

Veniamin Shteinbuk; Alexander P. Šostak  
On endomorphism semigroups of a fuzzily structured set

*Kybernetika*, Vol. 28 (1992), No. Suppl, 54--57

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124196>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

## ON ENDOMORPHISM SEMIGROUPS OF A FUZZILY STRUCTURED SET

VENIAMIN SHTEINBUK AND ALEXANDER ŠOSTAK

Category  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzily structured sets (fs-sets)  $(X, L, \tau)$  is introduced.  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  contains, for appropriately chosen category  $\mathcal{L}$  of lattices, various categories of fuzzy topological spaces. The problem of definability of fs-sets by means of  $\mathcal{L}$ -endomorphism semigroups is discussed. However the tool of usual endomorphism semigroups used successfully in topology appears to be completely inadequate for this purpose: there are essentially different "good" fs-sets with isomorphic endomorphism semigroups. This difficulty is overcome by using a richer semigroup  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$  defined on the basis of the usual endomorphism semigroup  $C_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a category whose objects are complete lattices with 0 and 1 and whose morphisms are mapping of some kind between the lattices. By an  $(\mathcal{L})$ -fuzzily structured set (or an fs-set for short) we call a triple  $(X, L, \tau)$  where  $X$  is a set,  $L \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\tau \subset L^X$ . Let  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  be the category, the objects of which are fs-sets and the morphisms are pairs  $(f, \mu) : (X_1, L_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$ , where  $f \in \text{Mor}_{\text{set}}(X_1, X_2)$  (i. e.  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is a mapping),  $\mu \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{L}}(L_2, L_1)$  and  $\mu \circ V \circ f \in \tau_1$  for each  $V \in \tau_2$ .

Notice that (as it will be specified to some extent below) various categories of fuzzy topological spaces considered in [1], [2], [7] e. g. are in fact full subcategories of the categories  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  for appropriately chosen  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $FT(\mathcal{L})$  denote the complete subcategory of  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  whose objects are fs-sets  $(X, L, \tau)$  where  $\tau$  is an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $X$  [2] (i. e. (1)  $0, 1 \in \tau$ , (2) if  $U, V \in \tau$ , then  $U \wedge V \in \tau$ , and (3) if  $U_{\gamma} \in \tau$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\bigvee_{\gamma} U_{\gamma} \in \tau$ ). For a lattice  $L \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{L})$  let  $FS_L(\mathcal{L})$  (resp.  $FT_L(\mathcal{L})$ ) denote the complete subcategory of  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  (resp. of  $FT(\mathcal{L})$ ) the objects of which are fs-sets  $(X, L, \tau)$  where  $L$  is the given lattice.

Extending standard topological terminology to the situation under discussion, the morphisms of  $FS(\mathcal{L})$  will be called  $\mathcal{L}$ -continuous mappings. For an fs-set  $(X, L, \tau)$  let  $C_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$  denote the semigroup of all its endomorphisms (=  $\mathcal{L}$ -continuous mappings of  $(X, L, \tau)$  into itself) in the category  $FS(\mathcal{L})$ . Two fs-sets are called  $\mathcal{L}$ -homeomorphic if they are isomorphic as objects of  $FS(\mathcal{L})$ . We emphasize that the relation of  $\mathcal{L}$ -homeomorphism essentially depends on the choice of the category  $\mathcal{L}$ . Two fs-sets  $(X_1, L_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  are called quasihomomorphic if there exists a pair  $(f, \mu)$  such that  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  and  $\mu : L_2 \rightarrow L_1$  are bijections and  $\mu \circ V \circ f \in \tau_1$  iff  $V \in \tau_2$ .

The main problem considered in the paper is to reveal the possibility of definability up to  $\mathcal{L}$ -homeomorphism of an fs-set by means of its  $\mathcal{L}$ -endomorphism semigroup. We shall restrict ourselves here to two specific categories  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_2$  introduced

below. However, the tool of usual endomorphism semigroups which is successfully used in General Topology (see e.g. [4], [9]) appears to be completely inadequate for our purposes: there are many essentially different (in  $FS(\mathcal{L})$ ) “good” fs-sets with equal endomorphism semigroups. We overcome these difficulties by using a richer semigroup  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$  introduced below instead of the semigroup  $C_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$ .

By the Plotkin endomorphism semigroup (with respect to the category  $FS(\mathcal{L})$ ) of an fs-set  $(X, L, \tau)$  we call the product  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau) = C_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau) \times L^X$  equipped with operation “ $\cdot$ ” defined as follows

$$(f_1, \mu_1, U_1) \cdot (f_2, \mu_2, U_2) = (f_2 \circ f_1, \mu_1 \circ \mu_2, U_2 \circ f_1).$$

(A similar semigroup first appeared in [5] in connection with the theory of algebraic automata.) In the sequel we write sometimes  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$  instead of  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$ .

Notice that apart from the binary operation “ $\cdot$ ” there are two additional structures on the semigroup  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X, L, \tau)$ . The first one is the subset  $\tau$  of the lattice  $L^X$  and the second one is the partial order relation “ $\prec$ ” introduced as follows:  $(f_1, \mu_1, U_1) \prec (f_2, \mu_2, U_2)$  iff  $f_1 = f_2$ ,  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  and  $U_1 \leq U_2$  (i.e.  $U_1(x) \leq U_2(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ ). According to these structures we consider the following three kinds of isomorphism for Plotkin semigroups. We say that Plotkin semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_1, L_1, \tau_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  are

- (1) isomorphic, if they are isomorphic in the category of semigroups;
- (2)  $\tau$ -isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma : S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_1, L_1, \tau_1) \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  such that  $\sigma(C_{\mathcal{L}}(X_1) \times \tau_1) = C_{\mathcal{L}}(X_2) \times \tau_2$ ;
- (3)  $\omega$ -isomorphic, if there exists a  $\tau$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_1, L_1, \tau_1) \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  such that  $(f, \mu, U_1) \prec (f, \mu, U_2)$  iff  $\sigma(f, \mu, U_1) \prec \sigma(f, \mu, U_2)$ .

To formulate the main results we have first to specify the category  $\mathcal{L}$ . Namely, let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  be categories whose objects are complete lattices with 0 and 1,  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{L}_1)$  consists of all mappings  $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  preserving arbitrary non-empty suprema and finite infima and  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{L}_2)$  consists of identical mappings  $\varepsilon_L : L \rightarrow L$  only (i.e.  $\mathcal{L}_2$  is a discrete category). (Here  $L_1, L_2, L \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{L}_1) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ .)

Notice that  $FT(\mathcal{L}_1)$  is in fact a slight enlargement of Rodabaugh’s category  $\mathbf{T}$  [8] (cf. also the category FUZZ from [7]). It is easy to notice also that  $FT_L(\mathcal{L}_2)$  is just the category of  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces as they are defined by Goguen [2]; specifically,  $FT_I(\mathcal{L}_2)$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ , is the category of Chang fuzzy topological spaces [1] and  $FT_Z(\mathcal{L}_2)$ , where  $Z = \{0, 1\}$ , in an obvious way can be identified with the category Top of topological spaces.

We shall need also the next notion. An fs-set  $(X, L, \tau)$  is called laminated if  $\tau$  contains constant mappings  $\alpha_X : X \rightarrow L$  for all  $\alpha \in L$  (cf. Lowen’s definition of a fuzzy topology; see e.g. [3]).

**Theorem 1.** For laminated fs-sets  $(X_1, L_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_1}(X_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_1}(X_2)$  are  $\omega$ -isomorphic;
- (2) the semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_2}(X_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_2}(X_2)$  are  $\omega$ -isomorphic;
- (3) fs-sets  $(X_1, L_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  are  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -homeomorphic.

**Theorem 2.** Laminated fs-sets  $(X_1, L_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  are quasihomomorphic iff the semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X_2)$  are  $\tau$ -isomorphic ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

To restore a laminated fs-set up to  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -homeomorphism by means of its Plotkin endomorphism semigroup we need the following special kind of  $\omega$ -isomorphism:

A  $\tau$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_1, L, \tau_1) \longrightarrow S_{\mathcal{L}}(X_2, L, \tau_2)$  is called tough, if  $\sigma(\varepsilon_{X_1}, \varepsilon_L, \alpha) = (\varepsilon_{X_2}, \varepsilon_L, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in L$ . One can prove that each tough isomorphism of laminated fs-sets is an  $\omega$ -isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.** Laminated fs-sets  $(X_1, L, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, L, \tau_2)$  are  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -homeomorphic iff the semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X_2)$  are toughly isomorphic ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

These theorems immediately imply analogous results for laminated fuzzy topological spaces:

**Theorem 1'.** Laminated fuzzy topological spaces  $(X_1, L_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, L_2, \tau_2)$  are homeomorphic (in  $FT(\mathcal{L}_1)$ ) iff their Plotkin semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_1}(X_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_2}(X_2)$  are  $\omega$ -isomorphic.

**Theorem 3'.** Laminated  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces [2]  $(X_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(X_2, \tau_2)$  are homeomorphic iff their Plotkin semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X_1, L, \tau_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X_2, L, \tau_2)$  are toughly isomorphic ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

**Example 1. The condition of laminatedness is of essence.** Let  $(X, T)$  be a topological space such that  $C(X, T) = \{\varepsilon_X\} \cup \{c_X : c \in X\}$ . Thus the semigroup of endomorphisms of  $X$  consists only of constant mappings and the identity. (Such a space can be found e.g. in [6].) Fix two constants  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and two points  $a, b \in X$ . Let  $M$  denote the set of all mappings  $\mu : I \rightarrow I$  preserving non-empty suprema and finite infima such that  $\mu(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $\mu(\beta) = \beta$ . Define fuzzy sets  $U_i : X \rightarrow I$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  as follows. Let  $U_1(x) = \alpha$  if  $x \neq a$  and  $U_1(a) = \beta$  and let  $U_2(x) = \alpha$  if  $x \neq a, b$  and  $U_2(a) = U_2(b) = \beta$ . Let  $\tau_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be the fuzzy topology having  $T \cup \{U_i\}$  as its subbase. It is easy to notice that the semigroups  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X, I, \tau_1)$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}_i}(X, I, \tau_2)$  are  $\omega$ -isomorphic (even toughly isomorphic) but nevertheless the spaces  $(X, I, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, I, \tau_2)$  are not  $\mathcal{L}_i$ -homeomorphic,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Example 2. Inadequacy of semigroups of continuous transformations in fuzzy setting.** Let  $(X, T)$  be a topological space. For a constant  $a \in (0, 1]$  let  $\tau_a$  be a fuzzy topology on  $X$  generated by the subbase  $\sigma_a = \{aU : U \in T\} \cup \{\alpha_X : \alpha \in I\}$ . (Obviously,  $\tau_1 = \omega T$  is the set of all lower semicontinuous functions  $M : (X, T) \rightarrow I$ ; see [3].) It is easy to notice that  $C_{\mathcal{L}_2}(X, I, \tau_a) = C_{\mathcal{L}_2}(X, I, \tau_{a'})$  for any  $a, a' \in (0, 1]$  and if  $a, a' \neq 1$ , then  $C_{\mathcal{L}_1}(X, I, \tau_a)$  and  $C_{\mathcal{L}_1}(X, I, \tau_{a'})$  are isomorphic. On the other hand, if  $a \neq a'$ , then the fs-sets  $(X, I, \tau_a)$  and  $(X, I, \tau_{a'})$  are neither  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -homeomorphic, nor  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -homeomorphic.

---

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. L. Chang: Fuzzy topological spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *24* (1968), 182-190.
- [2] J. A. Goguen: The fuzzy Tychonoff theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *43* (1973), 734-742.
- [3] R. Lowen: Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *56* (1976), 621-633.
- [4] K. D. Magill: A survey of semigroups of continuous selfmaps. *Semigroup Forum* *11* (1975/76), 189-282.
- [5] B. I. Plotkin: Algebra of automata: some problems. *Vestnik MGU Ser. Math. Mech.* *4* (1980), 96 (in Russian).
- [6] A. Pultr and V. Trnková: Combinatorial, algebraic and topological representation of groups, semigroups and categories. Prague 1980.
- [7] S. E. Rodabaugh: A categorical accommodation of various notions of fuzzy topology. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* *9* (1983), 241-265.
- [8] S. E. Rodabaugh: A point-set lattice-theoretic framework **T** for topology which contains LOC as a subcategory of singleton spaces..., Preprint, February 1986, Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio.
- [9] Ye. M. Vechtomov: Problems of definability of topological spaces by algebraic systems of continuous functions. *I'ogi Nauki i Techn., Ser. Algebra, Topology, Geometry* *28* (1990), 3-46.

*Dr. Veniamin Shteinbuk, Department of Applied Mathematics, Riga Technical University, Riga 226355. Latvia.*

*Dr. Alexander Šostak, Department of Mathematics, Latvian University, Riga 226098. Latvia.*