

Milan Jasem

Pairs of partially ordered groups with the same convex subgroups

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 37 (1987), No. 2, 173--189

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129596>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

PAIRS OF PARTIALLY ORDERED GROUPS WITH THE SAME CONVEX SUBGROUPS

MILAN JASEM

Conrad [3] studied the system of all convex 1-subgroups of a lattice ordered group G . Jakubík and Kolibiar [7] investigated pairs of distributive lattices L_1 and L_2 with the same underlying set such that the system of all convex sublattices of L_1 coincides with the system of all convex sublattices of L_2 . They proved that L_1 and L_2 can differ only by duality of a direct factor.

The paper presented is a contribution to the investigation of an analogous question concerning partially ordered groups. In the paper there are studied pairs of isolated abelian partially ordered groups (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') with the same underlying set and the same group operation such that the system of all convex subgroups of (H, \leq) coincides with the system of all convex subgroups of (H, \leq') . It will be shown that instead of direct factors (as in the case examined by Jakubík and Kolibiar in [7]) we have now to deal with certain subdirect factors of (H, \leq) and (H, \leq') , respectively, which are either linearly ordered or trivially ordered. For the main results concerning partially ordered groups cf. 2.2, 2.3 and 2.20. A related question for abelian lattice ordered groups is dealt with in Theorem 3.1.

In Section 4 there are investigated mixed products with factors which are either linearly ordered or trivially ordered.

In Section 5 we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for certain particular cases.

1. Preliminaries

First we recall some notions and denotations which will be used in the paper. Throughout this paper let $\leq \sim$ denote for a partially ordered group (notation po-group) (G, \leq) the dual of \leq . The group operations in po-groups will be written additively.

(\mathbb{R}, \leq) , (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) and (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) will denote additive groups of all real numbers, rational numbers and integers with the natural order. The set of all positive integers will be denoted by N .

Let (G, \leq) be a po-group. A subgroup C of (G, \leq) is said to be convex if $a, c \in C, b \in G$ and $a \leq b \leq c$ imply $b \in C$.

We say that a po-group (G, \leq) is isolated if $a \in G$ and $na \geq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ imply $a \geq 0$.

A subgroup A of an abelian group G is called pure if the equation $nx = g$, where $g \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is solvable in A whenever it is solvable in the whole group.

Let Γ be a partially ordered set (notation po-set) and for each $i \in \Gamma$ let (H_i, \leq_i) be a nontrivial po-group. Let $V = \bigvee[\Gamma, H_i]$ be the following subset of the large direct sum of the H_i . An element $v = (\dots, v_i, \dots)$ belongs to V if and only if $S_v = \{i \in \Gamma; v_i \neq 0\}$ contains no infinite ascending sequence. This is equivalent to the maximum condition. V is a subgroup of the large direct sum of the H_i . If $v \in V, v_i \neq 0$ and $v_j = 0$ for all $j > i$, then v_i is called a maximal component of v . A nonzero element of V is positive if each maximal component v_i of v is positive with respect to the partial order on the group H_i . Then $\bigvee[\Gamma, H_i]$ is a po-group [4, Th. 2.1]. We shall denote this po-group by $\bigvee[\Gamma, (H_i, \leq_i)]$. The po-group $\bigvee[\Gamma, (H_i, \leq_i)]$ is called the mixed product of po-groups (H_i, \leq_i) . For $x, y \in \bigvee[\Gamma, (H_i, \leq_i)], x \neq y$ let $M_x = \{i \in \Gamma, x_i \neq 0 \text{ and } x_j = 0 \text{ for all } j > i\}$ and let $M_{xy} = \{i \in \Gamma, x_i \neq y_i \text{ and } x_j = y_j \text{ for all } j > i\}$.

Unless otherwise stated, in this section (G, \leq) will always denote an isolated abelian po-group and Γ will be the set of all pairs of convex pure subgroups (G^i, G_i) of (G, \leq) such that G^i covers G_i (i.e. $G_i \subset G^i$ and for any pure convex subgroup K of $G, G_i \subset K \subseteq G^i$ implies $K = G^i$). We shall frequently identify the pair (G^i, G_i) with i . For i and j in Γ define that $i \leq j$ if either $G^i = G^j$ and $G_i = G_j$, or $G^i \subseteq G_j$. Then Γ is a po-set [4, p. 148].

For $X \in G^i/G_i$ we define $X > G_i$ if and only if $X \neq G_i$ and nX contains an element $p > 0$ for some positive integer n . Then G^i/G_i is a po-group for each i in Γ [2, p. 22]. For $i \in \Gamma, G^i/G_i$ is order isomorphic (notation o -isomorphic) to a subgroup of (R, \leq) unless it is trivially ordered, and in this case it is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive group Q of all rational numbers [2, p. 23].

Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let Γ be as above. (G, \leq) is said to be factorially rational if each nontrivially ordered group $G^i/G_i, i \in \Gamma$, is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (Q, \leq) .

If $g \in G \setminus G_i, i \in \Gamma$, then i is said to be a value of g . (G, \leq) is finite valued if each $g \in G$ has only a finite number of values.

An isomorphism ϕ of G into $\bigvee[\Gamma, G^i/G_i]$ is said to be valuation preserving (notation v -isomorphism) provided that it satisfies

(v) $i \in \Gamma$ is a value of $g \in G$ if and only if $(g\phi)_i$ is a maximal component of $g\phi$, and in this case $(g\phi)_i = g + G_i$.

From [2, p. 23] it follows that each v -isomorphism ϕ of (G, \leq) into $\bigvee[\Gamma, (G^i/G_i, \leq_i)]$ is an o -isomorphism.

For the remainder of this section let (G, \leq) be a divisible isolated abelian

po-group. Then G is a rational vector space. We need the following result (cf. [4, p. 148], where we find the remark that this result goes back to Banaschewski [1]).

(T) There exists a mapping π of the set of all subspaces of G into itself such that for all subspaces A and B of G

- (i) $G = A \oplus \pi(A)$, and
- (ii) if $A \subseteq B$, then $\pi(A) \supseteq \pi(B)$.

In the paper of Conrad, Harvey and Holland [4, p. 148—149] there was investigated the mapping φ of G into $V[\Gamma, G^i/G_i]$ such that for $x \in G$ $x\varphi$ is defined as follows: for each $i \in \Gamma$ let $(x\varphi)_i = x_i + G_i$, where $x = x_i + c_i$, $x_i \in G^i$ and $c_i \in \pi(G^i)$. It was proved that φ is a v -isomorphism of (G, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (G^i/G_i, \leq_i)]$.

2. Partially ordered groups with the same convex subgroups

In this section there are studied pairs of isolated abelian po-groups (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') with the same underlying set and the same group operation such that the system of all convex (directed convex) subgroups of (H, \leq) coincides with the system of all convex (directed convex) subgroups of (H, \leq') . Such po-groups will be called groups with the same convex (directed convex) subgroups.

2.1. Lemma. *Let (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') be isolated abelian po-groups with the same convex subgroups. Assume that $a \in H$. Then a is comparable with 0 in (H, \leq) if and only if a is comparable with 0 in (H, \leq') .*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a \geq 0$. Let A be the subgroup of H generated by the element $2a$ and let $(C(A), \leq)$ be the convex subgroup of (H, \leq) generated by A . Since (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') have the same convex subgroups, $(C(A), \leq')$ is a convex subgroup generated by A in (H, \leq') . Let P' be the positive cone of (H, \leq') . Then from [5, Chap. II, p. 32] we have $C(A) = (A + P') \cap (A + (-P'))$. Since $a \in C(A)$, we obtain $a = m(2a) + u$, where $u \leq' 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this we get $a = m(2a) + u \leq' m(2a)$. Thus $0 \leq' (2m - 1)a$. If $(2m - 1) \in \mathbb{N}$, then $0 \leq' a$, because (H, \leq') is isolated. If $(2m - 1)$ is a negative integer, then $(1 - 2m) \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (H, \leq') is isolated, from the relation $0 \leq' (1 - 2m)(-a)$ we get $a \leq' 0$. Thus a is comparable with 0 in (H, \leq') . The sufficiency of the conditions can be verified analogously.

2.2. Proposition. *Let (G, \leq) and (G, \leq') be abelian po-groups with the same group operation. Then (G, \leq) and (G, \leq') have the same convex subgroups if and only if*

- (1) $x, y \in G$ and $0 < y < x$ imply $mx <' y <' nx$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and
- (2) $z, t \in G$ and $0 <' z <' t$ imply $kt < z < lt$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The conditions are obviously sufficient, we now show their neces-

ity. Let (G, \leq) , (G, \leq') have the same convex subgroups and let $0 < y < x$ for some $x, y \in G$. Denote by A the subgroup of G generated by the element x . Let $(C(A), \leq)$ be the convex subgroup of (G, \leq) generated by A . Since (G, \leq) , (G, \leq') have the same convex subgroups, $(C(A), \leq')$ is a convex subgroup generated by A in (G, \leq') . Let P' be the positive cone (G, \leq') . Then from [5, Chap. II, p. 32] we have $C(A) = (A + P') \cap (A + (-P'))$. Since $y \in C(A)$, we obtain $y = mx + u$, $y = nx + v$, where $u \leq' 0$, $0 \leq' v$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this we get $nx \leq' y \leq' mx$. Assertion (2) can be verified analogously.

2.3. Theorem. *Let (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') be nontrivial isolated divisible abelian groups with the same convex subgroups. Then there exists a po-set Γ and for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exist ordered groups (C_i, \leq_i) and (C_i, \leq'_i) such that*

- (i) (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) have the same group operation and $\text{card } C_i > 1$,
- (ii) the following conditions (1)–(4) are fulfilled:
 - (1) there exists a mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, C_i]$ such that φ is a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq_i)]$ and also a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq') into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq'_i)]$,
 - (2) for each $i \in \Gamma$ we have either that
 - (a) both (C_i, \leq_i) and (C_i, \leq'_i) are trivially ordered and each of them is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}
 - or (b) both (C_i, \leq_i) and (C_i, \leq'_i) are linearly ordered and each of them is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) ,
 - (3) there exists no element $0 < h \in H$ such that $(h\varphi)_i < 0$, $0 < (h\varphi)_i$ for some maximal components $(h\varphi)_i, (h\varphi)_i$ of $h\varphi$,
 - (4) there exists no element $0 < g \in H$ such that $(g\varphi)_k < 0$, $0 < (g\varphi)_i$ for some maximal components $(g\varphi)_k, (g\varphi)_i$ of $g\varphi$.

Proof. If (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') have the same convex subgroups, then they also have the same pure convex subgroups. Let Γ be the set of all pairs of pure convex subgroups (H^i, H_i) of (H, \leq) and (H, \leq') such that H^i covers H_i . For each $i \in \Gamma$, $(H^i/H_i, \leq_i)$ is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) unless it is trivially ordered, and in this case it is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} . The same is valid for $(H^i/H_i, \leq'_i)$, $i \in \Gamma$ [2, p. 23].

If $i \in \Gamma$ and $(H^i/H_i, \leq_i)$ is linearly ordered, then there exists $h > 0$, $h \in nX$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $X \in H^i/H_i$, $X \neq H_i$. In view of 2.1 we have $0 < h$ or $h < 0$. Thus $H_i <_i X$ or $X <_i H_i$. Hence $(H^i/H_i, \leq_i)$ is linearly ordered. Thus (2) is valid. Γ can be partially ordered as shown in Section 1. Then the mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, H^i/H_i]$ defined as in Section 1 is a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (H^i/H_i, \leq_i)]$ and also a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq') into $V[\Gamma, (H^i/H_i, \leq'_i)]$, because $(x\varphi)_i$ is determined by group properties of H^i for each $i \in \Gamma$.

(3) and (4) are consequences of 2.1.

It is easy to verify (cf. e.g. [6, Section 1.3]) that if (G, \leq) is an isolated abelian po-group, then there exists an isolated divisible abelian po-group $(Z(G), \leq)$

such that (G, \leq) is a po-subgroup of $(Z(G), \leq)$ and if $z \in Z(G)$, then there exist $x \in G$ and $m \in N$ such that $mz = x$.

In the present paper $Z(G)$ has the same meaning as in [6, Section 1.3], i.e., $Z(G)$ is the set of all expressions of the form $\frac{x}{n}$, where $x \in G$, $n \in N$, subject to the rules of:

- a) equality: $\frac{x}{n} = \frac{y}{k}$ if and only if $kx = ny$,
- b) addition: $\frac{x}{n} + \frac{y}{k} = \frac{kx + ny}{nk}$,
- c) partial order: for $z \in Z(G)$ we have $z > 0$ if and only if there exists $x \in G$, $x > 0$ such that $z = \frac{x}{n}$ for some $n \in N$.

If C is a subgroup of G , then we can assume that $Z(C)$ is a subgroup of $Z(G)$.

2.4. Lemma. *Let B be a subgroup of a po-group (A, \leq) and let C be a convex subgroup of (A, \leq) . Then $C \cap B$ is a convex subgroup of (B, \leq) .*

Proof. The assertion is obvious.

2.5. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let C be a convex subgroup of (G, \leq) . Then $Z(C)$ is a convex subgroup of $(Z(G), \leq)$.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq y \leq x$ for some $x \in Z(C)$, $y \in Z(G)$. Then there exist $m, n \in N$ such that $my \in G$, $nx \in C$. Thus $mny \in G$, $mnx \in C$. From the relation $0 \leq mny \leq mnx$ and the convexity of C in (G, \leq) we obtain $mny \in C$. Hence $y \in Z(C)$.

2.6. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group. Then for each $g \in G$ the equation $g = nx$, where $n \in N$, has at most one solution.*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2, g \in G$ and let $nx_1 = nx_2 = g$ for some $n \in N$. Then $n(x_1 - x_2) = 0$. Since G is isolated, we have $x_1 - x_2 \geq 0$. If $x_1 \neq x_2$, we obtain $0 < n(x_1 - x_2)$, a contradiction. Thus $x_1 = x_2$.

As a consequence of 2.6 we obtain

2.7. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated divisible abelian pogroup. Then for each $g \in G$ the equation $g = nx$, where $n \in N$, has a unique solution.*

2.8. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let C be a pure convex subgroup of (G, \leq) . Then $Z(C)$ is a pure convex subgroup of $Z(G)$ and $Z(C) \cap G = C$.*

Proof. Let $a \in Z(C)$, $b \in Z(G)$ and $a = nb$ for some $n \in N$. Then there exist $k, l \in N$ such that $ka \in C$, $lb \in G$. Since klb is a solution of the equation $kla = nx$,

from 2.6 and the purity of C in G we get $klb \in C$. Thus $b \in Z(C)$. The convexity of $Z(C)$ follows from 2.5. Hence $Z(C)$ is a pure convex subgroup of $Z(G)$.

Clearly $C \subseteq Z(C) \cap G$. Let $a \in Z(C) \cap G$. Then a is a solution of the equation $nx = b$ for some $b \in C$, $n \in N$. From 2.6 and the purity of C in G we get $a \in C$.

Remark. If we do not assume that C is pure in 2.8, then the relation $Z(C) \cap G = C$ need not be valid.

2.9. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let C be a pure convex subgroup of $(Z(G), \leq)$. Then $C \cap G$ is a pure convex subgroup of (G, \leq) and $Z(C \cap G) = C$.*

Proof. Let $a \in C \cap G$, $b \in G$ and $a = nb$ for some $n \in N$. Since $a, b \in Z(G)$, from 2.7 and the purity of C in $Z(G)$ we get $b \in C$. Thus $b \in C \cap G$. The convexity of $C \cap G$ follows from 2.4.

Let $x \in C$. Then $nx \in G \cap C$ for some $n \in N$. Thus $x \in Z(G \cap C)$. Hence $C \subseteq Z(G \cap C)$. Let $y \in Z(G \cap C)$. Then $my \in G \cap C$ for some $m \in N$. Since C is pure in $Z(G)$, from 2.7 it follows that $y \in C$. Thus $Z(G \cap C) \subseteq C$.

2.10. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and (A^i, A_i) be a pair of convex pure subgroups of (G, \leq) such that A^i covers A_i . Then $(Z(A^i), Z(A_i))$ is a pair of convex pure subgroups of $(Z(G), \leq)$ such that $Z(A^i)$ covers $Z(A_i)$.*

Proof. In view of 2.8 it suffices to verify that $Z(A^i)$ covers $Z(A_i)$. Let $Z(A_i) \subseteq B \subseteq Z(A^i)$ for some pure convex subgroup B of $Z(G)$. Then $Z(A_i) \cap G \subseteq B \cap G \subseteq Z(A^i) \cap G$. From 2.8 we also have $Z(A_i) \cap G = A_i$, $Z(A^i) \cap G = A^i$. By 2.9 $G \cap B$ is a pure convex subgroup of G and $Z(G \cap B) = B$. Since A^i covers A_i , we get $G \cap B = A_i$ or $G \cap B = A^i$. Thus $Z(A_i) = B$ or $Z(A^i) = B$. From 2.8 we get $Z(A^i) \neq Z(A_i)$. Hence $Z(A^i)$ covers $Z(A_i)$.

2.11. Corollary. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group, $g \in G$ and (A^i, A_i) be a value of g in (G, \leq) . Then $(Z(A^i), Z(A_i))$ is a value of g in $(Z(G), \leq)$.*

2.12. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let (B^i, B_i) be a pair of convex pure subgroups of $(Z(G), \leq)$ such that B^i covers B_i . Then $(G \cap B^i, G \cap B_i)$ is a pair of convex pure subgroups of (G, \leq) such that $G \cap B^i$ covers $G \cap B_i$.*

Proof. In view of 2.9 it suffices to verify that $G \cap B^i$ covers $G \cap B_i$. Let $G \cap B_i \subseteq A \subseteq G \cap B^i$ for some pure convex subgroup A of G . Then $Z(G \cap B_i) \subseteq Z(A) \subseteq Z(G \cap B^i)$. From 2.9 we also have $Z(G \cap B_i) = B_i$, $Z(G \cap B^i) = B^i$. By 2.8 $Z(A)$ is a pure convex subgroup of $Z(G)$ and $Z(A) \cap G = A$. Since B^i covers B_i , we obtain $Z(A) = B^i$ or $Z(A) = B_i$. Thus $A = B^i \cap G$ or $A = B_i \cap G$. From 2.9 we get $G \cap B^i \neq G \cap B_i$. Hence $G \cap B^i$ covers $G \cap B_i$.

2.13. Corollary. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group, $g \in Z(G)$ and let*

(B^i, B_i) be a value of g in $(Z(G), \leq)$. Then there exists $n \in N$ such that $(G \cap B^i, G \cap B_i)$ is a value of ng in (G, \leq) .

Proof. In view of 2.12 it suffices to show that $ng \in G \cap B^i \setminus G \cap B_i$.

If $g \in Z(G)$, $g \in B^i$, then there exists $n \in N$ such that $ng \in G \cap B^i$.

If $ng \in G \cap B_i$, then $g \in Z(G \cap B_i)$. From 2.9 we get $Z(G \cap B_i) = B_i$. Hence $g \in B_i$, a contradiction. Thus $ng \notin G \cap B_i$.

From 2.8 and 2.9 we obtain the following corollary

2.14. Corollary. *If (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') are isolated abelian po-groups with the same pure convex subgroups, then $(Z(H), \leq)$, $(Z(H), \leq')$ have also the same pure convex subgroups and the mapping α of the set P_1 of all pure convex subgroups of (H, \leq) into the set P_2 of all pure convex subgroups of $(Z(H), \leq)$ such that $A\alpha = Z(A)$ for each $A \in P_1$ is one-to-one and onto.*

2.15. Lemma. *Let (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') be isolated abelian po-groups with the same convex subgroups. Then $(Z(H), \leq)$, $(Z(H), \leq')$ also have the same convex subgroups.*

Proof. Let C be a convex subgroup of $(Z(H), \leq)$. Let $0 \leq' y \leq' x$ for some $x \in C$, $y \in Z(H)$. Then there exist $m, n \in N$ such that $mx, ny \in H$. Thus $mny \in C \cap H$. In view of 2.4 $C \cap H$ is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq) . Thus $C \cap H$ is also a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') . Then from $0 \leq' mny \leq' mnx$ we get $mny \in C \cap H$. Hence $mny \in C$. Since $0 \leq' mny$, from 2.1 it follows that $0 \leq mny$ or $mny \leq 0$. Since $(Z(H), \leq)$ is isolated, we infer that $0 \leq y \leq mny$ or $0 \leq -y \leq mn(-y)$. From this and the convexity of C in $(Z(H), \leq)$ we obtain $y \in C$. Hence C is a convex subgroup of $(Z(H), \leq')$.

2.16. Lemma. *Let (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') be isolated abelian po-groups with the same convex subgroups. Then (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') have the same directed convex subgroups.*

Proof. Let C be a directed convex subgroup of (H, \leq) . Then C is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') . Let $y \in C$. Then there exists an element $x \in C$ such that $0 \leq x$, $y \leq x$. In view of 2.1 we get $x \leq' 0$ or $0 \leq' x$, $y \leq' x$ or $x \leq' y$.

- 1) If $0 \leq' x$ and $x \leq' y$ or $x \leq' 0$ and $y \leq' x$, then $0, y$ are comparable.
- 2) If $x \leq' 0$ and $x \leq' y$, then $t = y - x$ is an element of C and $0 \leq' t$, $y \leq' t$.
- 3) If $0 \leq' x$ and $y \leq' x$, then x is an upper bound of $0, y$ in (H, \leq') . Thus C is a directed convex subgroup of (H, \leq') .

The following example shows that if two isolated abelian po-groups have the same directed convex subgroups, then they need not have the same convex subgroups and if some element is comparable with 0 in one group, then it need not be comparable with 0 in other group.

Example. Let (G, \leq) be the direct product $(R, \leq) \oplus (R, \leq)$ and let (G, \leq') be the direct product $(R, \leq) \oplus (R, \leq \sim)$. Then (G, \leq) , (G, \leq') have the same directed convex subgroups (cf. Th. 4.3). The $H = \{(x, -x); x \in R\}$ is a convex

subgroup of (G, \leq) , but H is not a convex subgroup of (G, \leq') . The element $a = (1, 1) \in G$ is comparable with 0 in (G, \leq) , but it is not comparable with 0 in (G, \leq') .

2.17. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let C be a directed convex subgroup of $(Z(G), \leq)$. Then $C \cap G$ is a directed convex subgroup of (G, \leq) .*

Proof. From 2.4 it follows that $C \cap G$ is a convex subgroup of (G, \leq) . Let $x \in C \cap G$. Since C is a directed subgroup, there exists $y \in C$ such that $0 \leq y$, $x \leq y$. Then there exists $n \in N$ such that $ny \in G$. Thus we get $0 \leq ny$, $x \leq ny$, $ny \in C \cap G$. Hence $C \cap G$ is a directed convex subgroup of G .

2.18. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated abelian po-group and let C be a directed convex subgroup of (G, \leq) . Then $Z(C)$ is a directed convex subgroup of $(Z(G), \leq)$.*

Proof. This follows from 2.5.

2.19. Proposition. Let (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') be isolated abelian po-groups with the same directed convex subgroups. Then $(Z(H), \leq)$, $(Z(H), \leq')$ also have the same directed convex subgroups.

Proof. Let C be a directed convex subgroup of $(Z(H), \leq)$. Let $0 \leq' y \leq' x$ for some $x \in C$, $y \in Z(H)$. Then there exist $m, n \in N$ such that $mx \in H$, $ny \in H$. Thus $mnx \in C \cap H$, $mny \in H$. From 2.17 it follows that $C \cap H$ is a directed convex subgroup of (H, \leq) . Since (H, \leq) and (H, \leq') have the same directed convex subgroups, $C \cap H$ is also a directed convex subgroup of (H, \leq') . Then from $0 \leq' mny \leq' mnx$ we get $mny \in C \cap H$. Since C is a directed subgroup of $(Z(H), \leq)$, there exist elements $u, v \in C$ such that $0 \leq v$, $mny \leq v$, $u \leq 0$, $u \leq mny$. Then we obtain $mny \leq mv$, $mnu \leq mny$. Since $(Z(H), \leq)$ is an isolated group, we obtain $u \leq v \leq v$. From the convexity of C in $(Z(H), \leq)$ it follows that $y \in C$. Thus C is a convex subgroup of $(Z(H), \leq')$.

Let $z \in C$. Then there exists $k \in N$ such that $kz \in C \cap H$. Since $C \cap H$ is a directed convex subgroup of (H, \leq') , there exists $t \in C$ such that $0 \leq' t$, $kz \leq' t$. Then $kz \leq' kt$. Since $(Z(H), \leq')$ is an isolated group, we obtain $z \leq' t$. Hence C is a directed subgroup of $(Z(H), \leq')$.

From 2.11, 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15 we obtain

2.20. Corollary: *The hypothesis that (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') are divisible can be omitted in 2.3.*

3. Lattice ordered groups with the same convex l-subgroups

In this section there are studied pairs of abelian lattice ordered groups (notation l-groups) (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') with the same underlying set and the same group operation such that the system of all convex l-subgroups of (H, \leq)

coincides with the system of all convex l-subgroups of (H, \leq') . Such l-subgroups will be called l-groups with the same convex l-subgroups.

A convex l-subgroup A of an abelian l-group (G, \leq) is said to be regular if it is maximal with respect to not containing some element of G .

A po-set M is called a root system if no pair of incomparable elements of M have a common lower bound.

Let Γ_1 be the set of all pairs of convex l-subgroups (H^i, H_i) of (H, \leq) and (H, \leq') such that H^i covers H_i . If (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') have the same convex l-subgroups, then they also have the same regular l-subgroups. Let $\Gamma = \{i \in \Gamma_1, H_i \text{ is regular}\}$. For i and j in Γ define that $i \leq j$ if either $H^i = H^j$ and $H_i = H_j$ or $H^i \subseteq H_j$. Then Γ is a root system and $V[\Gamma, (H^i/H_i, \leq_i)]$, $V[\Gamma, (H^i/H_i, \leq'_i)]$ are l-groups [4, Th. 2.2, Lemma 4.2].

For each $i \in \Gamma$ $(H^i/H_i, \leq_i)$, $(H^i/H_i, \leq'_i)$ are nontrivial linearly ordered groups each of which is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) [4, p. 143].

In view of (4, Th. 4.2] the mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, H^i/H_i]$ defined similarly as in Section 1 (i.e. for $x \in H$ $x\varphi$ is defined as follows: for each $i \in \Gamma$ let $(x\varphi)_i = x_i + H_i$, where $x = x_i + c_i$, $x_i \in H^i$ and $c_i \in \pi(H^i)$) is an l -isomorphism of (H, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (H^i/H_i, \leq_i)]$ and also an l -isomorphism of (H, \leq') into $V[\Gamma, (H^i/H_i, \leq'_i)]$.

Thus we have

3.1. Theorem. *Let (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') be nontrivial divisible abelian l-groups with the same convex l-subgroups. Then there exists a root system Γ and for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exist nontrivial linearly ordered groups (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) such that*

- (1) there exists a mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, C_i]$ such that φ is an l -isomorphism of (H, \leq) into the l-group $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq_i)]$ and also an l -isomorphism of (H, \leq') into the l-group $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq'_i)]$,
- (2) for all $i \in \Gamma$ each of the groups (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) .

Let (G, \leq) be an abelian l-group. Then $(Z(G), \leq)$ is a divisible abelian l-group and (G, \leq) is an l-subgroup of $(Z(G), \leq)$ [6, 1.4].

3.2. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) , (G, \leq') be abelian l-groups with the same convex l-subgroups. Then $(Z(G), \leq)$, $(Z(G), \leq')$ also have the same convex l-subgroups.*

Proof. This is a consequence of 2.19.

3.3. Corollary. The hypothesis that (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') are divisible can be omitted in 3.1.

4. A Hahn-type po-group

Let Γ be a po-set and for each $i \in \Gamma$ let (H_i, \leq_i) be a nonzero linearly or trivially ordered group.

When no misunderstanding is likely to arise we shall omit index i in the notation of the partial order on H_i . We shall denote the neutral elements of all po-groups by 0, because in all cases it will be clear which po-group is considered.

Let $I \subseteq \Gamma$. For each $i \in \Gamma$ let $\leq'_i = \leq_i$ if $i \in \Gamma \setminus I$ and let $\leq'_i = \leq_i$ if $i \in I$.

Let $V[\Gamma, (H_i, \leq_i)]$ ($V[\Gamma, (H_i, \leq'_i)]$) be the mixed product of po-groups (H_i, \leq_i) ((H_i, \leq'_i)), $i \in \Gamma$. We shall also denote $V(\Gamma, (H_i, \leq_i))$ and $V[\Gamma, (H_i, \leq'_i)]$ by (V, \leq) or (V, \leq') , respectively.

If $x, y \in V$, $x \neq y$, then $y < x$ ($y <' x$) if and only if $y_i < x_i$ ($y_i <' x_i$) for all $i \in M_{xy}$.

Throughout this section for $a \in V$ let \bar{a} denote the element of V whose components are defined as follows: $\bar{a}_i = 2a_i$ if either $i \in M_a$, $i \in I$, $a_i < 0$ or $i \in M_a$, $i \notin I$, $a_i > 0$ and all other components are zero. From the definition of \bar{a} we infer that $0 \leq' \bar{a}$, for all $i \in \Gamma$. Thus $0 \leq' \bar{a}$.

4.1. Lemma. *Let C be a directed convex subgroup of (V, \leq) and let $x \in C$. Then $\bar{x} \in C$.*

Proof. Since C is a directed subgroup of (V, \leq) there exist elements $a, b \in C$ such that $0 \leq a$, $x \leq a$, $3x \leq a$, $b \leq 0$, $b \leq x$, $b \leq 3x$. We shall show that $\bar{x} \leq a$.

Let $a \neq \bar{x}$, $i \in M_{a\bar{x}}$. Then $a_i \neq \bar{x}_i$ and $a_j = \bar{x}_j$ for all $j > i$.

1) Let $i \notin M_x$. Then we have $\bar{x}_i = 0$, $a_i \neq 0$.

If $a_i \neq 0$ for some $j > i$, then there exists $k \in M_a$, $k \geq j$. From $0 \leq a$ we get $0 < a_k$. Thus $\bar{x}_k = a_k > 0$. Since $\bar{x}_k > 0$ only in the case when $k \in M_x$, $x_k > 0$, $k \notin I$, whereby we get $\bar{x}_k = 2x_k = a_k > 0$. Thus $k \in M_{(3x)a}$. Since $a \geq 3x$, we obtain $a_k > 3x_k$, which contradicts the relation $2x_k = a_k > 0$.

Therefore $a_j = 0$, $\bar{x}_j = 0$ for all $j > i$. Then $i \in M_a$. Since $0 \leq a$, we have $a_i > 0 = \bar{x}_i$.

2) Let $i \in M_x$. Then $\bar{x}_i = x_i = a_i = 0$ for all $j > i$.

a) Assume that $x_i > 0$, $i \notin I$. If $a_i = 3x_i$, then we obtain $a_i = 3x_i > 2x_i = \bar{x}_i$. If $a_i \neq 3x_i$, then from the relations $a \geq 3x$, $i \in M_{(3x)a}$ we get $a_i > 3x_i > 2x_i = \bar{x}_i$.

b) If $x_i < 0$, $i \in I$, then $\bar{x}_i = 2x_i < 0$. Since $a_i \geq 0$, we get $a_i \geq 0 > 2x_i = \bar{x}_i$.

c) In other cases $\bar{x}_i = 0$. Since $a_i \neq \bar{x}_i = 0$, from the relation $a \geq 0$ we obtain $a_i > 0 = \bar{x}_i$.

Thus $\bar{x}_i < a_i$ for all $i \in M_{a\bar{x}}$. Hence $\bar{x} \leq a$. Analogously we can obtain $b \leq \bar{x}$. From the convexity of C in (V, \leq) we have $\bar{x} \in C$.

4.2. Lemma. *Let C be a directed convex subgroup of (V, \leq) and let $x \in C$. Then there exists $y \in C$ such that $x \leq' y$, $0 \leq' y$.*

Proof. From 4.1 we have $\bar{x} \in C$. Since C is a directed subgroup of (V, \leq) , there exists $z \in C$ such that $0 \leq z$, $x \leq z$. Then $\bar{z}_j + (-z)_j \neq 0$ for all $j \in M_z$ and $\bar{z}_i + (-z)_i \geq' 0$ for all $i \in \Gamma$. Let $y = \bar{x} + \bar{z} + (-z)$. Since $\bar{z}, (-z) \in C$, then we get $y \in C$ and the relation $y_j \geq' x_j \geq' 0$ is valid for all $j \in \Gamma$.

Now we shall prove that $x \leq' y$.

Let $x \neq y$ and $i \in M_{x'}$. Then $x_i \neq y_i$ and $x_j = y_j$ for all $j > i$.

1) Let $i \notin M_{x'}$. By way of contradiction we shall prove that $x_i = 0$. Suppose $x_i \neq 0$. Then there exists $j > i, j \in M_{x'}$.

a) Let $x_j > 0, j \in I$.

If $z_j = 0$, then $\bar{z}_j = (\overline{-z})_j = 0$. Since $\bar{x}_j = 0$, we get $x_j > 0 = y_j$, which contradicts the assumption that $x_j = y_j$.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \in M_{z'}$, then $z_j > 0, \bar{z}_j = 0, (\overline{-z})_j = -2z_j$. Since $\bar{x}_j = 0$, we obtain $y_j = -2z_j < 0 < x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \notin M_{z'}$, then there exists $k > j, k \in M_{z'}$. Then we get $z_k > 0, x_k = 0, \bar{z}_k + (\overline{-z})_k \neq 0$. From this we obtain $y_k \neq 0 = x_k$, a contradiction.

b) Let $x_j < 0, j \in I$.

If $z_j = 0$, then $\bar{z}_j = (\overline{-z})_j = 0$. Since $\bar{x}_j = 2x_j < x_j < 0$, we obtain $y_j = 2x_j < x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \in M_{z'}$, then $z_j > 0, \bar{z}_j = 0, (\overline{-z})_j = -2z_j$. From the relations $\bar{x}_j = 2x_j < x_j < 0, \bar{z}_j + (\overline{-z})_j = -2z_j < 0$ we get $y_j = 2x_j - 2z_j < x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \notin M_{z'}$, then there exists $k > j, k \in M_{z'}$. Thus $z_k > 0$. Since $x_k = 0, \bar{x}_k = 0, \bar{z}_k + (\overline{-z})_k \neq 0$, we obtain $y_k \neq 0 = x_k$, a contradiction.

c) Let $x_j > 0$ and $j \notin I$. Then $\bar{x}_j = 2x_j > x_j > 0$.

If $z_j = 0$, then $\bar{z}_j = (\overline{-z})_j = 0$. From this we get $y_j = 2x_j > x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \in M_{z'}$, then $z_j > 0$. Thus $\bar{z}_j = 2z_j, (\overline{-z})_j = 0$. Then we get $y_j = 2x_j + 2z_j > x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \notin M_{z'}$, then there exists $k > j, k \in M_{z'}$. Thus $z_k > 0$. From the relations $x_k = 0, \bar{x}_k = 0, \bar{z}_k + (\overline{-z})_k \neq 0$ we obtain $y_k \neq 0 = x_k$, a contradiction.

d) Let $x_j < 0, j \notin I$. Then $\bar{x}_j = 0$.

If $z_j = 0$, then $\bar{z}_j = 0, (\overline{-z})_j = 0$. From this we obtain $y_j = 0 > x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \in M_{z'}$, then $z_j > 0$. Hence $\bar{z}_j = 2z_j > 0, (\overline{-z})_j = 0$. From this we get $y_j = 2z_j > 0 > x_j$, a contradiction.

If $z_j \neq 0$ and $j \notin M_{z'}$, then there exists $k > j, k \in M_{z'}$. Then $z_k > 0$. From the relations $x_k = 0, \bar{x}_k = 0, \bar{z}_k + (\overline{-z})_k \neq 0$ we get $y_k \neq 0 = x_k$, a contradiction.

e) Let x_j be incomparable with 0 in (H_j, \leq_j) . Then (H_j, \leq_j) is trivially ordered. Suppose that $z_k = 0$ for all $k > j$. If $z_j \neq x_j$, then $j \in M_{x'}$, which contradicts the assumption that $z \geq x$. If $z_j = x_j \neq 0$, then $j \in M_{z'}$. Thus $z_j, 0$ are incomparable in (H_j, \leq_j) , which contradicts the assumption that $z \geq 0$. Therefore there exists $k > j, k \in M_{z'}$. Thus $z_k > 0$. Then we obtain $x_k = 0, \bar{x}_k = 0, \bar{z}_k + (\overline{-z})_k \neq 0$. Thus $y_k \neq 0 = x_k$, a contradiction.

Therefore $x_i = 0$. Then from the relations $y_i \neq 0, 0 \leq' y_i$ we infer $x_i = 0 < y_i$.

2) Suppose that $i \in M_{x'}$.

a) If $x_i < 0, i \in I$, then $\bar{x}_i = 2x_i, x_i > y_i$. From this we have $\bar{x}_i = 2x_i > y_i$.

- b) If $x_i < 0$, $i \notin I$, then $\bar{x}_i = 0$, $x_i < '0$. Thus $\bar{x}_i > 'x_i$.
c) If $x_i > 0$, $i \in I$, then $\bar{x}_i = 0$, $x_i < '0$. Thus $\bar{x}_i > 'x_i$.
d) If $x_i > 0$, $i \notin I$, then $\bar{x}_i = 2x_i$, $x_i > '0$. Hence $\bar{x}_i = 2x_i > 'x_i$.

Since $y_i \geq ' \bar{x}_i$ for all $i \in \Gamma$, we obtain $y_i > 'x_i$ in all the cases above.

e) Suppose that x_i is incomparable with 0 in (H_i, \leq) . Then (H_i, \leq) is trivially ordered. Since $x_i \neq 0$, $x \leq z$, $0 \leq z$, then there exists $j > i$, $j \in M_z$. Thus $z_j > 0$, $x_j = 0$, $\bar{z}_j + (\overline{-z})_j \neq 0$. From this we obtain $y_j \neq 0 = x_j$, a contradiction.

Hence $y_i > 'x_i$ for all $i \in M_{x_i}$. Therefore $y > 'x$. From the definition of the element y we have $y \geq '0$.

4.3. Theorem. (V, \leq) , (V, \leq') have the same directed convex subgroups.

Proof. Let C be directed convex subgroup of (V, \leq) . From 4.2 we obtain that C is a directed subgroup of (V, \leq') . Thus it suffices to verify that C is a convex subgroup of (V, \leq') .

Let $0 < 'y < 'x$ for some $y \in V$, $x \in C$. Since (C, \leq) is a directed subgroup of (V, \leq) there exist elements $u, v \in C$ such that $2x \leq v$, $-2x \leq v$, $0 \leq v$, $x \leq v$, $u \leq -2x$, $u \leq 2x$, $u \leq 0$, $u \leq x$.

We shall prove that $u \leq y \leq v$.

Let $y \neq v$ and $i \in M_{y_i}$. Then $v_i \neq y_i$ and $v_j = y_j$ for all $j > i$. By contradiction we shall show that $v_j = y_j = x_j = 0$ for all $j > i$.

Suppose that $v_i \neq 0$ for some $j > i$. Then there exists $k \geq j$, $k \in M_l$. Thus $k \in M_1$.

If $x_l \neq 0$ for some $l > k$, then there exists $m \in M_x$, $m \geq l$. Thus $x_m > '0$. Hence $m \in M_{(2x)_m}$, $m \in M_{(-2x)_m}$. From $v \geq 2x$, $v \geq -2x$ we obtain $0 = v_m > 2x_m$, $0 = v_m > -2x_m$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $x_l = 0$ for all $l > k$. Since $v_k \neq 0$, we have $v_k = y_k > '0$. If $x_k = 0$, then $k \in M_{x_i}$. Thus $x_k < 'y_k$, a contradiction. If $x_k \neq 0$, then from $x > '0$ it follows that $x_k > '0$. Since $x_k \geq 'y_k$, we get $x_k \geq 'y_k = v_k > '0$. Since $k \in M_l$, $v \geq 0$, the relation $v_k \geq 0$ is valid. Thus $k \in \Gamma \setminus I$, hence $0 < v_k = y_k \leq x_k < 2x_k$. From the relations $k \in M_{(2x)_k}$, $v \geq 2x$ we have $v_k > 2x_k$, a contradiction.

Thus $v_i = 0$ and also $y_j = 0$ for all $j > i$, $j \in \Gamma$. Suppose that $x_i \neq 0$ for some $j > i$. Then there exists $k \geq j$, $k \in M_x$. Thus $x_k > '0$. From the relations $k \in M_{(2x)_k}$, $k \in M_{(-2x)_k}$, $v \geq 2x$, $v \geq -2x$ we obtain $0 = v_k > 2x_k$, $0 = v_k > -2x_k$, a contradiction. Hence $x_j = 0$ for all $j > i$.

If y_i, v_i are incomparable in (H_i, \leq) , then H_i is trivially ordered. From the relation $0 < 'y$ we get $y_i = 0$. Then $v_i \neq 0$, $i \in M_l$, which contradicts the assumption that $v \geq 0$. If $y_i > v_i$ and $i \in I$, then from $v \geq 0$ it follows that $y_i > v_i \geq 0$. Thus $i \in M_y$, $y_i < '0$, which contradicts the assumption that $y > '0$.

If $y_i > v_i$ and $i \notin I$, then $y_i > v_i \geq 0$. From the relations $i \notin I$, $x > 'y$, $x_j = y_j$ for all $j > i$ we have $x_i \geq y_i > v_i \geq 0$, $i \in M_{x_i}$, which contradicts the assumption that $v > x$.

Theorefore $y_i < v_i$ for all $i \in M_{y^v}$. Hence $y < v$. Similarly we can prove that $u \leq y$. From the convexity of C in (V, \leq) it follows that $y \in C$. Hence C is a convex sugbroup of (V, \leq') .

4.4. Lemma. *Let $x, y \in (V, \leq)$ and let $0 < y < x$. Then $M_{(2x)y} = M_{(-2x)y}$ and if $i \in M_{(2x)y}$, then $y_j = x_j = 0$ for all $j > i$.*

Proof. Let $0 < y < x$ for some $x, y \in V$. Then we have $-2x < 0 < y < x < 2x$. Let $i \in M_{(2x)y}$. Then $2x_i > y_i$.

Suppose that $y_j \neq 0$ for some $j > i$. Then there exists $k \geq j, k \in M_y$. Thus $y_k > 0$. Since $i \in M_{(2x)y}$, we have $2x_k = y_k > 0$. From this we obtain $2x_k > x_k > 0$ and $x_l = 0$ for all $l > k$. Since $x > y$, we get $x_k \geq y_k$. Thus $2x_k > x_k \geq y_k > 0$, a contradiction.

Therefore $y_j = 0$ for all $j > i$. Then we have $y_i \geq 0 > -2x_i$. Thus $i \in M_{(-2x)y}$.

Conversely, assume that $i \in M_{(-2x)y}$. Then $-2x_i < y_i$. Suppose that $y_j \neq 0$ for some $j > i$. Then there exists $k \geq j, k \in M_y$. Then $y_k > 0$. Since $i \in M_{(-2x)y}$, we have $-2x_k = y_k > 0$. Thus $-2x_k > -x_k > 0$ and $x_l = y_l = 0$ for all $l > k$. This contradicts the assumption that $x > 0$.

Thus $y_j = 0$ for all $j > i$. Then also $x_j = 0$ for all $j > i$. If $y_i = 0$, then $-2x_i \neq 0$. Therefore $2x_i \neq y_i$. If $y_i \neq 0$, then $y_i > 0$. Assume that $2x_i = y_i$. Then $y_i = 2x_i > x_i > 0$, which contradicts the assumption that $x > y$. Thus $2x_i \neq y_i$. Hence $i \in M_{(2x)y}$.

Remark. Lemma 4.4 is true for an arbitrary mixed product of po-groups (i.e., need not suppose that for each $i \in \Gamma$, H_i is linearly ordered or trivially ordered).

Let $\Gamma_i = \{i \in \Gamma; H_i \text{ is linearly ordered}\}$.

4.5. Theorem. *(V, \leq) , (V, \leq') have the same convex subgroups if and only if there are no incomparable elements i, j in Γ_i such that $i \in \Gamma \setminus I$, $j \in I$.*

Proof. 1) We first shall prove the sufficiency of the conditions. Note that if $a, b \in V$ and $i, j \in M_{ab}$, $i \neq j$, then i, j are incomparable in Γ .

Suppose that C is a convex subgroup of (V, \leq') . Let $0 < y < x$ for some $y \in V$, $x \in C$. Then we have $-2x < 0 < y < x < 2x$. From 4.4 we have $M_{(2x)y} = M_{(-2x)y}$. By the assumptions we obtain $M_{(2x)y} \subseteq I$ or $M_{(2x)y} \subseteq \Gamma \setminus I$.

If $M_{(2x)y} \subseteq I$, then $2x_i < y_i < -2x_i$ for all $i \in M_{(2x)y} = M_{(-2x)y}$. Thus $2x < y < -2x$.

If $M_{(2x)y} \subseteq \Gamma \setminus I$, then $-2x_i < y_i < 2x_i$ for all $i \in M_{(2x)y} = M_{(-2x)y}$. Thus $-2x < y < 2x$.

From the convexity of C in (V, \leq') it follows that $y \in C$. Hence C is a convex subgroup of (V, \leq) .

2) Suppose that there exist incomparable elements i, j in Γ_i such that $i \in \Gamma \setminus I$, $j \in I$. Since H_i, H_j are nontrivial linearly ordered groups, then there exist elements $a \in H_i$, $b \in H_j$ such that $a >_i 0$, $b <_j 0$.

Let v be the element of V such that $v_i = 2a$, $v_j = 2b$ and $v_k = 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma \setminus \{i, j\}$. Let $A = \{mv; m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Clearly A is a subgroup of V .

Let $0 \leq y \leq x$ for some $y \in V$, $x \in A$. Then $x_i = m(2a)$, $x_j = m(2b)$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 \leq x$, we get $x_i = m(2a) \geq 0$, $x_j = m(2b) \geq 0$. From this we have $m = 0$. Thus $x_k = 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma$. Suppose that $y_k \neq 0$ for some $k \in \Gamma$. Then there exists $l \geq k$, $l \in M_1$. Since $y \geq 0$, we obtain $y_l > 0 = x_l$, which contradicts the assumption that $x \geq y$. Therefore $y_k = 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma$ and hence $y \in A$. Thus A is a convex subgroup of (V, \leq) .

Let z be the element of V such that $z_i = a$, $z_j = b$ and $z_k = 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma \setminus \{i, j\}$. Let t be the element of V such that $t_i = 2a$, $t_j = 2b$ and $t_k = 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma \setminus \{i, j\}$. Then $z \notin A$, $t \in A$. From $0 < z_i < 2a$, $0 < z_j < 2b$, $M_{z,t} = \{i, j\}$ we get $0 < z < t$. Since $z \notin A$, A is not a convex subgroup of (V, \leq') .

5. Isolated factorially rational groups and isolated finite valued groups

5.1. Lemma. *Let Q_1 be a nontrivial subgroup of the additive group Q of all rational numbers. Then there exist only two different linear orders on Q_1 , which are dual to each other.*

Proof. Let \leq_1 be a linear order on Q_1 and let $P(Q_1)$ be the positive cone of (Q_1, \leq_1) . Then $P(Q_1)$ is the positive cone of a partial order on Q . Since Q is a torsion-free group, from [5, Chap. III, Coll. 13] it follows that each partial order on Q can be extended to a linear order on Q . Since there exist only two different linear orders on Q which are dual to each other [8, Chap. II, Sec. 2, Proposition 1], the same holds for Q_1 .

5.2. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) , (G, \leq') be nontrivial linearly ordered groups with the same group operation and let (G, \leq') be o-isomorphic to a subgroup of (Q, \leq) . Then $\leq' = \leq$ or $\leq' = \leq^\sim$.*

Proof. This follows from 5.1.

5.3. Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be a nontrivial linearly ordered abelian group and let $a \in G$, $a > 0$. Then (G, \leq) is o-isomorphic to a subgroup of (Q, \leq) if and only if for each $b \in G$ there exist elements $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$ such that $ma = nb$.*

The proof is obvious.

5.4. Theorem. *Nontrivial isolated factorially rational divisible abelian groups (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') have the same convex subgroups if and only if there exists a po-set Γ and for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exist linearly or trivially ordered groups (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) with the same group operation such that*

(1) *there exists a mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, C_i]$ such that φ is an o-isomorphism of (H, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq_i)]$ and also an o-isomorphism of (H, \leq') into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq'_i)]$,*

- (2) for each $i \in \Gamma$ $\leq'_i = \leq_i$ or $\leq'_i = \leq_{\tilde{i}}$,
(3) there exists no element $0 < h \in H$ such that $(h\varphi)_i >' 0$, $(h\varphi)_j <' 0$ for some maximal components $(h\varphi)_i, (h\varphi)_j$ of $h\varphi$,
(4) there exists no element $0 <' g \in H$ such that $(g\varphi)_k > 0$, $(g\varphi)_l < 0$ for some maximal components $(g\varphi)_k, (g\varphi)_l$ of $g\varphi$.

Proof. In order to prove the necessity of the conditions in view of 2.3 it suffices to show that for each $i \in \Gamma$ $\leq'_i = \leq_i$ or $\leq'_i = \leq_{\tilde{i}}$.

Since (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') are factorially rational, the required relations follow from 5.2.

Now we show the sufficiency of the conditions. Let A be a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') and let $0 < y < x$ for some $y \in H, x \in A$. From this we get $-2x < y < 2x$ and $0 < y\varphi < x\varphi$. Then $(-2x)\varphi < y\varphi < (2x)\varphi$. In view of 4.4 we have $M_{(2x)\varphi y\varphi} = M_{(-2x)\varphi x\varphi}$. Thus $((-2x)\varphi)_i < (y\varphi)_i < ((2x)\varphi)_i$ for each $i \in M_{(2x)\varphi y\varphi}$. From (2) and (3) it follows that $(-2x)\varphi <' y\varphi <' (2x)\varphi$ or $(-2x)\varphi >' y\varphi >' (2x)\varphi$. Thus $-2x <' y <' 2x$ or $-2x >' y >' 2x$. From the convexity of A in (H, \leq') we infer that $y \in A$. Hence A is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq) . Similarly we can obtain, that if B is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq) , then B is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') .

5.5 Lemma. *Let (G, \leq) be an isolated factorially rational abelian group. Then $(Z(G), \leq)$ is an isolated divisible factorially rational abelian group.*

Proof. Let (A^i, A_i) be a pair of pure convex subgroups of $(Z(G), \leq)$ such that A^i covers A_i and $(A^i/A_i, \leq)$ is nontrivially ordered. From 2.12 we have that $(G \cap A^i, G \cap A_i)$ is a pair of pure convex subgroups of (G, \leq) such that $G \cap A^i$ covers $G \cap A_i$.

Let $a + A_i, b + A_i \in A^i/A_i, a + A_i > A_i$. Then $na + d > 0$ form some $n \in \mathbb{N}, d \in A_i$. Since $a \in A \setminus A_i, b \in A^i, d \in A_i$, we get that $ka \in G \cap A \setminus G \cap A_i, ld \in A_i \cap G, mb \in G \cap A^i$ for some $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $kl(na + d) = nlka + kld > 0$. Thus $nlka + G \cap A_i > G \cap A_i$. Hence $G \cap A^i/G \cap A_i$ is nontrivially ordered. Since (G, \leq) is factorially rational, from 5.3 we get $rnlka + G \cap A_i = smb + G \cap A_i$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \neq 0$. Then $rnlka + g_1 = smb + g_2$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in G \cap A_i \subseteq Z(G \cap A_i)$. From this we get $rnlka + Z(G \cap A_i) = smb + Z(G \cap A_i)$. From 2.9 and 5.3 it follows that $(A^i/A_i, \leq)$ is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (Q, \leq) .

From 5.5 we obtain the following corollary

5.6. Corollary. *The hypothesis that $(H, \leq), (H, \leq')$ are divisible can be omitted in 5.4.*

5.7. Theorem. *Nontrivial isolated finite valued abelian groups $(H, \leq), (H, \leq')$ have the same convex subgroups if and only if there exists a po-set Γ and for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exist ordered groups (C_i, \leq_i) and $(C_i, \leq_{\tilde{i}})$ such that*

- (i) (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) have the same group operation and card $C_i > 1$,
(ii) The following conditions (1)—(4) are satisfied:
(1) there exists a mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, C_i]$ such that φ is a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq_i)]$ and also a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq') into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq'_i)]$,
(2) for each $i \in \Gamma$ we have either that
(a) both (C_i, \leq_i) and (C_i, \leq'_i) are trivially ordered and each of them is isomorphic to a subgroup of Q
or (b) both (C_i, \leq_i) and (C_i, \leq'_i) are linearly ordered and each of them is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) ,
(3) there exists no element $0 < h \in H$ such that $(h\varphi)_i > '0$, $(h\varphi)_i < '0$ for some maximal components $(h\varphi)_i, (h\varphi)_{i'}$ of $h\varphi$,
(4) there exists no element $0 < 'g \in H$ such that $(g\varphi)_k > 0$, $(g\varphi)_l < 0$ for some maximal components $(g\varphi)_k, (g\varphi)_l$ of $g\varphi$.

Proof. In view of 2.3 and 2.20 it suffices to show the sufficiency of the conditions.

Let A be a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') and let $0 < y < x$ for some $y \in H$, $x \in A$. Then $0 y\varphi < x\varphi$, $-2x < y < 2x$, $(-2x)\varphi < y\varphi < (2x)\varphi$.

Let $((2x)\varphi)_i = 0$ for some $i \in M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$. Then also $((-2x)\varphi)_i = 0$. In view of Lemma 4.4 from $(-2x)\varphi < y\varphi < (2x)\varphi$ we have $0 <_i(y\varphi)_i, (y\varphi)_i <_i 0$, a contradiction.

Hence $((2x)\varphi)_i \neq 0$ for each $i \in M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$. Since for each $i \in M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$ (C_i, \leq'_i) is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) , for each $i \in M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$ there exists $n_i \in Z$ such that $n_i((-2x)\varphi)_i <'_i (y\varphi)_i <'_i n_i((2x)\varphi)_i$.

Since φ is a v -isomorphism, i is a value of $2x - y$ if and only if $i \in M_{(2x-y)\varphi}$. Then from the relation $M_{(2x-y)\varphi} = M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$ and from the fact that (H, \leq') is finite valued we obtain that $M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$ is a finite set. Then there exists $n \in Z$ such that $n((-2x)\varphi)_i <'_i (y\varphi)_i <'_i n((2x)\varphi)_i$ for all $i \in M_{(2x)\varphi, y\varphi} = M_{(-2x)\varphi, y\varphi}$. Thus $n((-2x)\varphi) <'_i y\varphi <'_i n((2x)\varphi)$ and hence $n(-2x) < 'y < 'n(2x)$. From the convexity of A in (H, \leq') we get $y \in A$. Hence A is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') . Analogously we can prove that if B is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq) , then B also is a convex subgroup of (H, \leq') .

5.8. Corollary. *Nontrivial abelian linearly ordered groups (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') have the same convex subgroup if and only if there exists a linearly ordered set Γ and for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exist nontrivial linearly ordered groups (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) with the same group operation such that*

- (1) there exists a mapping φ of H into $V[\Gamma, C_i]$ such that φ is a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq) into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq_i)]$ and also a v -isomorphism of (H, \leq') into $V[\Gamma, (C_i, \leq'_i)]$,
(2) for all $i \in \Gamma$ each of the groups (C_i, \leq_i) , (C_i, \leq'_i) is o -isomorphic to a subgroup of (R, \leq) .

Proof. Since the set of all convex subgroups of a linearly ordered abelian group is linearly ordered by inclusion [5, p. 80], each of its nonzero elements has only one value.

The necessity of the conditions in view of 5.7 follows from the fact that the set Γ in Theorem 5.7 is linearly ordered in the case when (H, \leq) , (H, \leq') are linearly ordered (see the description of Γ in the proof of Theorem 2.3).

In view of 5.7 sufficiency of the conditions follows from the fact that for each $h \in H$, $h \neq 0$, $h\varphi$ has only one maximal component.

REFERENCES

- [1] BANASCHEWSKI, B.: Totalgeordnete Moduln, Arch. Math. 7, 1959, 430—440.
- [2] CONRAD, P.: Embedding theorems for abelian groups with valuations, Amer. J. Math. 75, 1953, 1—29.
- [3] CONRAD, P.: The lattice of all convex l-subgroups of a lattice-ordered group, Czech. Math. J. 15, 1965, 101—123.
- [4] CONRAD, P., HARVEY, J. and HOLLAND, CH.: The Hahn embedding theorem for abelian lattice-ordered groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108, 1963, 143—169.
- [5] ФУКС, Л.: Частично упорядоченные алгебраические системы, Москва, 1965.
- [6] ЯКУБИК, Я.: Представление и расширение л-групп, Чехослов. мат. ж. 13, 1963, 267—283.
- [7] ЯКУБИК, Я., КОЛИБИАР, М.: О некоторых свойствах пар структур, Чехослов. мат. ж. 4, 1954, 1—27.
- [8] КОКОРИН, А. И., КОПЫТОВ, В. М.: Линейно упорядоченные группы, Москва, 1972.

Received July 9, 1985

*Katedra matematiky VŠT
Švermova 9
040 01 Košice*

ПАРЫ ЧАСТИЧНО УПОРЯДОЧЕННЫХ ГРУПП С ОДИНАКОВЫМИ ВЫПУКЛЫМИ ПОДГРУППАМИ

Milan Jaseň

Резюме

В статье исследуются пары изолированных абелевых групп (H, \leq) и (H, \leq') определённых на одном и том же множестве с одной и той же групповой операцией, причём система всех выпуклых подгрупп (H, \leq) совпадает со системой всех выпуклых подгрупп (H, \leq') .