to (2.2). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let (2.1) hold. Assume that $\{y(n)\}$ is a positive solution of (E). If $\alpha = \beta$ and*

$$(2.3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} G(s) > \frac{1}{e},$$

then $\{y(n)\}$ does not satisfy (S₂).

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $y(n) \in S_2$. Since $d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)$ is positive and decreasing, we can verify that

$$c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha \geq \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{d(s)\Delta(c(s)(\Delta y(s))^\alpha)}{d(s)} \geq d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(s)}.$$

Summing it up again from n_1 to $n - 1$, we get

$$y(n) \geq (d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha))^{1/\alpha} \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{t=n_1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{d(t)} \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Substituting this into (E₂), we see that $w(n) = d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)$ is a positive solution of the difference inequality

$$\Delta w(n) + F(n) \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{\sigma(n)-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{t=n_1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{d(t)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right)^\alpha w(\sigma(n)) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.7 in [23], the corresponding equation

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta w(n) + G(n)w(\sigma(n)) = 0$$

also has a positive solution. But by (2.3) and Theorem 2.1 in [20], equation (2.4) has no positive solution, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Combining Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we immediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\alpha = \beta$ and (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) hold. Then (E) is oscillatory.*

Next, we consider the case $\alpha > \beta$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\alpha > \beta$ and (2.1) hold. If*

$$(2.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(t)} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} F(j) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} F(n) = \infty$$

for all $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$, then equation (E) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that $\{y(n)\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (E), say $y(n) > 0$ and $y(\sigma(n)) > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. From Corollary 2.2, $y(n) \in S_0$ or $y(n) \in S_2$ for all $n \geq n_1$.

First assume $y(n) \in S_0$. Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$y(\sigma(n)) \geq y^{\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(t)} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(j)} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} F(i) \right)^{1/\alpha},$$

or

$$y^{1-\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(n)) \geq \sum_{t=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(t)} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(j)} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} F(i) \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Since $\{y(n)\}$ is decreasing and $\alpha > \beta$, we have that $y^{1-\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(n)) < M$ for all $n \geq n_2 \geq n_1$. Using this, we obtain

$$M \geq \sum_{t=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{c(t)} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(j)} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} F(i) \right)^{1/\alpha},$$

which contradicts (2.5) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next assume $y(n) \in S_2$. Then, since $y(n)$ is increasing, there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ for all $n \geq n_1$. Then from (E₂) we obtain

$$\Delta(d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)) + M_1^\beta F(n) \leq 0.$$

Summing the above inequality from n_2 to $n-1$, we have

$$M_1^\beta \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} F(s) \leq d(n_2)\Delta(c(n_2)(\Delta y(n_2))^\alpha) < \infty,$$

which contradicts (2.6). The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.7. Assume that (E_2) possesses an eventually positive solution $y(n) \in S_2$. Then

$$\frac{c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha}{\mu(n)} \text{ is eventually decreasing.}$$

Proof. Assume that $y(n) \in S_2$. Since $d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)$ is positive and decreasing, we have

$$c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha \geq \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} d(s)\Delta(c(s)(\Delta y(s))^\alpha) \frac{1}{d(s)} \geq d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)\mu(n),$$

which implies that

$$\Delta\left(\frac{c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha}{\mu(n)}\right) \leq 0.$$

This proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.8. Assume that (E_2) possesses an eventually positive solution $y(n) \in S_2$. Then

$$y(\sigma(n)) \geq \eta(n)(d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$$

for all $n \geq n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 2.2 in [22]. Thus, the details are omitted. \square

Theorem 2.9. Let (2.1) hold. Assume that $\alpha = \beta$ and both

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} F(t) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\sigma(n))} \sum_{s=n_1}^{\sigma(n)-1} \mu(s+1)F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s)) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{s=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s)) + \mu(\sigma(n)) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s) \frac{\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s))}{\mu(\sigma(s))} \right\} > 1.$$

Then every nonoscillatory solution $\{y(n)\}$ of (E) satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(n) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{y(n)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (E). Then by Corollary 2.2, $\{y(n)\}$ is also a positive solution of (E₂) and so either $y(n) \in S_0$ or $y(n) \in S_2$ for all $n \geq n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$.

First assume that $y(n) \in S_2$. By Lemma 2.7, the function $c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha/\mu(n)$ is decreasing and thus

$$y(n) \geq \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{c^{1/\alpha}(s)\Delta y(s)}{\mu^{1/\alpha}(s)} \frac{\mu^{1/\alpha}(s)}{c^{1/\alpha}(s)} \geq \frac{c^{1/\alpha}(n)\Delta y(n)}{\mu^{1/\alpha}(n)} \varrho(n),$$

where $\varrho(n) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} (\mu(s)/c(s))^{1/\alpha}$. Substituting the last inequality into (E₂), we see that $x(n) = c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha$ is a positive increasing solution of the difference inequality

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta(d(n)\Delta x(n)) + F(n) \frac{\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(n))}{\mu(\sigma(n))} x(\sigma(n)) \leq 0.$$

Moreover, the sequence $\{x(n)/\mu(n)\}$ is decreasing. Now, summing up (2.9) from n to ∞ yields

$$\Delta x(n) \geq \frac{1}{d(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s) \frac{\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s))}{\mu(\sigma(s))} x(\sigma(s)).$$

Summing it up again from n_1 to $n-1$ and then changing the order of the summations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &\geq \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))} x(\sigma(t)) \\ &\geq \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))} x(\sigma(t)) + \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))} x(\sigma(t)) \\ &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \mu(s+1) \frac{F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s))}{\mu(\sigma(s))} x(\sigma(s)) + \mu(n) \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))} x(\sigma(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} x(\sigma(n)) &\geq \sum_{s=n_1}^{\sigma(n)-1} \mu(s+1) F(s) \varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s)) \frac{x(\sigma(s))}{\mu(\sigma(s))} + \mu(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))} x(\sigma(t)) \\ &\quad + \mu(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))} x(\sigma(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x(n)\}$ and $\{x(n)/\mu(n)\}$ are monotonically decreasing, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x(\sigma(n)) &\geq \frac{x(\sigma(n))}{\mu(\sigma(n))} \sum_{s=n_1}^{\sigma(n)-1} \mu(s+1)F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s)) + x(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t)) \\ &\quad + \mu(\sigma(n))x(\sigma(n)) \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{\mu(\sigma(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \frac{1}{\mu(\sigma(n))} \sum_{s=n_1}^{\sigma(n)-1} \mu(s+1)F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s)) + \sum_{s=\sigma(n)}^{n-1} F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s)) \\ &\quad + \mu(\sigma(n)) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)\varrho^\alpha(\sigma(s))}{\mu(\sigma(s))}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and we conclude that $y(n) \notin S_2$.

Next, we assume that $y(n) \in S_0$. It follows from the monotonicity of $y(n)$ that there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(n) = l \geq 0$. We claim that $l = 0$. If not, then $y(n) \geq l > 0$. Summing up (E₂) from n to ∞ yields

$$d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) \geq \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s)y^\alpha(\sigma(s)) \geq l^\alpha \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s).$$

Summing it up once more from n to ∞ , we obtain

$$-c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha \geq l^\alpha \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} F(t), \quad \text{or} \quad -\Delta y(n) \geq l \left(\frac{1}{c(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} F(t) \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Now, by summing this up from n_1 to ∞ , one can see that

$$y(n_1) \geq l \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{c(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d(s)} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} F(t) \right)^{1/\alpha},$$

which contradicts (2.7). Thus, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(n) = 0$. □

Our final result concerns the case when

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} F(n) < \infty.$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let (2.1), $\beta = \alpha$ and (2.2) hold. If (2.10) and*

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \eta^\alpha(n) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s) > 1,$$

then equation (E) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{y(n)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (E). Then by Corollary 2.2, $\{y(n)\}$ is also a positive solution of (E₂) and so either $y(n) \in S_0$ or $y(n) \in S_2$ for all $n \geq n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$.

First assume that $y(n) \in S_2$. Define

$$w(n) = \frac{d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)}{y^\alpha(\sigma(n))}, \quad n \geq n_1.$$

Then $w(n) > 0$. Using (E₂), we have

$$\Delta w(n) = -F(n) - \frac{d(n+1)\Delta(c(n+1)(\Delta y(n+1))^\alpha)}{y^\alpha(\sigma(n))y^\alpha(\sigma(n+1))} \Delta y^\alpha(\sigma(n)) \leq -F(n).$$

Summing the last inequality from n to ∞ , we obtain

$$\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s) \leq \frac{d(n)\Delta(c(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha)}{y^\alpha(\sigma(n))}.$$

Using Lemma 2.8 in the above inequality, we get

$$\eta^\alpha(n) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} F(s) \leq 1,$$

which contradicts (2.11) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof for the case S_0 is similar to that of Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof. \square

3. EXAMPLES

In this section, we present some examples to illustrate the main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the semi-noncanonical third-order delay difference equation

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta \left(n(n+1) \Delta \left(\frac{1}{n} (\Delta y(n))^{1/3} \right) \right) + 2^{7/3} (n+1) y^{1/3} (n-2) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Here $b(n) = n(n+1)$, $a(n) = 1/n$, $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $f(n) = 2^{7/3}(n+1)$, $\sigma(n) = n-2$. Clearly (3.1) is semi-noncanonical. A straightforward calculation shows that $\Pi(n) = 1/n$, $d(n) = 1$, $c(n) = 1$, $F(n) = 2^{7/3}$. The transformed canonical equation is

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta^2 ((\Delta y(n))^{1/3}) + 2^{7/3} y^{1/3} (n-2) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that condition (2.1) is satisfied. Condition (2.2) becomes

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-2}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} 2^{7/3} \right)^3 = 3584 > 1,$$

while condition (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-2}^{n-1} 2^{7/3} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{s-3} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} 1 \right)^3 \right)^{1/3} &= 2^{5/3} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-5)^{2/3} ((n-6)^{2/3} + (n-4)^{2/3}) \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied and therefore equation (3.1) is oscillatory. Using (3.2) one can easily verify that $y(n) = \{(-1)^n\}$ is one such oscillatory solution of (3.1).

Example 3.2. Consider the semi-noncanonical third-order delay difference equation

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta \left(2^{n+1} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{2^n} (\Delta y(n)) \right) \right) + \lambda y(n-2) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Here $b(n) = 2^{n+1}$, $a(n) = 1/2^n$, $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $f(n) = \lambda > 0$, $\sigma(n) = n-2$. Clearly (3.3) is semi-noncanonical. A straightforward calculation shows that $\Pi(n) = 1/2^n$, $d(n) = 1/2^n$, $c(n) = 1$, $F(n) = \lambda/2^{n+1}$, $\eta(n) = 2^{n-2} - n + \frac{3}{4}$.

It is easy to see that condition (2.1) is satisfied. Condition (2.2) becomes

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-2}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{t=s}^{n-1} 2^t \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda}{2^{j+1}} \right) = \frac{9\lambda}{4} > 1,$$

while condition (2.11) becomes

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2^{n-2} - n + \frac{3}{4} \right) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{2^{s+1}} = \frac{\lambda}{4} > 1.$$

Hence, Theorem 2.10 is satisfied if $\lambda > 4$, and so equation (3.3) is oscillatory.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have obtained new oscillation criteria for equation (E) by transforming (E) into canonical form. By this technique, we get a new kind of oscillation criteria for equation (E). We have shown the application of the results through some illustrative examples.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for their help to improve the manuscript.

References

- [1] *R. P. Agarwal*: Difference Equations and Inequalities: Theory, Methods, and Applications. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker 228. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, S. R. Grace, D. O'Regan*: Discrete Oscillation Theory. Hindawi Publications, New York, 2005. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, D. O'Regan*: On the oscillation of certain third-order difference equations. *Adv. Difference Equ.* *2005* (2005), 345–367. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, P. J. Y. Wong*: On the oscillation of third order nonlinear difference equations. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* *32* (2010), 189–203. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *M. F. Aktas, A. Tiryaki, A. Zafer*: Oscillation of third-order nonlinear delay difference equations. *Turk. J. Math.* *36* (2012), 422–436. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *J. Alzabut, M. Bohner, S. R. Grace*: Oscillation of nonlinear third-order difference equations with mixed neutral terms. *Adv. Difference Equ.* *2021* (2021), Article ID 3, 18 pages. [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *R. Arul, G. Ayyappan*: Oscillation criteria for third order neutral difference equations with distributed delay. *Malaya J. Mat.* *1* (2013), 1–10. [zbl](#)
- [8] *G. Ayyappan, G. E. Chatzarakis, T. Gopal, E. Thandapani*: On the oscillation of third-order Emden-Fowler type difference equations with unbounded neutral term. *Nonlinear Stud.* *27* (2020), 1105–1115. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *M. Bohner, C. Dharuman, R. Srinivasan, E. Thandapani*: Oscillation criteria for third-order nonlinear functional difference equations with damping. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* *11* (2017), 669–676. [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *Z. Došlá, A. Kobza*: On third-order linear difference equations involving quasi-differences. *Adv. Difference Equ.* *2006* (2006), Article ID 65652, 13 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *T. Gopal, G. Ayyapan, R. Arul*: Some new oscillation criteria of third-order half-linear neutral difference equations. *Malaya J. Mat.* *8* (2020), 1301–1306. [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, M. F. Aktas*: Oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear difference equations. *Fasc. Math.* *42* (2009), 39–51. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, J. R. Graef*: Oscillation criteria for certain third order nonlinear difference equations. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* *3* (2009), 27–38. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *J. R. Graef, E. Thandapani*: Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of third order delay difference equations. *Funkc. Ekvacioj, Ser. Int.* *42* (1999), 355–369. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [15] *S. Mehar Banu, M. Nazreen Banu*: Oscillatory behavior of half-linear third order delay difference equations. *Malaya J. Matematik S* (2021), 531–536. [doi](#)
- [16] *P. Mohankumar, V. Ananthan, A. Ramesh*: Oscillation solution of third order nonlinear difference equations with delays. *Int. J. Math. Comput. Research* *2* (2014), 581–586.
- [17] *S. H. Saker, J. O. Alzabut*: Oscillatory behavior of third order nonlinear difference equations with delayed argument. *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Ser. A., Math. Anal.* *17* (2010), 707–723. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [18] *S. H. Saker, J. O. Alzabut, A. Mukheimer*: On the oscillatory behavior for a certain class of third order nonlinear delay difference equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* *2010* (2010), Article ID 67, 16 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [19] *E. Schmeidel*: Oscillatory and asymptotically zero solutions of third order difference equations with quasidifferences. *Opusc. Math.* *26* (2006), 361–369. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [20] *Y. Shoukaku*: On the oscillation of solutions of first-order difference equations with delay. *Commun. Math. Anal.* *20* (2017), 62–67. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [21] *R. Srinivasan, C. Dharuman, J. R. Graef, E. Thandapani*: Oscillation and property (B) of third order delay difference equations with a damping term. *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* *26* (2019), 55–67. [MR](#)

- [22] *E. Thandapani, S. Pandian, R. K. Balasubramaniam*: Oscillatory behavior of solutions of third order quasilinear delay difference equations. *Stud. Univ. Žilina, Math. Ser. 19* (2005), 65–78. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [23] *E. Thandapani, S. Selvarangam*: Oscillation theorems for second order quasilinear neutral difference equations. *J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2* (2012), 866–879. [MR](#)
- [24] *K. S. Vidhayaa, C. Dharuman, E. Thandapani, S. Pinelas*: Oscillation theorems for third order nonlinear delay difference equations. *Math. Bohem. 144* (2019), 25–37. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: *Govindasamy Ayyappan*, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University College of Arts and Science, Pappireddipatti - 636 905, Tamil Nadu, India, e-mail: ayyapmath@gmail.com; *George E. Chatzarakis*, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators, School of Pedagogical and Technological Education, Marousi 15122, Athens, Greece, e-mail: gea.xatz@aspete.gr, geaxatz@otenet.gr; *Thaniasu Kumar*, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University, Salem 636 011, Tamil Nadu, India, e-mail: gtkumarmaths@gmail.com; *Ethiraj Thandapani*, Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai 600 005, India, e-mail: ethandapani@yahoo.co.in.