

Filip Guldan

The linear arboricity of 10-regular graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 3, 225--228

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/132179>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE LINEAR ARBORICITY OF 10-REGULAR GRAPHS

FILIP GULDAN

The concept of linear arboricity was introduced by Harary [8], [2] as one of the covering invariants of graphs.

A linear forest is a graph in which each component is a path. The linear arboricity $\Xi(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of linear forests whose union is G .

The value of linear arboricity so far has been determined only for a few special classes of graphs, e.g. for trees, complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs. (See [1] and [2].) The following conjecture expressed in [2] has a fundamental importance in the research on linear arboricity.

Conjecture. *The linear arboricity of an r -regular graph is $\left\{\frac{r+1}{2}\right\}$.*

The general proof of this conjecture does not seem to be simple and so we are meanwhile satisfied with partial results. The conjecture was proved for $r=3$ and $r=4$ by Akiyama, Exoo and Harary in [2] and [3], the cases of $r=5, 6$ were solved independently by Enomoto [4], Peroche [10] and Tomasta [12] (only for $r=6$) and the case of $r=8$ was proved by Enomoto and Peroche [5].

The aim of this paper is to prove the validity of the conjecture for the case of $r=10$.

Let us at first introduce some necessary notions and notations. In the paper by a graph we mean an undirected finite simple graph, by a spanning linear forest we mean a linear forest which is a factor with minimum degree one. Let us denote by $V(G)$ the set of vertices of a graph G and by $E(G)$ the set of edges of G . Further let us denote by $V_r(G)$ the set of vertices of degree r of G , let $N_G(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to a vertex v in G , let $\langle M \rangle$ denote the subgraph induced by a subset M of vertices, let (u, v) denote the undirected edge joining vertices u and v and let $\Delta(G)$ denote the maximum degree of G . Any terminology not defined in the paper can be found in [9].

The basic fact which implies our new results about the conjecture is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graph with the degree sequence $(6, 5, 5, \dots, 5)$. Then $\Xi(G) = 3$.*

As the complete proof of this result is too long for publication in a journal, we shall only outline the method of the proof. The detailed proof of Theorem 1 and of Lemmas 1—3 can be found in [6].

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the strong Theorem 2 proved by Enomoto [4] and on Lemmas 1—3.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G)=4$. Let $\Delta(\langle V_4(G) \rangle) \leq 1$. Then $\Xi(G)=2$.*

Lemma 1. *Let G be a graph with one vertex v of degree 6 and all other vertices of degree 5. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_6 \in V(G)$ be adjacent to v . Let there exist a spanning linear forest P in G such that*

- (i) $(v_1, v), (v_2, v) \in E(P)$, i.e. v is not an endvertex of P ,
- (ii) v_3, v_4, v_5 are not endvertices of P ,
- (iii) either v_6 is not an endvertex of P or no vertex $y \in (N_G(v_6) - \{v_6\})$ is an endvertex of P , where $(v_6, v_6) \in E(P)$.

Then $\Xi(G)=3$.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a graph with one vertex v of degree 6 and all other vertices of degree 5. Let $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6$ be adjacent to v . Let there exist a spanning linear forest P' in G such that*

- (i) $(v, v_1) \in E(P')$ and $(v, v_2), (v, v_3), \dots, (v, v_6) \notin E(P')$, i.e. v is an endvertex of P' ,
- (ii) v_2, v_3, \dots, v_6 are not endvertices of P' .

Then $\Xi(G)=3$.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a graph, let $V(G) = M_1 \cup M_2$, $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$. Let $N(M_1) = \{y \in M_2; \exists x \in M_1, (y, x) \in E(G)\}$, let $N(M_1) \neq \emptyset$. Let there exist a spanning linear forest P in $\langle M_2 \rangle$ such that $\deg_P(x) = 2$ for all $x \in N(M_1)$. Let there exist an integer $\delta > 1$ such that $\delta \leq \deg_G(u) \leq 2\delta$ for all $u \in M_2$. Then there exists a spanning linear forest P_1 in $\langle M_2 \rangle$ with the property that there exists a vertex $v_0 \in N(M_1)$ such that $\deg_{P_1}(v_0) = 1$ and for all $y \in N(M_1)$, $y \neq v_0$ we have $\deg_{P_1}(y) = 2$.*

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is the following: Let v be the vertex of degree 6 and let $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6$ be adjacent to v . Consider the graph $G_1 = G - (v, v_1)$. From [4] or [10] it follows that G_1 can be decomposed into three linear forests F'_1, F'_2, F'_3 . Then after adding the edge (v, v_1) back to G_1 we can either find a decomposition of G into three linear forests F_1, F_2, F_3 by modifying F'_1, F'_2, F'_3 or determine a linear forest P (resp. P') in G which fulfils the conditions of Lemma 1 (resp. Lemma 2). There are considered 4 main cases in this proof, according to the values of the numbers

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^6 \deg_{F_i-v}(v_j) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The main cases are then analysed and divided into more detailed subcases, the whole proof consists of verifying 19 subcases altogether.

Theorem 3. *Let r be an odd integer, $r \geq 5$. Let the linear arboricity of every r -regular graph be $\left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor$, i.e. let the Akiyama—Exoo—Harary conjecture hold for r . Then the linear arboricity of every $(r+5)$ -regular graph is $\left\lfloor \frac{(r+5)+1}{2} \right\rfloor$, i.e. the conjecture holds also for the case of $r+5$.*

Proof. Let G be an arbitrary $(r+5)$ -regular graph. The inequality $\Xi(G) \geq \frac{(r+5)+1}{2}$ is obvious. By Petersen [11] we can decompose G into a 10-regular factor H and an $(r-5)$ -regular factor $(G-H)$.

I. Let $|V(G)|$ be even. Consider a Eulerian trail in H . Colour the edges of this trail alternately with two colours. We obtain two 5-regular factors H_1 and H_2 . By [4] or [10] H_1 can be decomposed into three linear forests and $\Xi(G-H_1) = \left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ by assumption. Hence $\Xi(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{(r+5)+1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

II. Let $|V(G)|$ be odd. Once again consider a Eulerian trail in H . Colour the edges of this trail alternately with two colours. We obtain a decomposition of H into two factors H_1 and H_2 . The factor H_1 has the degree sequence $(6, 5, 5, \dots, 5)$ and H_2 has $(5, 5, \dots, 5, 4)$. By Theorem 2 H_1 can be decomposed into 3 linear forests and $\Xi(G-H_1) = \left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ follows from the assumption. Hence $\Xi(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{(r+5)+1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

As the conjecture has already been proved for the case of $r=5$, Theorem 3 implies the following important corollary.

Corollary. *The linear arboricity of every 10-regular graph is 6.*

A similar implication as in Theorem 3 from an odd r to $r+3$ can be easily proved by a generalization of Tomasta's method of the proof of conjecture for $r=6$. The implication from an odd r to $r+1$ follows easily from the fact that every $(r+1)$ -regular graph (for an odd r) contains a spanning linear forest (because every 2-factor contains a spanning linear forest) and so we can formulate the following theorem in the conclusion.

Theorem 4. *If the Akiyama—Exoo—Harary conjecture holds for some odd r , then it holds for $r+1$, $r+3$, $r+5$, too.*

REFERENCES

- [1] AKIYAMA J.: A status on the linear arboricity, Lecture Notes in Comp. Science 108, New York 1981, 38—44.
- [2] AKIYAMA J.—EXOO G.—HARARY F.: Covering and packing in graphs III. Cyclic and acyclic invariants, Math. Slovaca 30, 1980, 405—417.
- [3] AKIYAMA J.—EXOO G.—HARARY F.: Covering and packing in graphs IV. Linear arboricity, Networks 11, 1981, 69—72.
- [4] ENOMOTO H.: The linear arboricity of 5-regular graphs, Technical report, Department of Information Science, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, 1981.
- [5] ENOMOTO H.—PEROCHE B.: The linear arboricity of some regular graphs, J. Graph Theory 8, 1984, 309—324.
- [6] GULDAN F.: O dvoch extrémnych problémoch, kandidátska dizertačná práca (CSc. dissertation), SAV, Bratislava, 1983.
- [7] GULDAN F.: Some results on linear arboricity, J. Graph Theory, to appear.
- [8] HARARY F.: Covering and packing in graphs I., Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 175, 1970, 198—205.
- [9] HARARY F.: Graph Theory, Addison—Wesley, Reading, MA 1969.
- [10] PEROCHE B.: On partition of graphs into linear forests and dissections, Report de recherche, Centre national de la recherche scientifique.
- [11] PETERSEN J.: Die Theorie der regulären Graphs, Acta Math. 15, 1891, 193—220.
- [12] TOMASTA P.: Note on linear arboricity, Math. Slovaca 32, 1982, 239—242.

Received August 11, 1983

*Ústav aplikovanej kybernetiky
Hanulova 5a
844 16 Bratislava*

ЛИНЕЙНАЯ ДРЕВЕСНОСТЬ 10-ПРАВИЛЬНЫХ ГРАФОВ

Filip Guldán

Резюме

Линейная древесность $\Xi(G)$ графа G — это минимальное число линейных лесов, объединение которых равно G . В работе [2] была высказана гипотеза, что линейная древесность r -правильного графа равна

$$\left\{ \frac{r+1}{2} \right\}.$$

До сих пор была доказана для $r=2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8$. В этой статье показывается, что гипотеза правильна тоже для $r=10$.