

Bohdan Zelinka

Bichromaticity and domatic number of a bipartite graph

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 110 (1985), No. 2, 113--115

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108591>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

BICHROMATICITY AND DOMATIC NUMBER
OF A BIPARTITE GRAPH

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received October 13, 1980)

In this paper we shall relate the bichromaticity of a connected finite bipartite graph (shortly bigraph) to its domatic number.

The bichromaticity of a connected bigraph was introduced by F. Harary, D. Hsu and Z. Miller [2]. Let B be a bigraph on the vertex sets C, D . A bicomplete homomorphism of B is a homomorphic mapping φ of B onto the complete bigraph $K_{r,s}$ (where r, s are positive integers) with the property that for any two vertices x, y of B , the identity $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ holds only if either $x \in C, y \in C$, or $x \in D, y \in D$. The maximal value of $r + s$ for all graphs $K_{r,s}$ with the property that there exists a bicomplete homomorphism of B onto $K_{r,s}$ is called the bichromaticity of B and denoted by $\beta(B)$.

If B is a finite bigraph on sets C, D , then the majority of B is the number $\mu = \max(|C|, |D|)$.

The domatic number of a graph was introduced by E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi [1]. A dominating set in an undirected graph G is a subset D of the vertex set $V(G)$ of G with the property that for each vertex $x \in V(G) - D$ there exists at least one vertex $y \in D$ adjacent to x . A domatic partition of G is a partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are dominating sets in G . The maximal number of classes of a domatic partition of G is called the domatic number of G and denoted by $d(G)$.

First we state a lemma.

Lemma. *Let B be a connected bigraph on sets C, D , let \mathcal{P} be a domatic partition of B . Then either $\mathcal{P} = \{C, D\}$, or $C \cap X \neq \emptyset, D \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for each $X \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\mathcal{P} = \{X\}$, then the assertion is evidently true. If X is a proper subset of C , then $C - X \neq \emptyset$; let $u \in C - X$. As C is an independent set in B , then there is no vertex of X adjacent to u and X is not a dominating set in B , which is a contradiction. Therefore X cannot be a proper subset of C and analogously, it cannot be a proper subset of D . If $X = C$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}, Y \neq X$, then Y is a subset of D . As it cannot be a proper subset of D , we have $Y = D$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{C, D\}$; analogously if $X = D$. Thus the assertion is proved.

Now we prove a theorem.

Theorem 1. For every connected finite bigraph B we have

$$\beta(B) \geq \mu + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} d(B) \right\rceil.$$

This inequality cannot be improved.

Proof. Let the colour sets of B be C, D , let $|C| \geq |D|$, i.e. $\mu = |C|$. As B is a connected bigraph, it contains no isolated vertices and therefore $d(B) \geq 2$. If $d(B) \leq 3$, then $\mu + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} d(B) \right\rceil = \mu + 1$ and $\beta(B) \geq \mu + 1$ according to [2]; therefore the assertion holds. Suppose that $d(B) \geq 4$. Then there exists a domatic partition $P = \{P_1, \dots, P_{d(B)}\}$ of B and, by Lemma, $P_i \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $P_i \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, d(B)$. Denote $C_i = P_i \cap C$, $D_i = P_i \cap D$ for $i = 1, \dots, d(B)$. Further, denote $a = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} d(B) \right\rceil$. Now we shall define sets Q_1, \dots, Q_a . For $i = 1, \dots, a - 1$ let $Q_i = D_{2i-1} \cup D_{2i}$. If $d(B)$ is even, then $Q_a = D_{d(B)-1} \cup D_{d(B)}$; if $d(B)$ is odd, then $Q_a = D_{d(B)-2} \cup D_{d(B)-1} \cup D_{d(B)}$. Let x be an arbitrary vertex of C . If $x \in C - C_1$, then there exists $y \in D_1$ adjacent to x ; if $x \in C_1$, then there exists $y \in D_2$ adjacent to x . In both these cases $y \in Q_1$. Quite analogously we can prove that for each $x \in C$ and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, a\}$ there exists $y \in Q_i$ adjacent to x . Take the complete bigraph $K_{\mu,2}$ on the sets $C, \{Q_1, \dots, Q_a\}$ and define the mapping φ so that $\varphi(x) = x$ for $x \in C$, $\varphi(x) = Q_i$ for $x \in Q_i$ and $i = 1, \dots, a$. The mapping φ evidently is a bicomplete homomorphism of B onto $K_{\mu,a}$ and hence $\beta(B) \geq \mu + a = \mu + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} d(B) \right\rceil$. If B is a circuit of the length 6, then $\mu = 3$, $d(B) = 3$ and $\beta(B) = \mu + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} d(B) \right\rceil = 4$. Hence the inequality cannot be improved.

Corollary. For every connected finite bigraph B with $d(B) \geq 3$ we have

$$\beta(B) \geq \left\lceil \frac{3}{2} d(B) \right\rceil.$$

Note that Lemma implies that each class of a domatic partition of B with $d(B)$ classes has a non-empty intersection with each colour class and thus $d(B)$ cannot exceed μ . Hence $\left\lceil \frac{3}{2} d(B) \right\rceil \leq \mu + \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} d(B) \right\rceil$. If $d(B) = 2$ the inequality need not hold; we have $\beta(K_{1,1}) = 2$, $d(K_{1,1}) = 2$.

At the end we shall disprove a conjecture from [2]. The authors have conjectured that $\beta(B) = \mu + \delta(B) - x$, where $\delta(B)$ is the minimum degree of a vertex of B and x is a non-negative integer "small" compared with $\delta(B)$.

Theorem 2. Let q be an arbitrary positive integer. Then there exists a connected finite bigraph B for which

$$\beta(B) = \mu + \delta(B) + q.$$

Proof. Let B be a bigraph on sets C, D , let $|C| = q + 3$, $|D| = q + 2$. Let $c_1 \in C$, $c_2 \in C$, $d \in D$ be vertices of B such that c_1 is adjacent only to d , c_2 is adjacent to all vertices of D except d and each vertex of $C - \{c_1, c_2\}$ is adjacent to all vertices

of D . Obviously $\mu = q + 3$, $\delta(B) = 1$ (this is the degree of c_1). By identifying the vertices c_1, c_2 the complete bigraph $K_{q+2, q+2}$ is obtained and hence $\beta(B) = 2q + 4 = \mu + \delta(B) + q$.

References

- [1] *E. J. Cockayne, S. T. Hedetniemi*: Towards a theory of domination in graphs. *Networks* 7 (1977), 247–261.
- [2] *F. Harary, D. Hsu, Z. Miller*: The bichromaticity of a tree. In: *Theory and Applications of Graphs*, Proc. Michigan 1976, ed. by Y. Alavi and D. R. Lick. Springer Verlag Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1978.

Author's address: 460 01 Liberec 1, Studentská 1292 (katedra tváření a plastů VŠST).