

Pavol Marušiak

Oscillation of Solutions of Nonlinear Delay Differential Equations

Matematický časopis, Vol. 24 (1974), No. 4, 371--380

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126557>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

PAVOL MARUŠIAK

We consider the nonlinear delay differential equation of the n -th order of the following form

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)}(t) - p(t)f(y[h_1(t) \dots y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(t)]) = 0, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where

$$(2) \quad p \in C([R =]0, \infty), (0, \infty])$$

$$(3) \quad f \in C([R^{n-1}, R], x_1 f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) > 0 \text{ for } x_1 \neq 0, (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in R^{n-1},$$

$$(4) \quad h_i \in C([R_+, R]), h_i(t) < t \text{ for } t \in R, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_i(t) = \infty, (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

The following papers [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] deal with the oscillatory properties of solutions of some nonlinear delay differential equations of the n -th order.

In this paper we shall prove a necessary and sufficient condition for all solutions of the equation (1) to be oscillatory in the case n is even and to be either oscillatory or tending monotonically to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when n is odd.

Let

$$H(t) = \min \{H_1(t), \dots, H_{n-1}(t)\},$$

where

$$H_i(t) = \inf \{h_i(x); x \searrow t \in R_i\}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1)$$

and

$$D_K = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : K \leq |x_1| \leq 2K, |x_i| \leq K, (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$$

A function $y(t)$ is said to be a solution of (1) on the interval $[H(t_0), \infty)$, $t_0 > 0$ with an initial function $\varphi \in C^{n-2}([H(t_0), t_0], R)$, if

$$(i) \quad y(t) \in C^n([t_0, \infty), R], y(t) \in C^{n-2}([H(t_0), \infty), R]$$

$$(ii) \quad y^{(k)}(t) = \varphi^{(k)}(t) \text{ for } t \in [L(t_0), t_0], (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2),$$

$$y^{(n-1)}(t_{0+}) = y_0^{(n-1)}$$

(iii) $y(t)$ satisfies (1) for every $t \geq t_0$.

In the following we shall always suppose that all the functions in (1) and the initial conditions $q, y^{(n-1)}$ guarantee the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the equation (1) for every $t \geq t_0$.

A solution $y(t)$ of (1) is called *oscillatory* on the interval $J = [t_0, \infty)$, if the set of zeros of $y(t)$ is not bounded from the right. A solution $y(t)$ of (1) is called *nonoscillatory* on J , if there exists a number $a \in J$ such that $y(t) \neq 0$ for $t > a$.

Theorem 1. *Let the functions in (1) satisfy (2), (3), (4) and in addition*

(a) $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is nondecreasing [nonincreasing] in $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-2} \in R$ [in $x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{n-1} \in R$] for n even.

If n is odd then $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is nondecreasing [nonincreasing] in $x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n-2} \in R$ [in $x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n-1} \in R$].

Then

$$(5) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) dt < \infty$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution $y(t)$ of (1) with the property

$$(V) \quad |y(t)| \rightarrow C, \quad |y^{(k)}(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad 0 < C \text{ constant,}$$

$$(k = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Proof. I. The necessary condition. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1), having the property (V). Without the loss of generality we can suppose that $y(t) > 0$ for $t \geq H(t_1) \geq t_0 \in R$. Then $y[h_1(t)] > 0$ for $t > t_1$. Integrating (1) j -times ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$) from $t(t \geq t_1)$ to ∞ , we obtain

$$(6) \quad (-1)^{j-1} y^{(n-j)}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(s-t)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} p(s)$$

$$\times f[y[h_1(s)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{(n-1)}(s)]] ds, \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1), \quad t > t_1.$$

From (6), with regard to (2), (3), for $j = n-1$, we get $(-1)^n y'(t) < 0$.

If n is even, then the nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (1), having the property (V), is increasing and therefore $0 < C - y(t) < \infty$.

When n is odd, then $y(t)$ is a decreasing solution of (1), having the property (V) and therefore $0 < y(t) - C < \infty$.

Integrating (6), for $j = n-1$, from t to ∞ , we obtain

$$(7) \quad (-1)^n(C - y(t)) \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(s - t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y[h_1(s)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) ds$$

As the function f is continuous, there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$, such that for $t \geq t_2$ we have

$$f(y[h_1(t)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(t)]) \geq \frac{1}{2} f(C, 0, \dots, 0) > 0.$$

From (7), using the last inequality, we get

$$\infty \quad (-1)^n(C - y(t)) > \frac{1}{2} f(C, 0, \dots, 0) \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(s - t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) ds, \quad t \geq t_2$$

The last inequality implies

$$\int_t^{\infty} s^{n-1}(s) ds < \infty$$

and thus the necessary condition is proved.

II. The sufficient condition. The existence of a solution $y(t)$ of (1), having the property (V), will be proved by the method of successive approximations.

a) Let n be an even number.

Let us define the sequence of functions $\{y_m(t), \dots, y_m^{(n-1)}(t)\}_m$, $t \geq H(T)$ as follows:

$$(8) \quad y_0(t) = C/2, \quad y_0^{(i)}(t) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \quad t \geq H(T), \quad C > 0$$

$$(9) \quad y_{m+1}(t) = \begin{cases} C/2 + \int_t^t \frac{(s - T)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y_m[h_1(s)], \dots, y_m^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) ds + \\ + \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(s - T)^{n-1} - (s - t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y_m[h_1(s)], \dots, y_m^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) \times \\ \times [h_{n-1}(s)] ds, \quad t \geq T \\ C/2, \quad H(T) \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

$$(10) \quad y_{m+1}^{(n)}(t) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i-1} \int_i^t (s-t)^{i-1} p(s) f(y_m[h_1(s)], \dots, y_m^{(n-2)} \\ \times [h_{n-1}(s)]) ds, & t > T, \quad (i=1, \dots, n-1) \\ 0, & H(T) \leq t < T, \quad (i=1, \dots, n-1), \end{cases}$$

where T is chosen so that

$$(11) \quad M \int_i^\infty (s-T)^{i-1} p(s) ds < \frac{C}{2}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

and

$$M = \max \{1, M_{C,2} \sup_{D_2} f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})\}.$$

By mathematical induction, with regard to (8)–(11) and the assumption (a), it is easy to prove that

$$(12) \quad \frac{C}{2} < y_m(t) < C, \quad 0 < (-1)^{i-1} y_m^{(n-i)}(t) < \frac{C}{2}, \quad t > T,$$

$$(i=1, \dots, n-1; m=0, 1, \dots)$$

$$(13) \quad y_{m-1}(t) \geq y_m(t), \quad (-1)^{i-1} y_{m-1}^{(n-i)}(t) \geq (-1)^{i-1} y_m^{(n-i)}(t), \quad t > T,$$

$$(i=2, \dots, n-1; m=0, 1, \dots)$$

From (8), (9), (10) it is obvious that the functions $y_m^{(n-i)}(t)$, ($i=1, \dots, n$) are continuous for $t \geq T$.

In view of (12), (13) the sequences $\{y_m^{(n-i)}(t)\}_{m=0}^\infty$, ($i=2, \dots, n$, $t > T$) of the continuous functions are uniformly convergent on $[T, A] \subset [T, \infty)$ ($A \in R$, $A > T$) and convergent on $[T, \infty)$, i.e. $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m^{(n-i)}(t) = y^{(n-i)}(t)$, ($i=2, \dots, n$) exist on $[T, \infty)$.

The function $y(t)$ is a solution of the integral equation

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{C}{2} + \int_i^t \frac{(s-T)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y[h_1(s)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) ds \\ + \int_i^\infty \frac{(s-T)^{n-1} - (s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y[h_1(s)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) ds, & t > T \\ \frac{C}{2}, & H(T) < t < T \end{cases}$$

and it has the property (V).

If we carry the initial point T to the point $t_0 = \sup \{t; H(t) < T, t < T\}$, then the solution $y(t)$ with the property (V) is the solution of (1) on the interval $[H(t_0) - T, \infty)$.

b) Let n be an odd number.

Let us define the sequence of functions $\{y_m(t), \dots, y_m^{(n-1)}(t)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$, $t > H(T)$, as follows:

$$(8) \quad y_0(t) = C > 0, \quad y_0^{(i)}(t) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \quad t > H(T),$$

$$(9') \quad y_{m+1}(t) = \begin{cases} C^m + \int_T^t \frac{(s-T)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y_m, h_1(s), \dots, y_m^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) ds, & t > T \\ y_{m+1}(T^+), & H(T) \leq t < T \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_m^{(i)}(t), \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$$

is defined by (10).

The point T is chosen so that

$$(11) \quad M \int_T^{\infty} (s-T)^{i-1} p(s) ds < C, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $M = \max \{1, M_C = \sup_{D_i} f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\}$.

By mathematical induction, in view of (8'), (9'), (10), (11') and the assumption (a), it is easy to prove that

$$(12) \quad C < y_m(t) \leq 2C, \quad 0 < (-1)^{i-1} y_m^{(i)}(t) < C, \quad t \geq T, \\ (i = 1, \dots, n-1; m = 0, 1, \dots)$$

$$(13') \quad (-1)^i y_{m+1}^{(i)}(t) > (-1)^i y_m^{(i)}(t), \quad t > T, \\ (i = 2, \dots, n; m = 0, 1, \dots)$$

From (8'), (9'), (10) it is obvious that the functions $y_m^{(i)}(t)$, $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ are continuous for $t > T$.

(12'), (13') imply that the sequences $\{y_m^{(i)}(t)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$, $(i = 2, \dots, n, t > T)$ of the continuous functions are uniformly convergent on $[T, B] \subset [T, \infty)$, $(B - R, B > T)$ and converge it on $[T, \infty)$. i.e. $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_m^{(i)}(t) = y^{(i)}(t)$, $(i =$

$2, \dots, n)$ exist for $t \geq T$.

The function $y(t)$ is a solution of the integral equation

$$y(t) \begin{cases} C - \int_t^T \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(y[h_1(s)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1}(s)]) ds, & t \in T \\ y(T_+), H(T) < t < T \end{cases}$$

and it has the property (V).

Similarly as above it follows that $y(t)$, having the property (V), is the solution of (1) on the interval $[H(t_0) - T, \infty)$.

Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

Lemma 1. *Let $y(t)$ be a function such that its derivatives up to order $n-1$ inclusive by arc absolutely continuous and of constant sign in the interval (t_0, ∞) and $y(t)y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$. Then there exists a number $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $n-k$ is odd and such that*

$$(14) \quad y(t)y^{(i)}(t) \geq 0, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, k),$$

$$(-1)^{n-i-1} y(t)y^{(i)}(t) > 0, \quad (i = k+1, \dots, n), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

$$(15) \quad |y^{(k)}(t)| > t^{n-k-1} |y^{(n-1)}(2^{n-k-1}t)|, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

$$(16) \quad |y^{(i)}(t)| \geq B_i t^{n-k+i-1} |y^{(n-1)}(t)|, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k), \quad t \geq 2^{n-k} t_0.$$

where

$$B_i = \frac{2^{(n-k-i)^2}}{(n-k) \dots (n-k+i-1)}.$$

The proof of Lemma 1 can be found in [6, Lemma 2].

Theorem 2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled and, in addition, suppose that*

(b) $h_i(t) = t \pm g_i(t)$, $-r \leq g_i(t) \leq 0$, $(i = 1, \dots, n-1)$, $t \in R$, $r > 0$

(c) there exists a number $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$\liminf_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})}{|x_1|^\alpha} \neq 0.$$

1. *If n is an even number, then a necessary and sufficient condition for all solutions of the equation (1) to be oscillatory is*

$$(17) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} p(t) dt = \infty.$$

2. *When n is an odd number, then (17) is the necessary and sufficient condition for all solutions of (1) to be either oscillatory or to tend monotonically to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ together with their first $n-1$ derivatives.*

Proof. I. The necessary condition follows from Theorem 1.

II. Condition (17) is sufficient. Let (17) hold and let $y(t)$ be a solution of the equation (1) such that $y(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0 - r$. Then $y_i[g_1(t)] > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$ and with regard to (2), (3), we have

$$y^{(n)}(t) - p(t)f(y_t[g_1(t)], y'_t[g_2(t)], \dots, y_t^{(n-2)}[g_{n-1}(t)]) < 0, \quad t > t_0.$$

From $y^{(n)}(t) < 0$ it follows that there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $y^{(j)}(t)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) have constant sign for $t > t_1$. Then, by Lemma 1, for $y(t)$ and its derivatives (14)–(16) hold.

1. Let n be an even number. Then, by Lemma 1, there exists an odd number $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $y(t)y^{(k)}(t) > 0$, $i = 0, \dots, k$, $t > t_1$. Therefore, in view of Theorem 1, we have $y(\infty) = \infty$.

2. If n is an odd number, then by Lemma 1, there exists a number $k \in \{0, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $n+k$ is odd. Let $k=0$. Then (14) implies $y'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_1$. By Theorem 1 and (14) we have $y^{(i)}(\infty) = 0$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus we proved that $y(t)$ tends monotonically to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ together with its first $n-1$ derivatives.

If $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, n-1\}$, then $y'(t) > 0$, $y''(t) > 0$ and therefore $y(\infty) = \infty$.

In general, the case $y(\infty) = \infty$, $y'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$ can occur only for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

Integrating (1) from $t(t \geq t_1)$ to ∞ and then using $y^{(n-1)}(\infty) = C = 0$, we obtain

$$(18) \quad y^{(n-1)}(t) > y^{(n-1)}(t) - y^{(n-1)}(\infty) = \int_t^\infty p(s)f(y_s[g_1(s)], y'_s[g_2(s)], \dots, y_s^{(n-2)}[g_{n-1}(s)]) ds, \quad t > t_1$$

A) Let $k=1$. Then (15) implies

$$y'(t) \geq t^{n-2}y^{(n-1)}(2^{n-2}t), \quad t \geq t_1$$

From (18), with regard to the last inequality and the assumption (a), we have

$$y'(t) > t^{n-2} \int_{2^{n-2}t}^\infty p(s)f(y_s[g_1(s)], y'_s[g_2(s)], \dots, y_s^{(n-2)}[g_{n-1}(s)]) ds, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $\{y_t[-r]\}^{-\alpha}$ and using $y'(t) > 0$, $y''(t) < 0$ and assumption (a), we get

$$(19) \quad \frac{y'_t[-r]}{\{y_t[-r]\}^\alpha} \geq \frac{y'(t)}{\{y_t[-r]\}^\alpha} \geq$$

$$t^{n-2} \int_{2^{n-2}t}^{\infty} p(s) \frac{f(y_s[|r|], y'_s[g_2(s)], \dots, y_s^{(n-2)}[g_{n-1}(s)])}{\{y_s[|r|\}^\alpha} ds$$

According to the assumption (c) and the fact $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_t[|r|] = \infty$, we can choose $T_1 > t_1$ such that for $s > 2^{n-2}T_1$ we have

$$\frac{f(y_s[|r|], y'_s[g_2(s)], \dots, y_s^{(n-2)}[g_{n-1}(s)])}{\{y_s[|r|\}^\alpha} < d < 0$$

Then (19) implies

$$\frac{y'_t[|r|]}{\{y_t[|r|\}^\alpha} > d t^{n-2} \int_{2^{n-2}t}^{\infty} p(s) ds, \quad t > T_1$$

Integrating the last inequality from T_1 to $t (t > T_1)$, we obtain

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\{y_{T_1}[|r|\}^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{1}{\{y_t[|r|\}^{\alpha-1}} \right) \\ < \frac{d}{n-1} \int_{2^{n-2}T_1}^{2^{n-2}t} [(2^{2-n}s)^{n-1} - T_1^{n-1}] p(s) ds + d \frac{t^{n-1} - T_1^{n-1}}{n-1} \\ < \int_{2^{n-2}t}^{\infty} p(s) ds < \frac{d}{n-1} \int_{2^{n-2}T_1}^{2^{n-2}t} [(2^{2-n}s)^{n-1} - T_1^{n-1}] p(s) ds.$$

The first expression in (20) is bounded because $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_t[|r|] = \infty$, $\alpha > 1$ and therefore

$$(21) \quad \int_{2^{n-2}T_1}^{\infty} [(2^{2-n}s)^{n-1} - T_1^{n-1}] p(s) ds < \infty.$$

If we choose $2^{2-n}s \geq 2^{2-n}t_2 \geq 2^{1-n}T_1$, then $(2^{2-n}s)^{n-1} - T_1^{n-1} = (2^{-n}t_2)^{n-1} - 2^{-n}T_1^{n-1} > 0$ and so (21) implies

$$\int_{t_2}^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) ds < \infty,$$

which contradicts (17).

B. Let $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$. Then from (16) we get

$$(22) \quad y'(t) \geq B_{k-1} t^{n-2} y^{(k-1)}(t), \quad t > t_3 = 2^{n-k}t_1,$$

where

$$B_{k-1} = \frac{1}{(n-1)^2(n-k) \dots (n-2)}$$

From (18), in view of the monotonicity of the function $y^{(n-1)}(t)$, the assumption (a) and (22), we get

$$y'(t-r) > B_{k-1} [t-r]^{n-2} \int_t^{\sigma} p(s) f(y_s[r], y'_s[g_2(s)], \dots, y_s^{(n-2)}[g_{n-1}(s)]) ds, \\ > t_1 > t_3 - r.$$

Further, exactly as in the case A, (i.e. we multiply the last inequality by $\{y(t-r)\}^{-\alpha}$, use (c) and finally we integrate from $T_2 - 2^{n-2}T_1 - t_1$ to t) we get

$$\int_{T_2}^{\sigma} (s-r)^n - (t_1-r)^{n-1} p(s) ds < \infty.$$

That contradicts (17).

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Theorem 2 generalizes Theorem 2 [4].

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 can be generalized to the equation

$$(23) \quad y^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(y[h_{1,i}(t)], \dots, y^{(n-2)}[h_{n-1,i}(t)]) = 0, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The proof of Theorem 1' Theorem 2' is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1 [Theorem 2] and we omit it here.

Theorem 1'. Let the functions $p_i, f_i, h_{j,i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n-1$) satisfy (2), (3), (4) and, in addition let f_i ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$) satisfy the assumption (a) in Theorem 1.

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{\infty} t^{n-1} p_i(t) dt < \infty$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution $y(t)$ of (23), having the property (V).

Theorem 2'. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1' be satisfied and in addition let

$$(b) \quad h_{j,i}(t) = t + g_{j,i}(t), \quad r < g_{j,i}(t) < 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1; i = 1, \dots, m), \\ t \in R, \quad r > 0;$$

(c) let there exist a number $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$\liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x_1^\alpha} |f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| \neq 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

1) If n is an even number, then

$$(25) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_1^\infty t^{n-1} p_i(t) dt = \infty$$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for all solutions of (23) to be oscillatory

2) Let n be an odd number. Then (25) is a necessary and sufficient condition for all solutions of (23) to be either oscillatory or to tend monotonically to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ together with their first $n - 1$ derivatives.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] КИГУРАДЗЕ, П. Т.: К вопросу колеблемости решений нелинейных дифференциальных уравнений. Дифф. Уравнения 8, 1965, 999—10006.
- [2] KUSANO T. and ONOSE H.: Oscillation of Solutions of Nonlinear Differential Delay Equations of Arbitrary Order. Hiroshima Math. J. 1, 1972, 1—13.
- [3] LADAS G.: Oscillation and Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Differential Equations with Retarded Argument. J. diff. Equations 10, 1971, 281—290.
- [4] LIČKO I. and ŠVEC M.: Le caractère oscillatoire des solutions de l'équation $y' + f(x)y^\alpha = 0$, $n > 1$. Czech. Math. J. 13, 1963, 481—491.
- [5] MARUŠIAK P.: Note on the Ladas Paper on Oscillation and Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Differential Equations with Retarded Argument. J. diff. Equations 1, 1973, 450—456.
- [6] MARUŠIAK P.: Oscillation of Solutions of the Delay Differential Equation $y^{(2)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)f_i(y[h_i(t)]) = 0$, $n > 1$. Čas. Pěst. Mat. 1, 1974, 131—141.
- [7] ONOSE H.: Some Oscillation Criteria for n -th Order Nonlinear Delay-Differential Equations. Hiroshima Math. J. 2, 1971, 171—176.
- [8] STAIKOS V. A. and SFICAS Y. G.: Oscillatory and Asymptotic Behavior of Functional Differential Equations. J. diff. Equations 3, 1972, 426—437.
- [9] ШЕВЕ.Ю, В. П. и ВАРЕХ, Н. В.: О некоторых свойствах решений дифференциальных уравнений с запаздыванием. Укр. Мат. Ж. 6, 1972, 807—813.

Received January 5, 1973

*Katedra matematiky
Strojno-elektrotechnická fakulta
Vysoká škola dopravná
Marxa Engelsa 25
010 88 Žilina*