

František Kmeť

On radicals in semigroups

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 2, 183--188

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128829>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON RADICALS IN SEMIGROUPS

FRANTIŠEK KMEŤ

Let S be a semigroup and $J \subseteq S$ a two-sided ideal of S . All ideals in the following are supposed to be two-sided.

An element $x \in S$ is called nilpotent with respect to J if $x^n \in J$ for some positive integer n . An ideal, or a subsemigroup I of S , is called nilpotent with respect to J if $I^n \subseteq J$ for some positive integer n . An ideal I of S , each element of which is nilpotent with respect to J , is called a nilideal with respect to J . An ideal I , each finitely generated subsemigroup of which is nilpotent with respect to J , is called a locally nilpotent ideal with respect to J . An ideal P of S is called prime if for any two ideals A, B of S $AB \subseteq P$ implies that either $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$. An ideal P of S is called completely prime if for any two elements $a, b \in S$ $ab \in P$ implies that either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. A subset $M \subseteq S$ is called a filter of S if $P = S - M$ is a completely prime ideal of S or $M = S$. It is known that a subset M of S is a filter of S if and only if $x, y \in M$ is equivalent to $xy \in M$.

The set of all nilpotent elements of S with respect to an ideal J of S will be denoted by $N_J(S)$.

The union $R_J(S)$ of all nilpotent ideals of S with respect to J is called the Schwarz radical of S with respect to J . The union $L_J(S)$ of all locally nilpotent ideals of S with respect to J is called the Ševrin radical of S with respect to J . The union $R_J^*(S)$ of all nilideal of S with respect to J is called the Clifford radical of S with respect to J . The intersection $M_J(S)$ of all prime ideals of S which contain J is called the McCoy radical of S with respect to J . The intersection $C_J(S)$ of all completely prime ideals of S which contain J is called the Luh radical of S with respect to J .

J. Luh [5, Theorem 3,3; 3,4; 3,5; 3,7 and Corollary] proved for a semigroup S with the kernel K (the minimal ideal of S) that $R_K(S) \subseteq M_K(S) \subseteq R_K^*(S) \subseteq C_K(S)$ and for a commutative semigroup $R_K(S) = M_K(S) = R_K^*(S) = C_K(S)$.

Š. Schwarz [6, section II, Theorems 7, 8, 9] studied questions connected with the existence of the kernel of a semigroup S and the relations $R_K(S) \subseteq M_K(S) \subseteq R_K^*(S) \subseteq M^*$ (the last relation if $S^2 = S$ and $M^* \neq \emptyset$), where M^* is the intersection of all maximal ideals of S .

R. Šulka [7, Lemma 19] and J. Bosák [2, Theorem 2] proved that in an arbitrary semigroup S with an ideal $J \subseteq S$ we have

$$R_J(S) \subseteq M_J(S) \subseteq L_J(S) \subseteq R^*(S) \subseteq N_J(S) \subseteq C_J(S). \quad (1)$$

In the case of a commutative semigroup S as proved by R. Šulka [7, Theorem 7] and J. Bosák [2, Corollary 1] we have

$$R_J(S) = M_J(S) = L_J(S) = R^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S). \quad (2)$$

A semigroup S is called a C_2 -semigroup if for all a, b, c , of S $abcba = bacab$. J. E. Kuczowski [3] proved that in a C_2 -semigroup S the equalities

$$M_J(S) = L_J(S) = R^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$$

hold.

A semigroup S is called quasi-commutative if $ab = b^r a$ for all a, b of S and for some positive integer $r = r(a, b)$. H. Lai [4] proved that in a quasi-commutative semigroup S the equalities of (2) hold.

In the author's paper [8] it is proved that in the semigroup U of all triangular $m \times m$ matrices over a commutative ring we have $R_0(U) = M_0(U) = L_0(U) = R^*(U) = N_0(U)$. Theorem 1 of this paper implies that in U the equalities of (2) hold for $J=0$.

In the present paper we prove (Theorem 1, Corollary 1) that in a semigroup S we have $R^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$ if and only if the set $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S . Theorem 1 is analogous to the results of A. Abian [1, Theorem 2] for rings without nilpotent elements. Further we prove that the equalities of (2) in a semigroup S hold if and only if for each $a \in N_J(S)$ the principal ideal (a) is nilpotent with respect to J (Theorem 2). In a finite semigroup S the equalities of (2) are valid if and only if $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S (Corollary 3).

Lemma 1. *If a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are elements of a semigroup S and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in N_J(S)$, then $a_k \dots a_n a_1 \dots a_{k-1} \in N_J(S)$ ($k=2, \dots, n$).*

Proof. Let $ab \in M_J(S)$ and $(ab)^r \in J$ for some positive integer r , then also $(ba)^{r+1} = b(ab)^r a \in J$ and so $ba \in N_J(S)$. By the preceding it follows from $(a_1 \dots a_{k-1})(a_k \dots a_n) \in N_J(S)$ that $(a_k \dots a_n)(a_1 \dots a_{k-1}) \in N_J(S)$, where $k=2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2. *Let there be $a_i \in S$, $N_J(S)$ be an ideal of S , $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in N_J(S)$ and i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n an arbitrary permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_n} \in N_J(S)$.*

Proof. a) Let $ab \in N_J(S)$. Since $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S , for each $t \in S$ the product $bat \in N_J(S)$. From $b(at) \in N_J(S)$ by Lemma 1 we have $(at)b \in N_J(S)$. Therefore $ab \in N_J(S)$ implies $satb \in N_J(S)$ for arbitrary $s, t \in S$ (where s, t may be empty symbols).

b) Let there be $b_1 b_2 b_3 \in N_J(S)$ for the elements b_1, b_2, b_3 of S . We shall prove that for each permutation i, j, k of the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ we have $b_i b_j b_k \in N_J(S)$. From Lemma 1 we at once obtain that $b_2 b_3 b_1 \in N_J(S)$ and $b_3 b_1 b_2 \in N_J(S)$. By a) $b_1(b_2 b_3)$

$\in N_f(S)$ for $s = b_2, t = b_3$ implies $sb_1t(b_2b_3) = b_2b_1b_3b_2b_3 \in N_f(S)$. Again by a) from $(b_2b_1b_3b_2)b_3 \in N_f(S)$ for $t' = b_1$ we obtain $(b_2b_1b_3b_2)t'b_3 = (b_2b_1b_3)^2 \in N_f(S)$. Therefore $b_2b_1b_3 \in N_f(S)$. Then Lemma 1 implies that also $b_1b_3b_2 \in N_f(S)$ and $b_3b_2b_1 \in N_f(S)$.

c) Let $a_1 \dots a_{k-1}a_ka_{k+1} \dots a_n \in N_f(S)$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots, n$). We shall show that $a_ka_1 \dots a_{k-1}a_1a_{k+1} \dots a_n \in N_f(S)$.

With respect to b) from $a_1(a_2 \dots a_{k-1})(a_ka_{k+1} \dots a_n) \in N_f(S)$ we have $(a_2 \dots a_{k-1})a_1(a_ka_{k+1} \dots a_n) \in N_f(S)$.

Then $(a_2 \dots a_{k-1}a_1)a_k(a_{k+1} \dots a_n) \in N_f(S)$ by b) implies that $a_k(a_2 \dots a_{k-1}a_1)(a_{k+1} \dots a_n) \in N_f(S)$.

It is well known that the group of all permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is generated by the set of the transpositions $(1, 2), (1, 3), \dots, (1, n)$. From this it follows that Lemma 2 is true.

Lemma 3. *If $N_f(S)$ is an ideal of a semigroup S and $a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in N_f(S)$, then for arbitrary elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n+1} (where some of the s_i may be empty symbols) we have $s_1a_1s_2a_2 \dots s_na_n s_{n+1} \in N_f(S)$.*

Proof. Let $a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in N_f(S)$. Since $N_f(S)$ is an ideal of S , for any s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n+1} of S we have that $s_1s_2 \dots s_{n+1}a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in N_f(S)$. The word $s_1a_1s_2a_2 \dots s_na_n s_{n+1}$ is obtained by means of a suitable rearrangement of the letters in $s_1s_2 \dots s_{n+1}a_1a_2 \dots a_n$. Then by Lemma 2 we have that $s_1a_1s_2a_2 \dots s_na_n s_{n+1} \in N_f(S)$.

Lemma 4. *Let $N_f(S)$ be an ideal of S . Suppose that $a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in N_f(S)$. Denote by b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r ($r \leq n$) the different elements in the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Then (in any order) $b_1b_2 \dots b_r \in N_f(S)$.*

Proof. Rearrange the letters in $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$ in such a manner that we obtain a word of the form $b_1^{k_1} \dots b_r^{k_r}$. By Lemma 2 this element is contained in $N_f(S)$. Take an integer $k > k_i$. Then by Lemma 3 $b_1^{k_1}b_1^{k-k_1}b_2^{k_2}b_2^{k-k_2} \dots b_r^{k_r}b_r^{k-k_r} = b_1^kb_2^k \dots b_r^k \in N_f(S)$. By means of a suitable rearrangement of the letters b_i we obtain (by Lemma 2) that $(b_1b_2 \dots b_r)^k \in N_f(S)$ and so $b_1b_2 \dots b_r \in N_f(S)$.

Remark 1. If the set $N_f(S)$ of all nilpotent elements of S is not an ideal, then Lemmas 2—4 need not be true. This shows the next example 1.

Example 1. Let $S_1 = \{0, e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{22}, e\}$ be a semigroup with the multiplication: $e_{ik} \cdot e_{kn} = e_{in}, e_{ik} \cdot e_{jn} = 0 \cdot e_{ik} = e_{ik} \cdot 0 = 0, e_{ik} \cdot e = e \cdot e_{ik} = e_{ik}$ for $i, j, k, n \in \{1, 2\}, j \neq k$. Evidently the set $N_0(S_1) = \{0, e_{12}, e_{21}\}$ is not an ideal of S_1 . In S_1 Lemmas 2—4 do not hold, since, e.g., $e_{21}e_{12}e_{11} = 0 \in N_0(S_1)$ but $e_{12}e_{21}e_{11} = e_{11} \notin N_0(S_1), e_{12}^2e_{21}^2 = 0 \in N_0(S_1)$ and the product of all distinct letters $e_{12}e_{21} = e_{11} \notin N_0(S_1)$.

Example 2. The subsemigroup $S_2 = \{0, e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{22}, e\}$ of S_1 with the same multiplication is an example of a non-commutative semigroup in which the set $N_0(S_2) = \{0, e_{12}\}$ is an ideal of S_2 . Here, e.g., $J = \{0, e_{12}, e_{22}\}$ is an ideal and $N_f(S_2) = J$ is an ideal of S_2 .

Lemma 5. Let $N_J(S) \neq S$ be an ideal of a semigroup S and let M be a maximal subsemigroup of S which does not meet $N_J(S)$. Then M is a filter of S .

Proof. Let $a \in S - M$. The semigroup $\{M, a\}$ generated by M and a is larger than M , hence it has a non-empty intersection with $N_J(S)$. There exists therefore a product containing the element a and elements $m_i \in M$, which is contained in $N_J(S)$. By rearranging the letters in this product we get a new element of the form $m_1 \dots m_n a^k$ ($m_i \in M$, $k \geq 1$) which (by Lemma 2) is again contained in $N_J(S)$. By Lemma 4 there is an element $m \in M$ such that $ma \in N_J(S)$.

We shall prove that M is a filter of S . It is necessary to prove that $ab \in M$ implies $a \in M$ and $b \in M$. We prove it indirectly.

Suppose that $ab \in M$, while either $a \in S - M$ or $b \in S - M$. Let $a \in S - M$. Then by the preceding part of the proof there exists an element $m \in M$ such that $ma \in N_J(S)$. Since $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S we have $(ma)b = m(ab) \in N_J(S)$ but $m \in M$, $ab \in M$ and so $m(ab) \in M$. This is a contradiction with the assumption that M does not meet $N_J(S)$. Analogously let $b \in S - M$. Then there exists $m' \in M$ such that $m'b \in N_J(S)$ and so by Lemma 3 $m'ab \in N_J(S)$. But $m' \in M$, $ab \in M$ and so $m'(ab) \in M$, which contradicts our assumption that M does not meet $N_J(S)$. Therefore $ab \in M$ implies that $a \in M$ and $b \in M$ and so M is a filter of S .

Theorem 1. Let S be a semigroup, J an ideal of S and suppose that $N_J(S) \subseteq S$ is an ideal of S . Then $N_J(S) = C_J(S)$.

Proof. In an arbitrary semigroup S we have $N_J(S) \subseteq C_J(S)$. If $N_J(S) = S$, then obviously $N_J(S) = C_J(S) = S$ and the statement holds.

Suppose that $N_J(S) \neq S$. We prove that $C_J(S) \subseteq N_J(S)$. It is sufficient to show that for any $a \in S$, $a \notin N_J(S)$ there exists a completely prime ideal P which does not contain a . Then $a \notin P$ implies $a \notin C_J(S)$.

Let $a \in S$, $a \notin N_J(S)$ be an arbitrary element. Then the subsemigroup $A = \{a, a^2, a^3, \dots\}$ of S does not meet $N_J(S)$. From Zorn's lemma it follows that there exists a maximal subsemigroup M of S , $M \supseteq A$ which does not meet $N_J(S)$. Then by Lemma 5 M is a filter of S . Consequently $P = S - M$ is a completely prime ideal of S containing $N_J(S)$ with the property $a \notin P$ and so $a \notin C_J(S)$.

Both relations $N_J(S) \subseteq C_J(S)$ and $C_J(S) \subseteq N_J(S)$ imply $N_J(S) = C_J(S)$.

Corollary 1. In a semigroup S the equalities $R^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$ hold if and only if $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S .

Proof. Evidently if $R^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$, then $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S .

Conversely, let $N_J(S)$ be an ideal of S . By (1) we have $R^*(S) \subseteq N_J(S)$. Since $N_J(S)$ is a nilideal of S from the definition of Clifford's radical it follows $N_J(S) \subseteq R^*(S)$. Therefore $R^*(S) = N_J(S)$. Then by Theorem 1 we obtain $N_J(S) = R^*(S) = C_J(S)$.

Clearly $a \in R^*(S)$ if and only if the principal ideal (a) is a nilideal of S with respect to J .

Corollary 2. *Let S be a semigroup, J be an ideal of S . Then $R_J^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$ holds if and only if for each $a \in N_J(S)$ the principal ideal (a) is a nilideal of S with respect to J .*

Corollary 3. *In a finite semigroup S the equalities (2), i.e., $R_J(S) = M_J(S) = L_J(S) = R_J^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$ hold if and only if the set $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S .*

Proof. Evidently if (2) holds, then $N_J(S)$ is an ideal of S . Conversely, let $N_J(S)$ be an ideal of S . Then from the statement of J. Bosák [2, p. 211, Corollary 2] that in an arbitrary finite semigroup we have $R_J(S) = M_J(S) = L_J(S) = R_J^*(S)$ and from Corollary 1 it follows that (2) holds.

Remark 2. In an infinite semigroup S (2) need not hold. The following example is given by J. Bosák [2, p. 209—210]. Let S be a semigroup generated by $\{0, a, b\}$ with generating relations $0x = x0 = x^3 = 0$ for every word x over the given alphabet. Then for the Ševrin, Clifford and Luh radicals with respect to $J=0$ we have $L_0(S) \subset R_0^*(S) = N_0(S) = C_0(S) = S$, $L_0(S) \neq R_0^*(S)$.

Theorem 2. *Let S be a semigroup, J be an ideal of S , $N_J(S)$ be the set of all nilpotent elements of S with respect to J . Then (2), i.e. the equalities*

$$R_J(S) = M_J(S) = L_J(S) = R_J^*(S) = N_J(S) = C_J(S)$$

hold if and only if for each $a \in N_J(S)$ the principal ideal (a) is nilpotent with respect to J .

Proof. If (2) hold, then evidently for $a \in N_J(S)$ we have $(a) \in R_J(S)$ and the principal ideal (a) is nilpotent with respect to J .

Conversely, suppose that for each $a \in N_J(S)$ the principal ideal (a) of S is nilpotent with respect to J . Then from the relation $R_J(S) \subseteq N_J(S)$ and from the definition of Schwarz's radical we have $R_J(S) = N_J(S)$. Then Theorem 1 implies $N_J(S) = C_J(S)$ and so with respect to (1) we obtain (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] ABIAN, A.: Rings without nilpotent elements. *Mat. čas.* 25, 1975, 289—291.
- [2] BOSÁK, J.: On radicals of semigroups. *Mat. čas.* 18, 1968, 204—212.
- [3] KUCZKOWSKI, J. E.: On radicals in certain class of semigroups. *Mat. čas.* 20, 1970, 278—280.
- [4] LAL, H.: Radicals in quasi-commutative semigroup. *Mat. čas.* 25, 1975, 287—288.
- [5] LUH, J.: On the concepts of radical of semigroup having kernel. *Portug. Math.* 19, 1960, 189—198.
- [6] SCHWARZ, Š.: Prime ideals and maximal ideals in semigroups. *Czech. Math. J.* 19, 1969, 72—79.
- [7] ŠULKA, R.: О нильпотентных элементах, идеалах и радикалах полугрупп. *Mat. fyz. čas.* 13, 1963, 209—222.
- [8] КМЕТ, F.: On radicals of the semigroup of triangular matrices. *Math. Slovaca* 31, 1981, 365—368.

Received April 1980

*Katedra matematiky
Prevádzkovo-ekonomickej fakulty
Vysokej školy poľnohospodárskej
Mostná 16
949 01 Nitra*

О РАДИКАЛАХ ПОЛУГРУПП

Франтишек Кметь

Резюме

Пусть S — произвольная полугруппа, J — идеал полугруппы S , $N_J(S)$ — множество нильпотентных элементов полугруппы S относительно идеала J . Если для всякого $a \in N_J(S)$ главный идеал (a) является нильидеалом (нильпотентным идеалом) полугруппы S относительно идеала J , то радикалы Клиффорда и Луга (радикалы Шварца, Маккойа, Шеврина, Клиффорда и Луга) относительно идеала J равны $N_J(S)$, и наоборот.