

Juraj Hromkovič

On the number of monotonic functions from two-valued logic to k -valued logic

Kybernetika, Vol. 21 (1985), No. 3, 228--234

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125538>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE NUMBER OF MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS FROM TWO-VALUED LOGIC TO k -VALUED LOGIC

JURAJ HROMKOVIČ

We deal with the generalized Dedekind's problem, i.e. with the determination of the number $\varphi(n)$ of monotonic functions of n variables from two-valued logic to k -valued logic in this paper. Improving the lower and upper bounds of $\varphi(n)$ we obtain an asymptotic estimate of $\log_2 \varphi(n)$.

0. INTRODUCTION

The problem of number determination of monotonic functions of n variables $\psi(n)$ was formulated and solved for $n = 4$ by Dedekind [3] in 1897. For $n = 5$ and $n = 6$, this problem was solved in Church [2] and in Ward [12] respectively. The further authors bringing the essential improvement of the estimates of $\psi(n)$ were Gilbert [4], Korobkov [8, 9, 10], Hansel [5], and Kleitman [7] who gave an asymptotic estimate of $\log_2 \psi(n)$. The best known result obtained is Korshunov's asymptotic estimate (*) of $\psi(n)$ (of [11])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad \psi(n) &\simeq 2^{\binom{n}{n/2}} \exp \left\{ \binom{n}{n/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+5}} - \frac{n}{2^{n+4}} \right) \right\} \quad \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\
 \psi(n) &\simeq 2 \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{(n-1)/2}} \exp \left\{ \binom{n}{(n-3)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+3)/2}} - \frac{n^2}{2^{n+6}} - \frac{n}{2^{n+3}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \binom{n}{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+4}} \right) \right\} \quad \text{for } n \text{ odd.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Besides the classical Dedekind's problem a more general problem -- the problem of the determination of the number of n variables monotonic functions from m -valued logic to k -valued logic has been formulated. The best known results concerning the solution of this generalized task can be found in Alexejev [1]. Since we shall deal with a special cases of the task introduced, with the number determination of n -variables monotonic functions from two-valued logic to k -valued logic (denoted

by $\varphi(n)$, we state Alexejev's results (1') and (2') for $\varphi(n)$ only

$$(1') \quad 2^{\frac{k-1}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)}}} 2^{n(1+\varepsilon'_1(n))} \leq \varphi(n) \leq 2^{\frac{k-1}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)}}} 2^{n(1+\varepsilon'_2(n))},$$

where

$$\varepsilon'_2(n) = \frac{c \cdot \log_2(2n+1)}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon'_1(n) = 0,$$

$$(2') \quad \log_2 \varphi(n) = \frac{k-1}{\sqrt{(2\pi n)}} 2^n(1+\varepsilon'(n)), \quad \text{where } \varepsilon'(n) = \frac{c \cdot \log_2(2n+1)}{n^{1/4}}.$$

The results of this paper are lower bound (Theorem 2) and an upper bound (Theorem 5) on $\varphi(n)$ which does not contain the additional member in the exponent of 2. Using these bounds we obtain in Section 4 the underlying asymptotic estimate of $\varphi(n)$ which is more precise than (2'):

$$\log_2 \varphi(n) = (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 + \varepsilon(n)), \quad \text{where } |\varepsilon(n)| \leq \frac{k^2}{n}.$$

The paper consists of four sections. In Section 1 the basic definitions and notations used are given. The lower bound and the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ are obtained in Section 2 and 3 respectively. The above stated estimate of $\log_2 \varphi(n)$ is given in Section 4.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

In this section we define some basic notions which we shall use in this paper.

The set $B^n = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is called *n-dimensional cube*. The vectors $\tilde{\alpha}^n = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ [or simply $\tilde{\alpha}$] in B^n are called the *vertices* of the *n-dimensional cube* B^n .

The *norm* of a vertex $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ is defined as the number of coordinates which are equal to one, i.e.

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}^n\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

The set of all vertices of B^n having the norm k is called the *k-th sphere* of B^n , and denoted by B_k^n .

The *distance* between $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ in B^n is the number

$$Q(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i - \beta_i|,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$. The vertices $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ of B^n are called *adjacent* iff $Q(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = 1$. An unordered pair of adjacent vertices is called the *edge* of B^n .

We say that the vertex $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ *precedes* the vertex $\tilde{\beta}^n$ (we denote $\tilde{\alpha}^n \leq \tilde{\beta}^n$) iff $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $\tilde{\alpha}^n \leq \tilde{\beta}^n$ or $\tilde{\beta}^n \leq \tilde{\alpha}^n$ holds then $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ and $\tilde{\beta}^n$ are called *comparable*. In the opposite case $\tilde{\alpha}^n$ and $\tilde{\beta}^n$ are called *incomparable*.

The set $A \subseteq B^n$ is called *independent* iff, for all $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ in A , $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are incomparable. We shall denote the class of all independent sets of B^n by A^n . Clearly $A^n \subseteq 2^{B^n}$.

The function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) [f: B^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}]$ defined on B^n and gaining the values from $\{0, 1\}$ is called the *Boolean function of n variables*. The *monotonic* Boolean function f is each Boolean function f satisfying condition $f(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq f(\tilde{\beta})$ for all $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ in B^n such that $\tilde{\alpha} \leq \tilde{\beta}$. $\psi(n)$ will denote the number of all monotonic Boolean functions of n variables.

The function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) [f: B^n \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}]$ is called the *function from two-valued logic to k -valued logic*, or simply the $(2, k)$ function. The $(2, k)$ function f is called *monotonic $(2, k)$ function* if, for all $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ in B^n such that $\tilde{\alpha} \leq \tilde{\beta}$, $f(\tilde{\alpha}) \leq f(\tilde{\beta})$ holds. The number of all monotonic $(2, k)$ functions of n variables is denoted by $\varphi(n)$. Obviously, the notions $(2, 2)$ function and Boolean function are equivalent.

A set $A_{\{\tilde{\alpha}\}} = \{\tilde{\alpha} \text{ in } B^n \mid \tilde{\alpha} \geq \tilde{\alpha}\}$, for $\tilde{\alpha} \in B^n$, is said to be the *interval* of B^n . Using the notation of interval we introduce the following notation. Let $C \subseteq B^n$. Then $A_C = \bigcup_{\tilde{\alpha} \in C} A_{\{\tilde{\alpha}\}}$.

For each $(2, k)$ function f , we shall consider the set system $N_f = \{N_f^0, N_f^1, \dots, N_f^{k-1}\}$, where $N_f^j = \{\tilde{\alpha} \text{ in } B^n \mid f(\tilde{\alpha}) = j\}$. Clearly, $B^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} N_f^i$ and $N_f^j \cap N_f^k = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$.

Concluding this section we give some notations. Let L be a set. Then $|L|$ denotes the number of elements in L . Let m be a real number $\lfloor m \rfloor$ ($\lceil m \rceil$) is the *floor* (*ceiling*) of m .

2. THE LOWER BOUND OF $\varphi(n)$

We shall obtain the lower bound (1) of the number of all monotonic functions from two-valued logic to k -valued logic in this section. We shall use a similar idea as in Alexjev [1] but our proof technique utilizing the nice properties of the n -dimensional cube helps to obtain the finer estimate of $\varphi(n)$ than (1').

Theorem 1. Let $\{S_1, \dots, S_{k-1}\} \subseteq 2^{B^n}$ be a set system, where S_i are independent for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Let $1 \leq r < s \leq k-1$. and for no two $\tilde{\alpha}$ in S_r and $\tilde{\beta}$ in S_s $\tilde{\alpha} \geq \tilde{\beta}$ holds. Then $\varphi(n) \geq 2^d$, where $d = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S_i|$.

Proof. We show using the set system $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1}\}$ that 2^d different, monotonic functions from 2-valued logic to k -valued logic can be constructed. Let $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ be a set system, where $S'_i \subseteq S_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Clearly, for $1 \leq i < j \leq k-1$ and all $\tilde{\alpha}$ in S'_i , all $\tilde{\beta}$ in S'_j the negation of $\tilde{\beta} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ holds (i.e. $\tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\beta}$ or $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are incomparable). It can be easy seen that there exists exactly

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{|S'_i|} = 2^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |S'_i|} = 2^d$$

different set systems $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ chosen from the basic set system $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1}\}$.

In what follows we shall show that a monotonic $(2, k)$ function can be assigned to each set system $\{S'_1, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ in such a way that two different, monotonic $(2, k)$ functions are assigned to different set systems $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$. Obviously, this will prove our assertion.

We define a decomposition of B^n to k disjoint sets D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{k-1} according to a set system $\{S'_1, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ in the following way.

$$D_{k-1} = A_{S'_{k-1}}, \quad D_{k-2} = A_{S'_{k-2}} - \bigcup_{j=2}^{k-1} D_j, \dots, D_i = A_{S'_i} - \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{k-1} D_j, \dots$$

$$\dots, D_1 = A_{S'_1} - \bigcup_{j=2}^{k-1} D_j, \quad D_0 = B^n - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} D_j.$$

Then putting $N_j^i = D_i$, for all $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, the set system N_j determines unambiguously a $(2, k)$ function.

Let us show that the $(2, k)$ function defined in the way introduced above is monotonic. We prove it by contradiction. Let there exist $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ in B^n , such that $\tilde{\alpha} > \tilde{\beta}$ and $i = f(\tilde{\alpha}) < f(\tilde{\beta}) = j$ for some i, j in $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Then

$$\tilde{\alpha} \in D_i = A_{S'_i} - \bigcup_{c=i+1}^{k-1} D_c \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta} \in D_j = A_{S'_j} - \bigcup_{c=j+1}^{k-1} D_c.$$

But, considering the properties of $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$ and the construction of D_i and D_j we see that for all $\tilde{\gamma}$ in D_i and all $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in D_j the negation of $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\epsilon}$ holds. It means that either $\tilde{\alpha} < \tilde{\beta}$ or $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are incomparable, what is the contradiction with the assumption $\tilde{\alpha} > \tilde{\beta}$.

Now we shall show that different $(2, k)$ functions f' and f'' are assigned to different set systems $\mathcal{S}' = \{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1}\}$, and $\mathcal{S}'' = \{S''_1, S''_2, \dots, S''_{k-1}\}$. Since $\mathcal{S}' \neq \mathcal{S}''$ there exists c in $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $S'_c \neq S''_c$. Without loss of generality we can assume that there exists $\tilde{\alpha}$ in S'_c such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ does not belong to S''_c . Clearly, $f'(\tilde{\alpha}) = c$. Let us assume $f' \equiv f''$ what implies $f''(\tilde{\alpha}) = c$. It follows that there exists $\tilde{\beta}$ in S''_c such that $\tilde{\beta} < \tilde{\alpha}$. So, $f''(\tilde{\beta}) = c$ implies $f'(\tilde{\beta}) = c$, what can hold iff there exists $\tilde{\gamma}$ in S'_c such that $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\beta}$. But this is a contradiction with the independence of the set S'_c because $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\beta} < \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\alpha}$ belong to S'_c . \square

Theorem 2. Let n, k be natural numbers, $n \geq k \geq 2$. Then

1. $\varphi(n) \geq 2^{\binom{n}{n/2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2)/2} \binom{n}{(n/2)-i}}$ for n, k even,
2. $\varphi(n) \geq 2^{\sum_{i=0}^{(k-3)/2} \binom{n}{(n/2)-i}}$ for n, k odd,
3. $\varphi(n) \geq 2^{\binom{n}{(n/2)+k/2} + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)/2} \binom{n}{(n/2)-i}}$ for n odd and k even,
4. $\varphi(n) \geq 2^{\binom{n}{n/2} + \binom{n}{(n/2)+(k-1)/2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2)/2} \binom{n}{(n/2)-i}}$ for n even and k odd.

Proof. Considering the result of theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that there exists a set system $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^{B^n}$ fulfilling the assumptions of Theorem 1 such that the cardinality sum of sets in \mathcal{S} is equal to binary logarithm of the lower bound of $\varphi(n)$. Clearly, the spheres B_i^n are independent sets and the set system $\mathcal{S} = \{B_{a_1}^n, B_{a_2}^n, \dots, B_{a_{k-1}}^n\}$, where $a_i < a_m$ for $i < m$, fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 1. So, choosing the most powerful (according to the cardinality) spheres to \mathcal{S} we obtain the assertion of Theorem 2. \square

3. THE UPPER BOUND OF $\varphi(n)$

To obtain the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ we use a new method based on the following two theorems. We shall not prove the assertion formulated in Theorem 3 because it is well-known [6, 7].

Theorem 3. The number of monotonic Boolean functions of n variables is equal to the number of all independent sets in 2^{B^n} .

Theorem 4. Let \mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n be the set of all $(k-1)$ -tuples $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1})$, where S_i is an independent set of B^n for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Then $\varphi(n) \leq |\mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n|$.

Proof. We shall prove the assertion introduced showing that a $(k-1)$ -tuple of independent sets of B^n can be unambiguously assigned to each monotonic $(2, k)$ function in such a way that different $(k-1)$ -tuples are assigned to different, monotonic $(2, k)$ functions f_1, f_2 .

Let f be a monotonic $(2, k)$ function of n variables. Let $\mathcal{N}_f = \{N_f^0, N_f^1, \dots, N_f^{k-1}\}$. Let $S_i \subseteq N_f^i$ be the set of minimal vectors of N_f^i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Then we have a $(k-1)$ -tuple $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1})$ for each monotonic $(2, k)$ function. Clearly, the sets S_i are independent.

Now, we shall show that two different $(k-1)$ -tuples $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1})$ and $(S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_{k-1})$ are assigned to different monotonic $(2, k)$ functions f and f' . Let us consider two set systems \mathcal{N}_f and $\mathcal{N}_{f'}$ for two different monotonic $(2, k)$ functions f and f' respectively. Then there exists i in $\{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $N_f^i \neq N_{f'}^i$. We can assume without the loss of generality that there exists $\tilde{\alpha}$ in N_f^i such that $\tilde{\alpha} \notin N_{f'}^i$. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be such vector in S_i that $\tilde{\beta} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ (obviously, such a vector must exist). If $\tilde{\beta}$ does not belong to S'_i the proof is completed. Let us consider the possibility that $\tilde{\beta} \in S'_i$. Realizing that $\tilde{\alpha} \notin N_{f'}^i$, and f' is monotonic we obtain $\tilde{\alpha} \in N_{f'}^j$ for $j > i$. So, there exists $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $N_{f'}^j$ such that $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in S'_j$. Obviously $\tilde{\gamma}$ cannot belong to S_j because $\tilde{\gamma} \in S_j$ implies $j = f(\tilde{\gamma}) > f(\tilde{\alpha}) = i$, what is a contradiction with the fact $\tilde{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\alpha}$. \square

Before formulating the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ in the following Theorem 5 we note that the equality between $\varphi(n)$ and $|\mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n|$ does not hold.

Theorem 5.

$$\varphi(n) \leq 2^{(k-1)\binom{n}{n/2}} \exp \left\{ (k-1) \binom{n}{n/2-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+5}} - \frac{n}{2^{n-4}} \right) \right\}$$

(1 + $\gamma_1(n)$) for n even, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_1(n) = 0$,

$$\varphi(n) \leq 2^{k-1} 2^{(k-1)\binom{n}{(n-1)/2}} \exp \left\{ (k-1) \left[\binom{n}{(n-3)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+3)/2}} - \frac{n^2}{2^{n+6}} - \frac{n}{2^{n+3}} \right) + \binom{n}{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} + \frac{n^2}{2^{n+4}} \right) \right] \right\} (1 + \gamma_2(n))$$

for n odd, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_2(n) = 0$.

Proof. Considering the result of Theorems 3 and 4 we obtain

$$\varphi(n) \leq |\mathbb{M}_{k-1}^n| = \lceil \psi(n) \rceil^{k-1}.$$

Using Korshunov's estimate of $\psi(n)$, and a simple arrangement we obtain the assertion of Theorem 5. □

4. THE ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATE OF BINARY LOGARITHM OF $\varphi(n)$

In this section we give an asymptotic estimate of binary logarithm of the number of monotonic $(2, k)$ functions which is more precise than the estimate of Alexjev [1]. We obtain it in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. $\log_2 \varphi(n) \geq (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 - k^2/n).$

Proof. It is no hard technical problem to show that

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - i} \geq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 - i/n),$$

where i as a constant. Using this fact and the assertion of Theorem 2 we have

$$\varphi(n) \geq 2^{(k-1)\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 - k^2/n)}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. $\log_2 \varphi(n) \leq (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(1 + \frac{c}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \right)$, for a constant c .

Proof. Taking logarithms of the upper bound of $\varphi(n)$ and doing some simple arrangements the result of Lemma 2 can be obtained. □

Theorem 6. $\varphi(n) = (k-1) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 + O(1/n))$.

Proof. It is the direct consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Eduard Toman for many interesting and helpful discussions and for some comments concerning this work.

(Received February 20, 1984.)

REFERENCES

- [1] V. B. Alexeev: O číslu monotónnych k -znaczných funkcií. *Problemy kibernetiky* 28 (1974), 5–24.
- [2] R. Church: Numerical analysis of certain free distributive structures. *Duke Math. J.* 6 (1940), 732–734.
- [3] R. Dedekind: Über Zerlegungen von Zahlen durch ihre grössten gemeinsamen Teiler. *Festschrift Hoch. Braunschweig u. ges. Werke II* (1897), 103–148.
- [4] E. N. Gilbert: Lattice theoretic properties of frontal switching functions. *J. Math. Phys.* 33 (1954), 57–67.
- [5] G. Hansel: Sur le nombre des fonctions booléennes monotones de n variables. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 262 (1966), 1088–1090.
- [6] J. Hromkovič: On the number of monotonic Boolean functions. *Computers and Artificial Intelligence* 3 (1984), 4, 319–329.
- [7] D. Kleitman: On Dedekind's problem: the number of monotone Boolean functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 21 (1969), 3, 677–682.
- [8] V. K. Korobkov: O monotónnych funkciách algebri logiki. *Problemy kibernetiki* 13 (1965), 5–28.
- [9] V. K. Korobkov: K voprosu o číslu monotónnych funkcií algebri logiki. *Diskretnyj analiz* 1 (1963).
- [10] V. K. Korobkov: Ocenka čísla monotónnych funkcií algebri logiki i složnosti algoritma otyskania razrašajuščevo množstva dla proizvolnoj monotónnoj funkcii algebri logiki. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 150 (1963), 4, 744–747.
- [11] A. D. Korshunov: O číslu monotónnych Bulevých funkcií. *Problemy kibernetiki* 38 (1981), 5–109.
- [12] M. Ward: Note on the order of free distributive lattices, Abstract 135. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1946), 423.

RNDr. Juraj Hromkovič, *Katedra teoretickej kybernetiky MFF Univerzity Komenského (Department of Theoretical Cybernetics – Comenius University), 842 15 Bratislava. Czechoslovakia.*