

Palaniappan Kannappan; Prasanna K. Sahoo

On a functional equation connected to sum form nonadditive information measures on an open domain. I.

*Kybernetika*, Vol. 22 (1986), No. 3, 268--275

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125473>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

*Terms of use.*



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

**ON A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION CONNECTED  
TO SUM FORM NONADDITIVE INFORMATION  
MEASURE ON AN OPEN DOMAIN — I**

PL. KANNAPPAN, P. K. SAHOO

Shannon's entropy is additive. However, there are information measures such as entropy of degree  $\beta$  which are nonadditive. The sum form representation of these measures along with additivity and nonadditivity properties yields many interesting functional equations for instance (S) and (4). In this paper, we find the measurable solutions of the functional equation (4) on an open domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Gamma_n^0 = \{P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) | p_k > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$  be the set of all finite complete discrete probability distributions and  $\Gamma_n$  be the closure of  $\Gamma_n^0$ . In analysing the additivity and sum property of Shannon's entropy one comes across the following functional equation

$$(S) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j),$$

where  $P \in \Gamma_n$  and  $Q \in \Gamma_m$ . The entropy of degree  $\beta$

$$(1) \quad H_n^\beta(P) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta - 1}{2^{1-\beta} - 1} \quad (\beta \neq 1)$$

proposed by Havrda and Charvát [3] is nonadditive. If we write

$$(2) \quad f(p) = \frac{p^\beta - p}{2^{1-\beta} - 1},$$

then the entropy of degree  $\beta$  takes the form

$$(3) \quad H_n^\beta(P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i).$$

The function  $f$  in (3) is called the generating function and satisfies the functional equation

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j),$$

where  $P \in \Gamma_n$ ,  $Q \in \Gamma_m$ , and  $\lambda = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)$ . The functional equation (4) was solved in [2] when  $f$  is continuous and (4) holds for all  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . The continuous solutions of (4) can also be found in [4]. In [5], the equation (4) was solved when the function  $f$  is Lebesgue measurable and (4) holds for some (arbitrary but) fixed pair of integers  $(n, m)$  with  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 3$ . The equation (4) was solved in [7] when  $f$  is Lebesgue measurable and (4) holds for some (arbitrary but) fixed pair of integers  $(n, m)$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$ . In [2, 4, 5, 7, 8] the solution of (4) on  $[0, 1]$  was found using 0-probability under various regularity conditions. The need for the solution of (4) on the open domain  $[0, 1]$  arises from the awkwardness in the definition of  $0^\beta = 0$ . It is also a priori quite possible that there may exist solutions other than those on  $[0, 1]$  restricted to  $]0, 1[$ . See in this regard [1, 6, 9, 10] for solution of similar equations on open domains. In this paper, we find the measurable solutions of the functional equation (4) on the open domain for  $m$  and  $n$  greater than 2.

## 2. SOLUTION OF (4) ON $]0, 1[$

In order to solve (4) we make use of the following results.

**Result 1 [10].** Let  $f_i: ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (reals) and satisfy the functional equation

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(p_i) = 0 \quad (0 < p_i < 1, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1)$$

for arbitrary (but fixed)  $n \geq 3$  and at least one of the  $f_i$ 's be measurable. Then the  $f_i$ 's are given by

$$(6) \quad f_i(p) = ap + b_i,$$

where  $a$  and  $b_i$ 's are arbitrary constants satisfying

$$(7) \quad a + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 0.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f: ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable and satisfy the functional equation

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j),$$

for a fixed pair of positive integers  $n, m (\geq 3)$  and for all  $P \in \Gamma_n^0$  and  $Q \in \Gamma_m^0$ . Then

$$(9) \quad f(p) = p^\beta, \quad p \in ]0, 1[ ,$$

or

$$(10) \quad f(p) = Ap + B, \quad p \in ]0, 1[ ,$$

where  $\beta$  is an arbitrary constant and  $A, B$  are constants satisfying

$$(11) \quad (A + mnB) = (A + nB)(A + mB).$$

Proof. Fix  $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m^0$  temporarily and let

$$(12) \quad F(p) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(pq_j) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(q_j) f(p), \quad p \in ]0, 1[ .$$

Using (12) in (8), we obtain

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n F(p_i) = 0.$$

The measurable solution of (13) is given by (see Result 1)

$$(14) \quad F(p) = A(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) [1 - np].$$

Letting  $p = \alpha(\neq 1/n)$ , where  $\alpha$  is any fixed real number in  $]0, 1[$ , in (14) and (12), we get

$$(15) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m f(pq_j) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(p) f(q_j) = [1 - np] [1 - n\alpha]^{-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m f(\alpha q_j) - \sum_{j=1}^m f(\alpha) f(q_j) \right].$$

Equation (15) holds for all  $p \neq 1/n$ . But from (12) and (13), we see that (15) holds also for  $p = 1/n$ . Fixing  $p(\neq \alpha)$  we define  $\delta(p) = [1 - np] [1 - n\alpha]^{-1}$  and

$$(16) \quad g(q) = f(pq) - f(p) f(q) - \delta(p) f(\alpha q) + \delta(p) f(\alpha) f(q), \quad q \in ]0, 1[ ;$$

By use of (16), equation (15) can be reduced to (5) and hence

$$(17) \quad g(q) = B(p) [1 - mq].$$

From (17) and (16) we get

$$(18) \quad f(pq) - f(p) f(q) = \delta(p) f(\alpha q) - \delta(p) f(\alpha) f(q) + B(p) [1 - mq], \quad p \neq \alpha.$$

Letting  $q = \alpha$  in (18), we get

$$(19) \quad f(\alpha p) - f(\alpha) f(p) = [1 - np] [1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [f(\alpha^2) - f(\alpha) f(\alpha)] + [1 - m\alpha] B(p).$$

Hence (19) yields

$$(20) \quad B(p) = [1 - m\alpha]^{-1} [f(\alpha p) - f(\alpha) f(p)] - c_1 [1 - np],$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant. Putting (20) into (19), we get

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} f(pq) - f(p) f(q) &= \\ &= [1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [1 - np] [f(\alpha q) - f(\alpha) f(q)] - c_1 [1 - np] [1 - mq] + \\ &\quad + [1 - m\alpha]^{-1} [1 - mq] [f(\alpha p) - f(\alpha) f(p)], \quad p \neq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging  $p$  and  $q$  in (21), we get

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & [f(\alpha p) - f(\alpha)f(p)] ([1 - m\alpha]^{-1} [1 - mq] - [1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [1 - nq]) \\ & + [f(\alpha q) - f(\alpha)f(q)] ([1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [1 - np] - [1 - m\alpha]^{-1} [1 - mp]) = \\ & = c_1 [1 - np] [1 - mq] - c_1 [1 - nq] [1 - mp]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $m \neq n$ , we get from (22)

$$(23) \quad [f(\alpha p) - f(\alpha)f(p) - c_1] (q - \alpha) = [f(\alpha q) - f(\alpha)f(q) - c_1] (p - \alpha),$$

Hence, from (23), we get

$$(24) \quad f(\alpha p) - f(\alpha)f(p) = a_1 p + b_1$$

and from (24) and (20) we obtain

$$(25) \quad B(p) = a_2 p + b_2.$$

Using (24) and (25) in (18), we get

$$(26) \quad f(pq) - f(p)f(q) = ap + bp + cpq + d, \quad p, q \neq \alpha,$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are constants, in the case  $m \neq n$ .

If the right hand side of (18) is not equal to 0, then by using (26), we can write

$$(27) \quad f(pqr) = f(pq)f(r) + apq + br + cpqr + d$$

for  $p, q, r (\neq \alpha) \in ]0, 1[$ . By using (26) in (27), we get

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} f(pqr) = f(p)f(q)f(r) + [ap + bq + cpq + d]f(r) + apq + br + \\ + cpqr + d \end{aligned}$$

also

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} f(pqr) = f(p)f(q)f(r) + [ap + br + cpr + d]f(q) + apr + \\ + bq + cpqr + d. \end{aligned}$$

Fixing  $p$  and  $q$ , i.e.  $p = p_0$  and  $q = q_0$ , in the right hand sides of (28) and (29) so that right hand side of (26) is not 0 (which is possible by the hypothesis), we get

$$(30) \quad f(r) = Ar + B, \quad r \neq \alpha,$$

where  $A, B$  are constants. Putting (30) into (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (Ap + mB) - (Ap + B)(A + mB) = \\ & = [1 - np] [1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [(A\alpha + mB) - (A + mB)f(\alpha)]. \end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients of  $p$  and the constant terms from both sides of the above equation, we get

$$(31) \quad A - A^2 - mAB + n[1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [(A\alpha + mB) - (A + mB)f(\alpha)] = 0,$$

and

$$(32) \quad mB - AB - mB^2 - [1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [(A\alpha + mB) - (A + mB)f(\alpha)] = 0.$$

From (31) and (32), first we get the condition (11). Using (11) in (32), we obtain

$$(33) \quad (A + mB)f(x) = (A + mB)(Ax + B).$$

If  $A + mB \neq 0$ , then

$$(34) \quad f(x) = Ax + B.$$

If  $A + mB = 0$ , then, by (11)  $A + mnB = 0$ . Hence,  $A = 0 = B$  and

$$(35) \quad f(r) = 0, \quad r \neq \alpha.$$

Letting  $p_1 = \alpha = q_1$  in (8) and using (35), we get

$$(36) \quad f(x) = 0.$$

Hence, by (30), (34), (35) and (36), we get

$$(10) \quad f(p) = Ap + B,$$

for all  $p \in ]0, 1[$  with (11).

On the other hand, if the right hand side of (18) is zero, we get

$$(37) \quad [1 - np][1 - n\alpha]^{-1} [f(xq) - f(x)f(q)] = -B(p)[1 - mq],$$

and

$$(38) \quad f(pq) = f(p)f(q), \quad p \neq \alpha.$$

From (37), we get

$$(39) \quad f(xq) - f(x)f(q) = c_2[1 - mq].$$

for all  $q \in ]0, 1[$  and  $c_2$  is a constant. Using (39), and (38), we have

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} c_2(1 - mqt) &= f(xqt) - f(x)f(qt), \\ &= [f(xq) - f(x)f(q)]f(t) = c_2(1 - mq)f(t), \end{aligned}$$

from which we can conclude that  $c_2 = 0$  so that  $f(xq) - f(x)f(q) = 0$ , that is,

$$(41) \quad f(pq) = f(p)f(q), \quad p, q \in ]0, 1[.$$

The measurable solutions of (41) are given by (9) and  $f(p) = 0$ .

Now let us consider the case  $m = n$ . Let  $x \in ]0, 1[$ ,  $P \in \Gamma_n^0$  and substitute  $p = xp_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) in (12) and (14). Using (12), we get

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n [f(xp_i q_j) - f(x)f(p_i)f(q_j)] = \\ &= A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)(1 - nx) \sum_{j=1}^n f(q_j) + A(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)(n - nx). \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  and  $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$  in (42), we get

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} &A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) [(1 - nx) \sum_{j=1}^n f(q_j) - (n - nx)] = \\ &= A(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) [(1 - nx) \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) - (n - nx)]. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $x = 1/n$  in (43), then we see that  $A$  is a constant and using this in (14) we obtain from (12) and (14)

$$(44) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n [f(pq_j) - f(p)f(q_j)] - A[1 - np] = 0.$$

Keeping  $p$  fixed and using result 1, we get

$$(45) \quad f(pq) - f(p)f(q) = A[1 - np]q + C(p)[1 - nq].$$

Again interchanging  $p$  and  $q$  in (45) and using the symmetry of the left hand side of (45), we get

$$(46) \quad [C(p) - Ap][1 - nq] = [C(q) - Aq][1 - np].$$

From (46) (fixing  $q(\neq 1/n)$ ), we get

$$(47) \quad C(p) = a_3p + b_3, \quad p \in ]0, 1[.$$

Equations (45) and (47) yield

$$(48) \quad f(pq) - f(p)f(q) = ap + bq + cpq + d$$

for all  $p, q \in ]0, 1[$  and  $a, b, c, d$  are arbitrary constants. As before, it can be shown (cf. (26)) that  $f$  is indeed given by (9) and (10).

Now, if  $x \neq 1/n$ , then writing  $\delta_1 = (1 - nx)(n - nx)^{-1}$  in (43), we get

$$(49) \quad A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n f(q_j) - \delta_1 \right] = A(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) - \delta_1 \right].$$

If  $\sum_{j=1}^n f(q_j) = \delta_1$  for all  $Q \in \Gamma_n^0$ , then  $f(p)$ , using Result 1, is given by (10). If there exists a  $Q^* \in \Gamma_n^0$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n f(q_j^*) \neq \delta_1$ , then we get from (49)

$$(50) \quad A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \delta_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f(p_i) - \delta_1 \right],$$

where  $\delta_2$  is a constant. From (50), (14) and (12) we obtain

$$(51) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n [f(pq_j) - (f(p) + [1 - np]\delta_2)f(q_j) + \delta_1\delta_2[1 - np]q_j] = 0.$$

As before, by fixing  $p$ , the equation (51) can be reduced to (5) and hence

$$(52) \quad f(pq) - (f(p) + [1 - np]\delta_2)f(q) + \delta_1\delta_2[1 - np]q = D(p)[1 - nq].$$

Interchanging  $p$  and  $q$  in (52), we get

$$(53) \quad [1 - np][\delta_2 f(q) - \delta_1\delta_2q - D(q)] = [1 - nq][\delta_2 f(p) - \delta_1\delta_2p - D(p)].$$

Keeping  $q$  fixed, i.e. letting  $q = q_0(\neq 1/n)$  in (53), we get

$$(54) \quad D(p) = \delta_2 f(p) - \delta_1\delta_2p - K[1 - np],$$

where  $K$  is a constant. From (54) and (52), we get

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} f(pq) &= f(p)f(q) + \delta_2[1 - np](f(q) - \delta_1q) + \\ &+ \delta_2[1 - nq](f(p) - \delta_1p) - K[1 - np][1 - nq]. \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $f(pqr)$  as  $f(pq \cdot r)$  and  $f(pr \cdot q)$  and using (55), we have (after substitutions of  $p = 1/n = r$ )

$$(56) \quad \delta_2(1 - 1/n)f(q) = c_3q + c_4$$

for some constants  $c_3$  and  $c_4$ . Note  $1 - 1/n \neq 0$ . If  $\delta_2 \neq 0$ , then  $f$  is of the form (10). If  $\delta_2 = 0$ , then, we get from (55)

$$(57) \quad f(pq) - f(p)f(q) = -K[1 - np][1 - nq]$$

which is of the form (26). Thus  $f$  is of the form (9) and (10). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Let  $f: ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function and satisfy the functional equation (4) for  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $P \in \Gamma_n^0$ ,  $Q \in \Gamma_m^0$  with  $m, n$  (fixed)  $\geq 3$ . Defining

$$(58) \quad g(p) = p + \lambda f(p),$$

and putting (58) into (4), we obtain a functional equation of the form (8). Hence, using Lemma 2 and (58), we get

$$(59) \quad f(p) = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\lambda} p + \frac{b}{\lambda},$$

$$(60) \quad f(p) = \frac{p^\beta - p}{\lambda},$$

where  $\beta$  is an arbitrary constant while the constants  $a, b$  satisfy the equation

$$(61) \quad (a + mnb) = (a + nb)(a + mb).$$

Thus we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $f: ]0, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (reals) is measurable and satisfies the functional equation (4) for a fixed pair  $m \geq 3, n \geq 3$  for a constant  $\lambda \neq 0$  and for all  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n^0, (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \Gamma_m^0$ . Then the function  $f$  is given by (59) or (60) with (61).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to J. Aczél for his comments and suggestions.

(Received November 26, 1984.)



## REFERENCES

---

- [1] J. Aczél: Information functions on open domain III. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* 2 (1980), 281–285.
- [2] M. Behara and P. Nath: Additive and nonadditive entropy of finite measurable partitions. *Probability and Information Theory*, Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag 1973, Vol. 296, 102–138.
- [3] J. Havrda and F. Charvát: Quantification method of classification process, Concept of structural  $\alpha$ -entropy. *Kybernetika* 3 (1967), 30–35.
- [4] P. Kannappan; On generalization of some measures in Information Theory. *Glasnik Mat.* 29 (1974), 81–93.
- [5] P. Kannappan: On some functional equations from additive and nonadditive measure — I. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 23 (1980), 145–150.
- [6] P. Kannappan and P. K. Sahoo: On a functional equation in two variables connected to sum form information measures on an open domain (to appear).
- [7] L. Losonczi: A characterization of entropies of degree  $\alpha$ . *Metrika* 28 (1981), 237–244.
- [8] L. Losonczi and Gy. Maksa: On some functional equations of the information theory. *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 39 (1982), 73–82.
- [9] C. T. Ng: Information functions on open domains I, II. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* 2 (1980), 119–123 and 155–158.
- [10] P. K. Sahoo: On some functional equations connected to sum form information measures on open domains. *Utilitas Math.* 23 (1983), 161–175.

*Prof. P. Kannappan, Dr. P. K. Sahoo, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada.*