

Jarek Kędra

Fundamental group of $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ with no circle action

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 45 (2009), No. 1, 75--78

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128290>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$
WITH NO CIRCLE ACTION**

JAREK KĘDRA

ABSTRACT. We show that $\pi_1(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$ can be nontrivial for M that does not admit any symplectic circle action.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold and let $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ denote the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms of (M, ω) . This group is equipped with the C^∞ -topology. We are interested in the relation between the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{Symp}(M, \omega), \text{Id})$ and symplectic circle actions on (M, ω) . A symplectic circle action is a homomorphism $\alpha: S^1 \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ and it defines an element of the fundamental group of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms.

Question 1.1. Suppose that $\pi_1(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$ is nontrivial. Is it true that some nonzero element is represented by a symplectic circle action?

If G is a Lie group then every element of $\pi_1(G)$ is represented by a loop that is a homomorphism. Examples of elements in $\pi_1(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$ which are not represented by a circle action were described by Anjos and McDuff [2, 8]. In the present paper, we provide a family of symplectic four manifolds (M, ω) such that $\pi_1(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$ is non-trivial and (M, ω) admits no circle action. More precisely we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (K, ω_K) be a simply connected symplectic four manifolds that is neither $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ nor a ruled surface up to a blow-up. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic blow-up (K, ω_K) in a small ball. Then (M, ω) admits no symplectic circle action and the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{Symp}(M, \omega))$ is nontrivial.*

Recall that the blow-up of a symplectic manifold is defined as follows. Let $B \subset (M, \omega)$ be an open symplectic ball. This means that the restriction of the symplectic form ω to B is the standard symplectic form $\sum dx^i \wedge dy^i$. Such balls always exist due to the Darboux theorem. The boundary of $M - B$ is diffeomorphic to an odd dimensional sphere S^{2n-1} . Taking the quotient of this sphere as in the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 57S05; secondary 53C15.

Key words and phrases: symplectomorphism, circle action.

Partially supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research 1 PO3A 02327.

Received December 2, 2008. Editor J. Slovák.

Hopf fibration $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ we obtain a closed symplectic manifold called the blow-up of (M, ω) in a ball B (see Section 7.1 in [10] for details). The blow-up contains $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ as a symplectic submanifold. It is called the exceptional divisor.

Acknowledgement. I was asked Question 1.1. by Yael Karshon. The argument relies on a work of Lalonde and Pinsonnault [7]. I thank Yael Karshon, Dusa McDuff and Rafał Walczak for useful comments.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

There are very few manifolds admitting a circle action. On the other hand, the topology of groups of symplectic diffeomorphisms is rather complicated [6]. Hence one can expect nontrivial fundamental groups. The argument consists of several steps:

Step 1: Take a closed simply connected symplectic manifold (K, ω_K) . Choose a point $p \in M$ and consider the evaluation fibration

$$\mathrm{Symp}(K, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Symp}_0(K) \xrightarrow{ev} K,$$

defined by $ev(f) := f(p)$. Here $\mathrm{Symp}(K, p) \subset \mathrm{Symp}_0(K)$ denote the isotropy subgroup and $\mathrm{Symp}_0(K)$ denotes the identity component of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms. We claim that

the rank of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Symp}(K, p))$ is positive.

Observe that $ev_*: \pi_2(\mathrm{Symp}(K)) \rightarrow \pi_2(K)$ is trivial up to torsion. Indeed, if $ev_*(\sigma)$ were nontorsion then the corresponding map on rational cohomology would be nonzero, say $ev^*(\alpha) \neq 0$ for $\alpha \in H^2(K, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle \neq 0$. Then we would have that $0 = ev^*(\alpha^{n+1}) = ev^*(\alpha)^{n+1}$, where $\dim K = 2n$. But $\mathrm{Symp}(K)$ is a topological group so its rational cohomology is free graded algebra. Thus if $ev^*(\alpha)^{n+1} = 0$ then $ev^*(\alpha)$ has to be a sum of products of degree one cohomology classes. Hence it has to vanish on spheres. On the other hand, $\langle ev^*(\alpha), \sigma \rangle = \langle \alpha, ev_*(\sigma) \rangle \neq 0$ which is a contradiction.

Finally, we get that the rank of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Symp}(K, p))$ is not smaller than the rank of $\pi_2(K)$. The latter is nonzero because K is symplectic and simply connected. More precisely, since K is simply connected $\pi_2(K) \cong H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. The cohomology class of the symplectic form $[\omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})$ is nonzero which proves that the rank of $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is nonzero which implies that the rank of $\pi_1(\mathrm{Symp}(K, p))$ is positive as claimed.

Step 2: The isotropy subgroup $\mathrm{Symp}(K, p)$ *should* be weakly homotopy equivalent to the group of symplectomorphisms of a one point blow-up of (K, ω_K) in a very small ball. This is proved for a range of 4-dimensional manifolds by Lalonde and Pinsonnault in [7] It is interesting to what extent it is true. Some progress has been made recently by McDuff [9].

More precisely, Lalonde and Pinsonnault proved (Lemma 2.3 and 2.4 in [7]) that, *if for any almost complex structure J compatible with ω there exists unique*

J-holomorphic sphere that is embedded then $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\text{Symp}^U(K, B_\varepsilon)$. The latter group is a subgroup of $\text{Symp}(K, \omega_K)$ which fixes a ball $B_\varepsilon \subset K$ and acts on it by unitary maps.

Suppose that ω_K is integral and ε is small enough. Then the exceptional divisor has unique *J*-holomorphic representative for any compatible *J*. It is easy to prove (Lemma 4.3 in [6]) that $\text{Symp}^U(K, B_\varepsilon)$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\text{Symp}(K, p)$.

Step 3: The final step is to find a simply connected symplectic closed manifold that its blow-up does not admit any symplectic circle action. There is a classification, due to Audin [3] and Ahara-Hattori [1], of symplectic manifolds admitting a Hamiltonian circle action (see also Karshon [5]). In the simply connected case the symplectic action is Hamiltonian. According to this classification, a simply connected symplectic manifold admitting an effective circle action is a blow-up of the complex projective plane or a blow-up of a rational ruled surface. These are excluded by our hypothesis. This finishes the proof. □

3. REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

3.1. Let (M, ω) be as in the theorem and assume moreover that $b_2^+ > 1$. Due to a result of Baldrige [4], a simply connected 4-dimensional symplectic manifold with $b_2^+ > 1$ does not admit any smooth circle action. On the other hand, McDuff showed (Corollary 1.4 in [9]) that the fundamental group of $\text{Diff}(M)$ is non-trivial. Combining this two results with our proof we obtain examples of manifolds with nontrivial $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(M))$ and admitting no smooth circle actions.

3.2. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ be a hypersurface of degree d . It is simply connected according to the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. Moreover, it is not difficult to calculate that

$$b_2^+(K) = 1 + \frac{1}{3}(d-1)(d-2)(d-3).$$

Hence every hypersurface of degree at least 4 satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2 and the above smooth analog. For $d = 4$ we obtain K3 surfaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahara, K., Hattori, A., *4-dimensional symplectic S^1 -manifolds admitting moment map*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **38** (2) (1991), 251–298.
- [2] Anjos, S., *Homotopy type of symplectomorphism groups of $S^2 \times S^2$* , Geom. Topol. **6** (2002), 195–218, (electronic).
- [3] Audin, M., *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 93, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, revised edition, 2004.
- [4] Baldrige, S., *Seiberg-Witten vanishing theorem for S^1 -manifolds with fixed points*, Pacific J. Math. **217** (1) (2004), 1–10.
- [5] Karshon, Y., *Periodic Hamiltonian flows on four-dimensional manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (672) (1999), viii+71.

- [6] Kędra, J., *Evaluation fibrations and topology of symplectomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1) (2005), 305–312, (electronic).
- [7] Lalonde, F., Pinsonnault, M., *The topology of the space of symplectic balls in rational 4-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **122** (2) (2004), 347–397.
- [8] McDuff, D., *Symplectomorphism Groups and almost Complex Structures*, In: Essays on geometry and related topics, Vol. 1, 2, 2001, volume 38 of Monogr. Enseign. Math., pp. 527–556.
- [9] McDuff, D., *The symplectomorphism group of a blow up*, Geom. Dedicata **132** (2008), 1–29.
- [10] McDuff, D., Salamon, D., *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Math. Monogr. (1998), Second edition.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN
MESTON BUILDING, KING'S COLLEGE
ABERDEEN AB24 3UE, SCOTLAND, UK
E-mail: kedra@maths.abdn.ac.uk

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SZCZECIN
WIELKOPOLSKA 15, 70-451 SZCZECIN, POLAND