

Futoshi Takahashi

Continuum spectrum for the linearized extremal eigenvalue problem with boundary reactions

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 139 (2014), No. 2, 137–144

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143844>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CONTINUUM SPECTRUM FOR THE LINEARIZED EXTREMAL
EIGENVALUE PROBLEM WITH BOUNDARY REACTIONS

FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI, Osaka

(Received August 6, 2013)

Abstract. We study the semilinear problem with the boundary reaction

$$-\Delta u + u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda f(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, is a smooth bounded domain, $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a smooth, strictly positive, convex, increasing function which is superlinear at ∞ , and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. It is known that there exists an extremal parameter $\lambda^* > 0$ such that a classical minimal solution exists for $\lambda < \lambda^*$, and there is no solution for $\lambda > \lambda^*$. Moreover, there is a unique weak solution u^* corresponding to the parameter $\lambda = \lambda^*$. In this paper, we continue to study the spectral properties of u^* and show a phenomenon of continuum spectrum for the corresponding linearized eigenvalue problem.

Keywords: continuum spectrum; extremal solution; boundary reaction

MSC 2010: 35J25, 35J20

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the boundary value problem with the boundary reaction

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta u + u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda f(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ is a smooth bounded domain. Throughout the paper, we assume

$$(1.2) \quad f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ is smooth, convex, increasing, } f(0) > 0,$$

Part of this work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research, No. 24654043, and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), No. 23340038.

and superlinear at ∞ in the sense that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \infty.$$

Then the maximum principle implies that solutions are positive on $\overline{\Omega}$.

It is known that there exists an extremal parameter $\lambda^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, $(1.1)_\lambda$ has a positive, classical, minimal solution $u_\lambda \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ which is strictly stable in the sense that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + \varphi^2) dx > \lambda \int_{\partial\Omega} f'(u_\lambda) \varphi^2 ds_x$$

for every $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $\varphi \not\equiv 0$,

- (ii) for $\lambda = \lambda^*$, the pointwise limit

$$(1.5) \quad u^*(x) = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} u_\lambda(x), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

becomes a weak solution of $(1.1)_{\lambda^*}$,

- (iii) for $\lambda > \lambda^*$, there exists no solution of $(1.1)_\lambda$, not even in the weak sense.

Here, we call a function $u = (u_1, u_2) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\partial\Omega)$ a *weak solution* to $(1.1)_\lambda$ if $f(u_2) \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \zeta + \zeta) u_1 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\lambda f(u_2) \zeta - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \nu} u_2 \right) ds_x$$

holds for any $\zeta \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. The statement (ii) says that, under the assumption (1.3), $u^* = (u^*|_{\Omega}, u^*|_{\partial\Omega})$ is a weak solution in the above sense. We proved in [10] Theorem 11 that $u^* \in W^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma \in [1, N/(N-2))$ when $N \geq 3$ (for any $\gamma \in [1, \infty)$ when $N = 2$), so $u^*|_{\partial\Omega} \in W^{1-1/\gamma,\gamma}(\partial\Omega) \subset L^{(N-1)\gamma/(N-\gamma)}(\partial\Omega)$ is the usual trace of the $W^{1,\gamma}$ function u^* on $\partial\Omega$. For the facts (ii), (iii), we refer the reader to [10]. In the following, we call u^* the *extremal solution* of (1.1). In [10], the author obtained several properties such as regularity and uniqueness of the extremal solution u^* . This paper is a sequel to [10]. For related elliptic problems with boundary reaction terms, see, e.g., [4], [6], [9]. For a well-studied problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where f satisfies (1.2), (1.3), see [1], [2], [3], [5], [7], [8], and the references therein.

For $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, we denote by $\mu_1(\lambda f'(u_\lambda))$ the first eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta \varphi + \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} = \lambda f'(u_\lambda) \varphi + \mu \varphi \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

By the variational characterization, we have

$$\mu_1(\lambda f'(u_\lambda)) = \inf_{\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \varphi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 + \varphi^2) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda f'(u_\lambda) \varphi^2 ds_x}{\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^2 ds_x}.$$

Note that $\mu_1(\lambda f'(u_\lambda)) > 0$ since the minimal solution u_λ is strictly stable, and decreases as $\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*$. Denote

$$(1.7) \quad \mu_1^* = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \lambda^*} \mu_1(\lambda f'(u_\lambda)).$$

If u^* is classical, it must hold that $\mu_1^* = 0$ by considering (iii) above. However, if $u^* = (u^*|_{\Omega}, u^*|_{\partial\Omega}) \notin L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, it could happen that μ_1^* is positive. In [10], we proved that even when $\mu_1^* > 0$, there exists a nonnegative weak solution of

$$(1.8) \quad -\Delta \varphi + \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} = \lambda^* f'(u^*) \varphi + \mu \varphi \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

for $\mu = 0$. This is a phenomenon of the existence of (L^1 -)zero eigenvalue for the eigenvalue problem (1.8). The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following result, which is a generalization of the result by Cabré and Martel [3] to our setting, and may be seen as a phenomenon of the existence of (L^1 -)continuum spectrum for the eigenvalue problem (1.8).

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ_1^* be defined by (1.7). Then for any $\mu \in [0, \mu_1^*]$ there exists a weak solution φ to (1.8), $\varphi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq q < N/(N-1)$), $\varphi \geq 0$, in the sense that $f'(u^*)\varphi|_{\partial\Omega} \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \zeta + \zeta) \varphi dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ (\lambda^* f'(u^*) \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} + \mu \varphi|_{\partial\Omega}) \zeta - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \nu} \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} \right\} ds_x$$

for all $\zeta \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Here $\varphi|_{\partial\Omega}$ is the usual trace of $\varphi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. We need the uniqueness theorem from [10], which is an analogue of the result by Y. Martel [8].

Theorem 2.1 ([10], Theorem 14). Assume $(1.1)_{\lambda^*}$ has a weak supersolution $w = (w_1, w_2) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\partial\Omega)$, in the sense that $f(w_2) \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\zeta + \zeta)w_1 \, dx \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \lambda^* f(w_2)\zeta - \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial\nu} w_2 \right\} ds_x$$

for any $\zeta \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, $\zeta \geq 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then $(w_1, w_2) = (u^*|_{\Omega}, u^*|_{\partial\Omega})$, where u^* is defined by (1.5).

The following is Lemma 17 in [10].

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{u_n\} \subset C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a sequence of functions such that

$$-\Delta u_n + u_n = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial\nu} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Assume $\|u_n\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ independent of n . Then there exists a subsequence (denoted again by u_n) and $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,q}(\Omega), \quad 1 < q < \frac{N}{N-1}.$$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq p < (N-1)/(N-2)$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ depending only on p such that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_p \|u_n\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We follow the argument by X. Cabré and Y. Martel [3].

Step 1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a sequence of functions f_n as

$$f_n(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \leq n, \\ f(n) + f'(n)(s-n) & \text{if } s > n, \end{cases}$$

and consider the approximated problem

$$(2.1) \quad -\Delta u + u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = \lambda f_n(u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Denote $\lambda_n^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0: (2.1)_{\lambda} \text{ admits a minimal solution } \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})\}$, and let $u_{n,\lambda} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be the classical minimal solution to $(2.1)_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda < \lambda_n^*$. Since $f_n \leq f_{n+1} \leq f$, we have $u_{n,\lambda} \leq u_{n+1,\lambda} \leq u_{\lambda}$ and $\lambda^* \leq \lambda_{n+1}^* \leq \lambda_n^*$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_1(\lambda f'_n(u_{n,\lambda})) = \inf_{\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \varphi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla\varphi|^2 + \varphi^2) \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda f'_n(u_{n,\lambda})\varphi^2 \, ds_x}{\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^2 \, ds_x}.$$

Note that $\mu_1(\lambda f'_n(u_{n,\lambda}))$ is continuous with respect to λ by (2.2). Take $0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_1^*$ where μ_1^* is defined by (1.7). Since u_{n,λ_n^*} is classical (which is because f_n is asymptotically linear) and there is no classical solution of $(2.1)_\lambda$ for $\lambda > \lambda_n^*$, the linearized problem around $(\lambda_n^*, u_{n,\lambda_n^*})$ must have zero eigenvalue. Thus

$$\mu_1(\lambda_n^* f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n^*})) = 0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_1^* \leq \mu_1(\lambda^* f'_n(u_{n,\lambda^*}));$$

here we have used the fact that $f'_n \leq f'$ and $u_{n,\lambda} \leq u_\lambda$, which implies $\mu_1(\lambda f'_n(u_\lambda)) \leq \mu_1(\lambda f'_n(u_{n,\lambda}))$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists $\lambda_n \in [\lambda^*, \lambda_n^*]$ such that

$$\mu_1(\lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})) = \mu,$$

which in turn implies there exists $\varphi_n > 0$ with $\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_n \, ds_x = 1$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad -\Delta \varphi_n + \varphi_n = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial \nu} = \lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}) \varphi_n + \mu \varphi_n \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Recall also that u_{n,λ_n} satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad -\Delta u_{n,\lambda_n} + u_{n,\lambda_n} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u_{n,\lambda_n}}{\partial \nu} = \lambda_n f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

We claim there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|u_{n,\lambda_n}\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \quad \text{for any } n \geq n_0.$$

Indeed, let ψ_1 be the first eigenfunction of the Steklov type eigenvalue problem

$$(2.6) \quad -\Delta \psi_1 + \psi_1 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \nu} = \kappa_1 \psi_1 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with the first eigenvalue κ_1 , which is normalized as $\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_1 \, ds_x = 1$. Multiplying (2.4) by ψ_1 and using Jensen's inequality for f_n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_1 u_{n,\lambda_n} \, ds_x &= \lambda_n \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}) \psi_1 \, ds_x \\ &\geq \lambda_n f_n \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_1 u_{n,\lambda_n} \, ds_x \right) \geq \lambda^* f_n \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_1 u_{n,\lambda_n} \, ds_x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Put $a_n = \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_1 u_{n,\lambda_n} \, ds_x$. Then we have

$$(2.7) \quad a_n \geq \frac{\lambda^*}{\kappa_1} f_n(a_n).$$

Assume by contradiction that $f_n(a_n) = f'(n)(a_n - n) + f(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Then, since $a_n > n$ and $f(n) > (\kappa_1/\lambda^*)n$, $f'(n) > (\kappa_1/\lambda^*)$ for n sufficiently large by (1.2) and (1.3), we have, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &\geq \frac{\lambda^*}{\kappa_1} f_n(a_n) = \frac{\lambda^*}{\kappa_1} \{f'(n)(a_n - n) + f(n)\} \\ &> a_n - n + n = a_n, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_n(a_n) = f(a_n)$ for any $n \geq n_0$. Again, this and (2.7) imply $a_n \geq (\lambda^*/\kappa_1)f(a_n)$ for any $n \geq n_0$. Now, by the assumption on f , we have $C > 0$ such that $f(s) \geq (2\kappa_1/\lambda^*)s - C$ holds for any $s > 0$. From this and the former estimate, we have $a_n \leq (\lambda^*/\kappa_1)C$ for $n \geq n_0$. This implies the claim (2.5).

Step 2. By (2.5), we have $\|u_{n,\lambda_n}\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq C$ for some C independent of n . Also recall that $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ for a solution φ_n of (2.3). Thus we can apply Lemma 2.2 and the trace Sobolev embedding to obtain $w, \varphi \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e. satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{n,\lambda_n} &\rightharpoonup w, \quad \varphi_n \rightharpoonup \varphi \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,q}(\Omega), \\ u_{n,\lambda_n} &\rightarrow w, \quad \varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\partial\Omega) \text{ and a.e. on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 < q < N/(N-1)$ and $1 \leq p < (N-1)/(N-2)$. Since $\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \, ds_x = 1$, we see $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

In the following, we prove that $\lambda_n \downarrow \lambda^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $w = u^*$. We will show that $w \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ is a weak supersolution in the sense of Theorem 2.1. Then the conclusion is obtained by Theorem 2.1. To prove that w is a weak supersolution, put $\bar{\lambda} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n$. Since $\lambda_n \geq \lambda^*$, we have $\bar{\lambda} \geq \lambda^*$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\zeta + \zeta)u_{n,\lambda_n} \, dx &= \lambda_n \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\zeta \, ds_x - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial\nu} u_{n,\lambda_n} \, ds_x \\ &\geq \bar{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\zeta \, ds_x - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial\nu} u_{n,\lambda_n} \, ds_x \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\zeta \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $\zeta \geq 0$. Using the fact that $u_{n,\lambda_n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ or $L^1(\partial\Omega)$, respectively, and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\zeta + \zeta)w \, dx &\geq \bar{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega} f(w)\zeta \, ds_x - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial\nu} w \, ds_x \\ &\geq \lambda^* \int_{\partial\Omega} f(w)\zeta \, ds_x - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial\nu} w \, ds_x, \quad \forall \zeta \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}), \zeta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies also $f(w) \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ if we take $\zeta \equiv 1$. Thus, we conclude that w is a weak supersolution to (1.1) $_{\lambda^*}$

Step 3. Let φ_n, φ be as in Step 2. We claim that

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n \rightarrow \lambda^* f'(u^*)\varphi \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\partial\Omega)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the proof, we invoke Vitali's Convergence Theorem. First, by (2.8), we see

$$\lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}(x))\varphi_n(x) \rightarrow \lambda^* f'(u^*(x))\varphi(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega$$

for a subsequence. Next, we prove the uniformly absolute continuity property of the sequence $\{\lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For that purpose, let $A \subset \partial\Omega$ be measurable and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given arbitrary. Since f_n is convex, we have

$$(2.10) \quad f_n\left(\frac{\chi_A(x)}{\varepsilon}\right) \geq f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}(x)) + f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}(x))\left(\frac{\chi_A(x)}{\varepsilon} - u_{n,\lambda_n}(x)\right)$$

a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$; here χ_A is the characteristic function of A . By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_n \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n \, ds_x &= \lambda_n \int_{\partial\Omega} f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})u_{n,\lambda_n}\varphi_n \, ds_x + \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} u_{n,\lambda_n}\varphi_n \, ds_x \\ &\geq \lambda_n \int_{\partial\Omega} f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})u_{n,\lambda_n}\varphi_n \, ds_x. \end{aligned}$$

Also an easy consideration shows that

$$(2.12) \quad \left\{ f_n\left(\frac{\chi_A(x)}{\varepsilon}\right) - f(0) \right\} \varphi_n(x) \leq f\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\varphi_n(x)\chi_A(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega.$$

Thus by (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial\Omega} f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\frac{\chi_A}{\varepsilon}\varphi_n \, ds_x \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n\left(\frac{\chi_A}{\varepsilon}\right)\varphi_n \, ds_x + \int_{\partial\Omega} f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})u_{n,\lambda_n}\varphi_n \, ds_x - \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n \, ds_x \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} f_n\left(\frac{\chi_A}{\varepsilon}\right)\varphi_n \, ds_x = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ f_n\left(\frac{\chi_A}{\varepsilon}\right) - f(0) \right\} \varphi_n \, ds_x + \int_{\partial\Omega} f(0)\varphi_n \, ds_x \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} f\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\varphi_n\chi_A \, ds_x + f(0) \leq f\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)|A|^{1/p'}\|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} + f(0) \\ &\leq Cf\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)|A|^{1/p'} + f(0) \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq p < (N-1)/(N-2)$, where $|A|$ denotes the $(N-1)$ dimensional Hausdorff measure of $A \subset \partial\Omega$ and $p' = p/(p-1)$. In (2.13) we have used $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ independent of n by (2.8). Define

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{f(0)}{f(1/\varepsilon)C} \right)^{p'}.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain $\int_A f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n \, ds_x \leq 2f(0)\varepsilon$ if $A \subset \partial\Omega$ satisfies that $|A| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ by (2.13). This implies the uniform absolute continuity of the sequence $\{\lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Also for any $\varepsilon > 0$, if we take $E \subset \partial\Omega$ such that $|\partial\Omega \setminus E| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ where $\delta(\varepsilon)$ is as above, we obtain that $\int_{\partial\Omega \setminus E} \lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n \, ds_x \leq C\varepsilon$. This implies the uniform integrability of $\{\lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n})\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Therefore, Vitali's Convergence Theorem ensures the claim (2.9).

By (2.9), we pass to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the weak formulation of (2.3):

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\zeta + \zeta)\varphi_n \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\lambda_n f'_n(u_{n,\lambda_n}) + \mu)\varphi_n \zeta - \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial\nu} \varphi_n \, ds_x, \quad \forall \zeta \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}),$$

and conclude that φ is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta\varphi + \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu} = \lambda^* f'(u^*)\varphi + \mu\varphi \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Recall $\varphi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq q < N/(N-1)$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. \square

References

- [1] *H. Brezis, T. Cazenave, Y. Martel, A. Ramiandrisoa*: Blow up for $u_t - \Delta u = g(u)$ revisited. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 1 (1996), 73–90.
- [2] *H. Brezis, J. L. Vázquez*: Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense Madr.* 10 (1997), 443–469.
- [3] *X. Cabré, Y. Martel*: Weak eigenfunctions for the linearization of extremal elliptic problems. *J. Funct. Anal.* 156 (1998), 30–56.
- [4] *M. Chipot, I. Shafrir, M. Fila*: On the solutions to some elliptic equations with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 1 (1996), 91–110.
- [5] *J. Dávila*: Singular solutions of semi-linear elliptic problems. *Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equations* (M. Chipot, ed.). Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 83–176.
- [6] *J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne, M. Montenegro*: The extremal solution of a boundary reaction problem. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 7 (2008), 795–817.
- [7] *L. Dupaigne*: *Stable Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*. Chapman & Hall Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 143, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
- [8] *Y. Martel*: Uniqueness of weak extremal solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems. *Houston J. Math.* 23 (1997), 161–168.
- [9] *P. Quittner, W. Reichel*: Very weak solutions to elliptic equations with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 32 (2008), 429–452.
- [10] *F. Takahashi*: Extremal solutions to Liouville-Gelfand type elliptic problems with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (2014), 27 pages, DOI:10.1142/S0219199714500163.

Author's address: Futoshi Takahashi, Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan, e-mail: futoshi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp.