

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Milan Pokorný

Steady plane flow of second-grade fluid in exterior domains

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 36 (1997), No. 1, 167--177

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120364>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>



Steady Plane Flow of Second-Grade Fluid in Exterior Domains *

MILAN POKORNÝ

*Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Science,
Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
e-mail: pokorny@risc.upol.cz*

(Received January 20, 1997)

Abstract

We study the steady motion of second-grade fluid in exterior domains with a small but non-zero velocity prescribed at infinity. We split the problem into the Oseen problem and transport equation and look for a fixed point in Sobolev spaces. We prove the existence of strong solutions.

Key words: Second-grade fluid, steady flow, exterior domain, transport equation, Oseen problem.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 76D99, 35Q35

1 Introduction

Let us study the plane flow of second-grade fluid past an obstacle. The second-grade fluid is characterized by the constitutive law (see e.g. [TrNo])

$$\tau = 2\mu\mathbf{D} + 2\alpha_1\mathbf{A}_1 + 4\alpha_2\mathbf{D}^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where μ is viscosity, α_1 and α_2 are normal stress moduli, \mathbf{D} is the symmetric part of the gradient of velocity and

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{D} + (\nabla\mathbf{v})^T\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D}\nabla\mathbf{v}. \quad (1.2)$$

*The work was written during the stay of the author at the University of Toulon and was supported by the scholarship of the French government.

Here $\frac{d}{dt}$ denotes the material time derivative and \mathbf{v} the velocity field.

Steady motion of the incompressible second-grade fluid is governed by the balance of momentum

$$\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla p = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \rho \mathbf{f} \quad (1.3)$$

and the balance of mass

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where ρ denotes the (constant) density, p the pressure and \mathbf{f} the external forces. This model of non-Newtonian fluid was studied for different types of domains by several authors, see e.g. [DuFo], [DuRa], [GaSe], [NoSeVi], [PiSeVi].

We put the origine of the coordinate system into the obstacle i.e. into the compact body \mathcal{O} with smooth boundary. Inserting (1.1) and (1.2) into (1.3) and using the condition of thermodynamical stability $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ (see [DuFo]) we obtain following system of equations which describes the steady motion of second-grade fluid in the exterior domain $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O}$

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu \Delta \mathbf{v} - \alpha_1 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla p &= -\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \rho \mathbf{f} + \\ &+ \alpha_1 \nabla \cdot [(\nabla \mathbf{v})^T (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T)] \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{at } \partial\Omega = \partial\mathcal{O} \\ \mathbf{v} &\rightarrow \mathbf{v}_\infty \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

We shall assume throughout the paper that the prescribed constant velocity at infinity $\mathbf{v}_\infty \neq \mathbf{0}$. We can rotate the coordinate system in such a way that $\mathbf{v}_\infty = \beta(1, 0)$. We shall search the solution \mathbf{v} in the form $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty$; we have for \mathbf{u} :

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - \alpha_1 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Delta \mathbf{u} - \alpha_1 \beta \Delta \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_1} + \rho \beta \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_1} + \nabla p &= \\ = -\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{f} + \alpha_1 \nabla \cdot [(\nabla \mathbf{u})^T (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T)] \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{u} &= -\mathbf{v}_\infty = -(\beta, 0) \quad \text{at } \partial\Omega \\ \mathbf{u} &\rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Using the decomposition procedure proposed by Mogilevskij and Solonnikov (see [MoSo]) we consider formally the mapping

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathbf{g} \mapsto (\mathbf{u}, s) \mapsto \mathbf{z},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta \mathbf{u} + \rho \frac{\beta}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_1} + \nabla s &= \mathbf{g} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{u} &= -(\beta, 0) \quad \text{at } \partial\Omega \\ \mathbf{u} &\rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

it means that the pair (\mathbf{u}, s) satisfies the Oseen problem with the right hand side \mathbf{g} , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \mathbf{z} + \alpha_1 (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z} &= -\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \varrho \mathbf{f} + \\ + \alpha_1 \nabla \cdot \left[(\nabla \mathbf{u})^T (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) + \frac{\varrho \beta}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_1} \otimes \mathbf{u} - s (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right] &+ \alpha_1 \frac{\varrho \beta^2}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_1^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

it means that \mathbf{z} satisfies transport equation with the right hand side depending on (\mathbf{u}, s) . (In fact, each component of \mathbf{z} satisfies scalar transport equation.) Clearly, if we find a fixed point of the mapping \mathcal{M} in an appropriate space then the corresponding pair (\mathbf{u}, p) with $p = \mu s + \alpha_1 (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty) \cdot \nabla s$ solves the original problem (1.6).

2 Notation, Basic Theorems

We denote by $L^q(\Omega)$ the usual Lebesgue space equipped by the norm

$$\|u\|_q = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The Sobolev space $W^{k,q}(\Omega)$ contains all measurable functions such that the norm

$$\|u\|_{k,q} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_q^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is finite. If $k = 0$ then $W^{0,q}(\Omega) = L^q(\Omega)$. Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is a multiindex and $D^\alpha u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2}}$. By $D^k u$ we understand the vector which consists of all derivatives of k -th order of u . If $k = 1$ we shall write only Du . We do not distinguish between $W^{k,q}(\Omega)$ and $(W^{k,q}(\Omega))^n$ but in order to avoid misunderstanding, all the vector- and tensor-valued functions are printed boldfaced.

We shall mention some well-known theorems which will be often used in the next section:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $u \in L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. Then $u \in L^r(\Omega) \quad \forall r \in (p, q)$ and*

$$\|u\|_r \leq \|u\|_p^\alpha \|u\|_q^{1-\alpha}$$

with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{q}$.

Proof It is an easy consequence of the Hölder inequality. \square

Theorem 2.2

1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be exterior domain with lipschitzian boundary. Let for some $p \in [1, 2)$ $Du \in L^p(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique constant u_0 such that

$$\|u - u_0\|_s \leq C \|Du\|_p, \quad s = \frac{2p}{2-p},$$

where the constant C does not depend on u .

2. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p > 2$. Then $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and there exists $C > 0$, independent of u , such that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C \|u\|_{1,p}.$$

Proof see [Gal]. \square

Remark 2.3 Let all the assumptions of the first part of Theorem 2.2 be satisfied. If moreover $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ for some $q \in [1, \infty)$ then evidently $u_0 = 0$.

The decomposition (1.7)–(1.8) allows us to study separately the Oseen problem (1.7) and the transport equation (1.8). Let us recall some known facts about these two equations.

Theorem 2.4 Let $1 < q < \frac{6}{5}$ and $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$. Let $\mathbf{g} \in W^{k,q}(\Omega)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be exterior domain. Then there exists unique solution to the Oseen problem (1.7). Moreover denoting by \mathbf{u} this solution, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q} &\equiv \beta (\|u_2\|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}} + \|Du_2\|_q) + \beta^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{3q}{3-2q}} + \beta^{\frac{1}{3}} \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{3q}{3-q}} \leq \\ &\leq C(\Omega, q, \beta_0) (\|\mathbf{g}\|_q + \beta^{2(1-\frac{1}{q})} |\ln \beta|^{-1} \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_{2-\frac{1}{q}, q, \partial\Omega}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_k &\equiv \beta^{2(1-\frac{1}{q})} (\|D^2\mathbf{u}\|_{k,q} + \|Ds\|_{k,q}) \leq \\ &\leq C(\Omega, q, \beta_0) \left[\|\mathbf{g}\|_q + \beta^{2(1-\frac{1}{q})} (\|\mathbf{g}\|_{k,q} + \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_{2+k-\frac{1}{q}, q, \partial\Omega}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof The existence and uniqueness of the solution is shown in [Gal]. The inequality (2.1) can be found in [Ga2], the inequality (2.2) in [Po]. \square

Theorem 2.5 Let $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty = \mathbf{0}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Let Ω be exterior domain with smooth boundary. Let $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty \in C^k(\bar{\Omega})$, $\mathbf{F} \in W^{k,q}(\Omega)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, $1 < q < \infty$. Let $\vartheta_k = \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty\|_{C^k}$ be small enough (if $k = 0$, we must consider $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty\|_{C^1}$). Then there exists exactly one solution $\mathbf{z} \in W^{k,q}(\Omega)$ to the transport equation (1.8). Moreover

$$\|\mathbf{z}\|_{k,q} \leq \frac{1}{\mu - \alpha\vartheta_k} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{k,q}.$$

The constant α depends only on k, q .

Proof see [No]. \square

3 Main Theorems

We proceed as follows. We first show that the mapping \mathcal{M} maps for β small enough the balls (small enough) in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ into themselves. Then we show that the mapping is a contraction in $L^q(\Omega)$. The following classical theorem gives us the existence of a fixed point in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let X be reflexive Banach space, Y Banach space, $X \hookrightarrow Y$. Let H be a closed unempty ball in the norm topology of X . Let $T : H \mapsto H$ be contraction in Y . Then there exists a unique fixed point of T in H .*

Remark 3.2 Analogously to what follows we may show that the mapping \mathcal{M} maps small balls into themselves in $W^{k,q}(\Omega)$, $k \geq 2$, and is a contraction in $W^{k-1,q}(\Omega)$. Therefore there exists a fixed point in $W^{k,q}(\Omega)$ (see Theorem 3.9).

Throughout this section we shall assume that $q \in (1, \frac{6}{5})$. We shall show that if $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1,q}$ is sufficiently small then

1. $\exists \delta(\beta) > 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q} \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|\mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q} \leq \delta$
2. \mathcal{M} is a contraction in $L^q(\Omega)$ i.e. $\|\mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}_2\|_q \leq \gamma(\delta)\|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q$, where $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\|\mathbf{g}_i\|_{1,q} \leq \delta, i = 1, 2$.

We start to study the problem (1.7) i.e. the Oseen problem. From (2.1)–(2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q} &\leq C(\|\mathbf{g}\|_q + \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})} |\ln \beta|^{-1}) \\ [\mathbf{u}]_1 &\leq C(\|\mathbf{g}\|_q + \beta^{2(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q} + \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We need an estimate of $\mathbf{z} = \mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}$ in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ by means of the expressions on the left hand side of (3.1). Let us begin with two lemmas dealing with some auxilliary estimates.

Lemma 3.3 *Let \mathbf{u} has finite norms $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,q}$ and $[\cdot]_k, k \geq 0$. Let $\mathbf{u} = -(\beta, 0)$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty &\leq C([\mathbf{u}]_0 \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})})^{\frac{3-2q}{q}} [(\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q} \beta^{-\frac{2}{3}})^{\frac{3(q-1)}{q}} + \beta^{\frac{3(q-1)}{q}}] \\ \|D^k \mathbf{u}\|_\infty &\leq C \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} [\mathbf{u}]_k, k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof We start with the first inequality. We denote by \mathbf{w} the function which is equal to \mathbf{u} inside of Ω and $-(\beta, 0)$ outside of Ω . The function \mathbf{w} belongs to $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the interpolation inequality from [Ma] gives us

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty \leq C \|D\mathbf{w}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^a \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1-a},$$

where $0 = a(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}) + (1-a)\frac{1}{r}$. We put $r = \frac{3q}{3-2q}$ and $s = \frac{2q}{2-q}$; so $a = \frac{3-2q}{q}$. As $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}$ on Ω and $\nabla \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ outside of Ω , we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{3q}{3-2q}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3(q-1)}{q}} + \beta^{\frac{3(q-1)}{q}} \right) \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3-2q}{q}}.$$

The inequality (3.2₁) follows by means of Theorem 2.2 and definitions of the norms.

The other inequality is even easier. Theorem 2.2 gives us

$$\|D^k \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \leq C \|D^k \mathbf{u}\|_{1, \frac{2q}{2-q}} \leq C \|D^{k+1} \mathbf{u}\|_{1,q} \leq C \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} [\mathbf{u}]_k$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

We next estimate the quadratic terms on the right hand side of (1.8).

Lemma 3.4 *Let \mathbf{u} be sufficiently smooth. Then we have the following estimates with C independent of \mathbf{u} and β*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_q &\leq \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q}^2 \beta^{-1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})}, \\ \|\mathbf{u} D^k \mathbf{u}\|_q &\leq C [\mathbf{u}]_0^{\frac{3-2q}{q}} [\mathbf{u}]_{k-2} \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q}) \frac{3-q}{q}} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot [\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q}^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})} \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} + \beta^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})}], \quad k \geq 2, \\ \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{2q}^2 &\leq C \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q}^{6(1-\frac{1}{q})} [\mathbf{u}]_0^{\frac{6-4q}{q}} \beta^{-6(1-\frac{1}{q}) \frac{2-q}{q}}, \\ \|D\mathbf{u} D^k \mathbf{u}\|_q &\leq C [\mathbf{u}]_1 [\mathbf{u}]_{k-2} \beta^{-4(1-\frac{1}{q})}, \quad k \geq 2, \\ \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{2q}^2 &\leq C [\mathbf{u}]_1^2 \beta^{-4(1-\frac{1}{q})}, \\ \|D^k s D\mathbf{u}\|_q &\leq C [\mathbf{u}]_1 [\mathbf{u}]_{k-1} \beta^{-4(1-\frac{1}{q})}, \quad k \geq 1, \\ \|D_s D^k \mathbf{u}\|_q &\leq C [\mathbf{u}]_1 [\mathbf{u}]_{k-1} \beta^{-4(1-\frac{1}{q})}, \quad k \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof The first inequality is classical and can be found e.g. in [Ga2]. We next have

$$\|\mathbf{u} D^k \mathbf{u}\|_q \leq \|D^k \mathbf{u}\|_q \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty$$

and the second inequality follows from Lemma 3.3. The third inequality is a consequence of the interpolation and imbedding inequalities

$$\|D\mathbf{u}\|_{2q}^2 \leq \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{3q}{3-q}}^{6(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}}^{\frac{6-4q}{q}} \leq C \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{3q}{3-q}}^{6(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_q^{\frac{6-4q}{q}}$$

which hold for $q \in [1, \frac{3}{2}]$. The fourth and sixth inequalities can be shown similarly as the second one. From the imbedding theorem we have

$$\|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{2q}^2 \leq C \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{1,q}^2$$

and we get the fifth inequality. Finally

$$\|D_s D^k \mathbf{u}\|_q \leq \|D_s\|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \|D^k \mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq C \|D^2 s\|_q \|D^k \mathbf{u}\|_{1,q}.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

So we are in position to show that the operator \mathcal{M} maps sufficiently small balls in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ into themselves.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1,q}$ and β be sufficiently small. Then there exists $\delta(\beta) > 0$ such that the operator \mathcal{M} maps $B_\delta = \{\mathbf{g} \in W^{1,q}(\Omega); \|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q} \leq \delta\}$ into itself.*

Proof Let us take $\mathbf{g} \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $1 < q < \frac{6}{5}$, $\|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q} \leq \delta$ small enough (will be precised later). For the couple (\mathbf{u}, s) the estimates (3.1) are available. Now, let us assume (will be demonstrated below) that $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty\|_{C^1}$ is small enough. Let \mathbf{z} be solution of (1.8) with the right hand side depending on (\mathbf{u}, s) . Then

$$\|\mathbf{z}\|_{1,q} \leq C\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}, s)\|_{1,q}.$$

We need therefore to assure the smallness of $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty\|_{C^1}$ and to estimate $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}, s)$ by means of the norms on the left hand side of (3.1). In what follows we assume that $\delta = \varepsilon\beta^\alpha$, where $\alpha > 0$ and ε is a positive small number. First we need

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{1,\infty} \leq C\mu. \tag{3.4}$$

From Lemma 3.3 and estimate (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1,\infty} &= \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty + \|D\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \leq C\left\{\varepsilon\beta^{\alpha-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} + \varepsilon\beta^{\alpha-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-q}{q}} + \right. \\ &\left. + \varepsilon^{\frac{3-2q}{q}}\beta^{\alpha\frac{3-2q}{q}+2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{7q-6}{2q}} + \beta^{1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-2q}{q}} + \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{2q-6}{2q}} + \beta\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, as $1 > 2(1 - \frac{1}{q})\frac{3-2q}{q}$ for $q \in (1, \frac{6}{5})$, it is enough to assume β small and

$$\alpha > 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)\frac{3-q}{q}.$$

So we get (3.4) satisfied. Let us note that it is enough to take $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. As will be seen later we shall need much sharper condition on α .

Now from (1.8) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}, s)\|_{1,q} &\leq C(\|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{1,q} + \|D\mathbf{u}D^2\mathbf{u}\|_{1,q} + \beta\|\mathbf{u}D^2\mathbf{u}\|_{1,q} + \\ &+ \|DsD\mathbf{u}\|_{1,q} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1,q} + \beta^2\|D^2\mathbf{u}\|_{1,q}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 reads

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{F}\|_{1,q} &\leq C\left\{\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q}^2 \beta^{-1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} + \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q}^{6(1-\frac{1}{q})} [\mathbf{u}]_0^{\frac{6-4q}{q}} \beta^{-6(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{2-q}{q}} + \right. \\ &+ \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\beta,q}^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})} ([\mathbf{u}]_0^{\frac{3-q}{q}} + [\mathbf{u}]_1^{\frac{3-q}{q}}) \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3}{q}} (1 + \beta) + \\ &+ ([\mathbf{u}]_0^{\frac{3-q}{q}} + [\mathbf{u}]_1^{\frac{3-q}{q}}) \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{5q-6}{q}} (1 + \beta) + \\ &\left. + ([\mathbf{u}]_1^2 + [\mathbf{u}]_1[\mathbf{u}]_0(1 + \beta))\beta^{-4(1-\frac{1}{q})} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1,q} + [\mathbf{u}]_1\beta^{2-2(1-\frac{1}{q})}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 we get finally (we assume $|\ln \beta| > 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1,q} &\leq C\|\mathbf{F}\|_{1,q} \leq C\left\{\|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q}^2[\beta^{-1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} + \beta^{-6(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{2-q}{q}} + \right. \\ &+ \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3}{q}}(1 + \beta) + \beta^{-4(1-\frac{1}{q})}(1 + \beta)] + \\ &+ \|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q}^{\frac{(3-q)}{q}} \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{6-5q}{q}}(1 + \beta) + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q}\beta^{\frac{2}{q}} + \\ &+ \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})}|\ln \beta|^{-2} + \beta^{2-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{6-5q}{q}} + \\ &\left. + \beta^{2-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-2q}{q}}(1 + \beta) + \beta^2(1 + \beta) + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1,q}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we easily see that the smallest exponent in the terms without $\|g\|_{1,q}$ is exactly $1 + 2(1 - \frac{1}{q})$. We have therefore

$$\alpha \leq 1 + 2(1 - \frac{1}{q}).$$

On the other side, taking the terms with $\|g\|_{1,q}$ into account we easily see that necessarily $2\alpha - 1 - 2(1 - \frac{1}{q}) \geq \alpha$ i.e.

$$\alpha \geq 1 + 2(1 - \frac{1}{q})$$

and the only possibility is to choose $\alpha = 1 + 2(1 - \frac{1}{q})$. Evidently, if ε and β are small enough, then we get

$$\|z\|_{1,q} \leq \varepsilon \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})} = \delta.$$

Let us emphasize that

$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_{1,q}^2 \beta^{-1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} \leq C \varepsilon^2 \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})} \leq \frac{1}{10} \varepsilon \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})}$$

for ε small enough and

$$\beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})} |\ln \beta|^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{10} \varepsilon \beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})}$$

for β small enough. Lemma 3.5 is proved. \square

Now it remains to show that the operator \mathcal{M} is a contraction in the space $L^q(\Omega)$. It means we are about to show that there exists δ small enough such that for all $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2 \in B_\delta$ there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}_2\|_q \leq \gamma \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q.$$

Let us first reformulate the problems (1.7) and (1.8). We have easily

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) + \varrho \frac{\beta}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2}{\partial x_1} + \nabla(s_1 - s_2) &= \mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2 \\ \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) &= 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{at } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 &\rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty \\ \mu(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2) + \alpha_1(\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{v}_\infty) \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2) &= \\ = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_1, s_1) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_2, s_2) - \alpha_1(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}_2 &\equiv \mathbf{G}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_1, s_1) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_2, s_2) &= -\varrho(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 - \varrho \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) + \\ &+ \alpha_1 \nabla \cdot \{(\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2))^T [\nabla \mathbf{u}_1 + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_1)^T] + \\ &+ (\nabla \mathbf{u}_2)^T [\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) + (\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2))^T] + \\ &+ \varrho \frac{\beta}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial x_1} \otimes (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) + \varrho \frac{\beta}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)}{\partial x_1} \otimes \mathbf{u}_2 - \\ &- (s_1 - s_2)(\nabla \mathbf{u}_1)^T - s_2(\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2))^T\} + \\ &+ \alpha_1 \frac{\varrho \beta^2}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)}{\partial x_1^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Our aim is to show that $\|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\|_q \leq \gamma \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q$ with $\gamma < 1$. For (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle_{\beta, q} &\leq C \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \\ [\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2]_0 &\leq C \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

while for (3.6)

$$\|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\|_q \leq \frac{1}{\mu - \alpha \vartheta_1} \|\mathbf{G}\|_q. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly as in Lemma 3.5 we can show that ϑ_1 is small if δ is small enough.

We start to estimate \mathbf{G} in $L^q(\Omega)$ by means of $\langle \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle_{\beta, q}$ and $[\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2]_0$. The constants in the estimates will depend on $\langle \mathbf{u}_i \rangle_{\beta, q}$ and $[\mathbf{u}_i]_1$ and will be small for δ small. We shall give the estimates of the terms on the right hand side of (3.6).

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}_2\|_q &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{z}_2\|_q \leq \\ &\leq \delta \beta^{-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-q}{3}} [\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2]_{\beta, q}^{\frac{3-2q}{q}} \langle \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle_{\beta, q}^{3(1-\frac{1}{q})} \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon \beta^{1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-2q}{q}} \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Let us note that for $\beta \in (1, \frac{6}{5})$ the exponent by β is strictly positive.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_q &\leq \beta^{-1-2(1-\frac{1}{q})} \langle \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle_{\beta, q} \langle \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle_{\beta, q} \leq \\ &\leq C(|\ln \beta|^{-1} + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \end{aligned}$$

The same result holds also for the term $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \|\mathbf{u}_2 D^2(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_q &\leq \beta \|D^2(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_q \|\mathbf{u}_2\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq C \beta^{2-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-q}{q}} (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q + \beta^2 (1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{3-2q}{q}}) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Completely analogously we can estimate

$$\beta \|\mathbf{u}_1 D^2 \mathbf{u}_1\|_q \leq \beta^{2-2(1-\frac{1}{q})\frac{3-q}{q}} (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q.$$

Moreover

$$\beta^2 \|D^2(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_q \leq C \beta^{\frac{2}{q}} \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q.$$

All the other terms can be estimated by the same term.

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) D^2 \mathbf{u}_i\|_q &\leq \|D(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}_i\|_2 \leq C \beta^{\frac{2-q}{q}} (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \\ \|D^2(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) D \mathbf{u}_i\|_q &\leq \|D^2(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_q \|D \mathbf{u}_i\|_\infty \leq C \beta^{\frac{2-q}{q}} (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \\ \|D(s_1 - s_2) D \mathbf{u}_1\|_q &\leq \|D(s_1 - s_2)\|_q \|D \mathbf{u}_1\|_\infty \leq C \beta^{\frac{2-q}{q}} (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \\ \|D s_2 D(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_q &\leq \|D s_2\|_2 \|D(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \leq C \beta^{\frac{2-q}{q}} (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q \end{aligned}$$

From the calculations above we conclude

Lemma 3.6 *Let β, ε be small enough, $\delta = \varepsilon\beta^{1+2(1-\frac{1}{q})}$. Then there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathcal{M}\mathbf{g}_2\|_q \leq \gamma\|\mathbf{g}_1 - \mathbf{g}_2\|_q$$

for all $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2 \in B_\delta$.

Combining Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 with Theorem 3.1 we get finally

Theorem 3.7 *Let $q \in (1, \frac{6}{5})$. Let $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1,q}$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists β^* such that for all $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$ there exists at least one strong solution to (1.5). Moreover we have $D^2\mathbf{v} \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $D\mathbf{v} \in L^{\frac{3q}{3-q}}(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\infty \in L^{\frac{3q}{3-2q}}(\Omega)$ and $Dp \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.*

Proof From Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we get existence of the fixed point $\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$. From (1.7) we can calculate the corresponding pair (\mathbf{u}, s) . Now, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty$ solves the problem (1.5) while $p = \mu s + \alpha_1(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty) \cdot \nabla s$ is the corresponding pressure. We easily have

$$\|Dp\|_{1,q} \leq \mu\|Ds\|_{1,q} + \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty\|Ds\|_{1,q} + \|D\mathbf{u}\|_\infty\|Ds\|_q \leq C$$

and Theorem 3.7 is demonstrated. \square

Remark 3.8 A similar procedure (in some sense even easier) gives us

Theorem 3.9 *Let $k \geq 1$. Let $q \in (1, \frac{6}{5})$ and let $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{k,q}$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists β^* such that for all $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$ there exists at least one strong solution to (1.5). Moreover we have that $D^2\mathbf{v} \in W^{k,q}(\Omega)$, $D\mathbf{v} \in L^{\frac{3q}{3-q}}(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\infty \in L^{\frac{3q}{3-2q}}(\Omega)$ and $Dp \in W^{k,q}(\Omega)$.*

The details of the proof can be found in [Po].

References

- [DuFo] Dunn, J. E., Fosdick, R. L.: *Thermodynamics, Stability and Boundedness of Fluids of Complexity 2 and Fluids of Second Grade*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **56**, (1974) 191–252.
- [DuRa] Dunn, J. E., Rajagopal, K. R.: *Fluids of Differential Type: Critical Review and Thermodynamic Analysis*. Int. J. Engng Sci. **33**, (1995) 689–729.
- [Gal] Galdi, G. P.: *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations I*. Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy **38**, Springer, New York, (1994).
- [Gal2] Galdi, G. P.: *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations II*. Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy **39**, Springer, New York, (1994).
- [GaSe] Galdi, G. P., Sequeira, A.: *Further Existence Results for Classical Solutions of the Equations of a Second-Grade Fluid*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **128**, (1994) 297–312.
- [Ma] Maremonti, P.: *Some Interpolation Inequalities Involving Stokes Operator and First Order Derivatives*. (preprint, University of Potenza) (1996).
- [MoSo] Mogilevskii, I., Solonnikov, V. A.: *Problem on a Stationary Flow of Second-Grade Fluid in Hölder Classes of Functions*. Proc. Sci. Sem. Pomi, (to appear).

- [No] Novotný, A.: *About Steady Transport Equation I — L^p approach in domains with smooth boundaries*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **37**, 1 (1996) 43–89.
- [NoSeVi] Novotný, A., Sequeira, A., Videman, J.: *Existence of threedimensional flows of second grade fluids past an obstacle*. Proc. Second World Congress of Nonlinear Analysis, Athenes, 1996, (to appear).
- [PiSeVi] Pileckas, K., Sequeira, A., Videman, J.: *A Note on Steady Flows of Non-Newtonian Fluids in Channels and Pipes*. In: Magalhães L., Sanchez L., Roch C., (eds.) EQUADIFF-95, World Scientific, (to appear).
- [Po] Pokorný, M.: *Asymptotic Behaviour of Certain Solutions of Equations Describing the Motion of Fluids in Unbounded Domains*. PhD Thesis, Charles University Prague and Université de Toulon et du Var, Toulon (in preparation).
- [TrNo] Truesdell, C., Noll, W.: *The Nonlinear Field Theories of Mechanics*. Handbuch der Physik, III/3, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, (1965).