

Václav Dupač

The continuous dynamic Robbins-Monro procedure

Kybernetika, Vol. 12 (1976), No. 6, 414--420

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125343>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

The Continuous Dynamic Robbins-Monro Procedure

VÁCLAV DUPAČ

The proving methods developed in the book by Nevel'son and Has'minskij [4] are utilized to prove the asymptotic normality of the multidimensional continuous dynamic Robbins-Monro procedure, under assumptions similar to those usually made in the theory of stochastic approximation.

1. Suppose the zero point of a regression function is a time-varying parameter, its evolution law being known to certain extent; the Robbins-Monro stochastic approximation procedure can be then adapted to track this moving point. We shall consider the continuous-time case (investigated already by Cypkin [1] from the viewpoint of adaptive systems theory). Exploiting the proving methods developed (for the non-dynamic case) in Nevel'son and Has'minskij [3], [4], we obtain results concerning mean-square convergence, the rate of a.s. convergence, and asymptotic normality of the procedure.

2. We shall make the following assumptions:

- (i) $R^0(t, x)$, $\sigma_r^0(t, x)$, $1 \leq r \leq k$, are continuous mappings of $[t_0, +\infty) \times E_t$ into E_i ; $t_0 > 0$.
- (ii) For every bounded region $D \subset [t_0, +\infty) \times E_t$, there is a $K_D > 0$ such that

$$|R^0(t, x) - R^0(t, y)| + \sum_{r=1}^k |\sigma_r^0(t, x) - \sigma_r^0(t, y)| \leq K_D |x - y|$$

everywhere in D .

- (iii) $x = 0$ is the unique zero point of $R^0(t, x)$ for all $t \geq t_0$.
- (iv) There is a positive definite matrix C and a $\lambda > 0$ such that $(CR^0(t, x), x) \leq -\lambda(Cx, x)$, for all $x \in E_t$, $t \geq t_0$.

(v) $\sum_{r=1}^k |\sigma_r^0(t, x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)$, for all $x \in E_t$, $t \geq t_0$, and some $K > 0$.

(vi) $\xi_r(t)$, $1 \leq r \leq k$, are independent (standard) Wiener processes, consistent with a non-decreasing family $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq t_0\}$ of σ -fields of events.

(vii) $Q(t)$ and $q(t)$, $\theta(t)$ are matrix-valued and vector-valued functions, respectively; Q, q continuous, θ differentiable, satisfying

$$d\theta(t)/dt = Q(t)\theta(t) + q(t), \quad t \geq t_0;$$

Q is known, $R^0, \sigma_r^0, q, \theta$ are unknown in general.

(viii) $R(t, x) = R^0(t, x - \theta(t))$, $\sigma_r(t, x) = \sigma_r^0(t, x - \theta(t))$, $1 \leq r \leq k$.

(ix) $a(t)$ is a (given) positive function, $t \geq t_0$.

(x) $X^x(t)$ is the regular solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = Q(t)X(t)dt + a(t)(R(t, X(t))dt + \sum_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(t, X(t))d\xi_r(t)), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

with the initial condition $X(t_0) = x$, $x \in E_{t_0}$.

This is the dynamic Robbins-Monro procedure for tracking $\theta(t)$, corresponding to a situation, when at time t , the values of $R(t, x)$ are observable with experimental errors $\sum_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(t, x) \xi_r(t)$; the term $Q(t)X(t)dt$ is a correction for trend in $\theta(t)$.

Theorem 1. Under the assumptions (i)–(x) and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} a(t)dt = +\infty, \quad |Q(t)| = o(a(t)), \quad |q(t)| = o(a(t)) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$X^x(t) - \theta(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

in the mean square.

Further assume:

(xi) $a(t) = a/t^\alpha$, $a > 0$, $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

(xii) $|Q(t)| = o(1/t^\alpha)$, $|q(t)| = O(1/t^{3\alpha/2})$, $t \rightarrow \infty$.

(xiii) $R^0(t, x) = Bx + \delta(t, x)$, $|\delta(t, x)| = o(|x|)$ for $x \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$; B is a matrix such that all its eigenvalues have negative real parts.

(xiv) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow 0} \sigma_r^0(t, x) = s_r$ exists.

(xv) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{3\alpha/2}q(t) = q_\infty$ exists (with $q_\infty = 0$ if $|q(t)| = o(t^{-3\alpha/2})$).

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions (i)–(xii), we have for any $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < \alpha - 1/2$,

$$t^\gamma(X^x(t) - \theta(t)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. for } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 3. Under the assumptions (i)–(xv), the asymptotic distribution of $t^{\alpha/2}(X^x(t) - \theta(t))$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ is normal with mean value $a^{-1}B^{-1}q_\infty$ and covariance matrix $a \int_0^\infty e^{Bt} S e^{B^T t} dt$, with $S = \sum_{r=1}^k s_r s_r^T$.

Remark. The conditions (vii), (xii), (xv) are satisfied especially if $\theta(t) = bt^2 + c$ (Q a known matrix of constants, b, c unknown vectors) and $\alpha = 2/3$. The differential equation (vii) then becomes $d\theta/dt = Qt^{-1}\theta(t) - Qct^{-1}$, i.e., $Q(t) = Qt^{-1}$, $q(t) = -Qct^{-1}$, $\dot{q}_\infty = -Qc$. If $Q = I$, we have the linear trend in θ : $\theta(t) = bt + c$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Subtract $d\theta(t)$ from both sides of the equation (x); using (vii) and denoting $Z(t) = X(t) - \theta(t)$, $z = x - \theta(t_0)$, we get

$$(1) \quad dZ(t) = Q(t)Z(t)dt - q(t)dt + a(t)(R^0(t, Z(t))dt + \sum_{r=1}^k \sigma_r^0(t, Z(t))d\xi_r(t)), \quad t \geq t_0$$

$$Z(t_0) = z.$$

Let L be the differential operator corresponding to (1):

$$(2) \quad L = \partial/\partial t + (Q(t)z - q(t) + a(t)R^0(t, z), \partial/\partial z) + (1/2)a^2(t) \sum_{r=1}^k (\sigma_r^0(t, z), \partial/\partial z)^2.$$

Putting $V(z) = (Cz, z)$, C that of (iv), we have

$$(3) \quad LV(z) = 2a(t)(CR^0(t, z), z) + 2(CQ(t)z, z) - 2(Cq(t), z) + a^2(t) \sum_{r=1}^k (C\sigma_r^0, \sigma_r^0).$$

The first term on the right is less than $-2\lambda a(t)V(z)$, according to (iv); all the other terms are bounded by $b(t)(1 + V(z))$, with $b(t) = o(a(t))$, which follows from $|Q| = o(a(t))$, $|q| = o(a(t))$, from (v) and from the inequality $|z| \leq 1 + |z|^2$. Hence,

$$LV(z) \leq -\lambda a(t)V(z) + b(t), \quad t \geq t_1,$$

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty a(t)dt = +\infty, \quad b(t) = o(a(t)), \quad V(z) \geq K(z, z).$$

(Here, as well as in the sequel, K with or without subscript will denote positive constants, possibly of different values in different formulas.)

According to Lemma 1.2 in Nevel'son, Has'minskij [3], the assertion of Theorem 1 follows.

Proof of Theorem 2. The first term on the right hand side of (3) is now less than $-2\lambda a t^{-\alpha} V(z)$, the second one is bounded by $a(t) t^{-\alpha} V(z)$ with $a(t) \searrow 0$, and the fourth one by $K t^{-2\alpha}(1 + V(z))$; we have used (xi) and (xii). Using the inequality

$$(4) \quad |z| \leq \delta^{-1} t^{-\alpha/2} + \delta t^{\alpha/2} |z|^2, \quad \delta > 0,$$

and (xii), we obtain a bound for the third term:

$$2|(Cq(t), z)| \leq K_1 t^{-2\alpha} + \delta K_2 t^{-\alpha} V(z),$$

K_2 independent of δ ; choosing δ sufficiently small, we get

$$(5) \quad L V(z) \leq -\lambda a t^{-\alpha} V(z) + K_3 t^{-2\alpha}, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Now put $V_1(t, z) = t^{2\gamma} V(z) + t^{-\varepsilon}$ where

$$(6) \quad 0 < \gamma < \alpha - 1/2, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 2(\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma).$$

Obviously,

$$L V_1(t, z) = t^{2\gamma} L V(z) + 2\gamma t^{2\gamma-1} V(z) - \varepsilon t^{-\varepsilon-1};$$

inserting (5) for $L V(z)$, we have

$$L V_1(t, z) \leq -\lambda a t^{2\gamma-\alpha} V(z) + K_3 t^{2\gamma-2\alpha} + 2\gamma t^{2\gamma-1} V(z) - \varepsilon t^{-\varepsilon-1}.$$

The sum of terms containing $V(z)$ is negative for $t \geq t_1$, since (xi) implies $2\gamma - \alpha > > 2\gamma - 1$, and so is the sum of the remaining two terms, since (6) implies $-\varepsilon - 1 > > 2\gamma - 2\alpha$. Hence, $L V_1(t, z) < 0, t \geq t_2$.

According to Nevel'son Has'minskij [4], Corollary 3.8.1., $\{V_1(t, Z(t)), \mathcal{F}_t\}$ is a nonnegative supermartingale, which implies the a.s. existence of finite $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V_1(t, Z(t))$, i.e., of finite $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{2\gamma} V(Z(t))$. Hence, $t^{2\gamma} |Z(t)|^2 \rightarrow 0$ a.s., which entails the assertion of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. Owing to the uniformity condition in (xiii), there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that $|R^0(t, z)| \leq K$ for all $|z| \leq \varepsilon$ and $t \geq t_0$. Let ε be chosen in such a way that also $|\sigma_r^0(t, z)| \leq K_1$ for all $|z| \leq \varepsilon$ and $t \geq t_0$; this can be done owing to (i) and (xiv). With this ε , define (for $t \geq t_0$)

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{R}(t, z) &= \begin{cases} R^0(t, z), & |z| \leq \varepsilon, \\ R^0(t, \varepsilon z/|z|) |z|/\varepsilon, & |z| > \varepsilon; \end{cases} \\ \hat{\sigma}_r(t, z) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_r^0(t, z) & |z| \leq \varepsilon, \\ \sigma_r^0(t, \varepsilon z/|z|), & |z| > \varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq r \leq k; \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta(t, z) = \hat{R}(t, z) - Bz.$$

Together with (1), consider the auxiliary equation

$$(8) \quad d\hat{Z}(t) = Q(t) \hat{Z}(t) dt - q(t) dt + at^{-\alpha}(\hat{R}(t, \hat{Z}(t))) dt + \sum_{r=1}^k \hat{\sigma}_r(t, \hat{Z}(t)) d\xi_r(t), \\ t \geq s (\geq t_0),$$

with the initial condition $\hat{Z}(s) = \zeta$, ζ being a \mathcal{F}_s -measurable random variable, $E|\zeta|^2 < +\infty$. The corresponding differential operator is

$$L = \partial/\partial t + (Q(t)z - q(t) + at^{-\alpha}\hat{R}(t, z), \partial/\partial z) + (1/2)a^2t^{-2\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^k (\hat{\sigma}_r(t, z), \partial/\partial z)^2.$$

Put $V(z) = (Cz, z)$; we have as in (5)

$$LV(z) \leq -\lambda a V(z) + Kt^{-2\alpha}, \quad t \geq t_1;$$

hence (see Nevel'son, Has'minskij [4], formula 3.5.5, which is valid here, owing to the definition of \hat{R} , $\hat{\sigma}_r$)

$$\frac{d}{dt} E V(\hat{Z}(t)) = EL V(\hat{Z}(t)) \leq -\lambda at^{-\alpha} E V(\hat{Z}(t)) + Kt^{-2\alpha}, \quad t \geq s.$$

From this differential inequality, we get (see Lemmas 1, 2, 3 in Dupač [2])

$$(9) \quad E|\hat{Z}(t)|^2 \leq K_1 t^{-\alpha}.$$

Denoting $\hat{Y}(t) = t^{\alpha/2} \hat{Z}(t)$, we obtain from (8) the equation

$$d\hat{Y} = (\frac{1}{2}\alpha It^{-1} + aBt^{-\alpha})\hat{Y} dt + Q(t) \hat{Z} t^{\alpha/2} dt - q(t) t^{\alpha/2} dt + \\ + at^{-\alpha/2} \delta(t, \hat{Z}) dt + at^{-\alpha/2} \sum_{r=1}^k \hat{\sigma}_r(t, \hat{Z}) d\xi_r(t), \quad t \geq s, \\ \hat{Y}(s) = s^{\alpha/2} \zeta.$$

Its solution is

$$(10) \quad \hat{Y}(t) = t^{\alpha/2} \exp \{a(1 - \alpha)^{-1} B(t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha})\} \zeta + \\ + \int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} \exp \{a(1 - \alpha)^{-1} B(t^{1-\alpha} - u^{1-\alpha})\} \cdot$$

$$\cdot [(Q(u) \hat{Z}(u) u^{\alpha/2} - q(u) u^{\alpha/2} + a \delta(u, \hat{Z}) u^{-\alpha/2}) du + au^{-\alpha/2} \sum_{r=1}^k \hat{\sigma}_r(u, \hat{Z}) d\xi_r(u)].$$

Disclosing the brackets, the integral in (10) splits into four ones; the first of them tends to zero in the mean and hence also in probability:

$$(11) \quad E \left| \int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} \exp \{a(1 - \alpha)^{-1} B(t^{1-\alpha} - u^{1-\alpha})\} Q \hat{Z} u^{\alpha/2} du \right| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} |\exp\{\cdot\}| |Q| |Z| u^{\alpha/2} du \leq \\ &\leq K \int_s^t \exp\{-\lambda_1(t^{1-\alpha} - u^{1-\alpha})\} \varepsilon(u) u^{-\alpha} du, \quad \lambda_1 > 0, \quad \varepsilon(u) \searrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized the properties of the matrix B and Q ((xiii) and (xii)) and the inequality (9); after the substitution $t^{1-\alpha} - u^{1-\alpha} = v$, the last line of (11) is transformed into

$$K(1-\alpha)^{-1} \int_0^{t^{1-\alpha}-s^{1-\alpha}} e^{-\lambda_1 v} \varepsilon(t(1-v/t^{1-\alpha})^{1/(1-\alpha)}) dv,$$

which tends to 0 for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The second integral can be written (in view of (xv)) as

$$-\int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} \exp\{\cdot\} (q_\infty + \varepsilon_1(u)) u^{-\alpha} du, \quad \varepsilon_1(u) \searrow 0;$$

the same substitution changes it into

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1-\alpha)^{-1} \int_0^{t^{1-\alpha}-s^{1-\alpha}} (1-v/t^{1-\alpha})^{-\alpha/(2-2\alpha)} \exp\{a(1-\alpha)^{-1} Bv\} \cdot \\ &\cdot (q_\infty + \varepsilon_1(t(1-v/t^{1-\alpha})^{1/(1-\alpha)})) dv, \end{aligned}$$

which tends for $t \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$\begin{aligned} &-q_\infty(1-\alpha)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \exp\{a(1-\alpha)^{-1} Bv\} dv = -q_\infty a^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{Bw} dw = \\ &= q_\infty a^{-1} B^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The third integral, $a \int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} \exp\{\cdot\} \delta u^{-\alpha/2} du$, can be again shown to tend to 0 in probability (cf. Lemma 6 in Dupac [2]), as well as the integral

$$a \int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} \exp\{\cdot\} u^{-\alpha/2} \sum_{r=1}^k (\hat{\sigma}_r(u, Z) - s_r) d\xi_r(u)$$

(cf. the same paper, formulas (13), (14)).

As the first term in (10), $t^{\alpha/2} \exp\{\cdot\} \zeta$, tends obviously to 0 owing to the properties of B , we get thus that the distribution of $\hat{Y}(t) - q_\infty a^{-1} B^{-1}$ is asymptotically equivalent to the distribution of

$$a \int_s^t (t/u)^{\alpha/2} \exp\{a(1-\alpha)^{-1} B(t^{1-\alpha} - u^{1-\alpha})\} u^{-\alpha/2} \sum_{r=1}^k s_r d\xi_r(u),$$

which is, however, a Gaussian process with zero mean and a covariance matrix, which can be calculated in a straightforward way, using the same substitution as above, and shown to tend to $a \int_0^\infty e^{Bv} S e^{B^T v} dv$, for $t \rightarrow \infty$. The rest of the proof consists in proving the asymptotic equivalence of distributions of

$$t^{z/2}(X^x(t) - \theta(t)) = t^{z/2} Z^z(t) \quad \text{and of} \quad \hat{Y}(t) = t^{z/2} Z^\zeta(t)$$

for properly related z and ζ ; it is exactly the same as the end of the proof of the Theorem in Dupač [2].

It should be pointed out, that the proofs in the present paper as well as in the paper Dupač [2] more or less follow the pattern of proofs in Nevel'son, Has'minskij [4], Chapt. 6.

(Received May 12, 1976.)

REFERENCES

- [1] Я. З. Цыпкин: Алгоритмы динамической адаптации. Автоматика и телемеханика (1972), 1, 68–77.
- [2] V. Dupač: Asymptotic normality of the continuous Robbins-Monro stochastic approximation procedure. In: Contributions to Statistics — Jaroslav Hájek Memorial Volume. Academia, Prague, and North Holland. (To appear.)
- [3] М. Б. Невельсон, Р. З. Хасьминский: Непрерывные процедуры стохастической аппроксимации. Проблемы передачи информации 7 (1971), 58–69.
- [4] М. Б. Невельсон, Р. З. Хасьминский: Стохастическая аппроксимация и рекуррентное оценивание. Наука, Москва 1972.

Doc. Dr. Václav Dupač, CSc., Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Karlovy university (Faculty of Mathematics and Physics — Charles University), Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8 - Karlín, Czechoslovakia.