

Jiří Jarník

Constructing the minimal differential relation with prescribed solutions

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 105 (1980), No. 3, 311--315

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118074>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CONSTRUCTING THE MINIMAL DIFFERENTIAL RELATION
WITH PRESCRIBED SOLUTIONS

JIŘÍ JARNÍK, Praha

(Received May 16, 1978)

Let R^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, \mathcal{K}_n the system of its nonempty compact convex subsets, $\mathcal{K}_n^0 = \mathcal{K}_n \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

Let us denote by $B(x, r)$, $\bar{B}(x, r)$ respectively the open and the closed ball in R^n with a centre x and a radius r .

Given $M \subset R^n$, then $\Omega(M, \varepsilon)$ is the ε -neighbourhood of the set M , $\bar{\Omega}(M, \varepsilon)$ the closure of the neighbourhood. The symbol $\overline{\text{conv}} M$ stands for the closed convex hull of a set $M \subset R^n$, $m(A)$ is the (one-dimensional) Lebesgue measure of a set $A \subset R$. If J is an interval, $M \subset R^n$, then the upper semicontinuity of a mapping $F : J \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n$ or $F : J \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n^0$ is defined in the usual way.

Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let $\alpha < \beta$. Let Ξ denote a set of functions $x : J_x \rightarrow R^n$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *for each $x \in \Xi$, J_x is a closed subinterval of $J = [\alpha, \beta]$;*
- (ii) *x is absolutely continuous;*
- (iii) *there exists a function $\xi : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow R^+ = [0, +\infty)$ with $\int_\alpha^\beta \xi(t) dt \leq 1$ such that $|\dot{x}(t)| \leq \xi(t)$ holds for almost all $t \in J_x$;*
- (iv) *to each $x \in \Xi$ there is $\tau_x \in J_x$ such that $|x(\tau_x)| \leq 1$.*

Then there exists a mapping $Q : H \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n^0$, where $H = [\alpha, \beta] \times \bar{B}(0, 2)$, such that $Q(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$, each $x \in \Xi$ is a solution of the relation

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} \in Q(t, x)$$

and Q is minimal in the following sense: if $S : H \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n^0$, $S(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and each $x \in \Xi$ is a solution (on J_x) of the relation

$$\dot{x} \in S(t, x),$$

then

$$Q(t, x) \subset S(t, x)$$

for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all $x \in \bar{B}(0, 2)$.

Remarks. 1. Let us notice that the minimality property of Q guarantees its uniqueness.

2. In addition to the upper semicontinuity of Q , it will be clear from the proof that $Q(t, x) \subset \bar{B}(0, \xi(t))$ (cf. condition (iii) of Theorem). Hence Q satisfies assumptions for the existence of solutions of (1).

3. According to [1, Definition 1.4], a mapping $F : H \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{SD}^*(H \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0)$ if it satisfies the condition: to every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a measurable set $A_\varepsilon \subset R$ such that $m(R - A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and the function $F|_{H \cap (A_\varepsilon \times R^n)}$ is upper semicontinuous; mappings from $\mathcal{SD}^*(H \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0)$ may be called Scorza-Dragonian mappings as Scorza-Dragoni introduced the corresponding class of functions $f : H \rightarrow R$.

The main result [1, Theorem 1.5] applied to the mapping $Q : H \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0$ with the properties specified in Theorem yields that there exists a Scorza-Dragonian mapping $Q_0 : H \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0$ which fulfils $Q_0(t, x) \subset Q(t, x)$ for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and all $x \in \bar{B}(0, 2)$, and each $u \in \mathcal{E}$ is a solution of the relation

$$\dot{x} \in Q_0(t, x).$$

Hence necessarily $Q \equiv Q_0$, i.e. Q is Scorza-Dragonian.

Proof of Theorem. If x, y are two functions satisfying conditions (i)–(iv), let us introduce the distance $\varrho(x, y)$ in the following way:

Denote by $J_x = [a_x, b_x]$, $J_y = [a_y, b_y]$ the definition intervals of x, y , respectively, and set

$$\bar{x}(t) = \begin{cases} x(t) & \text{for } t \in J_x, \\ x(a_x) & \text{for } \alpha \leq t < a_x, \\ x(b_x) & \text{for } b_x < t \leq \beta; \end{cases}$$

then $\bar{x} : J \rightarrow R^n$. Introducing $\bar{y} : J \rightarrow R^n$ analogously, we define

$$\varrho(x, y) = \max_{t \in J} |\bar{x}(t) - \bar{y}(t)| + |a_x - a_y| + |b_x - b_y|.$$

It is easily verified that this formula defines a metric on the set of functions satisfying (i)–(iv). We shall show that the set \mathcal{E} has an at most countable dense (with respect to ϱ) subset. Indeed, set

$$\Gamma = \{x : J \rightarrow R^n \mid x \text{ satisfies (ii), (iii), (iv)}\}.$$

The set \mathcal{E} with the above defined metric ϱ is naturally imbedded into the Cartesian product $\Gamma \times J \times J$. As Γ is separable in virtue of (ii)–(iv), we conclude that \mathcal{E} is separable as well.

Consequently, there is an at most countable dense subset of \mathcal{E} , say

$$V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\} \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

Let us denote

$$A_i = \{t \in J_{v_i} \mid \dot{v}_i(t) \text{ does not exist}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$A = J - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Then $m(A) = \beta - \alpha$.

Let us define functions $Q_i : [\alpha, \beta] \times \bar{B}(0, 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$(2) \quad Q_i(t, x) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{for } t \in [\alpha, \beta] - A \\ \overline{\text{conv}} \{\dot{v}_p(t) \mid v_p(t) \in \bar{B}(x, i^{-1})\} & \text{for } t \in A \end{cases}$$

and put

$$Q(t, x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i(t, x).$$

We shall prove that the mapping Q has the properties from Theorem. First, let us introduce an auxiliary result.

Lemma. Let $x_j : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow R^n$ satisfy the assumptions (ii), (iii) of Theorem (with x replaced by x_j). Let there exist $x : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow R^n$,

$$x(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j(t)$$

for all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Then

$$\dot{x}(t) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{conv}} \{\dot{x}_j(t), \dot{x}_{j+1}(t), \dots\}$$

for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

For this lemma, see [2, p. 395, Theorem D 18.3.10] or [3, Lemma 2].

Now we shall prove that each $u \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies the relation

$$(3) \quad \dot{u}(t) \in Q(t, u(t))$$

for almost all $t \in J_u$.

Indeed, since V is a set dense in \mathcal{E} , there exists a sequence $w_j = v_{k_j} \in V$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$(4) \quad u(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_j(t).$$

According to Lemma there is a set $A \subset [\alpha, \beta]$, $m(A) = \beta - \alpha$, such that

$$\dot{u}(t) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{conv}} \{\dot{w}_j(t), \dot{w}_{j+1}(t), \dots\}$$

for all $t \in A \cap J_u$.

Given $t \in A \cap J_u$, there exists for every positive integer i a positive integer j such that

$$\overline{\text{conv}} \{\dot{w}_j(t), \dot{w}_{j+1}(t), \dots\} \subset Q_i(t, u(t)).$$

(To this aim it is sufficient to choose j large enough to satisfy $|w_q(t) - u(t)| \leq i^{-1}$ for all $q \geq j$.)

Hence

$$\dot{u}(t) \in Q_i(t, u(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

for almost all t which implies (3) immediately.

Further, we shall prove that the mapping $Q(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Let us first mention an elementary assertion which is an immediate consequence of the compactness of the sets $Q_i(t, x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer $i(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(5) \quad Q_i(t, x) \subset \Omega(Q(t, x), \varepsilon)$$

for all $i \geq i(\varepsilon)$. Indeed, if this were not the case and if $Q(t, x) \neq \emptyset$ then we could choose $\eta > 0$ and a sequence $z_i \in Q_i(t, x)$, $|z_i - y| \geq \eta > 0$ for $y \in Q(t, x)$. However, passing to a convergent subsequence if necessary we obtain $z_0 \in Q(t, x)$ for $z_0 = \lim z_i$, a contradiction. On the other hand, if $Q(t, x) = \emptyset$ then $Q_i(t, x) = \emptyset$ for i sufficiently large and (5) is obvious.

Now let $(t, x_0) \in H$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Find $i(\varepsilon)$ so that (5) holds for $i \geq i(\varepsilon)$ and suppose $|x - x_0| < (2i(\varepsilon))^{-1}$, $z \in Q(t, x)$. Then also $z \in Q_{2i(\varepsilon)}(t, x)$, i.e. for every $\eta > 0$ there exists a convex combination

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \dot{v}_j(t), \quad \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j = 1, \quad \beta_j > 0$$

with $v_j \in V$ so that

$$\left| z - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \dot{v}_j(t) \right| < \eta$$

and simultaneously

$$\left| x - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j v_j(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2i(\varepsilon)},$$

hence

$$\left| x_0 - \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j v_j(t) \right| < \frac{1}{i(\varepsilon)}.$$

This means $z \in Q_{i(\varepsilon)}(t, x_0)$. Now we conclude from (5) that

$$Q(t, x) \subset Q_{2i(\varepsilon)}(t, x) \subset Q_{i(\varepsilon)}(t, x_0) \subset \Omega(Q(t, x), \varepsilon)$$

provided $|x - x_0| < \delta = (2i(\varepsilon))^{-1}$ which proves the upper semicontinuity of the map Q .

It remains to prove that Q is minimal in the sense mentioned in the theorem. Let us suppose that S has the properties from the theorem, i.e. $S : H \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_n^0$, $S(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and each $u \in \Xi$ is a solution of the relation

$$(6) \quad \dot{x} = S(t, x).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Then there exists a positive integer i with the following property: if $y \in B(x, i^{-1})$ then

$$(7) \quad S(t, y) \subset \Omega(S(t, x), \varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, as the set V is at most countable and all $v_j \in V$ are solutions of (6), there exists a set $D \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ with $m(D) = \beta - \alpha$ such that

$$(8) \quad \dot{v}_j(t) \in S(t, v_j(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in D \cap J_{v_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $x \in \bar{B}(0, 1)$, $t \in D \cap A$. Then we have in virtue of the definition of Q_i (see (2))

$$(9) \quad Q_i(t, x) = \overline{\text{conv}} \{ \dot{v}_p(t) \mid v_p(t) \in \bar{B}(x, i^{-1}) \} \subset \overline{\text{conv}} \bigcup_p S(t, v_p(t))$$

where the union is taken over all p such that

$$v_p(t) \in \bar{B}(x, i^{-1}).$$

Consequently, (7) and (9) together imply

$$Q(t, x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i(t, x) \subset \bar{\Omega}(S(t, x), \varepsilon).$$

The number $\varepsilon > 0$ has been arbitrary, hence the last inclusion holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies immediately $Q(t, x) \subset S(t, x)$ for all $t \in D \cap A$, i.e. for almost all $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ which completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] Jarník, J. and Kurzweil, J.: On conditions on right hand sides of differential relations. Časopis pěst. mat. 102 (1977), 334—349.
- [2] Kurzweil, J.: Ordinary differential equations. SNTL - Publishing House of Technical Literature, Praha 1978 (Czech).
- [3] Krbeč, P. and Kurzweil, J.: Kneser's theorem for multivalued differential delay equations. Časopis pěst. mat. 104 (1979), 1—8.

Author's address: 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25 (Matematický ústav ČSAV) Czechoslovakia.