

Giovanni Emmanuele

Existence of solutions of perturbed O.D.E.'s in Banach spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 32 (1991), No. 3, 463--470

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118427>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Existence of solutions of perturbed O.D.E.'s in Banach spaces

GIOVANNI EMMANUELE

*Abstract.* We consider a perturbed Cauchy problem like the following

$$(PCP) \begin{cases} x' = A(t, x) + B(t, x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

and we present two results showing that (PCP) has a solution. In some cases, our theorems are more general than the previous ones obtained by other authors (see [4], [8], [9], [11], [13], [17], [18]).

*Keywords:* perturbed Cauchy problem, semi-inner product, measure of noncompactness

*Classification:* 34G05, 34G20

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $X$  be a closed subset of a Banach space  $E$ . If  $x_0 \in X$  and  $A, B$  are two functions defined on  $I \times X$  with values into  $E$ , we are interested in solving the following perturbed Cauchy problem

$$(PCP) \begin{cases} x' = A(t, x) + B(t, x) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

under several assumptions on  $A$  and  $B$ ; essentially,  $A$  will satisfy dissipative conditions and  $B$  compactness type ones, as it has been done by a lot of authors (see [4], [11], [13], [17], [18]). We always assume that there is a subinterval  $J = [0, a]$  of  $I$  and a sequence of equicontinuous and a.e. derivable functions  $x_n : J \rightarrow X$  such that there is  $K > 0$  such that  $\|x_n(t') - x_n(t'')\| \leq K|t' - t''|$  on  $J$ ,  $n \in N$ , and

$$\lim_n \|x'_n(t) - [A(t, x_n(t)) + B(t, x_n(t))]\| = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } J$$

and we look for conditions about  $A$  and  $B$  forcing a suitable subsequence of  $(x_n)$  to converge (to a solution  $x$  of (PCP)).

In this paper, we use the following notions of semi-inner product and Kuratowski measure of noncompactness (see [3]).

---

Work performed under the auspices of G.N.A.F.A. of C.N.R. and partially supported by M.P.I. of Italy (60%).

**Definition 1.** Let  $x, y \in E$ . We define  $Fx = \{x^* \in E^* : x^*(x) = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}$  and  $(y, x)_+ = \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in Fx\}$ ,  $(y, x)_- = \min\{x^*(y) : x^* \in Fx\}$ .

We have the following properties of semi-inner products:

- (i)  $(x + y, z)_\pm \leq (x, z)_\pm + (y, z)_\pm$  and  $|(x, y)_\pm| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ ,
- (ii) if  $x : (a, b) \rightarrow X$  is differentiable at  $t$  and  $\phi(t) = \|x(t)\|$ , then  $\phi(t)D^-\phi(t) \leq (x'(t), x(t))_-$ .

**Definition 2.** Given a bounded subset  $X$  of  $E$ , we define the Kuratowski measure of non compactness  $\alpha(X)$  as follows:

$\alpha(X) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \text{there exist bounded subsets } A_i \text{ of } X \text{ with } X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \text{ and } \text{diam } A_i < \varepsilon\}$ .

The measure  $\alpha$  has the following properties:

- (j)  $\alpha(A + B) \leq \alpha(A) + \alpha(B)$ ,  $\alpha(kA) = |k|\alpha(A) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (jj)  $\alpha(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A$  is relatively compact,
- (jjj)  $\alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$  if  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\alpha(A \cup B) = \max\{\alpha(A), \alpha(B)\}$ ,
- (jiv)  $\alpha(\overline{\text{co}}(A)) = \alpha(A)$ , where  $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$  is the closed, convex hull of  $A$ ,
- (v)  $\alpha(A) \leq \text{diam } A$ .

**2. Existence results.**

First of all, we consider the following groups of hypotheses used in [14] (see also [3]) and in the recent paper [9] in order to get a sequence of approximate solutions defined on  $J$  as described in the Introduction.

(H1) (see [14]). *Let the function  $f = A + B$  be continuous and bounded. Further, if  $X_r = X \cap \{x : \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$ ,  $r > 0$ , assume that*

$$(0) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1}d(x + hf(t, x), X_r) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in I, x \in X.$$

(H2) (see [9]). *Let  $X$  be separable and convex. Let the function  $f = A + B$  be bounded, satisfying (0) and the following Carathéodory assumptions:*

(C1) *the functions  $t \rightarrow f(t, x)$  are strongly measurable, for all  $x \in X$ ;*

(C2) *the functions  $x \rightarrow f(t, x)$  are continuous, for almost all  $t \in I$ .*

(H3) (see [9]). *Let  $X$  be convex. Let the function  $f = A + B$  be bounded satisfying (0), (C1), (C2). Further assume that there are two functions  $L : I \rightarrow E$  and  $H : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L \in L^1(I, E), H \text{ is bounded on bounded sets} \\ \|f(t', x) - f(t'', x)\| \leq \|L(t', x) - L(t'', x)\|H(x)(1 + \|f(t', x)\|), \\ t', t'' \in I, x \in X. \end{array} \right.$$

**Remark 1.** Note that we do not assume  $\dot{X} \neq \emptyset$ , as some authors did (see [18]).

**Remark 2.** (H3) requires the existence of  $L$  and  $H$  verifying (1); this is quite a restrictive hypothesis, that, however, has been used successfully by a lot of authors studying nonlinear evolution equations (see [2], [10], [12], [15]).

Now, we present our results about the existence of solutions for (PCP); in the sequel, we shall consider the subset  $Z$  of  $X$  defined by  $Z = \{x_n(t) : t \in I, n \in N\}$ ; note that  $Z$  is bounded.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that one hypothesis among (H1), (H2) and (H3) is verified. Moreover, suppose that there exist two functions  $\varphi_A, \varphi_B \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|A(t, x)\| \leq \varphi_A(t), \|B(t, x)\| \leq \varphi_B(t)$  for almost all  $t \in I, x \in Z$  and that the following other facts are true:

(2) there is a function  $\ell_A \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$(A(t, x) - A(t, y), x - y)_- \leq \ell_A(t) \|x - y\|^2 \quad t \text{ a.e. in } J, x, y \in Z;$$

(3) there is a function  $\ell_B \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$\alpha(B(t, Y)) \leq \ell_B(t) \alpha(Y) \quad t \text{ a.e. in } J, Y \subseteq Z;$$

(4) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a (closed) subset  $J_\varepsilon$  of  $J, m(J \setminus J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  such that  $B_{J_\varepsilon \times Z}$  is uniformly continuous.

Then (PCP) has a solution on  $J$ .

PROOF: For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $J_\varepsilon \subset J$ , closed,  $m(J \setminus J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  such that the following facts are true:

- (5)  $B_{J_\varepsilon \times Z}$  is uniformly continuous,
- (6)  $\ell_A|_{J_\varepsilon}, \ell_B|_{J_\varepsilon}$  are continuous,
- (7)  $\int_{J \setminus J_\varepsilon} \varphi_A(s) ds + \int_{J \setminus J_\varepsilon} \varphi_B(s) ds < \varepsilon$ .

Repeating the proof of the first part of Theorem 4 in [11], we can get a partition  $\{B_{K_1, \dots, K_m}\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  in such a way that, for  $r, s \in B_{K_1, \dots, K_m}$  and with  $\mu(t) = \alpha(\{x_n(t)\})$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \|B(t, x_r(t)) - B(t, x_s(t))\| \leq 5\varepsilon + \ell_B(t) \mu(t) \quad \text{on } J_\varepsilon.$$

Using (i) and (ii) of Definition 1 and observing that  $p_{rs}(t) = \|x_r(t) - x_s(t)\|$  is a.e. differentiable, because absolutely continuous, we get from (8) with  $r, s \in B_{K_1, \dots, K_m}$

$$p_{rs}(t) p'_{rs}(t) \leq \ell_A(t) p_{rs}^2(t) + \ell_B(t) p_{rs}(t) \mu(t) + 5\varepsilon p_{rs}(t) + (\|h_r(t)\| + \|h_s(t)\|) p_{rs}(t)$$

for almost all  $t \in J_\varepsilon$ , where  $h_r, h_s$  are suitable functions with  $\int_J \|h_r(s)\| + \|h_s(s)\| ds \rightarrow 0$  as  $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, it is very easy to see that

$$p'_{rs}(t) \leq 2[\varphi_A(t) + \varphi_B(t)] + \|h_r(t)\| + \|h_s(t)\|.$$

Hence we have for a.a.  $t \in J$ , since  $p_{rs}(0) = 0$  and  $p'_{rs}(t_0) = 0$  whenever

$p_{rs}(t_0) = 0$  and  $p'_{rs}(t_0)$  exists,  $r, s \in B_{K_1, \dots, K_m}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{rs}(t) &= \int_0^t p'_{rs}(s) ds = \int_{[0,t] \cap J_\varepsilon} p'_{rs}(s) ds + \int_{[0,t] \setminus J_\varepsilon} p'_{rs}(s) ds \leq \\
 (9) \quad &\leq \int_{[0,t] \cap J_\varepsilon} [\ell_A(s)p_{rs}(s) + \ell_B(s)\mu(s) + 5\varepsilon] ds + \int_{[0,t] \setminus J_\varepsilon} 2[\varphi_A(s) + \varphi_B(s)] ds + \\
 &+ \int_J 2[\|h_r(s)\| + \|h_s(s)\|] ds \leq 8\varepsilon + \int_0^t \ell_B(s)\mu(s) ds + \\
 &+ \int_0^t \ell_A(s)p_{rs}(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $r, s$  sufficiently large.

It is very easy to see that (9) implies the following inequality,  $r, s \in B_{K_1, \dots, K_m}$ ,

$$(10) \quad p_{rs}(t) \leq \left[ 8\varepsilon + \int_0^t \ell_B(s)\mu(s) ds \right] \exp \left( \int_0^t \ell_A(s) ds \right)$$

for  $r, s$  sufficiently large. By using (jjj) and (v) of Definition 2, we can easily prove that (10) gives the following inequality

$$(11) \quad \mu(t) \leq \left[ 8\varepsilon + \int_0^t \ell_B(s)\mu(s) ds \right] M^*,$$

$M^*$  being a positive number greater than  $\exp(\int_0^t \ell_A(s) ds)$  for all  $t \in J$ . Hence, by (11),  $\mu(t) \equiv 0$  on  $J$ , taking into account that  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** The proof of Theorem 1 is very similar to that one of Theorem 4 of [11], that is, however, generalized by virtue of the hypothesis (4); indeed, in [11],  $B$  is assumed to be uniformly continuous.

We shall see in a subsequent remark that our improvement is not only a technicality.

The next result makes use of similar assumptions concerning  $A$  and  $B$ ; this time we shall assume the validity of (4) with respect to  $A$ ; in this way,  $A$  and  $B$  are allowed to satisfy more general assumptions than (2) and (3).

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that one hypothesis among (H1), (H2) and (H3) is verified. Moreover, suppose there exist two functions  $\varphi_A, \varphi_B \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|A(t, x)\| \leq \varphi_A(t), \|B(t, x)\| \leq \varphi_B(t)$  for almost all  $t \in I, x \in Z$ .*

*Let the following other facts be true:*

- (12) *there exists a function  $\omega_A : J \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  verifying Carathéodory hypotheses like (C1) and (C2) such that*

$$(A(t, x) - A(t, y), x - y)_- \leq \omega_A(t, \|x - y\|) \|x - y\| \quad t \text{ a.e. in } J, x, y \in Z;$$

- (13) there exists a function  $\omega_B : J \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  verifying Carathéodory hypotheses like (C1) and (C2) such that for each subset  $Y$  of  $Z$  and almost all  $t \in J$  we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(B([t-h, t], Y)) \leq \omega_B(t, \alpha(Y)),$$

where  $h > 0$  is such that  $t - h > 0$ ;

- (14)  $\omega_A + \omega_B$  is such that the only absolutely continuous function  $u : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  for which  $u(0) = 0, u'(t) \leq \omega_A(t, u(t)) + \omega_B(t, u(t))$  is the identically null function;
- (15) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a closed subset  $J_\varepsilon$  of  $J, m(J \setminus J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ , such that  $A|_{J_\varepsilon \times Z}$  is uniformly continuous.

Then (PCP) has a solution on  $J$ .

PROOF: It was proved in the paper [11] that (12) implies that

$$(16) \quad \alpha(Y) - \alpha(\{x + hA(t, x) : x \in Y\}) \leq h\omega_A(t, \alpha(Y))$$

for each  $h > 0, t \in J$  and  $Y \subset Z$ . Put  $\mu(t) = \alpha(\{x_n(t)\}), t \in J$ . It is well known that  $\mu$  is an absolutely continuous real function defined on  $J$ . Consider the following inequalities, with  $t$  a.e. in  $J, h > 0$  and  $t - h > 0$ :

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(t) - \mu(t-h) &= \alpha(\{x_n(t)\}) - \alpha(\{x_n(t-h)\}) = \\ &= \alpha(\{x_n(t)\}) - \alpha(\{x_n(t) - hA(t, x_n(t))\}) + \\ &+ \alpha(\{x_n(t) - hA(t, x_n(t))\}) - \alpha(\{x_n(t-h)\}) \leq \\ &\leq h\omega_A(t, \alpha(\{x_n(t)\})) + \alpha(\{[x_n(t) - x_n(t-h)] - hA(t, x_n(t))\}) \leq \\ &\leq h\omega_A(t, \alpha(\{x_n(t)\})) + h\alpha\left(\left\{h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t [A(s, x_n(s)) - A(t, x_n(t))] ds\right\}\right) + \\ &+ h\alpha\left(\left\{h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t B(s, x_n(s)) ds\right\}\right) \leq \\ &\leq h\omega_A(t, \alpha(x_n(t))) + h\alpha\left(\left\{h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t [A(s, x_n(s)) - A(t, x_n(t))] ds\right\}\right) + \\ &+ h\alpha(B([t-h, t], \{x_n[t-h, t]\})), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Corollary 8 on page 48 of [5]. Dividing by  $h > 0$ , we get

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(t-h)}{h} &\leq \\ &\leq \omega_A(t, \mu(t)) + \alpha\left(\left\{h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t [A(s, x_n(s)) - A(t, x_n(t))] ds\right\}\right) + \\ &\quad + \alpha(B([t-h, t], \{x_n[t-h, t]\})). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need two remarks. Consider the function

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = t \rightarrow \{A(t, x_n(t))\}$$

from  $J$  to  $\ell^\infty(E)$  (= the Banach space of all bounded sequences of  $E$  endowed with the sup norm). By virtue of [15] and the equicontinuity of  $(x_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  verifies Lusin Theorem (see [6]); hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is strongly measurable; since  $\|\mathcal{A}(t)\|_{\ell^\infty(E)} \leq \varphi_A(t)$  almost everywhere,  $\mathcal{A}$  is also Bochner integrable. Hence we have ([17])

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t \|\mathcal{A}(t) - \mathcal{A}(s)\| ds = 0$$

almost everywhere on  $J$ . This implies that the diameter of the set

$$\left\{ h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t [A(t, x_n(t)) - A(s, x_n(s))] ds : n \in N \right\}$$

tends to zero as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence we can say that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha \left( \left\{ h^{-1} \int_{t-h}^t [A(t, x_n(t)) - A(s, x_n(s))] ds \right\} \right) = 0.$$

The other remark we shall use, is the following one: by a result due to Ambrosetti ([1]), we know that there is  $t^* \in [t, t+h]$  such that  $\alpha(\{x_n[t, t+h]\}) = \alpha(\{x_n(t^*)\})$ . Since  $\alpha(\{x_n(\cdot)\})$  is continuous (in particular at  $t$ ), for each  $\sigma > 0$  there is  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $|\tilde{t} - t| < \delta_0$  implies  $|\alpha(\{x_n(\tilde{t})\})| < \sigma$ . On the other hand,  $u \rightarrow \omega_B(t, u)$  is continuous; hence, given  $\sigma > 0$ , it is possible to determine  $h^* > 0$  such that, for  $h \in ]0, h^*]$ , we have

$$\omega_B(t, \alpha(\{x_n(t^*)\})) \leq \omega_B(t, \alpha(\{x_n(t)\})) + \sigma.$$

Taking  $h \rightarrow 0^+$  in (18), our hypotheses and the above couple of remarks show that

$$\mu'(t) \leq \omega_B(t, \alpha(\{x_n(t)\})) + \sigma + \omega_A(t, \alpha(\{x_n(t)\}));$$

the arbitrariness of  $\sigma$  gives that

$$(19) \quad \mu'(t) \leq \omega_B(t, \mu(t)) + \omega_A(t, \mu(t))$$

for  $t$  a.e. in  $J$ .

Since  $\mu(0) = 0$ , (19) gives  $\mu(t) = 0$  on  $J$ . We are done. □

**Remark 4.** As observed by Martin ([13]), a typical situation in which (PCP) can be applied, is the following integro-differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u(t, s)}{\partial t} = f(t, s, u(t, s)) + \int_0^1 g(t, s, \tau, u(t, \tau)) d\tau \quad (t, s) \in [0, 1]^2,$$

where one can put, for instance,  $E = C([0, 1])$ ,  $X \subset E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A(t, x)(s) &= f(t, s, x(s)) & (t, s, x) &\in [0, 1]^2 \times X, \\ B(t, x)(s) &= \int_0^1 g(t, s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau & (t, s, x) &\in [0, 1]^2 \times X. \end{aligned}$$

Observe, in particular, that if

$$\begin{aligned} t \rightarrow f(t, s, u) & \text{ is measurable, for all } (s, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (s, u) \rightarrow f(t, s, u) & \text{ is continuous, for almost all } t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

then  $A$  verifies (C1) and (C2). Since  $Z$  is bounded, there is  $M > 0$  such that  $|x_n(t)(s)| \leq M$  for all  $n \in N$ ,  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ . Hence if one considers the restriction of  $f$  to  $[0, 1]^2 \times [-M, M]$ , by using again the result from [16], given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a (closed) subset  $I_\varepsilon$  of  $I$ ,  $m(I \setminus I_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ , for which  $f|_{I_\varepsilon \times [0, 1] \times [-M, M]}$  is (uniformly) continuous. It is very easy to show that this implies that  $A|_{I_\varepsilon \times Z}$  is uniformly continuous. In the same way, we can show that (4) of Theorem 1 is true, even if  $B$  is not uniformly continuous on the whole of  $I \times X$ . Hence Theorem 1 actually extends Theorem 4 of [11].

This example also shows that assuming (2), (3), (4) (or (12), (13), (15), in the present case), is some time useful; in the present setting  $A$  and  $B$  are just continuous with respect to  $x \in X$ , but however verify (4) and (15) when we restrict our interest to  $I \times Z$ ; note that (4) and (15) imply that for almost all  $t \in J$ , the functions  $x \rightarrow A(t, x)$  and  $x \rightarrow B(t, x)$  are uniformly continuous; but, thanks to (4) and (15), we are not requiring this on whole of  $X$ , just on  $Z$ .

We observe that both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 improve (at least partially) the previous results due to Deimling ([4]), Emmanuele ([8], [9]), Martin ([13]), Hu Shou Chuan ([11]), Schechter ([17]), Volkmann ([18]).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ambrosetti, *Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach*, Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova **39** (1967), 349–360.
- [2] Crandall M., Pazy A., *Nonlinear equations in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **11** (1972), 87–94.
- [3] Deimling K., *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **596**, Springer Verlag 1977.
- [4] Deimling K., *Open Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations in B-Spaces*, Proceeding Equa-Diff. 1978, 127–137.
- [5] Diestel J., Uhl J.J., jr., *Vector Measures*, Amer. Math. Soc. 1977.
- [6] Dinculeanu N., *Vector Measures*, Pergamon Press 1967.
- [7] Dunford N., Schwartz J.T., *Linear Operators*, part I, Interscience 1957.
- [8] Emmanuele G., *On a theorem of R.H. Martin on certain Cauchy problems for ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. **61** (1985), 207–210.
- [9] Emmanuele G., *Existence of approximate solutions for O.D.E.'s under Carathéodory assumptions in closed, convex sets of Banach spaces*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, to appear.
- [10] Evans L.C., *Nonlinear evolution equations in an arbitrary Banach space*, Israel J. Math. **26** (1977), 1–42.
- [11] Hu Shou Chuan, *Ordinary differential equations involving perturbations in Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Analysis, TMA **7** (1983), 933–940.
- [12] Kato T., *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967), 508–520.
- [13] Martin R.H., *Remarks on ordinary differential equations involving dissipative and compact operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **10** (1975), 61–65.
- [14] Martin R.H., *Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Wiley and Sons 1976.



- [15] Pierre M., *Enveloppe d'une famille de semi-groups dans un espace de Banach*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **284** (1977), 401–404.
- [16] Ricceri B., Villani A., *Separability and Scorza–Dragoni's property*, Le Matematiche **37** (1982), 156–161.
- [17] Schechter E., *Evolution generated by continuous dissipative plus compact operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **13** (1981), 303–308.
- [18] Volkmann P., *Ein Existenzsatz für gewöhnliche differentialgleichungen in Banachräumen*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 297–300.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CATANIA, CATANIA 95125, ITALY

(Received March 25, 1991, revised May 27, 1991)