

Boonpogkrong Varayu; Tuan-Seng Chew

The Henstock-Kurzweil approach to Young integrals with integrators in BV_ϕ

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 131 (2006), No. 3, 233–260

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134138>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL APPROACH TO YOUNG
INTEGRALS WITH INTEGRATORS IN BV_φ

BOONPOGKRONG VARAYU, TUAN SENG CHEW, Singapore

(Received September 8, 2005)

Dedicated to Prof. J. Kurzweil on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract. In 1938, L. C. Young proved that the Moore-Pollard-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f dg$ exists if $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$, $g \in BV_\psi[a, b]$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. In this note we use the Henstock-Kurzweil approach to handle the above integral defined by Young.

Keywords: Henstock integral, Stieltjes integral, Young integral, φ -variation

MSC 2000: 26A21, 28B15

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1936, L. C. Young proved that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f dg$ exists, if $f \in BV_p[a, b]$, $g \in BV_q[a, b]$, $1/p + 1/q > 1$ and f, g do not have common discontinuous points, see [7], [11]. Two years later, he was able to drop the condition on common discontinuity for his new integral (called Young integral), see [12]. The Young integral is defined by the Moore-Pollard approach, see [2, pp. 23–27, pp. 113–138] and [3], [8], [9]. In other words, the integral is defined by way of refinements of partitions and the integral is the Moore-Smith limit of the Riemann-Stieltjes sums using the directed set of partitions. However, modified Riemann-Stieltjes sums involving $g(x+)$ and $g(x-)$ are used in Young integrals. Furthermore, he generalized his result and proved that the Young integral $\int_a^b f dg$ exists if the following Young's condition holds:

$$f \in BV_\varphi[a, b], \quad g \in BV_\psi[a, b]$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty,$$

where $BV_{\varphi}[a, b]$ is the space of functions of bounded φ -variation on $[a, b]$.

The Young integral with an integrator in BV_p using the Henstock-Kurzweil approach is given in [1]. In this note we will again use the Henstock-Kurzweil approach to handle the Young integral with an integrator in BV_{φ} .

Now we shall introduce Henstock-Kurzweil integrals, see [4].

Let $P = \{[u_i, v_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite collection of non-overlapping subintervals of $[a, b]$, then P is said to be a *partial partition* of $[a, b]$. If, in addition, $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [u_i, v_i] = [a, b]$, then P is said to be a *partition* of $[a, b]$.

Let δ be a positive function on $[a, b]$, $[u, v] \subseteq [a, b]$ and $\xi \in [a, b]$. Then an interval-point pair $(\xi, [u, v])$ is said to be δ -fine if $\xi \in [u, v] \subseteq (\xi - \delta(\xi), \xi + \delta(\xi))$. Let $D = \{(\xi_i, [u_i, v_i])\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite collection of interval-point pairs. Then D is said to be a δ -fine *partial division* of $[a, b]$ if $\{[u_i, v_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ is a partial partition of $[a, b]$ and for each i , $(\xi_i, [u_i, v_i])$ is δ -fine. In addition, if $\{[u_i, v_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ is a partition of $[a, b]$, then D is said to be a δ -fine *division* of $[a, b]$.

In this note, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers.

Now, we shall define integrals of Stieltjes type by the Henstock-Kurzweil approach.

Definition 1.1. Let $f, g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then f is said to be Henstock-Kurzweil integrable (or HK-integrable) to a real number A on $[a, b]$ with respect to g if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ defined on $[a, b]$ such that for every δ -fine division $D = \{(\xi_i, [t_i, t_{i+1}])\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$|S(f, \delta, D) - A| \leq \varepsilon,$$

where

$$S(f, \delta, D) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)(g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i)).$$

A is denoted by $\int_a^b f dg$.

It is known that if $f \in BV_p[a, b]$, $g \in BV_q[a, b]$, $1/p + 1/q > 1$, then f is HK-integrable with respect to g on $[a, b]$, see [1].

In this note we follow ideas of Young to show that if $f \in BV_{\varphi}[a, b]$, $g \in BV_{\psi}[a, b]$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$, then f is HK-integrable with respect to g on $[a, b]$.

2. YOUNG'S SERIES

The above series is called Young's series. We shall present some properties of Young's series. Results and proofs are known, see [5], [6], [12]. We give proofs here for easy reference.

In this section, let λ, μ be strictly increasing continuous non-negative functions on $[0, \infty)$ with $\lambda(0) = \mu(0) = 0$ and let ω, κ be increasing functions on $[\alpha, \beta]$ with

$$\omega(\beta) - \omega(\alpha) \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\beta) - \kappa(\alpha) \leq B.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $E_p = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_p}\} \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ such that for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_p - 1$, we have*

$$|\omega(\eta) - \omega(\xi)| \leq A2^{-p}$$

and

$$|\kappa(\eta) - \kappa(\xi)| \leq B2^{-p}.$$

Furthermore, $E_q \supseteq E_p$ if $p \leq q$, $\#(E_p) \leq 2^{p+1}$ and $\#(E_{p+1} \setminus E_p) \leq 2^{p+1}$, where $\#(E_p)$ denotes the number of elements in the set E_p .

P r o o f. Denote $|\omega(\xi) - \omega(\eta)|$, $|\kappa(\xi) - \kappa(\eta)|$ by $\omega(\xi, \eta)$, $\kappa(\xi, \eta)$ respectively.

Let $E_0^\omega = \{x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}\}$, where $x_1^{(0)} = \alpha$, $x_2^{(0)} = \beta$. Then for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)})$, we can see that

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A.$$

Let $x_{1'}^{(0)} = \sup\{x \in [x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}]; \omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-1} \text{ for any } \xi, \eta \in (x_1^{(0)}, x)\}$ and let

$$E_1^\omega = \{x_1^{(0)}, x_{1'}^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}\}.$$

It is possible that $x_{1'}^{(0)} = x_2^{(0)}$, i.e., $E_1^\omega = E_0^\omega$. We may assume that the above supremum is well-defined, otherwise we use $(x, x_2^{(0)})$ instead of $(x_1^{(0)}, x)$. We will rename points in E_1^ω according to their order using the notation

$$E_1^\omega = \{x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)}\}.$$

We claim that for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)})$, $\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-1}$.

Suppose that there exist $\xi, \eta \in (x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)})$ such that $\omega(\xi, \eta) > A2^{-1}$. Since $\xi > x_2^{(1)}$, there exists a point $\beta \in (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)})$ such that $\omega(\beta, \xi) > A2^{-1}$. Since $\xi > x_2^{(1)}$,

$$\omega(\beta, \eta) = \omega(\beta, \xi) + \omega(\xi, \eta) > A2^{-1} + A2^{-1} = A.$$

It contradicts the definition of E_0^ω . Hence, for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)})$,

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-1}.$$

That is, for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_i^{(1)}, x_{i+1}^{(1)})$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-1}.$$

Let $x_{1'}^{(1)} = \sup\{x \in [x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}]; \omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-2} \text{ for every } \xi, \eta \in (x_1^{(1)}, x)\}$, $x_{2'}^{(1)} = \sup\{x \in [x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)}]; \omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-2} \text{ for every } \xi, \eta \in (x_2^{(1)}, x)\}$ and

$$E_2^\omega = \{x_1^{(1)}, x_{1'}^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_{2'}^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)}\}.$$

It is still possible that $x_{1'}^{(1)} = x_2^{(1)}$ or $x_{2'}^{(1)} = x_3^{(1)}$. We again rename E_2^ω according to their order by

$$E_2^\omega = \{x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, x_3^{(2)}, x_4^{(2)}, x_5^{(2)}\}.$$

Using the same argument as above, we also have for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_i^{(2)}, x_{i+1}^{(2)})$ and for every $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-2}.$$

Using this method, we can have $E_p^\omega = \{x_1^{(p)}, x_2^{(p)}, \dots, x_{n_p}^{(p)}\}$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that for any $\xi, \eta \in (x_i^{(p)}, x_{i+1}^{(p)})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_p - 1$, we have

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-p}.$$

We can also see that $E_q^\omega \subseteq E_p^\omega$ whenever $q \leq p$, the number of elements in E_p^ω is at most $2^p + 1$ and the number of elements in $E_{p+1}^\omega \setminus E_p^\omega$ is at most 2^p .

Using the same argument, we also can define $E_p^\kappa = \{y_1^{(p)}, y_2^{(p)}, \dots, y_{m_p}^{(p)}\}$ for $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, so that for any $\xi, \eta \in (y_i^{(p)}, y_{i+1}^{(p)})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_p - 1$, we have

$$\kappa(\xi, \eta) \leq B2^{-p}.$$

Furthermore, $E_q^\kappa \subseteq E_p^\kappa$ whenever $q \leq p$, the number of elements in E_p^κ is at most $2^p + 1$ and the number of element in $E_{p+1}^\kappa \setminus E_p^\kappa$ is at most 2^p .

Now, let $E_p = E_p^\omega \cup E_p^\kappa = \{z_1^{(p)}, z_2^{(p)}, \dots, z_{r_p}^{(p)}\}$. Then for every $\xi, \eta \in (z_i^{(p)}, z_{i+1}^{(p)})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r_p - 1$,

$$\omega(\xi, \eta) \leq A2^{-p} \text{ and } \kappa(\xi, \eta) \leq B2^{-p}.$$

Furthermore, $E_q \subseteq E_p$ whenever $q \leq p$, the number of elements in $E_{p+1} \setminus E_p$ is at most $2 \cdot 2^p = 2^{p+1}$ and number of elements in E_p is at most $2(2^p + 1) - 2 = 2^{p+1}$, since $\alpha, \beta \in E_p^\omega \cap E_p^\kappa$. \square

Lemma 2.2. (i) For any positive integer v , the following inequalities hold:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+v} \lambda(A2^{-(n+v)}) \mu(B2^{-(n+v)}) \leq 2 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A2^{-v}}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B2^{-v}}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{v-1}} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right),$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \lambda(A2^{-n}) \mu(B2^{-n}) \leq 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right).$$

Proof. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+v} \lambda(A2^{-(n+v)}) \mu(B2^{-(n+v)}) &= \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} 2^k \lambda(A2^{-k}) \mu(B2^{-k}) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{k-1}+1}^{2^k} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) = 2 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}+1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A2^{-v}}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B2^{-v}}{n}\right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \lambda\left(\frac{A2^{-v}}{k}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B2^{-v}}{k}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \lambda(A2^{-(v+n)}) \mu(B2^{-(v+n)}) = \frac{1}{2^v} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+v} \lambda(A2^{-(n+v)}) \mu(B2^{-(n+v)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{v-1}} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) As in the first part of (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \lambda(A2^{-n}) \mu(B2^{-n}) &= \lambda(A) \mu(B) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k \lambda(A2^{-k}) \mu(B2^{-k}) \\ &\leq \lambda(A) \mu(B) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \leq 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty \text{ if and only if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1/n)\mu(1/n) < \infty$. Let m be a positive integer such that $A \leq m$ and $B \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=km}^{(k+1)m} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) + m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\mu\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A/n)\mu(B/n) < \infty$. Let $\lambda'(x) = \lambda(Ax)$, $\mu'(x) = \mu(Bx)$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda'(1/n)\mu'(1/n) < \infty$. Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda'(1/(An))\mu'(1/(Bn)) < \infty$. Consequently, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1/n)\mu(1/n) < \infty$. □

3. INTEGRALS OF STEP FUNCTIONS

In this section we shall present Young's results on integrals of step functions, see [12]. Let g be a regulated function on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and s a step function on $[\alpha, \beta]$ with

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \chi_{(t_i, t_{i+1})}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} d_i \chi_{\{t_i\}}(x),$$

where χ_G is the characteristic function of G , and $\alpha = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{q+1} = \beta$.

It is known, see [1], that

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s \, dg = \sum_{i=1}^q c_i (g(t_{i+1}-) - g(t_i+)) + \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} d_i (g(t_i+) - g(t_i-)).$$

Furthermore, we always assume that the following conditions hold:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} |s(\xi) - s(\eta)| \leq \lambda(\omega(\xi) - \omega(\eta)), \\ |g(\xi) - g(\eta)| \leq \mu(\kappa(\xi) - \kappa(\eta)) \end{cases}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ with $\xi > \eta$, where $\lambda, \mu, \omega, \kappa$ are given in Section 1. In this section we always assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A/n)\mu(B/n) < \infty$. Recall that $\omega(\beta) - \omega(\alpha) \leq A$ and $\kappa(\beta) - \kappa(\alpha) \leq B$.

Definition 3.1. Let s be a step function defined on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and E_p the finite set as defined in Lemma 2.1. Let $E = \{x_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$ be any fixed finite set containing E_0 . We define s_E to be the step function induced by s and E as follows:

$$s_E(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m s(x_i+) \chi_{(x_i, x_{i+1})}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} s(x_i) \chi_{\{x_i\}}(x).$$

We have, by the formula for the value of the integral of a step function with respect to g presented above,

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s_E \, dg = \sum_{i=1}^m s(x_i+)(g(x_{i+1}-) - g(x_i+)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} s(x_i)(g(x_i) - g(x_i-)).$$

We remark that if E contains all points of discontinuity of s , then $s_E = s$ and $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s_E \, dg = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s \, dg$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $E \supseteq E_0$. Then

$$\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E \cup E_p} - s_{E_p}) \, dg \right| \leq N_p \lambda\left(\frac{A}{2^p}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{2^p}\right),$$

where $N_p = \#(E \setminus E_p)$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E \cup E_p} - s_{E_p}) \, dg \right| = 0.$$

Proof. Let N_p denote $\#(E \setminus E_p)$. Let s' denote the step function $s_{E \cup E_p} - s_{E_p}$. Suppose s' is induced by a partition $\{[y_i, y_{i+1}]\}_{i=1}^m$ of $[\alpha, \beta]$. If $y_i \in E_p$, then s' has zero values over a half-open subinterval $[y_i, y_{i+1})$. Therefore, the number of subintervals where s' has nonzero value is at most N_p . Then

$$\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E \cup E_p} - s_{E_p}) \, dg \right| \leq N_p \lambda(A2^{-p}) \mu(B2^{-p}) \leq N_0 \lambda(A2^{-p}) \mu(B2^{-p}).$$

Hence, for any fixed finite set E ,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E \cup E_p} - s_{E_p}) \, dg \right| \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N_0 \lambda(A2^{-p}) \mu(B2^{-p}) = 0.$$

In the above, we use the fact that λ, μ are continuous at 0 and $\lambda(0) = \mu(0) = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let s be a step function and E_0 as above. Then

$$\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s - s_{E_0}) dg \right| \leq 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, $E_{p+1} = E_{p+1} \cup E_p$ and $\#(E_{p+1} - E_p) \leq 2^{p+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E_{p+1}} - s_{E_p}) dg \right| &= \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E_{p+1} \cup E_p} - s_{E_p}) dg \right| \\ &\leq 2^{p+1} \lambda(A2^{-p}) \mu(A2^{-p}) = 2 \cdot 2^p \lambda(A2^{-p}) \mu(A2^{-p}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let E^* be a finite set containing E_0 and all points of discontinuity of s , then $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s dg = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s_{E^*} dg = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s_{E^* \cup E_v} dg$ for all $v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s - s_{E_p}) dg \right| &\leq \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E^*} - s_{E_{p+q}}) dg \right| + \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E_{p+q}} - s_{E_{p+q-1}}) dg \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E_{p+1}} - s_{E_p}) dg \right| \right) \\ &= \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E^* \cup E_{p+q}} - s_{E_{p+q}}) dg \right| + \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E_{p+q}} - s_{E_{p+q-1}}) dg \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s_{E_{p+1}} - s_{E_p}) dg \right| \right) \\ &\leq 0 + \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} 2 \cdot 2^{p+m} \lambda(A2^{-(p+m)}) \mu(A2^{-(p+m)}) \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{n=2^{p-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds by Lemma 2.2 (i).

When $p = 0$, by Lemma 2.2 (ii) we get

$$\left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (s - s_{E_0}) dg \right| \leq 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right).$$

□

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that $s_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \chi_{[u_i, u_{i+1})}(x) + d_n \chi_{\{u_{n+1}\}}(x)$, $s_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \chi_{[v_i, v_{i+1})}(x) + e_m \chi_{\{v_{m+1}\}}(x)$ are step functions defined on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Let (1) hold with $s = s_1, s_2$ and $|d_1 - e_1| \leq \lambda(A)$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (g(u_{i+1}) - g(u_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i (g(v_{i+1}) - g(v_i)) \right| \leq 13 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right).$$

Proof. First we shall prove that the following inequality holds:

$$(2) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (g(u_{i+1}) - g(u_i)) - d_1 (g(\beta) - g(\alpha)) \right| \leq 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right).$$

Let $g^*(u_i) = g(u_i)$, $g^*(t) = g(u_i)$ for those t close to u_i from the left, otherwise, let $g^*(t) = g(t)$. Then $g^*(u_i-) = g^*(u_i)$ and g^* also satisfies $|g^*(\xi) - g^*(\eta)| \leq \mu(|\kappa(\xi) - \kappa(\eta)|)$ for any $\xi, \eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s_1 dg^* &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (g^*(u_{i+1}-) - g^*(u_i)) + d_n (g^*(u_{n+1}) - g^*(u_{n+1}-)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (g^*(u_{i+1}-) - g^*(u_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (g(u_{i+1}) - g(u_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.3 to $s = s_1$ and $g = g^*$, $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} s_{E_0} dg^* = d_1 (g^*(\beta-) - g^*(\alpha)) + d_n (g^*(\beta) - g^*(\beta+)) = d_1 (g(\beta) - g(\alpha))$, we get the inequality (2).

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{i=1}^n d_i (g(u_{i+1}) - g(u_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i (g(v_{i+1}) - g(v_i)) \right| \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) + |d_1 (g(\beta_1) - g(\alpha_1)) - e_1 (g(\beta_1) - g(\alpha_1))| \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) + |d_1 - e_1| |g(\beta_1) - g(\alpha_1)| \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) + \lambda(A) \mu(B) = 13 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

4. INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

Now we shall introduce $BV_\varphi[a, b]$, which is a generalization of $BV[a, b]$, the space of functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, and prove an existence theorem (Theorem 4.6) in the Henstock-Kurzweil setting.

Definition 4.1. A function $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an N -function if

1. $\varphi(0) = 0$;
2. φ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$;
3. φ is strictly increasing and
4. $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Examples of N -functions are $\varphi_1(u) = u^p$, $p \geq 1$ and $\varphi_2(u) = e^u - 1$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an N -function and $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We define

$$V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(|f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)|),$$

where supremum is taken over all partitions $\{[x_i, x_{i+1}]\}_{i=1}^n$ of $[a, b]$. The number $V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$ is called the φ -variation of f on $[a, b]$. Let $BV_\varphi[a, b]$ denote the collection of all functions $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) < \infty$, see [5], [6], [12]. Such functions are said to be of bounded φ -variation. When it is clear that we are considering the interval $[a, b]$, we shall denote $V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$ by $V_\varphi(f)$.

For example, where $\varphi(u) = u^p$, $p \geq 1$, $BV_\varphi[a, b]$ is the space of functions of bounded p -variation on $[a, b]$.

The following lemma and its proof are known.

Lemma 4.3. *If $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$, then f is bounded on $[a, b]$ and f is a regulated function.*

Proof. Suppose f is unbounded. Let M be any positive real number. Then there exists $x \in [a, b]$ such that $M \leq |f(x) - f(a)|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq |f(x) - f(a)| = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(|f(x) - f(a)|)) \\ &\leq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(|f(x) - f(a)|) + \varphi(|f(b) - f(x)|)) \leq \varphi^{-1}(V_\varphi(f; [a, b])). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\varphi(M) \leq V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) \text{ for all } M > 0.$$

Since $\varphi(M) \rightarrow \infty$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, we have $V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) = \infty$. This leads to a contradiction.

The proof that f is regulated is standard. □

Lemma 4.4. Let $g \in \text{BV}_\psi[a, b]$, $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \supseteq E_0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, where E_0 is given in Lemma 2.1. Then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for any finite collection of disjoint subintervals $\{[u_i, v_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ with $[u_i, v_i] \subset (x_i, x_i + \delta)$ or $[u_i, v_i] \subset (x_i - \delta, x_i)$ for each i , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |g(v_i) - g(u_i)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. First, since g is a regulated function, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|g(t) - g(x_i-)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \quad \text{whenever} \quad 0 < x_i - t < \delta$$

and

$$|g(x_i+) - g(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \quad \text{whenever} \quad 0 < t - x_i < \delta$$

for each i . Therefore, we get the required result. \square

Next, we shall prove Lemma 4.5 using Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 3.4. We need the following notation.

Let $A \geq V_\varphi(f)$ and $B \geq V_\psi(g)$. Define $\omega(x) = V_\varphi(f; [a, x])$ and $\kappa(x) = V_\psi(g; [a, x])$. Let $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}$, $\mu = \psi^{-1}$. Hence, for any $\xi, \eta \in [a, b]$ with $\eta > \xi$,

$$\lambda(\omega(\eta) - \omega(\xi)) = \varphi^{-1}(\omega(\eta) - \omega(\xi)) \geq |f(\eta) - f(\xi)|.$$

Similarly, $\mu(\kappa(\eta) - \kappa(\xi)) \geq |g(\eta) - g(\xi)|$.

Let $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ be given as in Lemma 2.1 with $v \geq 1$ and $[\alpha, \beta] = [a, b]$. Then $\#(E_v) \leq 2^{v+1}$. Furthermore,

$$|f(\eta) - f(\xi)| \leq \lambda(\omega(\eta) - \omega(\xi)) \leq \lambda(A2^{-v}) = \varphi^{-1}(A2^{-v})$$

and

$$|g(\eta) - g(\xi)| \leq \mu(\kappa(\eta) - \kappa(\xi)) \leq \mu(B2^{-v}) = \psi^{-1}(B2^{-v})$$

for any $\eta, \xi \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$ with $\eta > \xi$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_v - 1$. The above is equivalent to (1) mentioned before Definition 3.1.

From now onwards, a division $D = \{(\xi_i, [u_i, v_i])\}_{i=1}^n$ is always denoted by $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$ and $g \in BV_\psi[a, b]$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Let $v \geq 1$ be fixed and $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ given as above. Suppose $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ and $D' = \{(\xi', [u', v'])\}$ are two partial divisions of $[a, b]$ such that $\bigcup [u, v] = \bigcup [u', v']$ and $[u, v] \subset (x_k, x_{k+1})$, $[u', v'] \subset (x_k, x_{k+1})$. Then for any $\xi \in [u, v]$, $\xi' \in [u', v']$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (D) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) - (D') \sum f(\xi')(g(v') - g(u')) \right| \\ \leq 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $v \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $D_k = \{(\xi, [u, v]) \in D; [u, v] \subseteq (x_k, x_{k+1})\}$ and $D'_k = \{(\xi', [u', v']) \in D'; [u', v'] \subseteq (x_k, x_{k+1})\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_v - 1$. It is clear that $|f(\xi) - f(\xi')| < \varphi^{-1}(A2^{-v})$. Note that $\bigcup \{[u, v]; [u, v] \subset (x_k, x_{k+1})\} = \bigcup \{[u', v']; [u', v'] \subset (x_k, x_{k+1})\} =: [\alpha, \beta]$. Applying Corollary 3.4, for any ξ, ξ' we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (D_k) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) - (D'_k) \sum f(\xi')(g(v') - g(u')) \right| \\ \leq 13 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A2^{-v}}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B2^{-v}}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_v - 1$.

Note that $D = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_v-1} D_k$ and $n_v \leq 2^{v+1}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (D) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) - (D') \sum f(\xi')(g(v') - g(u')) \right| \\ \leq 13(2^{v+1} - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A2^{-v}}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B2^{-v}}{n}\right) \\ \leq 13(2^{v+1} - 1) \frac{1}{2^{v-1}} \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \\ \leq 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The following existence theorem is proved in [12] by the Moore-Pollard approach. Now we will prove it by the Henstock-Kurzweil approach.

Theorem 4.6 (Existence Theorem). *Let $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$ and $g \in BV_\psi[a, b]$. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Then $\int_a^b f dg$ exists.*

Proof. First, let $A \geq V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$ and $B \geq V_\psi(f; [a, b])$. Then by Lemma 2.3, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(A/n)\psi^{-1}(B/n) < \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, choose v such that $\sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda(A/n)\mu(B/n) \leq \varepsilon/52$.

Let $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ be given as in Lemma 2.1. Let δ' be given as in Lemma 4.4 with $E = E_v$. Let δ be a positive function defined on $[a, b]$ with $\delta(x) < \delta'$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ such that if $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ is a δ -fine division of $[a, b]$, then $[u, v] \subset (\xi - \delta', \xi + \delta')$ and $\xi \in E_v$, $[u, v] \subset (x_k, x_{k+1})$ and $\xi \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_v - 1$. Now let $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ and $D' = \{(\xi', [u', v'])\}$ be two δ -fine divisions of $[a, b]$. Let $D = D_1 \cup D_2$, $D' = D'_1 \cup D'_2$ where $D_1 = \{(\xi, [u, v]) \in D; \xi \in E_v\}$, $D'_1 = \{(\xi', [u', v']) \in D'; \xi' \in E_v\}$, $D_2 = D \setminus D_1$ and $D'_2 = D' \setminus D'_1$. Suppose $(\xi, [u, v]) \in D_2$ and $x_i + \delta(x_i) \in [u, v]$ (or $x_i - \delta(x_i) \in [u, v]$). Then we divide $[u, v]$ into two parts $[u, x_i + \delta(x_i)]$, $[x_i + \delta(x_i), v]$ ($[u, x_i - \delta(x_i)]$, $[x_i - \delta(x_i), v]$, respectively).

Let \bar{D}_1 be the union of D_1 and $(\xi, [u, x_i + \delta(x_i)])$, $(\xi, [x_i - \delta(x_i), v])$. Let $\bar{D}_2 = D \setminus \bar{D}_1$. Similarly, we construct \bar{D}'_1 and $\bar{D}'_2 = D' \setminus \bar{D}'_1$.

Then, by Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (D) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) - (D') \sum f(\xi')(g(v') - g(u')) \right| \\ & \leq \left| (\bar{D}_1) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) - (\bar{D}'_1) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| (\bar{D}_2) \sum f(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) - (\bar{D}'_2) \sum f(\xi')(g(v') - g(u')) \right| \\ & \leq 8\|f\|_\infty \varepsilon + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{f(x); x \in [a, b]\}$. Thus $\int_a^b f dg$ exists. □

5. APPROXIMATION

In this section we show that $\int_a^b f dg$ can be approximated by $\int_a^b s dg$, where s is a step function. This approximation theorem can be found in [12].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$, $g \in BV_\psi[a, b]$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Then, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a step function s on $[a, b]$ such that $|\int_a^b (f - s) dg| \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. First, let $A \geq V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$ and $B \geq V_\psi(f; [a, b])$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(A/n)\psi^{-1}(B/n) < \infty$.

Let $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ be given as in Lemma 4.5 with $v \geq 1$. Define

$$s(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_v} f(x_k)\chi_{\{x_k\}}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_v-1} f(x_k+)\chi_{(x_k, x_{k+1})}(x).$$

Then $(f - s)(x_k) = 0$ for all $x_k \in E_v$. By Theorem 4.6, $\int_a^b f \, dg - \int_a^b s \, dg = \int_a^b (f - s) \, dg$ exists. Let $\varepsilon > 0$; there exists a positive function δ on $[a, b]$ such that whenever $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ is a δ -fine division of $[a, b]$, x_i is a tag for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_v$, and

$$\left| \int_a^b (f - s) \, dg - (D) \sum (f - s)(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By Lemma 4.5, and all x_i being tags, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (D) \sum (f - s)(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) \right| &= \left| (D) \sum_{\xi \notin E_v} (f - s)(\xi)(g(v) - g(u)) \right| \\ &\leq 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \text{ for } v \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \int_a^b (f - s) \, dg \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\mu\left(\frac{B}{n}\right).$$

Choosing v big enough, we get the required result. \square

Corollary 5.2. Let $f \in \text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$, $g \in \text{BV}_\psi[a, b]$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b f \, dg \right| &\leq 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{V_\varphi(f)}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{V_\psi(g)}{n}\right) + f(a)(g(a+) - g(a)) \\ &\quad + f(a+)(g(b-) - g(a+)) + f(b)(g(b) - g(b-)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 5.1 there exists a step function s on $[a, b]$ such that $\left| \int_a^b (f - s) \, dg \right| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dg \right| \leq \varepsilon + \left| \int_a^b s \, dg \right|.$$

By Theorem 3.3,

$$\left| \int_a^b s \, dg \right| \leq 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\frac{V_{\varphi}(f)}{n}\right) \mu\left(\frac{V_{\psi}(g)}{n}\right) + \left| \int_a^b s_{E_0} \, dg \right|.$$

Note that $\int_a^b s_{E_0} \, dg = f(a)(g(a+) - g(a)) + f(a+)(g(b-) - g(a+)) + f(b)(g(b) - g(b-))$. Hence we get the required result. \square

6. INTEGRATION BY PARTS

A general result for integration by parts in the setting of Henstock-Kurzweil integrals of Stieltjes type can be found in [10]. In this section, we will prove this result in more concrete forms.

For any partial division $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ on $[a, b]$, define

$$\begin{aligned} S_-(f, g, D) &= (D) \sum (f(\xi) - f(u))(g(\xi) - g(u)), \\ S_+(f, g, D) &= (D) \sum (f(v) - f(\xi))(g(v) - g(\xi)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S(f, g, D) = S_-(f, g, D) - S_+(f, g, D).$$

We say that $S_-(f, g)$ exists if there exists $S^{(1)}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ on $[a, b]$ such that when D is a δ -fine division of $[a, b]$, we have

$$|S_-(f, g, D) - S^{(1)}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We then denote $S^{(1)}$ by $S_-(f, g)$. Similarly, we can define $S_+(f, g)$ and $S(f, g)$. Clearly, if two of $S_-(f, g)$, $S_+(f, g)$ and $S(f, g)$ exist, then the third exists and

$$S(f, g) = S_-(f, g) - S_+(f, g).$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f \in \text{BV}_{\varphi}[a, b]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_{\psi}[a, b]$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Then*

$$S_+(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(t_i+) - f(t_i))(g(t_i+) - g(t_i))$$

and

$$S_-(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(t_i) - f(t_i-))(g(t_i) - g(t_i-)),$$

where t_i are the common points of discontinuity of f and g and the above series converge absolutely.

P r o o f. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, let v be a positive integer such that $\sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(A/n)\psi^{-1}(B/n) \leq \varepsilon/52$. Let $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ be given as in Lemma 2.1. E_v may contain some points of $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. We may assume that there exists a positive integer N such that $t_j \notin E_v$ whenever $j \geq N$. Now take any two positive integers $m, n \geq N$ with $m < n$. Let δ be a positive number such that for every $i = m, m+1, \dots, n$, if $t_i \in (x_j, x_{j+1})$ for some j , then $(t_i, t_i + \delta) \subset (x_j, x_{j+1})$ and $\{(t_i, t_i + \delta)\}_{i=m}^n$ are non-overlapping intervals. Let $\eta_i \in (t_i, t_i + \delta)$ for all $i = m, m+1, \dots, n$, and $D = \{t_i, [t_i, \eta_i]\}_{i=m}^n$ and $D' = \{\eta_i, [t_i, \eta_i]\}_{i=m}^n$. From the definition of D and D' it is clear that D and D' are partial divisions of $[a, b]$ and satisfy the condition of Theorem 4.5. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=m}^n (f(\eta_i) - f(t_i))(g(\eta_i) - g(t_i)) \right| \\ &= \left| (D') \sum f(\eta_i)(g(\eta_i) - g(t_i)) - (D) \sum f(t_i)(g(\eta_i) - g(t_i)) \right| \\ &\leq 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{n}\right)\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \leq 52 \frac{\varepsilon}{52} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{i=m}^n (f(t_i+) - f(t_i))(g(t_i+) - g(t_i)) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Observe that D and D' are partial divisions. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=m}^n |(f(t_i+) - f(t_i))(g(t_i+) - g(t_i))| \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

where $m, n \geq N$.

Hence $S_+(f, g)$ converges absolutely. Similarly, $S_-(f, g)$ converges absolutely. \square

Lemma 6.2. Let $f \in \text{BV}_{\varphi}[a, b]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_{\psi}[a, b]$, $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \supseteq E_0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\delta' > 0$ such that for any finite collection of disjoint subintervals $\{[u_i, v_i]\}_{i=1}^n$ with $[u_i, v_i] \subset (x_i, x_i + \delta')$ for each i or $[u_i, v_i] \subset (x_i - \delta', x_i)$ for each i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n |f(v_i) - f(u_i)||g(v_i) - g(u_i)| \leq \varepsilon, \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n |f(v_i) - f(u_i)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{V_{\psi}(B)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |g(v_i) - g(u_i)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{V_\psi(A)}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. First, observe that f and g are regulated functions. Therefore, there exists a constant $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$|g(t) - g(x_i-)| \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2nV_\varphi(A)} \right\} \quad \text{whenever } 0 < x_i - t < \delta',$$

$$|g(x_i+) - g(t)| \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2nV_\varphi(A)} \right\} \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t - x_i < \delta',$$

$$|f(t) - f(x_i-)| \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2nV_\psi(B)} \right\} \quad \text{whenever } 0 < x_i - t < \delta'$$

and

$$|f(x_i+) - f(t)| \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2nV_\psi(B)} \right\} \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t - x_i < \delta'$$

for each i . Therefore, the required result follows. \square

Lemma 6.3. Let $f \in \text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_\psi[a, b]$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ is the set given in Lemma 2.1 and $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^m \subseteq E_v$, where $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are all common points of discontinuity of f and g such that $\sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(A/n)\psi^{-1}(B/n) < \varepsilon/312$ and $\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} |(f(t_j) - f(t_j-))(g(t_j) - g(t_j-))| \leq \varepsilon/6$, then there exists a positive real number δ' such that for any δ' -fine partial division $D = \{(x_i, [u_i, v_i])\}_{i=1}^{n_v}$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$|S(f, g, D) - (S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g))| \leq \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 6.2 to $\varepsilon/18$ and $E = E_v$, we get a positive constant δ' . Let $D = \{(x_i, [u_i, v_i])\}_{i=1}^{n_v}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_-(f, g, D) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(u_i))(g(x_i) - g(u_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} [(f(x_i) - f(x_i-)) + (f(x_i-) - f(u_i))][(g(x_i) - g(x_i-)) + (g(x_i-) - g(u_i))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $F = E_v \setminus \{t_j\}_{j=1}^m$, then F is the set of points in E_v which are not common points of discontinuity of f and g . Hence

$$\sum_{x_i \in F} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) = 0.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) \\
&= \sum_{x_i \in F} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) + \sum_{x_i \notin F} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) \\
&= 0 + \sum_{x_i \notin F} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^m (f(t_j) - f(t_{j-})) (g(t_j) - g(t_{j-})).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
S_-(f, g, D) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(u_i)) (g(x_i) - g(u_i)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^m (f(t_j) - f(t_{j-})) (g(t_j) - g(t_{j-})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S_-(f, g, D) - S_-(f, g)| \\
&= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(u_i)) (g(x_i) - g(u_i)) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (f(t_j) - f(t_{j-})) (g(t_j) - g(t_{j-})) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(u_i)) (g(x_i) - g(u_i)) - \sum_{j=1}^m (f(t_j) - f(t_{j-})) (g(t_j) - g(t_{j-})) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} (f(t_j) - f(t_{j-})) (g(t_j) - g(t_{j-})) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_{i-}) - f(u_i)) (g(x_{i-}) - g(u_i)) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{18}, \\
&\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_{i-}) - g(x_i)) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{18V_\varphi(A)} V_\varphi(A) = \frac{\varepsilon}{18}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-})) (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-})) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{18V_\psi(B)} V_\psi(B) = \frac{\varepsilon}{18}.$$

Thus

$$|S_-(f, g, D) - S_-(f, g)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{18} + \frac{\varepsilon}{18} + \frac{\varepsilon}{18} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Similarly,

$$|S_+(f, g, D) - S_+(f, g)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S(f, g, D) - (S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g))| \\
&\leq |S_-(f, g, D) - S_-(f, g)| + |S_+(f, g, D) - S_+(f, g)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.4. *Let $f \in \text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_\psi[a, b]$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function δ such that for any δ -fine division D of $[a, b]$ we have*

$$|S(f, g, D) - (S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g))| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, choose v such that $\sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(A/n)\psi^{-1}(B/n) \leq \varepsilon/312$. Let $E_v = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_v}\}$ be given as in Lemma 2.1. Applying Lemma 6.3 to $E = E_v$, we get a positive constant δ' . Let δ be a positive function defined on $[a, b]$ with $\delta(x) < \delta'$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ such that if $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ is a δ -fine division

of $[a, b]$, then $[u, v] \subset (\xi - \delta', \xi + \delta')$ when $\xi \in E_v$ and $[u, v] \subset (x_k, x_{k+1})$ when $\xi \in (x_k, x_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n_v - 1$. Now let $D = \{(\xi, [u, v])\}$ be a δ -fine division of $[a, b]$. Let $D = D_1 \cup D_2$, where $D_1 = \{(\xi, [u, v]) \in D: \xi \in E_v\}$, $D_2 = D \setminus D_1$. Hence, by Lemma 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & |S(f, g, D) - (S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g))| \\ & \leq |S_-(f, g, D_2)| + |S_+(f, g, D_2)| + |S(f, g, D_1) - (S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g))| \\ & \leq |S_-(f, g, D_2)| + |S_+(f, g, D_2)| + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_-(f, g, D_2)| & = \left| (D_2) \sum (f(\xi) - f(u))(g(\xi) - g(u)) \right| \\ & = \left| (D_2) \sum f(\xi)(g(\xi) - g(u)) - (D_2) \sum f(u)(g(\xi) - g(u)) \right| \\ & \leq 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |S_+(f, g, D_2)| & = \left| (D_2) \sum (f(v) - f(\xi))(g(v) - g(\xi)) \right| \\ & \leq 52 \sum_{n=2^{v-1}}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{n}\right) \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |S(f, g, D) - (S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g))| & \leq |S_-(f, g, D_2)| + |S_+(f, g, D_2)| + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon \\ & \leq \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

We can verify that $S_-(f, g)$, $S_+(f, g)$ exist and $S_-(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(t_i+) - f(t_i))$
 $(g(t_i+) - g(t_i)), S_+(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(t_i) - f(t_i-))(g(t_i) - g(t_i-))$.

Theorem 6.5. Let $f \in \text{BV}_{\varphi}[a, b]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_{\psi}[a, b]$ with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/m) \psi^{-1}(1/m) < \infty$. Then

$$\int_a^b f \, dg + \int_a^b g \, df = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + S(f, g),$$

where $S(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(t_i+) - f(t_i))(g(t_i+) - g(t_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(t_i) - f(t_i-))(g(t_i) - g(t_i-))$ and $\{t_i\}$ are all common points of discontinuity of f and g .

P r o o f. Since $S(f, g) = S_+(f, g) - S_-(f, g)$, by Lemma 6.1, $S(f, g)$ exists. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $f, g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a positive function δ_1 on $[a, b]$ such that for any δ_1 -fine partial division $D' = \{([u_i, v_i], \xi_i)\}$ of $[a, b]$,

$$|S(f, g, D') - S(f, g)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since f is integrable with respect to g , there exists a positive function δ_2 on $[a, b]$ such for any δ_2 -fine division $D'' = \{([t_i, t_{i+1}], \xi_i)\}$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\left| (D'') \sum f(\xi_i)(g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i)) - \int_a^b f \, dg \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Choose $\delta(\varepsilon) = \min\{\delta_1(\varepsilon), \delta_2(\varepsilon)\}$. Let $D = \{([t_i, t_{i+1}], \xi_i)\}$ be a δ -fine partial division of $[a, b]$. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left((D) \sum g(\xi_i)(f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_i)) \right) - \left(f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + S(f, g) - \int_a^b f \, dg \right) \right| \\ &= \left| (D) \sum \left(g(\xi_i)(f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_i)) - f(t_{i+1})g(t_{i+1}) + f(t_i)g(t_i) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f \, dg \right) - S(f, g) \right| \\ &= \left| (D) \sum -f(\xi_i)(g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i)) + (f(\xi_i) - f(t_i))(g(\xi_i) - g(t_i)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (f(t_{i+1}) - f(\xi_i))(g(t_{i+1}) - g(\xi_i)) + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f \, dg - S(f, g) \right| \\ &\leq \left| (D) \sum f(\xi_i)(g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i)) - \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f \, dg \right| + |S(f, g, D) - S(f, g)| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can conclude that g is integrable to $f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + S(f, g) - \int_a^b f \, dg$ on $[a, b]$ with respect to f .

Hence, we have

$$\int_a^b f \, dg + \int_a^b g \, df = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + S(f, g).$$

□

7. CONVERGENCE THEOREM

In this section we will use Young's idea, see [11], [12], to prove some convergence theorems for our setting.

Definition 7.1 (Two-norm convergence). A sequence $\{f^{(n)}\}$ of functions in $BV_\varphi[a, b]$ is said to be two-norm convergent to f if

- (i) $f^{(n)}$ is uniformly convergent to f on $[a, b]$, and
- (ii) $V_\varphi(f^{(n)}) \leq A$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In symbols, we denote the two-norm convergence by $f^{(n)} \twoheadrightarrow f$.

It is clear that $BV_\varphi[a, b]$ is complete under two-norm convergence, i.e., if $f^{(n)} \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $f^{(n)} \twoheadrightarrow f$, then $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$.

We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 7.2. *Let ϑ be a strictly decreasing continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(x) = 0$ and let $\int_1^\infty \vartheta(x) dx$ exist. Then there exists a strictly increasing continuous function ϱ on $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(x) = \infty$ such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho(x)}{x} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty \vartheta(x) d\varrho(x) \text{ exists.}$$

Proof. Since $\int_1^\infty \vartheta(x) dx$ exists, there exists a positive function on $[0, \infty)$ $\iota(x) \geq 0$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \iota(x) = \infty$ and $\iota(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 1$, such that $\int_1^\infty \vartheta(x)\iota(x) dx$ and $\int_0^x \iota(t) dt$ exist for every $x \in (0, \infty)$. Let

$$\varrho(x) = x + \int_0^x \iota(t) dt.$$

Then ϱ is a strictly increasing function with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(x) = \infty$. Therefore,

$$\int_1^\infty \vartheta(x) d\varrho(x) = \int_1^\infty \vartheta(x)[1 + \iota(x)] dx < \infty.$$

Now we shall prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(x)/x = \infty$. Let $x > 2n$. Then $(x - n)/x > \frac{1}{2}$. By Mean-Value Theorem for integral, there exists $y \in (n, x)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{x - n} \int_n^x \iota(x) dx = \iota(y).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\varrho(x)}{x} = 1 + \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \iota(x) dx \geq \frac{x-n}{x} \left[\frac{1}{x-n} \int_n^x \iota(x) dx \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \iota(y) \geq \frac{1}{2} \iota(n).$$

Since $\iota(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho(x)}{x} = \infty.$$

□

Corollary 7.3. *Let ϑ be a strictly decreasing continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(x) = 0$ and let $\int_1^\infty \vartheta(x) dx$ exist. Then there exists a strictly increasing continuous function ς on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma(x) = \infty$, such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varsigma(x)}{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty \vartheta(\varsigma(x)) dx \quad \text{exists.}$$

Proof. Let $\varsigma = \varrho^{-1}$, where ϱ is given in Lemma 7.2. Thus we get the required result. □

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$. Then there exist two N -functions φ^*, ψ^* such that $\varphi^*(u) \leq \bar{\pi}(u)\varphi(u)$ and $\psi^*(u) \leq \bar{\gamma}(u)\psi(u)$, where $\bar{\pi}, \bar{\gamma}$ are increasing and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\pi}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\gamma}(x) = 0$, with*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\varphi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)(\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

Proof. Given φ, ψ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi^{-1}(1/n)\psi^{-1}(1/n) < \infty$, we want to construct φ^*, ψ^* such that $\varphi^*(u) \leq \bar{\pi}(u)\varphi(u)$, $\psi^*(u) \leq \bar{\gamma}(u)\psi(u)$, where $\bar{\pi}, \bar{\gamma}$ are increasing functions with $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\pi}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\gamma}(x) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\varphi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)(\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

Let $\vartheta(u) = \varphi^{-1}(1/u)\psi^{-1}(1/u)$ for $u \in (0, \infty)$. Then ϑ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 7.3. Hence there exists a strictly increasing continuous function ς on $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varsigma(x) = \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varsigma(x)}{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty \vartheta(\varsigma(x)) dx \quad \text{exists.}$$

Let $\theta(u) = u\zeta(u^{-1})$ for $u \in (0, \infty)$ and $\theta(0) = 0$. Then $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \theta(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \zeta(u^{-1})/u^{-1} = 0$ and $u/\theta(u) = 1/\zeta(u^{-1})$ is a strictly increasing continuous function on $(0, \infty)$.

Let $\Phi(u) = \varphi^{-1}(u/\theta(u))$, $\Psi(u) = \psi^{-1}(u/\theta(u))$, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Psi(0) = 0$. Then Φ and Ψ are strictly increasing continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, let $\varphi^* = (\Phi)^{-1}$ and $\psi^* = (\Psi)^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) (\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{n^{-1}}{\theta(n^{-1})}\right) \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{n^{-1}}{\theta(n^{-1})}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}\right) \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(n)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \vartheta(\zeta(n)) \leq \int_1^{\infty} \vartheta(\zeta(x)) dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\vartheta(\zeta(x))$ is non-negative.

If $t = (\varphi^*)^{-1}(u^*) = \varphi^{-1}(u^*/\theta(u^*))$, then $\varphi^*(t) = u^*$. On the other hand, if $t = \varphi^{-1}(u)$, then $\varphi(t) = u$. Hence $u = u^*/\theta(u^*)$ and

$$\frac{\varphi^*(t)}{\varphi(t)} = \frac{u^*}{u} = \theta(u^*) = \theta(\varphi^*(t)) =: \pi(t);$$

clearly $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \pi(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta(\varphi^*(t)) = 0$. Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\psi^*(t)}{\psi(t)} = \frac{u^*}{u} = \theta(u^*) = \theta(\psi^*(t)) =: \gamma(t),$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \pi(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta(\varphi^*(t)) = 0$. Denoting by $\bar{\pi}(t), \bar{\gamma}(t)$ the upper bounds of $\pi(u), \gamma(u)$ for $0 < u \leq t$ we see that $\bar{\pi}, \bar{\gamma}$ are increasing functions. Then

$$\varphi^*(t) \leq \bar{\pi}(t)\varphi(t)$$

and

$$\psi^*(t) \leq \bar{\gamma}(t)\psi(t).$$

□

Let $D = \{[u, v]\}$ be a partition of an interval $[\alpha, \beta]$. By Lemma 7.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D) \sum \varphi^*(|f(v) - f(u)|) &= (D) \sum \bar{\pi}(|f(v) - f(u)|) \varphi(|f(v) - f(u)|) \\ &\leq \bar{\pi}(2\|f\|_{\infty})(D) \sum \varphi(|f(v) - f(u)|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if A and A^* are the φ -variation and φ^* -variation of f , respectively, on $[\alpha, \beta]$, we have

$$A^* \leq A\bar{\pi}(2\|f\|_{\infty}) \leq A\bar{\pi}(\varphi^{-1}(A)).$$

Theorem 7.5. If $g \in \text{BV}_\psi[a, b]$ and $\{f^{(n)}\}$ is two-norm convergent to f in $\text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$ with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/m)\psi^{-1}(1/m) < \infty$, then $\int_a^b f \, dg$ exists and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f^{(n)} \, dg = \int_a^b f \, dg.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $\{f^{(n)}\}$ be two-norm convergent to f in $\text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$ and $g \in \text{BV}_\psi[a, b]$. By the convexity of $\text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$, $\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f) \in \text{BV}_\varphi[a, b]$. Hence, $\int_a^b (f^{(n)} - f) \, dg$ exists. Thus, there is a positive function δ_n such that for every δ_n -fine division $D = \{([t_i, t_{i+1}], \xi_i)\}$ of $[a, b]$,

$$\left| \left(\int_a^b (f^{(n)} - f) \, dg \right) - (D) \sum (f^{(n)}(\xi_i) - f(\xi_i))(g(t_{i+1}) - g(t_i)) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let

$$V_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f)\right) \leq V_\varphi(f^{(n)}) + V_\varphi(f) \leq A \text{ for every } n \text{ and } V_\psi(g) = B.$$

By Lemma 7.4, there exist two N -function φ^* and ψ^* such that $\varphi^*(u) \leq \bar{\pi}(u)\varphi(u)$ and $\psi^*(u) \leq \bar{\gamma}(u)\psi(u)$, where $\bar{\pi}, \bar{\gamma}$ are increasing and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\pi}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\gamma}(x) = 0$, with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)(\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.3, there exists a positive integer v such that

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} (\varphi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{A\bar{\pi}(\varphi^{-1}(A))}{n}\right)(\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{B\bar{\gamma}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{n}\right) < \varepsilon.$$

For this v , choose $\tau > 0$ such that

$$(\varphi^*)^{-1}(A\bar{\pi}(\tau)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{v(\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{B\bar{\pi}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{v}\right)}.$$

Hence for $n = 1, 2, \dots, v$,

$$(\varphi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{A\bar{\pi}(\tau)}{n}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{v(\psi^*)^{-1}\left(\frac{B\bar{\pi}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{n}\right)}.$$

Since $f^{(n)}$ converge to f uniformly on $[a, b]$, there is a positive integer N such that for every $n \geq N$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [a, b]} \frac{1}{2}(|f^{(n)}(t) - f(t)|) = \|\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f)\|_{\infty} < \min\{\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}\tau\}.$$

We may assume that when $n \geq N$, then $|(f^{(n)} - f)(a)(g(a+) - g(a)) + (f^{(n)} - f)(a+)(g(b-) - g(a+)) + (f^{(n)} - f)(b)(g(b) - g(b-))| \leq \varepsilon$.

Hence for $n \geq N$, applying Corollary 5.2 to $\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b f^{(n)} dg - \int_a^b f dg \right| &= 2 \left| \int_a^b \frac{f^{(n)} - f}{2} dg \right| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\varphi^*}(\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f))}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\psi^*}(g)}{n} \right) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^v (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\varphi^*}(\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f))}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\psi^*}(g)}{n} \right) \\ &\quad + 12 \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\varphi^*}(\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f))}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{V_{\psi^*}(g)}{n} \right) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^v (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{A\bar{\pi}(2\|\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f)\|_{\infty})}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{B\bar{\gamma}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{n} \right) \\ &\quad + 12 \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{A\bar{\pi}(\varphi^{-1}(A))}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{B\bar{\gamma}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{n} \right) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^v (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{A\bar{\pi}(2\|\frac{1}{2}(f^{(n)} - f)\|_{\infty})}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{B\bar{\gamma}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{n} \right) + 13\varepsilon \\ &\leq 12 \sum_{n=1}^v (\varphi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{A\bar{\pi}(\tau)}{n} \right) (\psi^*)^{-1} \left(\frac{B\bar{\gamma}(\psi^{-1}(B))}{n} \right) + 13\varepsilon \\ &\leq 12\varepsilon + 13\varepsilon = 25\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f^{(n)} dg = \int_a^b f dg$. □

Theorem 7.6. *If $f \in \text{BV}_{\varphi}[a, b]$ and $\{g^{(n)}\}$ is two-norm convergent to g in $\text{BV}_{\varphi}[a, b]$ with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/m)\psi^{-1}(1/m) < \infty$, then $\int_a^b f dg$ exists and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f dg^{(n)} = \int_a^b f dg.$$

Proof. Since $g^{(n)}$ converge to g uniformly, there exists a positive integer N such that for every $n > N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S(f, g^{(n)}) - S(f, g)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon, \\ |(f^{(n)}(b) - f(b))g(b)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|(f^{(n)}(a) - f(a))g(a)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon.$$

By Theorem 7.5 there exists a positive integer $N > N_1$ such that for any $n > N$ we have

$$\left| \int_a^b g^{(n)} df - \int_a^b g df \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b f dg^{(n)} - \int_a^b f dg \right| &\leq \left| \int_a^b g^{(n)} df - \int_a^b g df \right| + |(f^{(n)}(b) - f(b))g(b)| \\ &\quad + |(f^{(n)}(a) - f(a))g(a)| + |S(f, g^{(n)}) - S(f, g)| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f dg^{(n)} = \int_a^b f dg$. □

Hence, we also have the following theorem.

Theorem 7.7. *If $\{f^{(n)}\}$ and $\{g^{(n)}\}$ are two-norm convergent to f and g in $BV_\varphi[a, b]$ and $BV_\psi[a, b]$, respectively, with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-1}(1/m)\psi^{-1}(1/m) < \infty$, then $\int_a^b f dg$ exists and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f^{(n)} dg^{(n)} = \int_a^b f dg.$$

References

- [1] *Boonpogkrong Varayu, Tuan Seng Chew:* On integrals with integrators in BV_p . *Real Anal. Exch.* 30 (2004/2005), 193–200. [Zbl 1060.26010](#)
- [2] *R. M. Dudley, R. Norvaiša:* Differentiability of Six Operators on Nonsmooth Functions and p -Variation. Springer, Berlin, 1999. [Zbl 0973.46033](#)
- [3] *I. J. L. Garces, Peng-Yee Lee, Dongsheng Zhao:* Moore-Smith limits and the Henstock integral. *Real Anal. Exchange* 24 (1998/1999), 447–455. [Zbl 0940.26006](#)
- [4] *P. Y. Lee, R. Výborný:* The Integral. An Easy Approach after Kurzweil and Henstock. Cambridge University Press, 2000. [Zbl 0941.26003](#)
- [5] *R. Lesniewicz, W. Orlicz:* On generalized variations (II). *Stud. Math.* 45 (1973), 71–109. [Zbl 0218.26007](#)
- [6] *J. Musielak, W. Orlicz:* On generalized variations (I). *Stud. Math.* 18 (1959), 11–41. [Zbl 0088.26901](#)

- [7] *E. R. Love, L. C. Young*: On fractional integration by parts. Proc. London Math. Soc., II. Ser., 44 (1938), 1–35. [Zbl 0019.01006](#)
- [8] *E. R. Love*: Integration by parts and other theorems for R^3S -integrals. Real Anal. Exch. 24 (1998/1999), 315–336. [Zbl 0945.26014](#)
- [9] *R. Norvaisa*: Quadratic Variation, p-Variation and Integration with Applications to Stock Price Modelling. Preprint, 2003.
- [10] *Š. Schwabik*: A note on integration by parts for abstract Perron-Stieltjes integrals. Math. Bohem. 126 (2001), 613–629. [Zbl 0980.26005](#)
- [11] *L. C. Young*: An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration. Acta Math. 67 (1936), 251–282. [Zbl 0016.10404](#)
- [12] *L. C. Young*: General inequalities for Stieltjes integrals and the convergence of Fourier series. Math. Ann. 115 (1938), 581–612. [Zbl JFM64.0198.03](#)

Author's address: Boonpogkrong Varayu, Tuan Seng Chew, Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 2, Science Drive 2, Singapore 117542, Republic of Singapore, e-mail: matcts@nus.edu.sg.