

Milan Medved'
On a problem of evasion

Kybernetika, Vol. 13 (1977), No. 1, (57)--62

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125113>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

On a Problem of Evasion

MILAN MEDVEĎ

A strategy of evasion for a class of nonlinear differential game is constructed.

B. N. Pchenitchny [1] has solved a differential game described by the system of differential equations

$$(1) \quad \dot{z} = f(z, u, v),$$

where $z \in R^n$, $u \in U \subset R^r$, $v \in V \subset R^s$, $f: R^n \times R^r \times R^s \rightarrow R^n$. He suppose that the function f has continuous derivatives with respect to z of sufficiently high orders, satisfying the Lipschitz condition with respect to all their arguments on arbitrary compact set. Furthermore, the function f is assumed to be of the form $f(z, u, v) = f_0(z, u) + f_1(z, u)v$, where $f_0 \in R^n$ and f_1 is an $n \times s$ matrix, i.e. the function f is convex in the variable v .

We shall construct a strategy of evasion for a class of nonlinear games described by the system (1), where

$$f(z, u, v) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1}),$$

where $g_j(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1}) = f_{1j}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) + f_{2j}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)v_{j+1}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, $g_0(z, u, v_1) = f_{10}(z, u) + f_{20}(z, u)v_1$, $z \in R^n$, $u \in R^r$, $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$, $v_i \in R^{q_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $f_{1j}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) \in R^n$ and $f_{2j}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ are $n \times q_j$ matrices. The function $f(z, u, v)$ need not be convex in v , but it is convex in v_m only. We shall construct a strategy of evasion $v(t) = (v_1(t), v_2(t), \dots, v_m(t))$ in such way that first we shall construct $v_1(t)$ and then one after the other $v_i(t)$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$, where for the construction of each $v_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ we shall use the method of Pchenitchny. For $m = 1$ we get the result of Pchenitchny [1].

We shall suppose that the terminal set M is a subspace of R^n of dimension $\leq n - 2$.

Definition. A mapping $E : R^n \times U \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow R^s$ is said to be a strategy, if for every absolutely continuous function $x(t)$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, and for every measurable function $u(t) \in U$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, the function $E(x(t), u(t), t)$ is a measurable function with values in V . This strategy is called a strategy of evasion, if for arbitrary $z_0 \notin M$ and for arbitrary measurable function $u(t)$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, the solution $z(t)$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, of the equation

$$\dot{z}(t) = f(z(t), u(t), E(z(t), u(t), t))$$

with initial condition $z(0) = z_0$ does not intersect the subspace M for any $t \geq 0$.

We shall assume that

- (1) U is a compact set and $V = V_1 \times V_2 \times \dots \times V_m$, where $V_i \subset R^{q_i}$ are compact convex sets, $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = s$, $\text{int } V_i \neq \emptyset$ in R^{q_i} .
- (2) We suppose that the function $f(z, u, v)$ has the above form where the functions $g_j(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1})$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, have continuous derivatives with respect to z of sufficiently high orders, satisfying the Lipschitz condition with respect to all their arguments on arbitrary compact set.
- (3) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|(z, f(z, u, v))| \leq C(1 + \|z\|^2)$ for all $(z, u, v) \in R^n \times U \times V$, where we denote by (x, y) the scalar product of the vectors x and y and $\|z\|$ is the euclidean norm of the vector z .
- (4) Let $\varphi : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be a C^1 function. Denote

$$\nabla_z \varphi(z) = D \varphi(z) f(z, u, v),$$

where $D \varphi(z)$ is the matrix of the first derivatives of $\varphi(z)$ at z . We shall suppose that

- (A) there is a subspace $W \subset L$ (L is the orthogonal complement of M in R^n) of dimension $q \geq 2$ and an integer k such that all functions $\varphi^0(z) = \pi z$, $\varphi^i(z) = \nabla_z \varphi^{i-1}(z)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ do not depend on u and v , where $\pi : R^n \rightarrow W$ is the orthogonal projection.
- (B) The function $f^k(z, u, v) = \nabla_z \varphi^{k-1}(z)$ depends on u and v . The assumption (2) implies that $f^k(z, u, v) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1})$, where $g_j^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j+1}) = f_1^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) + f_2^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. It is clear that $f^k(z, u, v) \in W$.
- (C) Denote

$$F_0(z) = \bigcap_{u \in U} g_0^k(z, u, V_1),$$

$$F_j(z) = \bigcap_{\substack{(u, v_1, \dots, v_j) \in \\ U \times V_1 \times \dots \times V_j}} g_j^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, V_{j+1})$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$. Let there exist continuous functions $\varphi_j^k: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $\varepsilon: R^n \rightarrow R^1$ such that for all $z \in R^n$ $\varepsilon(z) > 0$ and

$$(3) \quad \varphi_j^k(z) + \varepsilon(z) \pi S \subset F_j(z), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1,$$

where S is the unit sphere in R^n .

Theorem. Under the assumptions (1)–(4) there exists a strategy of evasion.

Before proving this theorem consider the following equations

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{1j}^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) + f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1} = \\ = \varphi_j^k(z_0) + \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon(z_0) \xi_0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1, \end{aligned}$$

in a neighbourhood of a point $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in R^n \times U \times V_1 \times \dots \times V_j \times \pi S$ in v_{j+1} for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. The assumption (C) implies that for arbitrary such point, there exists a point $v_{j+1}^0 \in V_{j+1}$ that $f_{1j}^k(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) + f_{2j}^k(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) v_{j+1}^0 = \varphi_j^k(z_0) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z_0) \xi_0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$.

Lemma 1. Let X be a compact set in R^n . Then for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ there exists a number $\varepsilon_X^j > 0$ such that for arbitrary $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in X \times U \times V_1 \times \dots \times V_j \times \pi S$ there exists a continuous function $v_j(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi | z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$ with values in V_{j+1} , which is the solution of the equation (4) for all $(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) \in \{(\bar{z}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_j, \bar{\xi}) \mid \max(\|\bar{z} - z_0\|, \|\bar{u} - u_0\|, \|\bar{v}_1 - v_1^0\|, \dots, \|\bar{v}_j - v_j^0\|, \|\bar{\xi} - \xi_0\|) \leq \varepsilon_X^j\}$. Moreover $v_j(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0 | z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in \text{int } V_{j+1}$.

Proof. The proof is almost the same as the proof of [1, Lemma 3] and therefore we shall sketch it only. Let $V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) = \{v_{j+1} \in V_{j+1} \mid \varphi_j^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z) v_{j+1} = \varphi_j^k(z) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z)\}$. By the same procedure as in the proof of [1, Lemma 1] it is possible to prove that $V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) \cap (\text{int } V_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$ for arbitrary $\xi \in \pi S$.

If $\alpha(v_{j+1})$ is a continuous function, then by [1, Lemma 2] the function $\beta_j(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) = \max_{v_{j+1}} \{\alpha(v_{j+1}) \mid v_{j+1} \in V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)\}$ is a continuous function of the variables $z, u \in U, v_k \in V_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, j, \xi \in \pi S$.

Let $\alpha(v_{j+1}) = \min_{v_{j+1}} \{\|\bar{v}_{j+1} - v_{j+1}\| \mid \bar{v}_{j+1} \in \partial V_{j+1}\}$, where ∂V_{j+1} is the boundary of the convex set V_{j+1} . Since $V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) \cap (\text{int } V_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$, then $\beta_j(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) > 0$. This means that if X is a compact set in R^n , then there exists a number $r_X^j > 0$ such that for arbitrary $z \in X, u \in U, v_k \in V_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ there is a point $v_{j+1}^0 \in V_{j+1} \cap V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$ which is contained in the interior of the set $V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$ together with the ball with center v_{j+1}^0 and radius r_X^j .

Consider the equation defining the set $V(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi) : f_1^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) + f_2^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1} = \varphi_j^k(z) + (1/m) \varepsilon(z) \xi$ in a neighbourhood of $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$. This equation is solvable in v_{j+1} for $z = z_0, u = u_0, v_k = v_k^0, k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ for arbitrary $\xi \in \pi S$ and therefore there exist ν linearly independent columns of the matrix $f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)$, where $\nu = \dim W$. Let J_j denote the set of indices of arbitrary chosen columns of the matrix $f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)$ and let $f_{2j}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)$ be the corresponding matrix. Denote

$$m(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) = \max_{J_j} \det (f_{2j}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) f_{2j}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)),$$

where A^* means the transpose of a matrix A . Let J_{0j} be the set of such indices for which

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{J_j} \det (f_{2j}^{k*}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) f_{2j}^k(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0)) = \\ = \det (f_{2j_0}^{k*}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0) f_{2j_0}^k(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\det (f_{2j_0}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) f_{2j_0}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j)) > 0$$

in some neighbourhood of the point $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0)$. Let v_{j_0j} be a vector with components of the vector v_{j+1}^0 with indices from J_{0j} .

Consider the following equation

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) + f_2^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1}^0 + \\ + f_{2j_0}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) (v_{j_0j} - v_{0j_0j}) = \varphi_j^k(z) + \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon(z) \xi. \end{aligned}$$

The condition (5) implies that the equation (6) is equivalent to the following one:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{2j_0}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) f_{2j_0}^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) (v_{j_0j} - v_{0j_0j}) = \\ = f_{2j_0}^{k*}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) \left[\varphi_j^k(z) + \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon(z) \xi - f_1^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) - \right. \\ \left. - f_2^k(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j) v_{j+1}^0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (7) has the unique solution $v_{j_0j}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$ which is continuous in all its arguments and $v_{j_0j}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) = v_{0j_0j}$. It is easy to see that the vector $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$ constructed from the components of the vector $v_{j_0j}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$ completed with the remaining components of the vector v_{j+1}^0 is a solution of the equation (4). We shall denote it by $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi | z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$. In the same way as in the proof [1, Lemma 3] it is possible to prove that there exists a number $\varepsilon_X^j > 0$ which is the same for all $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots$

$\dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in X \times V_1 \times \dots \times V_j \times \pi S$ such that the function $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$ is defined and continuous for all $(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$ from the δ_j^j -neighbourhood of the point $(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$. From the construction of the function $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0)$ it is clear that

$$v_{j+1}(z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0 \mid z_0, u_0, v_1^0, \dots, v_j^0, \xi_0) \in \text{int } V_{j+1}.$$

The proof is complete.

Denote $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0) = v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$. In the same way as [1, Lemma 3] it is possible to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. The functions $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ are defined and continuous for all z , $\|z - z_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^j$, $u \in U$, $v_i \in V_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$, $\xi \in \pi S$.

Let $z_0 \notin M$. Consider the following function

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, \xi) = & \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + (\varphi_0^k(z_0) + \varphi_1^k(z_0) + \dots + \varphi_{m-1}^k(z_0)) \frac{t^k}{k!} + \\ & + \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{k-1} \xi(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq t$ is a measurable function with values in $(1/m)\varepsilon(z_0)\pi S$.

Lemma 3. (cf. [1, § 3]). Let $\lambda > 0$. There exists a measurable function $\xi(\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq \lambda$ with values in $(1/m)\varepsilon(z_0)\pi S$ such that $\varphi(t, \xi) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \lambda$.

Proof of the Theorem. Let $z_0 \notin M$ and let $u(t) \in U$, $v(t) \in V$ be measurable controls. Then by the assumptions (2) and (4) the corresponding solution $z(t)$ of the equation (1) is such that $\pi z(t)$ is of the class C^k and

$$\frac{d^i}{dt^i} \pi z(t)|_{t=0} = \varphi^i(z_0), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1,$$

and by Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \pi z(t) = & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{k-1} f^k(z(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau = \\ = & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi_i^k(z_0) \right) \frac{t^k}{k!} + \\ & + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{k-1} [f^k(z(\tau), u(\tau), v(\tau)) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi_i^k(z_0)] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta_j(z_0)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ be the diameter of the maximal sphere where the function $v_{j+1}(z, u, v_1, \dots, v_j, \xi \mid z_0)$ is continuous (cf. Lemma 1). Denote by $\tau_j(z_0)$

62 the maximal time during which the solution $z(t)$, $z(0) = z_0$ of the system (1) does not leave this sphere. By Lemma 2 $\delta_j(z_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_X^j$ and by the Gronwall's lemma $\tau_j(z_0) \geq \tau_X^j > 0$. Denote $\varepsilon_X = \min_j \varepsilon_X^j$, $z_0 = \min_j \tau_j(z_0)$, $\delta(z_0) = \min_j \delta_j(z_0)$.

By Lemma 3, it is possible to choose a measurable function $\xi(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$ with values in $(1/m) \varepsilon(z_0) \pi S$ such that $\varphi(t, \xi) \neq 0$ on $(0, \tau(z_0)]$.

Denote $v(z, u, \xi | z_0) = (v_1(z, u, \xi | z_0), \dots, v_2(z, u, v_1(z, u, \xi | z_0), \xi | z_0), \dots, v_m(z, u, v_1(z, u, \xi | z_0), \dots, \xi | z_0))$. By Lemma 1 this function is defined and continuous for all $\xi \in \pi S$, $u \in U$ and $z \in R^n$ such that $\|z - z_0\| \leq \delta(z_0)$. Therefore for a given measurable function $u(t) \in U$, $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$ there exists a solution $z(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$ of the equation

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{z} &= f(z, u(t), v(z, u(t), \xi(t) | z_0)), \\ z(0) &= z_0 \end{aligned}$$

and we can choose $v(t) = v(z(t), u(t), \xi(t) | z_0)$. The definition of $v(z, u, \xi | z_0)$ implies the following equalities: $g_j^k(z(\tau), u(\tau), v_1(\tau), \dots, v_{j+1}(\tau)) - \varphi_j^k(z_0) = 1/m \cdot \varepsilon(z_0) \xi(\tau)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Now using these equalities and the formula (8), we get

$$\pi z(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} \varphi^i(z_0) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi_i^k(z_0) \right) \frac{t^k}{k!} + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{k-1} \xi(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $\xi(\tau) = (1/m) \varepsilon(z_0) \xi(\tau)$ and such that $\varphi(t, \xi) = \pi z(t) \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \tau(z_0)$ (cf. Lemma 3) and therefore $z(t) \notin M$ for all $t \in [0, \tau(z_0)]$.

For $t_1 = \tau(z_0)$ we can take $z(t_1)$ instead of the initial point and we can find the strategy of evasion on the interval $[t_1, t_1 + \tau(z_0)]$ by the same construction as before. Therefore we can extend the game for arbitrary long time. This proves the Theorem.

(Received May 6, 1976.)

REFERENCES

- [1] В. Н. Пшеничный: О задаче убегаия. Кибернетика 1975, 4, 120—127.

RNDr. Milan Medved, CSc., Matematický ústav SAV (Mathematical Institute — Slovak Academy of Sciences), Obrancov mieru 49, 886 25 Bratislava. Czechoslovakia.