

Sergei A. Gurchenkov

Every l -variety satisfying the amalgamation property is representable

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 47 (1997), No. 3, 221--229

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129405>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

EVERY l -VARIETY SATISFYING THE AMALGAMATION PROPERTY IS REPRESENTABLE

SERGEI A. GURCHENKOV

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. We show that every l -variety satisfying the amalgamation property is representable. Furthermore, we construct an infinite set of varieties of weakly abelian l -groups which fail the amalgamation property.

Introduction

A variety \mathcal{G} of l -groups is said to satisfy the *amalgamation property* in the l -variety \mathcal{M} if, first, $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and, second, if for any l -groups $A, B, C \in \mathcal{G}$ and embeddings $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$, $\mu: A \rightarrow C$ there exist an l -group $D \in \mathcal{M}$ and embeddings $\phi: B \rightarrow D$, $\psi: C \rightarrow D$ such that $\phi\sigma = \psi\mu$. The quintuple (A, B, C, σ, μ) is called a *V-formation* in \mathcal{G} , and the triple (ϕ, ψ, D) is called an *amalgamation* in \mathcal{M} of this V-formation. An l -group A , $A \in \mathcal{G}$, is said to be an amalgamation base for \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{M} if every V-formation (A, B, C, σ, μ) in \mathcal{G} has an amalgamation (ϕ, ψ, D) in \mathcal{M} .

The *amalgamation class* of \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{M} , $\text{Amal}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{G})$, is the class consisting of all amalgamation bases for \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{M} . For the case $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{M}$ all these definitions are usual (see Powell, Tsirikis [9; p. 308]). In this article, we use the following notation

- \mathcal{L} – variety of all l -groups,
- \mathcal{R} – variety of all representable l -groups,
- \mathcal{N} – variety of all normal-valued l -groups,
- \mathcal{W}_a – variety of all weakly abelian l -groups,
- \mathcal{A} – variety of all abelian l -groups,
- \mathcal{S}_p – Scrimger l -variety for prime p ,
- \mathcal{N}_n – variety of all nilpotent of class $\leq n$ l -groups,

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 06F15.

Key words: l -variety, amalgamation.

- $\mathcal{M}^+, \mathcal{M}^-$ – solvable non-nilpotent representable covers of \mathcal{A} ,
- $A\lambda B$ – semidirect extension of a group B by a group A ,
- $\overrightarrow{A\lambda B}$ – lexicographic semidirect extension of an l -group B
by a totally ordered group A ,
- \mathbb{Z} – additive group of integers,
- $P_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

We recall the main results in the theory of l -groups connected with the amalgamation property.

The variety \mathcal{A} satisfies the amalgamation property (Pierc e [7]).

If an l -variety \mathcal{M} contains \mathcal{S}_p for some prime p , then \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property (Pierc e [6]).

If a representable l -variety \mathcal{M} contains one of the l -varieties $\mathcal{M}^+, \mathcal{M}^-$, then \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property (Powell, Tsinak is [9], or Powell, Tsinak is [10]).

The l -variety \mathcal{W}_a of all weakly abelian l -groups fails the amalgamation property (Glass, Saracino, Wood [1]).

Every totally ordered archimedean l -group belongs to $\text{Amal}(\mathcal{L})$ (Pierc e [6]).

$\text{Amal}(\mathcal{N}_n)$, for $n > 1$, does not contain non-trivial totally ordered abelian groups (Powell, Tsinak is [8]).

The following important questions related to the amalgamation property in l -varieties remain open.

1. Which l -varieties satisfy the amalgamation property? (See Powell, Tsinak is [9] and [11].)
2. Is \mathcal{A} the only non-trivial l -variety satisfying the amalgamation property? (See Powell, Tsinak is [9] and [11].)
3. Which l -varieties have \mathbb{Z} in their amalgamation class? (See [11].)

The purpose of this article is to establish the following results related to the aforementioned questions.

1. If a non-representable l -variety \mathcal{M} contains \mathbb{Z} in its amalgamation class, then \mathcal{M} includes the variety \mathcal{N} of normal-valued l -groups.
2. If an l -variety satisfies the amalgamation property, then it is representable.
3. If an l -variety \mathcal{M} includes an l -variety $\mathcal{A}^2 \cap \mathcal{W}_a$, then \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property.

Preliminaries

DEFINITION 1. We say that an l -group G has *finite conjugate-orthogonal rank* n (and we write $\text{co}(G) = n$) if there are elements $g, a \in G$, $g > e$, $a > e$, such that $a \wedge g^{-i}ag^i = e$ for $i \in P_n$, and for every elements $x, y \in G$, $x > e$, $y > e$, the following implication holds:

$$(x \wedge y^{-i}xy^i = e \text{ for } i \in P_n) \implies (x \wedge y^{-(n+1)}xy^{n+1} \neq e).$$

DEFINITION 2. An l -variety \mathcal{M} is said to have a *finite conjugate-orthogonal rank* n , denoted $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = n$, provided every l -group $H \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $\text{co}(H) \leq n$, and there exists $G \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\text{co}(G) = n$.

DEFINITION 3. An l -variety \mathcal{M} is said to have an *infinite conjugate-orthogonal rank*, denoted $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$, provided for every integer n there exists $G \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\text{co}(G) \geq n$.

The proof of the following lemma may be found, for example, in [3].

LEMMA 1. For any variety of l -groups \mathcal{M} , if $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$, then $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{A}^2$.

The ideas used in the proof of the following lemma are due to Kopytov, Gurchenkov [4].

LEMMA 2. Let G be a normal-valued l -group with $\text{co}(G) = n$, where $n \geq 1$. Let $g \in G$, and the non-trivial convex l -subgroup H of G satisfy the conditions $H \cap g^{-i}Hg^i = E$ for $i \in P_n$. Then the l -subgroup $l(g^{n+1}, H)$ of the l -group G is representable.

Proof. Let p denote the integer $n + 1$. Consider the l -subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} X &= l(\{g^{-jp}Hg^{jp}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}), \\ Y &= g^{-1}Hg \times_l g^{-2}Hg^2 \times_l \cdots \times_l g^{-n}Hg^n \end{aligned}$$

of the l -group G . We claim that $X \cap Y = E$. Firstly we verify by induction on m , $m \geq 0$, that

$$g^{-mp}Hg^{mp} \cap Y = E. \tag{1}$$

For $m = 0$ condition (1) follows by assumption. Suppose next by induction hypothesis that condition (1) is true for all $m < k$, and for $m = k$ condition (1) fails, that is, $g^{-kp}Hg^{kp} \cap Y \neq E$. Then necessarily exists an element a , $e < a \in g^{-(k-1)p}Hg^{(k-1)p}$, such that $g^{-p}ag^p \in g^{-kp}Hg^{kp} \cap Y$. It follows by induction hypothesis that

$$g^{-(k-1)p} H g^{(k-1)p} \cap Y = E,$$

and

$$a \wedge g^{-p} a g^p = e.$$

(2)

Conditions (1) and (2) above yield $H \cap g^{-i} H g^i = E$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{-(k-1)p} H g^{(k-1)p} \cap g^{-(k-1)p} g^{-i} H g^i g^{(k-1)p} \\ &= g^{-(k-1)p} H g^{(k-1)p} \cap [g^{-(k-1)p} H g^{(k-1)p}]^{g^i} = E, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$a \wedge g^{-i} a g^i = e \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (3)$$

But (2), (3) contradict $\text{co}(G) = n$. This establishes condition (1). In the same way, we can prove that (1) is true in the case $m \leq 0$. It is easy to see that

$$X \cap g^{-i} X g^i = E, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad (4)$$

and that a convex l -subgroup X is g^p -invariant (i.e., $g^{-p} X g^p = X$). Let S be any non-trivial polar of an l -subgroup X . Suppose that $g^{-p} S g^p \neq S$. It follows from the definition of the polar there exists a set M , $M \subseteq X$, such that $S = M^\perp$. Suppose the element a , $e < a \in S$, exists such that $g^{-p} a g^p \in g^{-p} S g^p \cap M$. Then, $a \wedge a^{-p} a g^p = e$. But $a \in X$ and condition (4) is true, that contradicts $\text{co}(G) = n$.

Thus for every polar $S \subseteq X$, we have $g^{-p} S g^p = S$. Let us prove that X is representable. Suppose that X is not representable. Then there exist elements $a, b, e < a, b \in X$, such that $a \wedge b^{-1} a b = e$. Let us consider elements $f, y = g b$ in an l -group G . We have $y^k = (g b)^k = g^k b^{g^{k-1}} b^{g^{k-2}} \dots b^g b$. For $k \in P_n$, by condition (4) and $a, b \in X$, it follows that $[a^{g^k}, b^g] = [a^{g^k}, b^{g^2}] = \dots = [a^{g^k}, b^{g^{k-1}}] = e$, hence $a \wedge y^{-k} a y^k = a \wedge g^{-k} a g^k = e$, $a \wedge y^{-p} a y^p = a \wedge (g b)^{-1} g^{-n} a g^n g b = a \wedge [g^{-(n+1)} a g^{n+1}]^b = e$ (as we established earlier, $(a^\perp)^{g^{n+1}} = a^\perp$). This contradicts $\text{co}(G) = n$ and establishes that X is representable. It follows by the condition of the lemma $G \in \mathcal{N}$. For every element $a \in X$ we have $|a|^g \wedge |a| = e$, and, in the l -variety \mathcal{N} , the identity $||[x, y]| \ll |x| \vee |y|$ is true. Hence we immediately have in G that $|a| \leq |a^{-1} a^g| = |a| |a^g| \ll |a| \vee |g|$. Thus $|a| \ll |g|$, and an l -subgroup $l(g^p, X)$ admits a representation $l(g^p, X) = \langle g^p \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda} X$. It is easy to see that any polar in $l(g^p, X)$ is a polar in X . As we established earlier, any polar in an l -subgroup X is normal in $\langle g^p \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda} X$, and hence the l -subgroup $l(g^p, X)$ is representable. The proof is now completed. \square

LEMMA 3. *Let $\text{var}_l(G) \supseteq \mathcal{A}^k$ for some $k \geq 2$, and $\text{Amal}(\text{var}_l(G)) \ni \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \text{var}_l(G)$.*

Proof. It is well known that $\mathcal{A}^k = \text{var}_l(\text{wr}^k \mathbb{Z})$ (see Holland, Glass, McCleary [5]). Let $\langle a \rangle, \langle a_i \rangle, i = 1, \dots, k + 1$, be an infinite cyclic groups, where $a > e, a_i > e, i = 1, \dots, k + 1$, and let $B = (\dots (\langle a_2 \rangle \text{wr} \langle a_3 \rangle) \text{wr} \dots \dots) \text{wr} \langle a_{k+1} \rangle, C = \langle a_1 \rangle \text{wr} \langle a \rangle$. Consider a V-formation $(\mathbb{Z}, B, C, \sigma, \mu)$, where $\sigma(1) = a_2, \mu(1) = a$. It follows from the conditions of the lemma that there exist $D \in \text{var}_l(G)$ and embeddings $\phi: B \rightarrow D, \psi: C \rightarrow D$ such that $\phi\sigma(1) = \psi\mu(1)$. Let $b_1 = \psi(a_1), b_2 = \phi\sigma(1) = \psi\mu(1)$, and $b_i = \phi(a_i)$ for $i \geq 3$. It is easy to see that for the elements b_1, \dots, b_{k+1} in an l -group D the following conditions are true:

$$b_{k+1} \gg b_k \gg \dots \gg b_2 \gg b_1 > e, \\ b_i \wedge b_j^{-s} b_i b_j^s = e, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, the l -subgroup $l(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k+1})$ is l -isomorphic to a wreath product $\text{wr}^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, for every l -group G that satisfies the conditions of the lemma, we immediately have the inclusion $\text{var}_l(G) \supseteq \mathcal{A}^s$ for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known (see Holland, Glass, McCleary [5]) that $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^s$, and therefore $\text{var}_l(G) \supseteq \mathcal{N}$. The proof is completed. \square

LEMMA 4. *If $\text{Amal}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) \geq 1$, then $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let a, b be the elements of any l -group $G \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $a \wedge g^{-i} a g^i = e$ for $i \in P_n$. Let H denote a convex l -subgroup of G generated by the set $\{a\}$. It is easy to see that this implies the conditions $H \cap g^{-i} H g^i = E$ for $i \in P_n$. It follows from Lemma 2 that the l -subgroup $B = l(g, X)$ of the l -group G , where $X = l(\{g^{-ip} H g^{ip}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, p = n + 1\})$, admits representation $B = \langle g \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda}(X \times_l X^g \times_l \dots \times_l X^{g^n})$. Let $\hat{B} = \langle \hat{g} \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda}(\hat{X} \times_l \hat{X}^{\hat{g}} \times_l \dots \times_l \hat{X}^{\hat{g}^n})$ denote an l -isomorphic copy of an l -group B . Consider a V-formation $(\mathbb{Z}, B, \hat{B}, \sigma, \mu)$, where $\sigma(1) = a, \mu(1) = \hat{g}$. It follows from the conditions of the lemma that there exists an amalgamation (ϕ, ψ, D) . Let $b = \phi\sigma(1) = \phi(a) = \psi\mu(1) = \psi(\hat{g}), c = \phi(g)$, and $f = \psi(\hat{a})$. It is easy to see that, in an l -group D , we have conditions

$$c \gg b \gg f > e; \quad b \wedge c^{-i} b c^i = e, \quad f \wedge b^{-i} f b^i = e, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (5)$$

Let A be a convex l -subgroup of the l -group D generated by the element b . From (5), we immediately have $A \cap c^{-i} A c^i = E, i \in P_n$. It follows from Lemma 2 that the l -subgroup A is representable, but $b, f \in A$ and $f \wedge b^{-1} f b = e$. This contradiction establishes the proof of the lemma. \square

LEMMA 5. *Let $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = n \geq 1$. There exists an l -group $G \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $G = l(X, g)$, $g > e$, X is convex in G , $X \cap g^{-i}Xg^i = E$, $g^{-(n+1)}Xg^{n+1} = X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $l(X, g^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. Consider any l -group $A \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\text{co}(A) = n$. Then A has elements $a, g > e$ such that $a \wedge g^{-i}ag^i = e$ for $i \in P_n$. Let H denote a convex l -subgroup of the l -group A generated by the element a . It is easy to see that $H \cap g^{-i}Hg^i = E$, $i \in P_n$, and the l -subgroup $G = l(X, g)$ where $X = l(\{g^{-j(n+1)}Hg^{j(n+1)}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\})$ has the necessary properties (it follows from Lemma 2). The proof is completed. \square

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = n \geq 1$. Then \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property in \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5 that there exists an l -group G which admits representation

$$G = \langle g \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda}(X_0 \times_l X_1 \times_l X_2 \times_l \dots \times_l X_n),$$

where $X_0 = X$, $X_i = g^{-i}Xg^i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $l(X, g^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{R}$. Let G_0 denote an l -subgroup $\langle g^{n+1} \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda}(X \times_l Xg \times_l Xg^2 \times_l \dots \times_l Xg^n)$ of the l -group G . Let G_1 denote an l -group $\hat{G}_0 \times_l \hat{G}_1 \times_l \hat{G}_2 \times_l \dots \times_l \hat{G}_n$, where $\hat{G}_i = \langle g_i \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda}X_i$, and for $h \in X_i$, $hg^i = h^{g^{n+1}}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. It is easy to see that $G_0, G_1 \in \mathcal{M}$. Consider the embeddings $\sigma: G_0 \rightarrow G_1$, $\mu: G_0 \rightarrow G$ defined as follows: $\sigma(h) = h$, $\mu(h) = h$ for $h \in X_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $\mu(g^{n+1}) = g^{n+1}$, and $\sigma(g^{n+1}) = g_0g_1 \dots g_n$. We show that the V-formation $(G_0, G_1, G, \sigma, \mu)$ has not the amalgamation in \mathcal{L} . Suppose it is not the case, and let (ϕ, ψ, D) be an amalgamation for $(G_0, G_1, G, \sigma, \mu)$. Let us use the following notation in an l -group D : $\phi\sigma(h) = \psi\mu(h) = a_h$ for $h \in X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\psi(g_i) = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\phi(g) = b$. Then the following conditions are true in the l -group D : $a_h \wedge b^{-i}a_hb^i = e$, where $h \in X$, $h > e$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; $b^{n+1} = b_0b_1 \dots b_n$, where $b_i \in \phi(\hat{G}_i)$, and $\phi(\hat{G}_i) \cap \phi(\hat{G}_j) = E$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. It is easy to see that $e < b < b^{n+1}$, and hence, the element b may be written in the form $b = f_0f_1 \dots f_n$ for some $f_i \in H_i$, where H_i denotes a convex l -subgroup of D generated by the l -subgroup $\phi(\hat{G}_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Since $(\hat{G}_0) \cap [\phi(\hat{G}_1) \times_l \phi(\hat{G}_2) \times_l \dots \times_l \phi(\hat{G}_n)] = E$, it follows that $H_0 \cap (H_1 \times_l H_2 \times_l \dots \times_l H_n) = E$. Since $f_0 \in H_0$, $f_1f_2 \dots f_n \in H_1H_2 \dots H_n$ for every element h , $e < h \in X$, we have $a_h^b = \psi(h)^{\psi(g)} \in \psi(Xg) = \psi(X_1) \subseteq H_1$. This contradicts $H_0 \cap H_1 = E$, and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

COROLLARY 1. (Pierce [6]) *If an l -variety \mathcal{M} contains \mathcal{S}_p for some prime p , then \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property.*

Remark. There exist non-representable l -varieties \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{S}_p = \mathcal{A}$ for every p .

The main results

THEOREM 1. *Let an l -variety \mathcal{M} satisfy $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{R} \neq \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{Amal}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. If $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$, then it follows from Lemmas 1 and 3 that $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{A}^2$ and $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$. Let $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = n < \infty$. Note that $n \geq 1$ since $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{R} \neq \mathcal{M}$. Thus, by Lemma 4, $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$, in contradiction with the assumption. \square

THEOREM 2. *Every non-representable l -variety fails the amalgamation property.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be a non-representable l -variety satisfying the amalgamation property. In particular, $\text{Amal}(\mathcal{M}) \ni \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$. It is well known that \mathcal{N} contains some l -varieties \mathcal{G} with $\text{co}(\mathcal{G}) = n \geq 1$. It follows from Proposition 1 that the l -variety \mathcal{G} fails the amalgamation property in \mathcal{L} , so \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property. The proof is completed. \square

Let W denote a group $\text{gr}(a, b \parallel [b^{-i}ab^i, b^{-j}ab^j] = e, j, i \in \mathbb{Z})$. It is easy to see that $W \cong \mathbb{Z} \text{wr} \mathbb{Z}$. It is well known the group W admits total orders and weakly abelian total orders (see, for example, Gur'chenkov [2]). Let P denote one such order. Let T denote a subgroup $\text{gr}(\{b^{-i}ab^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\})$ of the group W with a total order induced on T by the total order P of the group W . Let $A = T \times \langle c \rangle$ be a lexicographic product of an infinite cyclic group $\langle c \rangle$, $c > e$, and a totally ordered group T .

Now we define two automorphisms α, β of the group A as follows:

$$c^\alpha = c, \quad a_n^\alpha = a_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$c^\beta = c, \quad a_n^\beta = \begin{cases} a_n c & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ a_n & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{cases}$$

where a_n denotes the element $b^{-n}ab^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that the automorphisms α, β preserve the total order on A . Let $\text{Aut } A$ denote the group of order-preserving automorphisms of the abelian totally ordered group A . Since

$$a_n^{\beta^{-1}\alpha^p\beta} = (a_n c^{-1})^{\alpha^p\beta}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (a_{n+p} c^{-1})^\beta = a_{n+p} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ (a_n)^{\alpha^p\beta} = (a_{n+p})^\beta = a_{n+p} & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{cases}$$

and $a_n^{\alpha^p} = a_{n+p}$, then $\beta^{-1}\alpha^p\beta = \alpha^p$, but $\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta \neq \alpha$ in the group $\text{Aut } A$. Set $g = \alpha^p$, $\gamma = \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta$ in the group $\text{Aut } A$.

Consider the totally ordered groups $G_3 = \langle g \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda} A$, $G_2 = \langle \alpha \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda} A$, $G_1 = \langle \gamma \rangle \overrightarrow{\lambda} A$, where $g > e$, $\alpha > e$, $\gamma > e$. It is easy to see that $G_i \in \mathcal{R}$ and $G_i \in \mathcal{W}_a$ if P is weakly abelian, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Define embeddings $\mu, \sigma, \sigma: G_3 \rightarrow G_1$, $\mu: G_3 \rightarrow G_2$ as follows:

$$\mu(c) = c, \quad \mu(a) = a, \quad \mu(g) = \alpha^p, \quad \sigma(c) = c, \quad \sigma(a) = a, \quad \sigma(g) = \gamma^p.$$

Suppose there exists an amalgamation (ϕ, ψ, D) in \mathcal{R} for the V-formation $(G_3, G_1, G_2, \sigma, \mu)$. Let $\hat{a} = \phi(a) = \psi(a)$ for $a \in A$, $\phi(\gamma) = \hat{\gamma}$, $\psi(\alpha) = \hat{\alpha}$. We have, in the l -group D , $\hat{\alpha}^p = \hat{\gamma}^p$, but $\hat{\gamma}^{-1}\hat{a}\hat{\gamma} \neq \hat{\alpha}^{-1}\hat{a}\hat{\alpha}$, thus the l -group D cannot be representable. Thus the V-formation $(G_3, G_1, G_2, \sigma, \mu)$ does not have an amalgamation in \mathcal{R} (in \mathcal{W}_a , if P is weakly abelian).

THEOREM 3. *Let $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{A}^2 \cap \mathcal{W}_a$. Then \mathcal{M} fails the amalgamation property.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{R} \neq \mathcal{M}$, then the result follows from Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. In this case, for the V-formation $(G_3, G_1, G_2, \sigma, \mu)$ the amalgamation (ϕ, ψ, D) in \mathcal{R} exists. As we established earlier, this is impossible. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] GLASS, A. M. W.—SARACINO, P.—WOOD, C.: *Non-amalgamation of ordered groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **95** (1984), 191–195.
- [2] GURCHENKOV, S. A.: *Varieties of nilpotent lattice ordered groups*, Algebra and Logic **21** (1982), 499–510. (Russian)
- [3] GURCHENKOV, S. A.: *Varieties of l -groups with the identity $[x^p, y^p] = e$ have finite basis*, Algebra and Logic **23** (1984), 27–47. (Russian)
- [4] GURCHENKOV, S. A.—KOPYTOV, V. M.: *On covers of variety of abelian lattice ordered groups*, Siberian Math. J. **28** (1987), 66–69. (Russian)
- [5] HOLLAND, W. CH.—GLASS, A. M. W.—McCLEARY, S.: *The structure of l -group varieties*, Algebra Universalis **10** (1980), 1–20.
- [6] PIERCE, K. R.: *Amalgamating abelian ordered groups*, Pacific. J. Math. **43** (1972), 711–723.
- [7] PIERCE, K. R.: *Amalgamations of lattice ordered groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **172** (1972), 249–260.
- [8] POWELL, W. B.—TSINAKIS, C.: *Amalgamations of lattice ordered groups*. In: Ordered Algebraic Structures. (W. B. Powell, C. Tsinakakis, eds.) Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 99, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985, pp. 171–178.
- [9] POWELL, W. P.—TSINAKIS, C.: *Amalgamations of l -groups*. In: Lattice ordered groups. (A. M. W. Glass, W. Ch. Holland, eds.) Advances and Techniques, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1989, pp. 308–327.
- [10] POWELL, W. B.—TSINAKIS, C.: *The failure of the amalgamation property for varieties of representable l -groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **106** (1989), 439–443.

EVERY l -VARIETY SATISFYING THE AMALGAMATION PROPERTY IS REPRESENTABLE

[11] *Problem lists, Ordered Algebraic Structures*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1982), 327.

Received May 18, 1992

Revised July 20, 1995

Chair of Mathematics

Polytechnical Institute

Rubtsovsk

RUSSIA

E-mail: vtuz@rubltd.altai.su