

Mehdi Parsinia

Remarks on LBI -subalgebras of $C(X)$

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 57 (2016), No. 2, 261–270

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/145750>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2016

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

Remarks on LBI -subalgebras of $C(X)$

MEHDI PARSINIA

Abstract. Let $A(X)$ denote a subalgebra of $C(X)$ which is closed under local bounded inversion, briefly, an LBI -subalgebra. These subalgebras were first introduced and studied in Redlin L., Watson S., *Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications*, Fund. Math. **152** (1997), 151–163. By characterizing maximal ideals of $A(X)$, we generalize the notion of z_A^β -ideals, which was first introduced in Acharyya S.K., De D., *An interesting class of ideals in subalgebras of $C(X)$ containing $C^*(X)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **48** (2007), 273–280 for intermediate subalgebras, to the LBI -subalgebras. Using these, it is simply shown that the structure space of every LBI -subalgebra is homeomorphic with a quotient of βX . This gives a different approach to the results of Redlin L., Watson S., *Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications*, Fund. Math. **152** (1997), 151–163 and also shows that the Banaschewski-compactification of a zero-dimensional space X is a quotient of βX . Finally, we consider the class of complete rings of functions which was first defined in Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., *Local invertibility in subrings of $C^*(X)$* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46**(1992), 449–458. Showing that every such subring is an LBI -subalgebra, we prove that the compactification of X associated to each complete ring of functions, which is identified in Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., *Local invertibility in subrings of $C^*(X)$* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46**(1992), 449–458 via the mapping Z_A , is in fact, the structure space of that subring. Henceforth, some statements in Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., *Local invertibility in subrings of $C^*(X)$* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46**(1992), 449–458 could be proved in a different way.

Keywords: local bounded inversion; structure space; z_A^β -ideal; complete ring of functions

Classification: 54C30, 46E25

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be completely regular and Hausdorff. For a given topological space X , $C(X)$ denotes the algebra of all real-valued continuous functions on X , $C^*(X)$ denotes the subalgebra of $C(X)$ consisting of all bounded continuous functions. For each $f \in C(X)$, $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ denotes the zero-set of f and $Coz(f)$ denotes the complement of $Z(f)$ with respect to X . For each element f of an intermediate

subalgebra $A(X)$ (i.e., $C^*(X) \subseteq A(X) \subseteq C(X)$), $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ denotes $\{E \in Z(X) : \exists g \in A(X) : fg|_{X \setminus E} = 1\}$ (refer to [6] for more details about the mapping \mathcal{Z}_A). By a realcompactification of X we mean a realcompact space containing X as a dense subspace. For a topological space X , βX is the Stone-Ćech compactification of X and νX is the Hewitt-realcompactification of X . Every $f \in C(X)$ may be considered as a continuous function from X into the one-point compactification $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ of \mathbb{R} and thus it has a Stone extension $f^* : \beta X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$. Clearly, if f is bounded, then f^* is the same as f^β . The set of all points in βX where f^* takes real values is denoted by $\nu_f X$, i.e., $\nu_f X = \{p \in \beta X : f^*(p) \neq \infty\}$. For a subring R of $C(X)$ we set $\nu_R X = \{p \in \beta X : f^*(p) < \infty, \forall f \in R\} = \bigcap_{f \in R} \nu_f X$. It follows that $\nu_C X = \nu X$ and $\nu_{C^*} X = \beta X$. Also, $\nu X \subseteq \nu_R X$ for each subring R of $C(X)$, see [1] for more details. A maximal ideal M of a subalgebra $A(X)$ is called real maximal, if $A(X)/M \cong \mathbb{R}$. If the field $A(X)/M$ properly contains a copy of \mathbb{R} , then M is called a hyper-real maximal ideal. A subalgebra $A(X)$ of $C(X)$ is called closed under bounded inversion, briefly, a BI -subalgebra, if f is invertible in $A(X)$ whenever $f \in A(X)$ with $f \geq 1$. Also, $A(X)$ is called a β -subalgebra, if the structure space of $A(X)$ is homeomorphic with βX ([13, Definition 2.5]). It is shown in [13, Theorem 2.8] that every β -subalgebra is a BI -subalgebra. However, the converse is not true, in general. For example, let $p, q \in \beta X \setminus \nu X$ and $I = M^p \cap M^q$, then [17, Remark 1.7 and Remark 4.1] and [13, Theorem 2.9] show that $I + \mathbb{R}$ is a BI -subalgebra which is not a β -subalgebra. It is easy to see that every intermediate subalgebra $A(X)$ is a β -subalgebra. However, a β -subalgebra need not be an intermediate subalgebra. For example, whenever $p \in \beta X \setminus \nu X$, then $M^p + \mathbb{R}$ is a β -subalgebra which is not an intermediate subalgebra (refer to [13] and [17]). Note that [13, Theorem 2.9] shows that the β -subalgebras which are also closed under uniform topology are precisely the intermediate subalgebras. A subalgebra $A(X)$ of $C(X)$ is called closed under local bounded inversion, briefly, LBI -subalgebras, if whenever $f \in A(X)$ is bounded away from zero on some cozero-set E , then f is E -regular in $A(X)$; i.e., if $f \geq c > 0$ on E , then there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $fg|_E = 1$. These subalgebras were introduced and studied in [15]. It is easy to see that every LBI -subalgebra is a BI -subalgebra. However, the converse of this statement does not hold, in general (see Example 2.2 in the next section). In [13, Theorem 2.8] it is stated that the collection of all maximal ideals of a β -subalgebra $A(X)$ is $\{M_A^p : p \in \beta X\}$, in which $M_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : (fg)^*(p) = 0, \forall g \in A(X)\}$. Moreover, it follows from [13, Proposition 2.7] that every maximal ideal of a BI -subalgebra $A(X)$ is of the form M_A^p , for some $p \in \beta X$. Following [13] we set $S_A(f) = \{p \in \beta X : (fg)^*(p) = 0, \forall g \in A(X)\}$ for each f in a subalgebra $A(X)$; thus, $M_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : p \in S_A(f)\}$. It is easy to see that $S_A(fg) = S_A(f) \cup S_A(g)$, $S_A(f^2 + g^2) = S_A(f) \cap S_A(g)$ and $S_A(f^n) = S_A(f)$, for each $f, g \in A(X)$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \subseteq S_A(f) \subseteq Z(f^*)$ and thus $S_A(f) \cap X = Z(f)$. It is evident that $S_C(f) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ and $S_{C^*}(f) = Z(f^\beta)$. For terms and notations not defined here we follow the standard text [9].

The aim of this paper is to investigate a different approach to the results of [5] and [15]. This is done via characterizing maximal ideals of the subalgebras which

are considered in the mentioned papers. Moreover, we generalize the notion of z_A^β -ideals, which was first defined in [2] for intermediate subalgebras, to the *LBI*-subalgebras. Furthermore, we show that z_A^β -ideals coincide with z -ideals in *LBI*-subalgebras. Note that an ideal I in a commutative ring R is called a z -ideal, if $M_f \subseteq I$ whenever $f \in I$, where M_f is the intersection of all maximal ideals of R containing f . This paper consists of three sections. Section 1 is the introduction as we have already noticed. In Section 2, we consider the class of *LBI*-subalgebras of $C(X)$. By characterizing maximal ideals of these subalgebras, we generalize the notion of z_A^β -ideals to the *LBI*-subalgebras. Using these, we give another proof of the fact that the structure space of each *LBI*-subalgebra is homeomorphic with a quotient of βX , which is proved in [15] via the mapping \mathcal{Z}_A . In Section 3, we consider the class of complete rings of functions which is introduced in [5]. It simply follows that every complete ring of functions is an *LBI*-subalgebra and thus the compactification associated with each complete ring of functions, which is identified in [5] via the mapping \mathcal{Z}_A , is just the structure space of that subring. Thus, some results of [5] could be achieved in a different way.

2. *LBI*-subalgebras of $C(X)$

As noted in the introduction, every *LBI*-subalgebra is a *BI*-subalgebra. Thus, [13, Proposition 2.7] implies that each maximal ideal of an *LBI*-subalgebra $A(X)$ has the form M_A^p for some $p \in \beta X$. The following statement shows that in an *LBI*-subalgebra $A(X)$, the ideal M_A^p is always maximal for each $p \in \beta X$. Note that in this paper *LBI*-subalgebras are assumed to separate points and closed sets of X .

Lemma 2.1. *For each $p \in \beta X$, the ideal M_A^p is maximal in the *LBI*-subalgebra $A(X)$.*

PROOF: Assume that M_A^p is not a maximal ideal. As $A(X)$ is an *LBI*-subalgebra, there exists $q \in \beta X$ such that M_A^q is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$ and $M_A^p \subset M_A^q$. Let $f \in M_A^q \setminus M_A^p$, thus, there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $(fg)^*(p) \neq 0$; i.e., $p \notin Z((fg)^*)$. Therefore, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that $p \in cl_{\beta X} Z(h)$ and $cl_{\beta X} Z(h) \cap Z((fg)^*) = \emptyset$. It follows that $h \in M^p$ and $f(x)g(x) > c > 0$ for each $x \in Z(h)$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. Set $F = \{x \in X : f(x)g(x) > c\}$, clearly, F is a cozero-set containing $Z(h)$ on which fg is bounded away from zero. Thus, there exists $k \in A(X)$ such that $fgk|_F = 1$, since $A(X)$ is an *LBI*-subalgebra. Hence, $fgk|_{Z(h)} = 1$ which implies that $1 - fgk|_{Z(h)} = 0$. As $p \in cl_{\beta X} Z(h)$, we have $(1 - fgk)^*(p) = 0$ and hence for each $t \in A(X)$ we have $((1 - fgk)t)^*(p) = 0$, since $Z(h) \subseteq Z((1 - fgk)t)$ and thus if $p \notin Z(((1 - fgk)t)^*)$. Then there exists $l \in C(X)$ such that $p \in cl_{\beta X} Z(l)$ and $Z(l) \cap Z((1 - fgk)t) = \emptyset$. This implies that $Z(l) \cap Z(h) = \emptyset$, however, $l, h \in M^p$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $1 - fgk \in M_A^p \subseteq M_A^q$ and thus $1 \in M_A^q$ which is a contradiction. \square

Note that Lemma 2.1 does not hold for BI -subalgebras, in general, as the following example shows. This example investigates a BI -subalgebra which is not an LBI -subalgebra.

Example 2.2. Let X be a topological space and $p, q \in \beta X \setminus \nu X$ with $p \neq q$. Also, let $I = M^p \cap M^q$ and $A_I = I^u + \mathbb{R}$. It follows from [17, Lemma 2.2] that A_I is a BI -subalgebra. Moreover, using [16, Lemma 5.1], we have $M^p \subseteq M^p_{A_I}$ and thus $I \subseteq M^p_{A_I}$. Therefore, if $M^p_{A_I}$ is maximal in A_I , then [17, Theorem 2.7] implies that $M^p_{A_I} = I^u$ which means that $(M^p)^u = (M^q)^u$. This contradicts $p \neq q$. Therefore, $M^p_{A_I}$ is not maximal in A_I and hence we can infer from Lemma 2.1 that A_I is not an LBI -subalgebra.

The concept of z^β_A -ideal was first introduced in [2] for intermediate subalgebras. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that this concept could be applied for LBI -subalgebras, see Definition 2.5 in the following. The next statement generalizes [2, Lemma 2.2] to LBI -subalgebras.

Notation. For a subalgebra $A(X)$ of $C(X)$, $S(A)$ denotes $\{S_A(f) : f \in A(X)\}$; for an ideal I of $A(X)$, $S_A[I]$ denotes $\{S_A(f) : f \in I\}$ and for a subcollection \mathcal{F} of $S(A)$, $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ denotes $\{f \in A(X) : S_A(f) \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A(X)$ be an LBI -subalgebra of $C(X)$, then $S_A(f) = \emptyset$ if and only if f is an invertible element in $A(X)$.*

PROOF: It is clear that if f is invertible in $A(X)$, then $S_A(f) = \emptyset$. Let $f \in A(X)$ and $S_A(f) = \emptyset$, therefore, $f \notin M^p_A$ for each $p \in \beta X$. As $A(X)$ is an LBI -subalgebra, [13, Proposition 2.7] implies that f misses each maximal ideal of $A(X)$. Hence, f is invertible in $A(X)$. □

Definition 2.4. A non-empty subcollection \mathcal{F} of $S(A)$ is called a z^β_A -filter on βX , whenever

- 1) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$;
- 2) if S_1, S_2 are in \mathcal{F} , then $S_1 \cap S_2 \in \mathcal{F}$;
- 3) if $S_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, $S_2 \in S(A)$ and $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, then $S_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Also, z^β_A -ultrafilters and prime z^β_A -filters are defined similarly to z -ultrafilters and prime z -filters, respectively.

Definition 2.5. An ideal I in an LBI -subalgebra $A(X)$ is called a z^β_A -ideal if $S_A^{-1}S_A[I] = I$ in which $S_A^{-1}S_A[I] = \{f \in A(X) : S_A(f) \in S_A[I]\}$.

The definition of z^β_A -ideal, evidently, implies that every maximal ideal of $A(X)$ is a z^β_A -ideal. The next statement, which is a generalization of [2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6] to LBI -subalgebras, indicates the close connection between z^β_A -ideals and z^β_A -filters.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $A(X)$ be an LBI -subalgebra of $C(X)$, then*

- 1) *if I is a proper ideal of $A(X)$, then $S_A[I]$ is a z^β_A -filter on βX ;*
- 2) *if \mathcal{F} is a z^β_A -filter on βX , then $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is a z^β_A -ideal in $A(X)$;*

- 3) if M is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$, then $S_A[M]$ is a z_A^β -ultrafilter on βX ;
- 4) if \mathcal{U} is a z_A^β -ultrafilter on βX , then $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$.

PROOF: Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, and also [2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.6], the proof is straightforward. □

The next statement gives an algebraic characterization of z_A^β -ideals which reveals that the class of z_A^β -ideals of an *LBI*-subalgebra $A(X)$ coincides with the class of z -ideals of $A(X)$. This statement is a generalization of [2, Theorem 3.8] to *LBI*-subalgebras.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $A(X)$ be an *LBI*-subalgebra and $f, g \in A(X)$, then $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$ if and only if $M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A)$.*

PROOF: As $A(X)$ is an *LBI*-subalgebra, $f \in M_g(A)$ if and only if $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$. Now, let $M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A)$ and $p \in S_A(g)$, then $g \in M_A^p$ and $f \in M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A) \subseteq M_A^p$. Thus, $p \in S_A(f)$, which implies that $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$. Conversely, assume the contrary that $S_A(g) \subseteq S_A(f)$ but $M_f(A) \not\subseteq M_g(A)$. Therefore, there exists $h \in M_f(A)$ such that $h \notin M_g(A)$. Hence, there exists some $M \in \text{Max}(A)$ such that $h \notin M$ and $g \in M$. As $A(X)$ is an *LBI*-subalgebra, $M = M_A^p$, for some $p \in \beta X$. Hence, $g \in M_A^p$ and $h \notin M_A^p$, which means that $p \in S_A(g)$ and $p \notin S_A(f)$. This contradiction shows that $M_f(A) \subseteq M_g(A)$. □

It follows from the above proposition that an ideal I in an *LBI*-subalgebra $A(X)$ is a z_A^β -ideal if and only if it is a z -ideal. Therefore, from well-known properties of z -ideals, it follows that every maximal ideal in $A(X)$ is a z_A^β -ideal, every z_A^β -ideal is an intersection of prime ideals, every minimal prime ideal over a z_A^β -ideal is also a z_A^β -ideal and hence every minimal prime ideal of $A(X)$ is a z_A^β -ideal. These facts are generalizations of [2, Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 3.8] to *LBI*-subalgebras. Using the notion of z_A^β -ideals, we show that the structure space of each *LBI*-subalgebra is Hausdorff. Let $A(X)$ be an *LBI*-subalgebra, then it is clear that $S(A)$ constitutes a base for the closed subsets of a topology on βX which we call $S(A)$ -topology and denote by $\tau_{S(A)}$. X is a dense subspace of $(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})$, since $A(X)$ separates points and closed sets in X . If τ denotes the usual topology on βX , then, clearly, $\tau_{S(A)} \subseteq \tau$. Therefore, $(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})$ is compact. This fact leads to the next statement which is a reformulation of [15, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 2.8. *The structure space of an *LBI*-subalgebra $A(X)$ is homeomorphic with $\frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$.*

PROOF: Define \sim_A on βX as follows $p \sim_A q$ if $M_A^p = M_A^q$, where $p, q \in \beta X$. Clearly, \sim_A defines an equivalence relation on βX . Therefore, $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_A}$ is a quotient of βX . Now, define $\varphi : \frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A} \rightarrow \text{Max}(A)$ by $\varphi(p) = M_A^p$. We show that this mapping is a homeomorphism. Let $\mathcal{M}_f = \{M \in \text{Max}(A) : f \in M\}$ be a basic

closed set in $Max(A)$ and $p \notin \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_f)$, thus, $M_A^p \notin \mathcal{M}_f$ and hence $p \notin S_A(f)$. Therefore, there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $p \notin S_A(g)$ and $S_A(f) \subseteq S_A(g)$. Thus, $p \notin S_A(g)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_f) \subseteq S_A(g)$ which means that φ is continuous. It is clear that φ is also one-one and onto. By showing that φ is a closed mapping the proof is completed. Let $S_A(f)$ be a basic closed set and $M_A^p \notin \varphi(S_A(f))$. Thus, $p \notin S_A(f)$ and hence there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $S_A(f) \subseteq S_A(g)$ and $p \notin S_A(g)$. Therefore, $\varphi(S_A(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_g$ and $M_A^p \notin \mathcal{M}_g$. Hence, φ is a closed mapping and we are done. \square

The next statement follows from Theorem 2.8 which is a reformulation of [15, Theorem 3.6].

Theorem 2.9. *The structure space of each LBI-subalgebra of $C(X)$ is a quotient of βX ; precisely, $Max(A)$ is homeomorphic with $\frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$.*

PROOF: Let $A(X)$ be an LBI-subalgebra of $C(X)$. At first, we show that $\frac{(\beta X, \tau)}{\sim_A}$ is a compact Hausdorff space. Evidently, this space is compact. Now, assume that p and q are two distinct points βX where βX is equipped with the $S(A)$ -topology and the equivalence relation \sim_A is defined on it. It follows that M_A^p and M_A^q are two distinct maximal ideals in $A(X)$. We claim that there exists $f \in M_A^p$ and $g \in M_A^q$ such that $S_A(f) \cap S_A(g) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, $S_A[M_A^p] \cup S_A[M_A^q]$ constitutes a base for a z_A^β -filter on βX , let \mathcal{F} be this z_A^β -filter. Then clearly $S_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal in $A(X)$ containing both M_A^p and M_A^q which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$ is Hausdorff. Now, the identity mapping $i : (\beta X, \tau) \rightarrow (\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})$ is continuous and hence so is the identity mapping $\mathcal{I} : \frac{(\beta X, \tau)}{\sim_A} \rightarrow \frac{(\beta X, \tau_{S(A)})}{\sim_A}$. Thus, \mathcal{I} is a homeomorphism as it is a continuous bijective mapping to a compact Hausdorff space. Therefore, by Theorem 2.8, we are done. \square

An immediate consequence of the above statements is the characterization of maximal ideals of invertible lattice-ordered subalgebras of $C(X)$. We call a subalgebra R of $C(X)$ an invertible subalgebra, if $f^{-1} \in R$ whenever $f \in R$ with $Z(f) = \emptyset$. Some well-known examples of invertible lattice-ordered subalgebras are $I + \mathbb{R}$, where I is an absolutely convex ideal in $C(X)$ (refer to [17, Remark 1.8 and Remark 4.1]) and $C_c(X)$, the subalgebra of $C(X)$ consisting of all functions with countable image (refer to [8]). It is easy to see that every invertible lattice-ordered subalgebra R of $C(X)$ is an LBI-subalgebra. Indeed, it is clear that this kind of subalgebras are BI-subalgebras and if $f \in R$ and $f \geq c > 0$ on a cozero-set E , then $g = c \vee f$ is in R and clearly is bounded away from zero on X and hence, has an inverse h in R , and it follows that $fh|_E = 1$. Therefore, the collection of all the maximal ideals of an invertible lattice-ordered subalgebra R is $\{M_R^p : p \in \beta X\}$, also, it is easy to see that $M_R^p = M^p \cap R$ for each $p \in \beta X$. Hence, whenever I is an absolutely convex ideal in $C(X)$, then the collection of all the maximal ideals of $I + \mathbb{R}$ is $\{M^p \cap (I + \mathbb{R}) : p \in \beta X\}$ and clearly $M_{I+\mathbb{R}}^p = M_{I+\mathbb{R}}^q$ if and only if $p, q \in \theta(I)$, where $\theta(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} cl_{\beta X} Z(f)$. Therefore, $I + \mathbb{R}$ is a β -subalgebra if

and only if I is contained in a unique maximal ideal. Applying these facts for the subalgebra $C_K(X) + \mathbb{R}$, where $C_K(X)$ denotes the ideal of $C(X)$ consisting of all functions with compact support (refer to [9, 4D]), implies that $C_K(X) + \mathbb{R}$ has the unique free maximal ideal $C_K(X)$. Thus, whenever X is locally compact, then $Max(C_K(X) + \mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic with the one-point compactification of X . Furthermore, the unique free maximal ideal of $C_\psi(X) + \mathbb{R}$ is $C_\psi(X)$, in which $C_\psi(X)$ denotes the ideal of $C(X)$ consisting of all functions with pseudocompact support (refer to [11]). Hence, whenever X is locally compact, then $Max(C_\psi(X) + \mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic with the one-point pseudocompactification of X . This means that the one-point compactification and the one-point pseudocompactification of locally compact spaces are homeomorphic with quotients of βX .

Similarly, the characterization of maximal ideals of the subalgebra $C_c(X)$ follows from Lemma 2.1. As earlier noted, $C_c(X)$ is an invertible lattice-ordered subalgebra of $C(X)$ and thus, the collection of all the maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ is $\{M^p \cap C_c(X) : p \in \beta X\}$. It is well-known that whenever X is a zero-dimensional space, then $Max(C_c(X))$ is homeomorphic with the Banaschewski compactification of X which is denoted by $\beta_o X$ (refer to [3]). Therefore, $C_c(X)$ is a β -subalgebra if and only if X is strongly zero-dimensional. Moreover, if X is a zero-dimensional space, then $\beta_o X$ is homeomorphic with a quotient of βX ; in fact, if we define \sim_c on βX as $p \sim_c q$ if and only if $M_{C_c}^p = M_{C_c}^q$, then $\beta_o X$ is homeomorphic with $\frac{\beta X}{\sim_c}$.

Note that a subring R of $C(X)$ is called a C -ring, if R is isomorphic with $C(Y)$ for some completely regular Hausdorff space Y (see [15]). R is called an intermediate C -algebra, if it is an intermediate subalgebra which is also a C -ring. Intermediate C -algebras of $C(X)$ are in a 1 – 1 correspondence with realcompactifications of X according to the following proposition which is a restatement of [15, Theorem 4.7].

Proposition 2.10 ([15, Theorem 4.7]). *There exists a 1 – 1 correspondence between realcompactifications of X and intermediate C -algebras of $C(X)$.*

PROOF: We first note that every realcompactification of X is homeomorphic with a realcompactification of X which is a subset of βX . In fact, let Y be a realcompactification of X and set $A_Y(X) = \{f \in C(X) : f \text{ has an extension to } Y\}$. As stated in the proof of part (b) of [14, Theorem 4.6.], $A_Y(X) \cong C(Y)$ and $Y \simeq v_{A_Y} X$. Also, clearly, $v_{A_Y} X \subseteq \beta X$. Therefore, it suffices to consider the realcompactifications which are subsets of βX . Now, it is evident that if $A(X)$ is an intermediate C -algebra of $C(X)$, then $v_A X$ is a realcompactification of X . Also, whenever K is a realcompactification of X , then $C(K)$ is isomorphic with the intermediate subalgebra $A_K(X) = \{f|_X : f \in C(K)\}$ of $C(X)$. It follows that $A_K(X)$ is an intermediate C -algebra of $C(X)$ and $v_{A_K} X \simeq K$. □

For each $T \subseteq \beta X$, let B_T denote $\{f \in C(X) : f^*(p) < \infty, \forall p \in T\}$, we use B_p instead of $B_{\{p\}}$. It is stated in [7, Theorem 1.2] that an intermediate subalgebra $A(X)$ is a C -algebra if and only if there exists a subset T of βX such

that $A(X) = B_T$. It is clear that $B_T = \bigcap_{p \in T} B_p$ for each subset T of βX . The next statement shows that, for each $p \in \beta X$, B_p is the intermediate subalgebra generated by the maximal ideal M^p of $C(X)$.

Proposition 2.11. *For each $p \in \beta X$, we have $B_p = M^p + C^*(X)$.*

PROOF: It is clear that $M^p + C^*(X) \subseteq B_p$. It follows from [13, Theorem 2.9] that each intermediate subalgebra is uniformly closed, thus, $(M^p)^u + C^*(X) = M^p + C^*(X)$. Moreover, [16, Lemma 5.1] implies that $(M^p)^u = \{f \in C(X) : p \in Z(f^*)\}$. Therefore, if $f \in B_p$, then $f^*(p) = r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$, hence, $(f - r)^*(p) = 0$ and thus, $f - r \in (M^p)^u$ which clearly implies that $f \in M^p + C^*(X)$. This completes the proof. \square

It follows from the above proposition and [7, Theorem 1.2] that each intermediate C -algebra is an intersection of intermediate subalgebras generated by a family of maximal ideals. In fact, whenever $A(X)$ is an intermediate C -algebra, then $A(X) = \bigcap_{p \in T} (M^p_A + C^*(X))$, for some $T \subseteq \beta X$.

3. Complete ring of functions

Following [5] a subring $A(X)$ of $C^*(X)$ is called a complete ring of functions if $A(X)$ is a uniformly closed subset of $C^*(X)$, contains the constants and separates points and closed sets in X . Throughout this section $A(X)$ denotes a subalgebra of $C^*(X)$ which is a complete ring of functions. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and part (c) of [5, Lemma 1.2] that every complete ring of functions is an *LBI*-subalgebra of $C(X)$. As an example of such rings, let I be a free z -ideal in $C(X)$, then it is easy to see that $(I^u + \mathbb{R}) \cap C^*(X)$ is a complete ring of functions.

Lemma 3.1. *Every maximal ideal in a complete ring of functions $A(X)$ has the form $M^p_A = M^{*p} \cap A(X)$, for some $p \in \beta X$. Moreover, all such ideals are distinct if and only if $A(X) = C^*(X)$.*

PROOF: As noted above, every complete ring of functions is an *LBI*-subalgebra. Therefore, the collection of all the maximal ideals of $A(X)$ is $\{M^p_A : p \in \beta X\}$. Moreover, as every complete ring of functions $A(X)$ is a subring of $C^*(X)$, $S_A(f) \subseteq Z(f^\beta)$, for all $f \in A(X)$. Thus, every maximal ideal in $A(X)$ has the form $M^{*p} \cap A(X) = M^p_A$, for some $p \in \beta X$. Also, as such subrings are uniformly closed, [13, Theorem 2.9.] implies that the only complete ring of functions which is a β -subalgebra is $C^*(X)$. \square

As every complete ring of functions is an *LBI*-subalgebra, the structure space of each complete ring of functions is a compactification of X and hence is a quotient of βX . This means that the compactification which is characterized in [5] via the mapping \mathcal{Z}_A for a complete ring of functions $A(X)$ is, in fact, the structure space of $A(X)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Every complete ring of functions is a C -ring of $C^*(X)$.*

PROOF: Every complete ring of functions is, clearly, a uniformly closed Φ -algebra. Thus, by [10, 3.2], we have $A(X) \cong C(\text{Max}(A))$ and hence we are done. \square

In [5], the equivalence relation \sim_A is defined on βX as $p \sim_A q$ if $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_p] = \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_q]$, in which, \mathcal{U}_p is the unique z -ultrafilter on X containing p and $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} = \{f \in A(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_p\}$. It is easy to see that $p \sim_A q$ if and only if $M_A^p = M_A^q$. Therefore, $\beta_A X (= \frac{\beta X}{\sim_A}) \cong \text{Max}(A)$. Using this fact, [5, Theorem 2.3] can be proved in a different way.

Theorem 3.3 ([5, Theorem 2.3]). *Let $f \in C^*(X)$, then f has an extension f^A to $\beta_A X$ if and only if $f \in A(X)$.*

PROOF: As $A(X) \cong C(\text{Max}(A)) \cong C(\beta_A X)$, the statement is clear. \square

Acknowledgment. This paper is a part of my Ph.D thesis in Shadid Chamran University of Ahvaz written under the supervision of professor A.R. Aliabad. I would like to express my deep gratitude to him for his kind supervision. I also thank the referee for his/her careful reading and his/her comments which improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Acharyya S.K., De D., *A-compactness and minimal subalgebras of $C(X)$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **35** (2005), no. 4, 1061–1067.
- [2] Acharyya S.K., De D., *An interesting class of ideals in subalgebras of $C(X)$ containing $C^*(X)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **48** (2007), 273–280.
- [3] Bhattacharjee P., Knox M.L., McGovern W.W., *The classical ring of quotients of $C_c(X)$* , Appl. Gen. Topol. **15** (2014), no. 2, 147–154.
- [4] Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., *Local bounded inversion in rings of continuous functions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **37** (1997), 37–46.
- [5] Byun H.L., Redlin L., Watson S., *Local invertibility in subrings of $C^*(X)$* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 449–458.
- [6] Byun H.L., Watson S., *Prime and maximal ideals in subrings of $C(X)$* , Topology Appl. **40** (1991), 45–62.
- [7] De D., Acharyya S.K., *Characterization of function rings between $C^*(X)$ and $C(X)$* , Kyungpook Math. J. **40** (2006), 503–507.
- [8] Ghadermazi M., Karamzadeh O.A.S., Namdari M., *On the functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$* , Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **129** (2013), 47–69.
- [9] Gillman L., Jerison M., *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer, New York, 1978.
- [10] Henriksen M., Johnson D.G., *On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice-ordered algebras*, Fund. Math. **50** (1961), 73–94.
- [11] Johnson D.G., Mandelker M., *Functions with pseudocompact support*, General Topology Appl. **3** (1973), 331–338.
- [12] Koushesh M.R., *The partially ordered set of one-point extensions*, Topology Appl. **158** (2011), 509–532.
- [13] Plank D., *On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ with applications to $\beta X - X$* , Fund. Math. **64** (1969), 41–54.
- [14] Redlin H., Watson S., *Maximal ideals in subalgebras of $C(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 763–766.

- [15] Redlin L., Watson S., *Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications*, Fund. Math. **152** (1997), 151–163.
- [16] Rudd D., *On isomorphism between ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **159** (1971), 335–353.
- [17] Rudd D., *On structure spaces of ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **190** (1974), 393–403.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHID CHAMRAN UNIVERSITY, AHWAZ, IRAN

E-mail: parsiniamehdi@gmail.com

(Received August 3, 2015, revised December 8, 2015)