

Ivica Marinová

Note on semigroup valued measures

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 4, 387--392

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136366>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

NOTE ON SEMIGROUP VALUED MEASURES

IVICA MARINOVÁ

In paper [2] the extension of measures defined on an algebra with values in partially ordered semigroups to a generated σ -algebra is done by transfinite induction. This paper is concerned with the extension of semigroup valued measures whose domain is a ring. We differ from [2] also by omitting transfinite induction although some other assumption is added. However all examples in [2] fulfil this added assumption.

Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of subsets of a nonempty set X . Let \mathcal{P} be a partially ordered semigroup with a binary operation \oplus , partial ordering \cong and let $\theta \in \mathcal{P}$ be such that $\theta \cong a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{P}$. We shall write

$$x_n \uparrow x \text{ iff } x_n \cong x_{n+1}, x_n, x \in \mathcal{P} \ (n=1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } x = \sup_n x_n$$

$$y_n \downarrow y \text{ iff } y_{n+1} \cong y_n, y_n, y \in \mathcal{P} \ (n=1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } y = \inf_n y_n$$

$$z_n \rightarrow z \text{ iff } z_n, z \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and there are } u_n, v_n \in \mathcal{P} \ (n=1, 2, \dots) \\ \text{such that } u_n \cong z_n \cong v_n \ (n=1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } u_n \uparrow z, v_n \downarrow z$$

$$A_n \uparrow A \text{ iff } A_n \in \mathcal{R}, A_n \subset A_{n+1} \ (n=1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$$

$$B_n \downarrow B \text{ iff } B_n \in \mathcal{R}, B_{n+1} \subset B_n \ (n=1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = B.$$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{P}^<$ the set of all functionals $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $f(\theta) = 0$
- (b) $a \cong b$ implies $f(a) \cong f(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$
- (c) $f(a \oplus b) \cong f(a) + f(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$
- (d) $a_n \rightarrow a$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = f(a)$ for all $a_n, a \in \mathcal{P} \ (n=1, 2, \dots)$

Troughout the paper we shall assume that the semigroup \mathcal{P} has the following properties:

- (i) $a \oplus \theta = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{P}$
- (ii) $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$
- (iii) $a \leq b$ implies $a \oplus c \leq b \oplus c$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{P}$
- (iv) \mathcal{P} is relatively σ -complete (i.e. every increasing (decreasing) bounded sequence in \mathcal{P} has the supremum (the infimum) in \mathcal{P})
- (v) $a_n \rightarrow a, b_n \rightarrow b$ implies $a_n \oplus b_n \rightarrow a \oplus b$ for all $a_n, b_n, a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$)
- (vi) \mathcal{P} is separative (i.e. if $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$, $a \neq b$, then there is $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ such that $f(a) \neq f(b)$)
- (vii) $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ implies $x \leq y$
(this is the assumption mentioned at the beginning).

Let $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be such a set function that:

- (1) $A \subset B \cup C$ implies $m(A) \leq m(B) \oplus m(C)$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{R}$
(i.e. m is monotone and subadditive)
- (2) $A_n \downarrow \theta, A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) implies $m(A_n) \downarrow \theta$
(i.e. m is continuous from above in θ)
- (3) $A_n \subset A_{n+1}, A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) implies $m(A_{n+1} - A_n) \rightarrow \theta$
(i.e. m is exhausting)
- (4) the range of m is bounded.

We shall call such a function m a submeasure.

Observe that when \mathcal{R} is an algebra (4) holds. Notice further that a submeasure is continuous (i.e. $A_n \uparrow A$ ($B_n \downarrow B$) implies $m(A_n) \uparrow m(A)$ ($m(B_n) \downarrow m(B)$) for all $A_n, B_n, A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and that $m(\theta) = \theta$.

The exhaustivity is a necessary condition of extension of a monotone, continuous and subadditive function. We can see it in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{S} be a σ -ring. Let $m: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a monotone, continuous and subadditive function. Then m is exhausting.*

Proof. Let $A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $A_n \uparrow A$. Then $A \in \mathcal{S}$, $(A - A_n) \downarrow \theta$ and $m(A - A_n) \downarrow \theta \cdot (A_{n+1} - A_n) \subset (A - A_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and this implies $m(A_{n+1} - A_n) \leq m(A - A_n)$. Thus $m(A_{n+1} - A_n) \downarrow \theta$.

But the exhaustivity need not be fulfilled automatically on a ring as we can see in the following example.

Example. Let $X = \langle 0, \infty \rangle$. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring containing finite unions of intervals $\langle n, n + 1 \rangle$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, complements of these unions and the empty set. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\langle 0, 1 \rangle, \oplus)$, where $a \oplus b = \frac{a + b}{1 + ab}$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$. One can easily find out that \mathcal{P} with the usual ordering of real numbers is a semigroup satisfying the properties (i)–(vii). Define a set function $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ as follows:

$$m(\theta) = 0$$

$$m(\langle n, n+1 \rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$m\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n \langle i_j, i_j+1 \rangle\right) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n a_j, \text{ where } i_j \text{ is an integer and}$$

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$m(A) = 1 \text{ for } \emptyset \neq A \neq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \langle i_j, i_j+1 \rangle.$$

It is not hard to see that such a function m is monotone, continuous and subadditive. It is obvious that m is bounded. Now take a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $A_n = \langle 0, n \rangle$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$. Clearly $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_{n+1} - A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(\langle n, n+1 \rangle) = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence m is not exhausting on \mathcal{R} . Thus a function m is an example of a monotone, continuous and subadditive function which cannot be extended to a generated σ -ring.

The following lemma is a consequence of [1, page 217].

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of subsets of a nonempty set X . Let $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ be monotone, subadditive and continuous from above in the empty set function satisfying a condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{n+1} - A_n) = 0$ for all $A_n \in \mathcal{R}$, $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$*

($n=1, 2, \dots$) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ be a σ -ring generated by \mathcal{R} . Then there is a ring $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ and a unique extension $\nu: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ of μ such that ν is monotone, subadditive and continuous from above in the empty set on \mathcal{L} . Moreover \mathcal{L} is closed in the following sense: if $A_n \uparrow A$ ($A_n \downarrow A$), $A_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $\{\nu(A_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded, then $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(A_n) = \nu(A)$.

Remark 3. If the range of μ in Lemma 2 is bounded, so is the range of ν . Then \mathcal{L} is a monotone class and hence $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{L}$.

Let $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a submeasure, $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$. Since the range of m is bounded, so is the range of $f \circ m$. Now from Lemma 2 and Remark 3 the following lemma is clear.

Lemma 4. *Let $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a submeasure, $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$. then a function $f \circ m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ has a unique extension $(f \circ m)_1: \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ which is monotone, subadditive and continuous on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$.*

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of subsets of a nonempty set X . Let \mathcal{P} be a semigroup satisfying the conditions (i)—(vii). Let $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a submeasure. Then there exists a unique submeasure $\tilde{m}: \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ so that $\tilde{m}/\mathcal{R} = m$.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{q: \mathcal{P}^< \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle\}$. Let us assign \mathcal{Q} the partial ordering $<$ in the following way: $q_1 < q_2$ iff $q_1(f) \leq q_2(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$, $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$. We consider a pointwise convergence on \mathcal{Q} , i.e., $q_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} q$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(f) = q(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$, $q_n, q \in \mathcal{Q}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\tau: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be a mapping defined in the following way: for all $a \in \mathcal{P}$, $\tau(a) = q_a$ where $q_a: \mathcal{P}^< \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ is such a function that $q_a(f) = f(a)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$. Using the separativity of \mathcal{P} one has that for $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$, $a \neq b$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ such that $q_a(f) = f(a) \neq f(b) = q_b(f)$. Hence τ is an injective mapping. For $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$, $a \leq b$ iff $f(a) \leq f(b)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ iff $q_a(f) \leq q_b(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ iff $q_a < q_b$. Hence $a \leq b$ iff $\tau(a) < \tau(b)$, $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $E \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $m(E) \in \mathcal{P}$. We put $q^E = q_{m(E)}$. Obviously $q^E \in \tau(\mathcal{P})$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ $q^E(f) = q_{m(E)}(f) = f(m(E)) = (f \circ m)_1(E)$, where $(f \circ m)_1$ is the unique extension of $f \circ m$ to a generated σ -ring from Lemma 4. For $E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ we put $q^E(f) = (f \circ m)_1(E)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$. Let us denote $\bar{m}(E) = \tau^{-1}(q^E)$. We shall show that for all $E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$, q^E is an element of $\tau(\mathcal{P})$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}): q^E \in \tau(\mathcal{P})\}$. Obviously $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{R}$. We shall show that \mathcal{K} is a monotone class. Let $A_n \uparrow A$, $A_n \in \mathcal{K}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f \circ m)_1(A_n) = (f \circ m)_1(A)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$, that is the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q^{A_n}(f) = q^A(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$, hence $q^{A_n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} q^A$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $q^{A_n} < q^{A_{n+1}}$. If it is false, a functional $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ would exist such that $q^{A_n}(f) > q^{A_{n+1}}(f)$, i.e. $(f \circ m)_1(A_n) > (f \circ m)_1(A_{n+1})$. This contradicts the monotonicity of $(f \circ m)_1$. Hence $\{\tau^{-1}(q^{A_n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence in \mathcal{P} . Observe that it is bounded. By relative σ -completeness of \mathcal{P} there exists a $\sup_n \{\tau^{-1}(q^{A_n})\} = a \in \mathcal{P}$. For all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ $q_a(f) = f(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q^{A_n}(f)$, hence $q^{A_n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} q_a$. It follows that $q^A = q_a \in \tau(\mathcal{P})$ and hence $A \in \mathcal{K}$. Further $\tau^{-1}(q^A) = \tau^{-1}(q_a) = a = \sup_n \{\tau^{-1}(q^{A_n})\}$ and so $\bar{m}(A) = \sup_n \bar{m}(A_n)$ in \mathcal{P} . In the same way one can prove that if $B_n \downarrow B$, $B_n \in \mathcal{K}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then $B \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\bar{m}(B) = \inf_n \bar{m}(B_n)$. Hence $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{K}$ and \bar{m} is a continuous set function on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$. Obviously $\bar{m}(E) = m(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{R}$. \bar{m} is monotone on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ because if $A, B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$, $A \subset B$, then $(f \circ m)_1(A) \leq (f \circ m)_1(B)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$, that is iff $q^A(f) \leq q^B(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}^<$ iff $q^A < q^B$ iff $\tau^{-1}(q^A) \leq \tau^{-1}(q^B)$ iff $\bar{m}(A) \leq \bar{m}(B)$. Now we shall claim the subadditivity of \bar{m} . Let us denote $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}): \bar{m}(A \cup B) \leq \bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B) \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Obviously $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L}_1$. We shall show that \mathcal{L}_1 is a monotone class. If $A_n \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $A_n \uparrow A$ ($A_n \downarrow A$), then for all $B \in \mathcal{R}$ $A_n \cup B \uparrow A \cup B$ ($A_n \cup B \downarrow A \cup B$). By continuity of \bar{m} on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ one has

$$\bar{m}(A \cup B) = \sup_n \bar{m}(A_n \cup B) \leq \sup_n (\bar{m}(A_n) \oplus \bar{m}(B)) = \bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B)$$

$$(\bar{m}(A \cup B) = \inf_n \bar{m}(A_n \cup B) \leq \inf_n (\bar{m}(A_n) \oplus \bar{m}(B)) = \bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B)).$$

Hence $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{L}_1$. Further let us denote $\mathcal{L}_2 = \{A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) : \bar{m}(A \cup B) \leq \bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B) \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})\}$. Then $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L}_2$. In the same way as for \mathcal{L}_1 one can prove that \mathcal{L}_2 is a monotone class. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ and hence the subadditivity of \bar{m} .

There remains to be proved the uniqueness of such an extension \bar{m} . Let $m_1 : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, $m_2 : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be such submeasures that $m_1(E) = m_2(E) = m(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{R}$. Let \mathcal{A} be a class of all sets $E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $m_1(E) = m_2(E)$. It will suffice to show that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{A}$. But this is clear since by continuity of m_1, m_2 \mathcal{A} is a monotone class. Hence the theorem is proved.

If a submeasure $m : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is additive, i.e. $m(A \cup B) \oplus m(A \cap B) = m(A) \oplus m(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, we shall call it a measure.

Theorem 6. Let \mathcal{R} be an arbitrary ring of subsets of $X \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{P} be a semigroup satisfying the properties (i)—(vii). Let $m : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a measure. Then there exists a unique measure $\bar{m} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ extending m .

Proof. From the preceding we know that a submeasure $\bar{m} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ exists such that $\bar{m}/\mathcal{R} = m$. It suffices to show that \bar{m} is additive. Denote $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}), \bar{m}(A \cup B) \oplus \bar{m}(A \cap B) = \bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B) \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{R}\}$. We shall show that \mathcal{M}_1 is a monotone class. Let $A_n \uparrow A$, $A_n \in \mathcal{M}_1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $\bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B) =$

$$(\sup_n \bar{m}(A_n) \oplus \bar{m}(B) = \sup_n (\bar{m}(A_n) \oplus \bar{m}(B)) =$$

$$\sup_n (\bar{m}(A_n \cup B) \oplus \bar{m}(A_n \cap B)) = \sup_n \bar{m}(A_n \cup B) \oplus \sup_n \bar{m}(A_n \cap B) =$$

$$= \bar{m}(A \cup B) \oplus \bar{m}(A \cap B). \text{ Let } A_n \downarrow A, A_n \in \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ (} n = 1, 2, \dots \text{). Then}$$

$$\bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B) = (\inf_n \bar{m}(A_n) \oplus \bar{m}(B) = \inf_n (\bar{m}(A_n) \oplus \bar{m}(B)) =$$

$$= \inf_n (\bar{m}(A_n \cup B) \oplus \bar{m}(A_n \cap B)) = \inf_n \bar{m}(A_n \cup B) \oplus \inf_n \bar{m}(A_n \cap B) =$$

$= \bar{m}(A \cup B) \oplus \bar{m}(A \cap B)$. Hence \mathcal{M}_1 is a monotone class evidently containing \mathcal{R} and so we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_1$. Further denote $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}), \bar{m}(A \cup B) \oplus \bar{m}(A \cap B) = \bar{m}(A) \oplus \bar{m}(B) \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})\}$. Then $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. In the same way as for \mathcal{M}_1 one can prove that \mathcal{M}_2 is a monotone class. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ and the additivity of \bar{m} is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] RIEČAN, B.: An extension of the Daniell integration scheme. *Mat. čas.* 25, 1975, 211—219.
- [2] RIEČANOVÁ, Z. and ROSOVÁ, I.: On the extension of measures with values in partially ordered semigroups. *Math. Nachr.* 106, 1982, 201—209.

Received May 25, 1982

*Katedra matematiky
Elektrotechnickej fakulty SVŠT
Gottwaldovo nám. 19
812 19 Bratislava*

ЗАМЕТКА О МЕРАХ С ЗНАЧЕНИЯМИ В ПОЛУГРУППАХ

Ivica Marinová

Резюме

В статье идет речь о расширении мер, определенных на кольце \mathcal{R} подмножеств непустого множества X , с значениями в некоторых частично упорядоченных полугруппах на наименьшее σ -кольцо над \mathcal{R} .

В теореме 5 доказано, что монотонная, полуаддитивная, непрерывная функция $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ (\mathcal{P} обозначает полугруппу, удовлетворяющую некоторым свойствам), для которой из $A_n \in \mathcal{R}$, $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) следует $m(A_{n+1} - A_n) \rightarrow \theta$, имеет однозначное расширение на наименьшее σ -кольцо над \mathcal{R} . В работе показан и пример монотонной, полуаддитивной, непрерывной функции, которую невозможно расширить.