

Stephen Scheinberg

A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear automorphism of the reals with non-measurable graph

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 60 (2019), No. 2, 209–210

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147818>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## A $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear automorphism of the reals with non-measurable graph

STEPHEN SCHEINBERG

*Abstract.* This note contains a proof of the existence of a one-to-one function  $\Theta$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto itself with the following properties:  $\Theta$  is a rational-linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the graph of  $\Theta$  is a non-measurable subset of the plane.

*Keywords:* non-measurable functions; rational automorphism

*Classification:* 26A30, 28A05, 28A20

The existence of functions with non-measurable graphs was known to Sierpinski a century ago, but he apparently never published the details of a proof. However, see [2] and [1]. What is new in this note is a proof of the existence of an isomorphism  $\Theta$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto itself, as a rational vector space, which has the property that the graph of  $\Theta$  has zero inner measure and its complement  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Theta$  also has zero inner measure. Thus, the graph of  $\Theta$  is not measurable. (The characteristic function of a non-measurable set is not a measurable function, but its graph is measurable.) As in rudimentary set theory, a function is identical with its graph.

In what follows every linear combination has rational coefficients.

For any set  $Z$  let  $|Z|$  be the cardinal of  $Z$ . As usual  $c$  is the cardinal of the continuum. Let  $m_1$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $m_2$  be the Lebesgue (2-dimensional) measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the set of compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  for which  $m_2(K) > 0$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $V_x$  be the vertical line  $\{(x, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $K \in \mathbf{K}$ , then there are  $c$  elements  $x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  for which  $|V_x \cap K| = c$ .*

PROOF: Let  $0 < m_2(K) = \int m_1(V_x \cap K) dm_1(x)$  by Fubini's theorem. So  $m_1(V_x \cap K) > 0$  for a set  $X$  (of such  $x$ 's) of positive measure. Therefore  $X$  has  $c$  points, and for each such point  $V_x \cap K$  must contain  $c$  points, since its measure is positive. Lemma 1 is proved, along with the statement that the graph of any function has zero inner measure. (We remark that  $K$  may not contain a set  $I \times J$  of positive measure.)

Now we proceed with the proof of the main statement (the title and the abstract). The set  $\mathbf{K}$  has  $c$  members: Let us well order  $\mathbf{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ . We shall find a one-one map  $F$  of  $2 \times c$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , with these properties: the image of  $F$  is linearly independent, and defining  $x_\alpha = F(0, \alpha)$  and  $y_\alpha = F(1, \alpha)$ , we have  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$ .

Once we have the above, let  $A = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$  and  $B = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ , two sets whose union is linearly independent. Clearly, the linear span of  $A$  is of dimension  $c$  and of co-dimension  $c$ ; the same is true for  $B$ . Extend  $A$  to a (Hamel) basis  $A'$  for  $\mathbb{R}$ , and extend  $B$  to  $B'$ , similarly. Since  $|A' \setminus A| = |B' \setminus B| = c$ , the one-one map  $\Theta : A \rightarrow B$  defined by  $\Theta(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha$  can be extended to a one-one map, also called  $\Theta$  from  $A'$  onto  $B'$ . Of course, this  $\Theta$  extends naturally to an isomorphism  $\Theta$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto itself. The set  $\Theta$  meets every  $K \in \mathbf{K}$ , since  $\Theta(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha$  means exactly that  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in \Theta$ .

It follows that  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Theta$  cannot contain a set of positive measure; that is, the inner measure of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Theta$  is zero. The inner measure of  $\Theta$  is also zero, by Lemma 1.

In order to prove the existence of a function  $F$  with the desired properties, we shall proceed by transfinite induction. The set  $\mathbf{K}$  is already well ordered. Well order  $\mathbb{R} = \{z_\lambda : \lambda < c\}$ .

By transfinite induction we shall produce a collection  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$  with these properties:

- (1) each  $F_\alpha : 2 \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is one-to-one;
- (2) the image of each  $F_\alpha$  is linearly independent;
- (3) for  $\beta < \alpha$   $F_\beta \subset F_\alpha$ ; and
- (4) for  $\beta < \alpha$   $(x_\beta, y_\beta) \in K_\beta$ , where  $x_\beta = F(0, \beta)$  and  $y_\beta = F(1, \beta)$ .

Then our desired  $F = \bigcup_{\alpha < c} F_\alpha$ .

Suppose we have a collection as above for all  $\alpha < \gamma < c$ . We are to find  $F_\gamma$  to satisfy the four properties. Note that for  $\gamma = 0$ , the properties hold vacuously. If  $\gamma$  is not a successor, simply take  $F_\gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} F_\alpha$ .

For  $\gamma = \beta + 1$  we proceed as follows. Put  $L$  to be the linear span of the image of  $F_\beta$ . The span  $L$  has fewer than  $c$  points. Lemma 1 shows that there are  $c$  points  $z$  not in  $L$  for which  $V_z \cap K_\beta$  contains  $c$  points. Let  $x_\beta$  be one of those  $z$ 's, say  $z_\eta$ , where  $\eta$  is the smallest possible for definiteness. Let  $L'$  be the linear span of  $L \cup \{x_\beta\}$ . In a manner similar to the selection of  $x_\beta$  choose  $y_\beta$  so that  $y_\beta$  is not in  $L'$  but  $(x_\beta, y_\beta) \in K_\beta$ . Put  $F(0, \beta) = x_\beta$  and  $F(1, \beta) = y_\beta$ , and extend  $F_\beta$  to  $F_{\beta+1}$  accordingly. Properties (1)–(4) are evident, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** I thank the referee(s) for several helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gelbaum B.R., Olmsted J.M.H., *Counterexamples in Analysis*, The Mathesis Series Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.
- [2] Kharazishvili A.B., *Nonmeasurable Sets and Functions*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 195, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2004.

S. Scheinberg:

23 CREST CIRCLE, CORONA DEL MAR, CALIFORNIA, CA 92625, USA

*E-mail:* StephenXOX@gmail.com

(Received July 27, 2017, revised May 9, 2019)