

Hans-Eberhard Porst
On corings and comodules

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 42 (2006), No. 4, 419--425

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108017>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON CORINGS AND COMODULES

HANS–E. PORST

To my friend and colleague Jiří Rosický on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the categories of R -coalgebras for a commutative unital ring R and the category of A -corings for some R -algebra A as well as their respective categories of comodules are locally presentable.

INTRODUCTION

The categories under consideration are defined as the categories of comonoids and comonoid-coactions in certain monoidally closed categories as follows:

- a) Given a commutative unital ring R
 - the category \mathbf{Coalg}_R of R -coalgebras is the category of comonoids in $(\mathbf{Mod}_R, - \otimes_R -, R)$,
 - the categories \mathbf{Comod}_A and ${}_A\mathbf{Comod}$ of right resp. left A -comodules for an R -coalgebra A are the corresponding categories of right resp. left A -coactions on R -modules.
- b) Given an R -algebra A ,
 - the category \mathbf{Coring}_A of A -corings is the category of comonoids in $({}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A, - \otimes_A -, A)$, where ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A$ denotes the category of A , A -bi-modules,
 - the categories $\mathbf{Comod}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{Comod}$ of right resp. left \mathcal{C} -comodules for an A -coring \mathcal{C} again are the respective categories of \mathcal{C} -coactions on left (right) A -modules.

Only scattered results are known about the structure of these categories: co-completeness of these categories is a rather trivial fact (see Fact 2 below), cocommutative coalgebras form a cartesian closed category ([3]), \mathbf{Comod}_A is locally presentable and comonadic over \mathbf{Mod}_R ([11]). A first systematic approach to completeness — limited, however, to the case where the rings involved are regular — was presented in [8] using the dualized construction of colimits in varieties.

In this note we will offer a unified approach to these and many new results by considering the categories in question as subcategories of certain categories of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 16W30, Secondary 18C35.

Key words and phrases: coalgebras, comodules, locally presentable categories.

functor-coalgebras $\mathbf{Coalg}F$; using methods from the theory of accessible categories (see [2], [8]) we will show first that these categories are complete and then, in a second step, that this also holds for their interesting subcategories \mathbf{Coalg}_R , \mathbf{Coring}_A , and \mathbf{Comod}_A . In fact we will prove even more: all categories mentioned so far are locally presentable categories.

Local presentability of \mathbf{Coalg}_R generalizes Sweedler’s so-called *Fundamental Theorem of Coalgebras* (see [10], [5]), which states that every coalgebra (over some field k) is a directed colimit of coalgebras whose underlying vector space is finite dimensional, hence of finitely presentable coalgebras, since the following is easy to prove:

Proposition 1. *A k -coalgebra is finitely presentable iff its underlying k -vector space is of finite dimension.*

Note, however, that neither this proposition nor Sweedler’s prove generalize to arbitrary rings.

1. THE CATEGORIES $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ AND $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$

Let (\mathbf{C}, \otimes, I) be any of the monoidally closed categories $(\mathbf{Mod}_R, - \otimes_R -, R)$ or $({}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A, - \otimes_A -, A)$ mentioned in the introduction. We consider the following functors:

$$T_n: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ C & \longmapsto & \otimes^n C \end{array}$$

$$T_I: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ C & \longmapsto & T_2 C \times I = (C \otimes C) \times I \end{array}$$

$${}_A M: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}' \\ C & \longmapsto & A \otimes C \end{array} \qquad M_A: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}' \\ C & \longmapsto & C \otimes A \end{array}$$

where A is a monoid in (\mathbf{C}, \otimes, I) and $\mathbf{C}' = \mathbf{C}$ in the commutative case and, for A non-commutative, $\mathbf{C}' = A\text{-Mod}$ and $\mathbf{Mod}\text{-}A$, the categories of left and right A -modules respectively, with $- \otimes -$ the obvious bifunctor $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}' \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}'$.

Then \mathbf{Coalg}_R and \mathbf{Coring}_A , respectively, are the full subcategories of $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ (w.r.t. the appropriately chosen (\mathbf{C}, \otimes, I) — see above) spanned by those T_I -coalgebras $\mathbf{C} = (C, C \xrightarrow{(m,e)} (C \otimes C) \times I)$ which make the following diagrams commute

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{m} & C \otimes C \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow m \otimes \text{id}_C \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \otimes m} & C \otimes C \otimes C \end{array}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & & \\ m \downarrow & \searrow r_C & \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \otimes e} & C \otimes I \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad (3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{m} & C \otimes C \\ & \searrow l_C & \downarrow e \otimes \text{id}_C \\ & & I \otimes C \end{array}$$

Similarly, the various categories of comodules are subcategories of $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}_A M$, respectively, defined by the obvious diagrammatic axioms.

We will need the following results, which are easy to prove (see [1], [8]).

Fact 2.

1. For each functor $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the category $\mathbf{Coalg}F$ is cocomplete provided the category \mathbf{C} is so.
2. The categories of comonoids and comonoid-coactions are closed in their respective functor-categories under colimits.

Clearly M_A is accessible since it is a left adjoint. Also, if F is an accessible endofunctor on a category \mathbf{A} with biproducts, then $F \times A = F + A$ is accessible for each object A in \mathbf{A} . Thus, T_I is accessible by the following fact:

Lemma 3. Let $(\mathbf{C}, - \otimes -, I)$ be a monoidally closed category and $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ a finitary functor. Then $\hat{F}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\hat{F}(C) = C \otimes FC$ is finitary. In particular, the functor $T_n: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $T_n C = \otimes^n C$ preserves directed limits.

Proof. If $D: \mathbf{I} = (I, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a directed diagram in \mathbf{C} with colimit $D_i \xrightarrow{d_i} C$ the colimit of the diagram $\hat{D}: \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\hat{D}(i, j) = D_i \otimes FD_j$ can be computed as $D_i \otimes FD_j \xrightarrow{d_i \otimes Fd_j} C \otimes C$ since F and each $X \otimes -$ and $- \otimes Y$ preserve (directed) colimits. Finally, the diagram $\hat{F} \circ D$ is a cofinal subdiagram of \hat{D} . □

Remark 4. Since the monoidal categories under consideration are varieties also T_I preserves directed colimits (see also [8]). As a consequence of these observations we obtain that the underlying functors $|-|$ of $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$ into \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}' , respectively, have right adjoints and thus are comonadic (see [1]); their domains are also accessible by the following observation.

Recall that for functors $F, G: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$ the inserter of F and G is the full subcategory $\mathbf{Ins}(F, G)$ of the comma category $F \downarrow G$ spanned by all arrows $FK \rightarrow GK$ ([2, 2.71]). Since $\mathbf{Coalg}F = \mathbf{Ins}(\text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}, F)$ it follows from [2, 2.72] and the remark above that the categories $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$ are accessible. Since any co-complete accessible category is locally presentable, we obtain

Proposition 5. The categories $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$ are locally presentable.

Remark 6. There is no reason to assume that limits in these categories are respected by their obvious underlying functors $|-|$ into \mathbf{Mod}_R . Consult [8] or [11] for how to possibly describe these limits.

2. THE CATEGORIES \mathbf{Coalg}_R AND \mathbf{Comod}_A

The defining axioms for R -coalgebras, i.e., the commutativity of the diagrams (1), (2), and (3) above can be interpreted as follows:

Denote by φ and ψ the natural transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi & : \quad | - | \longrightarrow T_3 \circ | - | \\ \varphi_{\mathbb{C}} & = \quad C \xrightarrow{m} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{m \otimes \text{id}_C} C \otimes C \otimes C \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi & : \quad | - | \longrightarrow T_3 \circ | - | \\ \psi_{\mathbb{C}} & = \quad C \xrightarrow{m} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \otimes m} C \otimes C \otimes C \end{aligned}$$

(Naturality of φ and ψ is a consequence of functoriality of $- \otimes -$ and the definition of coalgebra homomorphism.)

Lemma 7. $\mathbb{C} = (C, \langle m, e \rangle)$ satisfies (1) iff $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}} = \psi_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho & : \quad | - | \longrightarrow | - | \otimes R \\ \varrho_{\mathbb{C}} & = \quad C \xrightarrow{m} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \otimes e} C \otimes R \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda & : \quad | - | \longrightarrow R \otimes | - | \\ \lambda_{\mathbb{C}} & = \quad C \xrightarrow{m} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{e \otimes \text{id}_C} R \otimes C \end{aligned}$$

are natural transformations and the following obviously hold

Lemma 8.

- 1. $\mathbb{C} = (C, \langle m, e \rangle)$ satisfies (2) iff $\varrho_{\mathbb{C}} = r_{| \mathbb{C} |}$.
- 2. $\mathbb{C} = (C, \langle m, e \rangle)$ satisfies (3) iff $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = l_{| \mathbb{C} |}$.

Recall now that (see [2, 2.76]), for accessible functors $F^t, G^t: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_t$ and families of natural transformations $\mu^t, \nu^t: F^t \rightarrow G^t$ ($t \in T$) the *equifier* of (μ^t) and (ν^t) is the full subcategory $\mathbf{Eq}(\mu^t, \nu^t)$ of \mathbf{K} spanned by all K in \mathbf{K} with $\mu_K^t = \nu_K^t$ for all $t \in T$, and that this subcategory is accessible.

Theorem 9. *The categories \mathbf{Coalg}_R and \mathbf{Coring}_A are locally presentable categories.*

Proof. By Lemmas 7 and 8 the category of comonoids in \mathbb{C} is the equifier of the three pairs $(\varphi, \psi), (\lambda, l_{| - |}), (\varrho, r_{| - |})$ of natural transformations. Since all categories and functors under consideration are accessible, it is accessible as well. Moreover the categories under consideration are closed under colimits in their respective $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ by Fact 2 and hence cocomplete. Now the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 5 gives the result. □

In a completely analogous way one obtains

Theorem 10. *The categories $\mathbf{Comod}_A, {}_A\mathbf{Comod}, \mathbf{Comod}_C$ and ${}_C\mathbf{Comod}$ are locally presentable categories and therefore have all limits.*

We now get, as simple corollaries,

Proposition 11.

1. \mathbf{Coalg}_I is coreflective in $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$.
2. \mathbf{Comod}_A is coreflective in $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$.

Proof. The proof is the same in both cases: the embedding of the respective subcategory preserves colimits and both subcategories, being locally presentable, are co-wellpowered and have a generator. Now apply the (dual of the) Special Adjoint Functor Theorem. □

Theorem 12.

1. \mathbf{Coalg}_R is comonadic over \mathbf{Mod}_R ,
2. \mathbf{Coring}_A is comonadic over ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A$,
3. \mathbf{Comod}_A is comonadic over \mathbf{Mod}_R , and
4. \mathbf{Comod}_C is comonadic over $\mathbf{Mod}\text{-}A$.

Proof. The respective underlying functors have right adjoints by Remark 4 and Proposition 11. They also create split equalizers by Remark 6 and because all of these categories are closed in their respective categories of functor-coalgebras w.r.t. subobjects carried by split monos (see [8] or Fact 17 below). □

Remark 13. The existence of cofree comodules certainly can be obtained directly. The argument given in [6] generalizes to our somewhat more general situation. See also [11]. Note also that the existence of a cofree coalgebra is known (see [3] for the cocommutative case with an argument similar or ours, and [10] with an explicit construction via the tensor algebra for the case of a field, which however generalizes to rings).

Generalizing a result in [1] we might reformulate the statement of the last theorem as follows

Theorem 14. *All categories \mathbf{Coalg}_R , \mathbf{Coring}_A , \mathbf{Comod}_A , and \mathbf{Comod}_C respectively, are covarieties.*

Remark 15. Obviously, the the above results can be extended to the categories of cocommutative coalgebras.

Problem 16. It is not clear that the kernel of a morphism in the categories \mathbf{Coalg}_R or \mathbf{Comod}_A is a subobject in the sense of say [4], i.e., whether its carrier map is injective. It has been shown in [8] that each injective homomorphism is a strong monomorphism, but it is clear that the converse doesn't hold: the categories under consideration, being locally presentable, carry an (epi, strong mono)-factorization structure (see [2]) but don't allow for image-factorizations of morphisms (see [8]). It thus would be interesting to characterize the injective homomorphism in these categories categorically and to describe the strong monomorphisms explicitly. If $\mathbf{Ker}f$ could be shown to be a subcoalgebra of f 's domain, it would be an easy consequence to prove that it is the largest subcoalgebra contained in the \mathbf{Mod}_R -kernel of f . This is the case, if the ring R is regular (see [8]).

3. PURITY

It is easy to see that \mathbf{Coalg}_R , \mathbf{Coring}_A , and \mathbf{Comod}_A are closed in their respective categories $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$ w.r.t. subobjects whose underlying embedding in \mathbf{Mod}_R splits (see [8]). In fact, the proof of this statement given in [8] shows more:

Given a homomorphism $m: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ in $\mathbf{Coalg}T_I$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$, respectively, then \mathbb{C} is a comonoid and an A -coaction, respectively, provided that \mathbb{D} is and $m \otimes m \otimes m$ and $m \otimes \text{id}$ are monomorphisms in \mathbb{C} . In the commutative case this clearly holds, provided that m is a pure homomorphism. We thus have

Fact 17. *\mathbf{Coalg}_R and \mathbf{Comod}_A are closed in $\mathbf{Coalg}T_R$ and $\mathbf{Coalg}M_A$, respectively, under subobjects carried by pure R -linear maps.*

The categorical concept of λ -purity (λ a regular cardinal) as presented, e.g., in [2] generalizes the notion of a pure module homomorphism in the sense that an \aleph_0 -pure morphism in \mathbf{Mod}_R is simply a pure homomorphism, provided R is a PID. We do not know whether this fact has appeared in print elsewhere but believe it must be well known: an argument would be a straightforward generalization of the proof given for [9, 61.11], considering finitely generated submodules instead of single generated ones.

Also in the non-commutative case the notion of \aleph_0 -purity can be exploited: Since the functor $C \otimes -$ is left adjoint it preserves (directed) colimits and finitely presentable objects, hence \aleph_0 -pure morphisms by [2, 2.38] which are (regular) monomorphisms. Thus the closure-statement of Fact 17 holds also in the non-commutative case.

Since the underlying functors $\mathbf{Coalg}F \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ($F = T_I$ or $F = M_A$) are left adjoints and $\mathbf{Coalg}F$ is a λ -presentable category for some λ they preserve λ -pure morphisms by the same argument as above, so that we can deduce

Proposition 18. *Each of the categories \mathbf{Coalg}_R , \mathbf{Coring}_A , \mathbf{Comod}_A , and \mathbf{Comod}_C is closed in its respective category of functor coalgebras under λ -pure subobjects for a suitable λ .*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{Coalg}F$ be λ -presentable and \mathbb{C} a λ -pure subobject of \mathbb{D} , \mathbb{D} in the subcategory under consideration. Then, in \mathbf{C} , the embedding $C \hookrightarrow D$ is λ -pure, thus \aleph_0 -pure. Now the claim follows from the above observations. \square

Remark 19. The proposition above allows for an alternative proof of Theorem 9 and Theorem 10. Accessibility of our subcategories is in view of [2, 2.36] an immediate consequence of Proposition 18 since they are clearly closed under colimits (see [8]).

Let us finally relate \aleph_0 -purity in ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A$ with purity in ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}$ and \mathbf{Mod}_A .

Proposition 20. *If f is an \aleph_0 -pure morphism in ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A$, then f is pure in ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}$ and in \mathbf{Mod}_A .*

Proof. By [2, 2.30] f is a directed colimit of split monomorphisms in ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A$, hence it is a directed colimit of split monomorphisms in each of the categories \mathbf{Mod}_A and ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}$ as well and therefore pure in both categories again by [2, 2.30]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Adámek, J. and Porst, H.–E., *On varieties and covarieties in a category*, Math. Structures Comput. Sci. **13** (2003), 201–232.
- [2] Adámek, J. and Rosický, J., *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).
- [3] Barr, M., *Coalgebras over a Commutative Ring*, J. Algebra **32** (1974), 600–610.
- [4] Brzezinski, T. and Wisbauer, R., *Corings and Comodules*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).
- [5] Dăscălescu, S., et al., *Hopf Algebras - An Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, New York – Basel (2001).
- [6] MacLane, S., *Categories for the working mathematician*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York (1998).
- [7] Makkai, M. and Paré, R., *Accessible categories: the foundations of categorical model theory*, Contemp. Math. **104**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1989).
- [8] Porst, H.–E., *Fundamental Constructions for Coalgebras, Corings, and Comodules*, preprint (2006).
- [9] Scheja, G. and Storch, U., *Lehrbuch der Algebra*, Teil 2, Teubner, Stuttgart (1988).
- [10] Sweedler, M. E., *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York (1969).
- [11] Wischnewsky, M. B., *On linear representations of affine groups I*, Pacific J. Math. **61** (1975), 551– 572.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BREMEN
28359 BREMEN, GERMANY
E-mail: porst@math.uni-bremen.de