

C. Robinson Edward Raja
On heredity of strongly proximal actions

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 39 (2003), No. 1, 51--55

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107853>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON HEREDITY OF STRONGLY PROXIMAL ACTIONS

C. ROBINSON EDWARD RAJA

ABSTRACT. We prove that action of a semigroup T on compact metric space X by continuous selfmaps is strongly proximal if and only if T action on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is strongly proximal. As a consequence we prove that affine actions on certain compact convex subsets of finite-dimensional vector spaces are strongly proximal if and only if the action is proximal.

Let X be a complete separable metric space. Let T be a semigroup acting on X by continuous selfmaps. A system (X, T) is a pair consisting of a complete separable metric space X and a semigroup T acting on X by continuous selfmaps. In such a situation X is called a T -space.

Two points x and y in a T -space X are said to be *proximal* if there exists a sequence (t_n) in T such that $\lim t_n x = \lim t_n y$.

We say that a system (X, T) is *proximal* or the action of T on X is *proximal* if any two points x and y in X are proximal.

It is easy to see that group of special linear automorphisms on \mathbb{R}^n action on \mathbb{R}^n is proximal and the compact group actions are not proximal.

Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the space of all regular Borel probability measures on X , equipped with the weak* topology with respect to all continuous bounded functions. It may be seen that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ equipped with the weak* topology is a complete separable metric space (see [P]). The map $x \mapsto \delta_x$, maps X homeomorphically onto a closed subset δ_X , say of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ (see [P]) where δ_x is the measure concentrated at the point x . Suppose a semigroup T acts on X by continuous selfmaps. Then the action of T on X extends to an action on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ in the following natural way, for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and any $t \in T$ $t\lambda(E) = \lambda(t^{-1}E)$ for any Borel subset E of X .

We say that a system (X, T) is *strongly proximal* or the action of T on X is *strongly proximal* if for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, there exists a sequence $(t_n) \subset T$ such that $t_n \lambda \rightarrow \delta_x$ for some $x \in X$.

By considering $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_x + \delta_y)$ for any $x, y \in X$, it is easy to see that any strongly proximal system is proximal; see [G] for more details on proximal and strongly proximal systems. But not all proximal systems are strongly proximal. The action of the special Linear group $SL(V)$ on V is proximal but it is not strongly proximal.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 37B05, 60B05.

Key words and phrases: proximal and strongly proximal actions, and probability measures.

Received February 26, 2001.

We now prove the following interesting result which is needed in the sequel.

Proposition 1. *Let T be a semigroup acting on a complete separable metric space X by continuous selfmaps. Then the action of T on X is strongly proximal if and only if the action of T on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is proximal.*

Proof. Suppose the action of T on X is strongly proximal. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Then there exists a sequence (t_n) in T such that $t_n(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \rightarrow \delta_x$ for some $x \in X$. Then for given $1 > \epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset K of X such that

$$(i) \quad t_n \lambda_1(K) + t_n \lambda_2(K) > 2 - \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose for some $i = 1, 2$ and for some $m \geq 1$, $t_m \lambda_i(K) \leq 1 - \epsilon$. Then since λ_1 and λ_2 are probability measures, we get that

$$t_m \lambda_1(K) + t_m \lambda_2(K) \leq 2 - \epsilon$$

for some $m \geq 1$ which is a contradiction to (i). Thus,

$$t_n \lambda_i(K) > 1 - \epsilon$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $n \geq 1$. By Prohorov's theorem (see [B] or [P]), the sequences $(t_n \lambda_1)$ and $(t_n \lambda_2)$ are relatively compact in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Let μ_1 be a limit point of $(t_n \lambda_1)$. Then there exists a $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2) = \delta_x$$

and hence $\mu_1 = \delta_x$. This implies that

$$\lim t_n \lambda_1 = \delta_x = \lim t_n \lambda_2.$$

Thus, the action of T on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is proximal.

Suppose the action of T on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is proximal. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Now for any $x \in X$, there exists a sequence (t_n) in T such that

$$(ii) \quad \lim t_n \lambda = \lim t_n \delta_x.$$

For any $n \geq 1$, $t_n x \in \delta_X$ which is a closed T -invariant set and hence $\lim t_n x \in \delta_X$. Thus, (ii) implies that

$$t_n \lambda \rightarrow \delta_y$$

for some $y \in X$. □

Let (X, T) be a dynamical system where X is a complete separable metric space and T be topological semigroup. Let us now consider the map $\Psi: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ defined as

$$\Psi(\rho) = \int_{\mathcal{P}(X)} y d\rho(y) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$.

We first establish the following properties of Ψ .

Proposition 2. *Let X , T and Ψ be as above. Then*

1. Ψ is a continuous T -equivariant map,
2. $\Psi(\delta_y) = y$ for all $y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$,
3. for $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$, $\Psi(\rho) = \delta_x$ for some $x \in X$ implies ρ is a point mass concentrated at the point x .
4. suppose X is a semigroup, then Ψ is a semigroup homomorphism.

Proof. Since X , $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ are all metrizable, it is enough to prove sequential continuity of Ψ . Let (ρ_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ such that $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$. Let $\nu_n = \Psi(\rho_n)$ for all n and $\Psi(\rho) = \nu$. Let f be a bounded continuous function on X . Then the function $y \mapsto y(f)$ is a continuous bounded function on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and hence since $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$, we have

$$\nu_n(f) = \int y(f) d\rho_n(y) \rightarrow \int y(f) d\rho(y) = \nu(f).$$

Thus, $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. This proves that Ψ is continuous. Since the action of T on X is by continuous maps, we have

$$t\nu = \int ty d\rho(y) = \int y d(t\rho)(y),$$

that is $t\Psi(\rho) = \Psi(t\rho)$. Thus, verifying property (1) of the map Ψ . It is easy to verify property (2) of the map Ψ .

Suppose for $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$, $\Psi(\rho) = \delta_x = \nu$, say for some $x \in X$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any bounded continuous function f on X such that $f \geq 0$ and $f(x) = 0$, let

$$\sigma(f, \epsilon) = \{y \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid y(f) > \epsilon\}.$$

Then

$$0 = \nu(f) \geq \int_{y \in \sigma(f, \epsilon)} y(f) d\rho(y) \geq \epsilon \rho(\sigma(f, \epsilon))$$

and hence $\rho(\sigma(f, \epsilon)) = 0$. It is easy to see that $\sigma(f, \epsilon)$ is an open set for all continuous bounded f and $\epsilon > 0$. Now let W be the set of all nonnegative bounded continuous functions f on X which vanish at x and

$$B = \cup_{f \in W} \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Then B is an open set.

We now claim that $B \cup x = \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $x \notin B$. Let $\lambda (\neq \delta_x) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then choose a compact set K such that $\lambda(K) > \frac{1}{n}$ for some n and $x \notin K$. Since $x \notin K$, there exists a continuous function f on X such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$ for all $y \in K$. Then $\lambda(f) > \frac{1}{n}$ and hence $\lambda \in \sigma(f, \frac{1}{n}) \subset B$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(X) = B \cup x$ and it is easy to see that $x \notin B$.

We now claim that $\rho(B) = 0$. Let K be any compact set contained B . Then there exists a finite number nonnegative continuous function f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k and a finite set of integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k such that $K \subset \cup \sigma(f_i, \frac{1}{n_i})$. Since $\rho(\sigma(f, \epsilon)) = 0$

for all $f \in W$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\rho(K) = 0$ and hence since K is any arbitrary compact subset contained in B , we have $\rho(B) = 0$. Thus, ρ is the mass concentrated at the point $x \in X$. Thus, verifying property (3) of the map Ψ .

Suppose X is a semigroup. The Ψ is a semigroup homomorphism follows from the facts

1. Ψ is affine, that is for any $0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \leq 1$ with $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ and for $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$, $\Psi(\sum \alpha_i \rho_i) = \sum \alpha_i \Psi(\rho_i)$,
2. the set of measures with finite supports in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ and
3. Ψ is continuous. □

We now prove that strongly proximal actions on compact metric spaces is hereditary in the following sense.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a compact metric space and T be a topological semigroup acting on X . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. T action on X is strongly proximal;
2. the action of T on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is strongly proximal.

Proof. Suppose (X, T) is strongly proximal. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$, and let $\nu = \Psi(\rho) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then since the action of T on X is strongly proximal, there exists a sequence (t_n) in T such that $t_n \nu \rightarrow \delta_x$, for some $x \in X$. Since for each n , $t_n \rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ which is a compact metrizable space, the sequence $(t_n \rho)$ is a relatively compact sequence. Now let $\rho_o \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ be a limit point of $(t_n \rho)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ is a metrizable space, there exists a subsequence (t_{k_n}) of (t_n) such that $t_{k_n} \rho \rightarrow \rho_o$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$. Let $\nu_o = \Psi(\rho_o) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then by Proposition 2,

$$t_{k_n} \nu = t_{k_n} \Psi(\rho) = \Psi(t_{k_n} \rho) \rightarrow \Psi(\rho_o) = \nu_o$$

in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and hence since $t_n \nu \rightarrow \delta_x$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ which is a metric space, we get that $\nu_o = \delta_x$. Again by Proposition 2, ρ_o is the mass concentrated at $x \in X$. Thus, the relatively compact sequence $(t_n \rho)$ has a unique limit point and hence it converges to the point mass concentrated at $x \in X$. This proves that (1) implies (2). That (2) implies (1) follows from Proposition 1 and from the remark that strongly proximal actions are proximal. □

As a consequence we have the following corollary for certain affine actions: *affine action* of a semigroup T on a closed convex subset X of a locally convex vector space is an action of T on X such that $t(ax + (1-a)y) = at(x) + (1-a)t(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $0 \leq a \leq 1$.

Corollary 1. *Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a set of linearly independent vectors on a locally convex vector space V over reals. Let X be the convex hull of $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Let T be a topological semigroup acting on X by affine surjective maps. Then the T action on X is proximal if and only if the T action on X is strongly proximal.*

Proof. Let $F = \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Since F is compact, it is easy to see that X is compact. Since T is an affine action of X by surjective maps and F is the set of extreme points of X , F is a T -invariant set. Thus, T acts on F also.

Let $f: \mathcal{P}(F) \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$f(\lambda) = \sum \lambda(v_i)v_i$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(F)$. Suppose (λ_n) is a sequence in $\mathcal{P}(F)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ in $\mathcal{P}(F)$. Then $\lambda_n(v_i) \rightarrow \lambda(v_i)$ for all i . This implies that $f(\lambda_n) = \sum \lambda_n(v_i)v_i \rightarrow \sum \lambda(v_i)v_i$. Thus, f is continuous.

Given any point x in X there exist $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $x = \sum \lambda_i v_i$ and $\sum \lambda_i = 1$. Since $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a linearly independent set λ_i 's are unique. This implies that f is a bijection. Since $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is compact, f is a homeomorphism. Since the action is affine it is easy to verify that $f(t\lambda) = tf(\lambda)$ for all $t \in T$. Suppose the T action on X is proximal. Then the T action on $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is proximal. Now by Proposition 1, T action on F is strongly proximal and hence by Theorem 1, T action on $\mathcal{P}(F)$ is strongly proximal. Since f is a homeomorphism preserving the T -action, T action on X is strongly proximal. \square

Remark 1. It should be noted that the conclusion of Theorem 1 is valid for any Polish space if the map Ψ is proper.

In general for a complete separable metric space X , it is not clear that $(\mathcal{P}(X), T)$ is strongly proximal if (X, T) is strongly proximal. However the system $(\mathcal{P}(X), T)$ does not admit a non-trivial T -invariant measure in the following sense:

Corollary 2. *Let T be a topological semigroup acting strongly proximally on a complete separable metric space X . Suppose $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ is T -invariant. Then ρ is the mass concentrated at a point $x \in X$.*

Acknowledgement. The work was done when the author was a post-doctoral fellow of the Regional Commission CRRDT du Pays de Loire at Universite d'Angers, France. I would like to thank Dr. Piotr Graczyk for his kind hospitality during my stay at Angers.

REFERENCES

- [B] Billingley, P., *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Willey and Sons, New York-Toronto, 1968.
- [G] Glasner, S., *Proximal flows on Lie groups*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **45** (1983), 97–99.
- [P] Parthasarathy, K. R., *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1967.

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE
 8TH MILE MYSORE ROAD, R. V. COLLEGE POST
 BANGALORE - 560 059, INDIA
E-mail: creraja@isibang.ac.in, raja_robinson@hotmail.com