

Miroslav Bartušek

On oscillatory solution of the differential equations of the n -th order

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 22 (1986), No. 3, 145--156

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107258>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON OSCILLATORY SOLUTION OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE n -th ORDER

M. BARTUŠEK

(Received May 6, 1985)

Abstract. The properties of proper oscillatory solutions of the non-linear differential equation of the n -th order are studied. The sufficient conditions are given under which these solutions tend to zero or are unbounded.

Key words. Ordinary differential equations, oscillatory solutions, asymptotic behaviour.

MS Classification. 34 C 10.

1. Consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)} = f(t, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)})$$

where $n \geq 2$, $f : D \rightarrow R$ is continuous, $D = R_+ \times R^n$, $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, $R_+ = [0, \infty)$ and there exists a number $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$(2) \quad (-1)^\alpha f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) x_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

The solution y of (1), defined on R_+ is called proper if $\sup_{\tau \leq t < \infty} |y(t)| > 0$ for an arbitrary $\tau \in R_+$. The proper solution y is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of its zeros tending to ∞ .

A great number of papers is devoted to the existence of oscillatory solutions of (1) (see [5]). But the problem of asymptotic behaviour of such solutions for $n > 2$ is almost unsolved. The papers [6] and [7] are devoted to vanishing at infinity of solutions of (1) for linear case, the asymptotic behaviour for $n = 3, 4$; $\alpha = 1$ is studied in [1], [2]. Our aim is to study the behaviour of oscillatory solutions in the neighbourhood of the infinity, to give sufficient conditions under which solutions tend to zero or are unbounded.

Denote $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, n_0 the entire part of $n/2$, $C^{(0)}(I)$ the set of all continuous functions defined on I , $C^{(i)}(I)$, $i \in N$ the set of all continuous functions which have continuous derivatives to the order i , $L^{(i)}(I)$, $i \in N$ the set of all p -integrable functions on I , $L^{(\infty)}(I)$ the set of all bounded functions on I .

Further let $m \in N$ and $v \in C^0(R_+)$. Put

$$J_m(t; v) = \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_m} \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} v(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_m \quad \text{and} \quad J_0(t; v) = v(t), \quad t \in R_+.$$

Let $y \in C^{(n-n_0-1)}(R_+)$. Put

$$(3) \quad Z(t; y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-n_0-1} (-1)^{\alpha+i} \binom{n-i}{i} \frac{n}{2(n-i)} J_{2i}(t; [y^{(i)}]^2).$$

Let $O_{n\alpha}$ be the set of all oscillatory solutions of (1) and (2).

Lemma 1. *Let $y \in O_{n\alpha}$. Then*

$$Z^{(n)}(t; y) = (-1)^\alpha y^{(n)}(t) y(t) + [n - 2n_0 - 1] (-1)^{\alpha+n_0} y^{(n_0)^2}(t), \quad t \in R_+.$$

Moreover, if either

$$(4) \quad n = 2n_0, \quad n_0 + \alpha \quad \text{is odd}$$

or

$$(5) \quad n = 2n_0 + 1$$

then $Z^{(n)}(t; y) \geq 0, t \in R_+$.

Proof. Let $n = 2n_0$. For n odd the proof is similar.

Put $Z(t) = Z(t; y), d_s^j = (-1)^{\alpha+s} \binom{j}{s}$,

$$(6) \quad Z_j(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} d_i^{n-j} J_{j+i}(t; y^{(j)} y^{(i)}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_0, Z_0(t) \equiv 0,$$

$$Z_{km}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-m} d_i^{n-k-1} J_{k+i+1}(t; y^{(k+1)} y^{(i)}) + d_{k-m}^{n-k-1} J_{2k-m+1}(t; y^{(k)} y^{(k-m+1)}) + \\ + \sum_{i=k-m+1}^k d_i^{n-k} J_{k+i}(t; y^{(k)} y^{(i)}),$$

$$K_{jm} = -d_{j-m}^{n-j-1} y^{(j)}(t_0) y_{(t_0)}^{(j-m)} \frac{(t-t_0)^{2j-m}}{(2j-m)!},$$

$$K_j = \sum_{r=0}^j K_{jr} + \frac{1}{2} d_j^{n-j-1} y^{(j)^2}(t_0) \frac{(t-t_0)^{2j}}{(2j)!},$$

$j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_0; s = 0, 1, \dots, j; m = 0, 1, \dots, j; k = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1, t \in R_+.$

It is easy to see that

$$Z_{jm}(t) = Z_{j(m+1)}(t) + K_{jm}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, j-1, j = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$$

holds and thus

$$Z_{j+1}(t) = Z_{j0}(t) - d_j^{n-j-1} J_{2j+1}(t; y^{(j)} y^{(j+1)}) = Z_{j,j}(t) - \\ - \frac{1}{2} d_j^{n-j-1} J_{2j}(t; y^{(j)^2}) + K_j - K_{jj} = \\ = Z_j(t) + \left(d_j^{n-j} - \frac{1}{2} d_j^{n-j-1} \right) J_{2j}(t; y^{(j)^2}) + K_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1.$$

From this and from (6) we have

$$Z(t) = Z_{n_0}(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} K_j,$$

$$(7) \quad Z^{(n-1)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} d_i^{n_0} (y^{(n_0)}(t) y^{(i)}(t))^{(n_0-i-1)}.$$

Now, if we denote

$$(8) \quad v_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-k-1} (-1)^{\alpha+i} \binom{i+k}{k} y^{(n-i-k-1)}(t) y^{(i)}(t),$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1, \quad v_{n_0}(t) = 0,$$

then

$$v'_k(t) = v_{k-1} - d_{n_0-k}^{n_0} y^{(n_0)}(t) y^{(n_0-k)}(t), \quad k = 1, \dots, n_0,$$

$$v_0(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} d_i^{n_0} [y^{(n_0)}(t) y^{(i)}(t)]^{(n_0-i-1)}.$$

Thus, according to (7), (8) and (2)

$$Z^{(n-1)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} (-1)^{\alpha+i} y^{(n-i-1)}(t) y^{(i)}(t),$$

$$Z^{(n)}(t) = (-1)^\alpha y^{(n)}(t) y(t) + (-1)^{\alpha+n_0-1} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2, \quad t \in R_+$$

and lemma follows from (2). Lemma is proved.

Let (4) or (5) be valid. By virtue of Lemma 1 we can denote

$$(9) \quad O_{n\alpha}^1 = \{v \mid v \in O_{n\alpha}, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t; v) = \infty\},$$

$$O_{n\alpha}^2 = \{v \mid v \in O_{n\alpha}, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |Z^{(n-1)}(t; v)| < \infty\}.$$

2. This paragraph is devoted to the study of asymptotic behaviour of oscillatory solutions under the validity of the condition (4).

Lemma 2. *Let $y \in O_{n\alpha}$ and let (4) be valid. Then $\int_0^\infty y^{(n_0)2}(t) dt < \infty$ if, and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t; y) = 0$.*

Proof. Put $Z(t; y) = Z(t)$ for the simplicity. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t) = 0$, then according to Lemma 1 and (2)

$$-Z^{(n-1)}(0) = \int_0^\infty Z^{(n)}(t) dt \geq \int_0^\infty [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt$$

and the statement is valid. Let, on the contrary

$$(10) \quad \int_0^\infty [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt = M < \infty$$

hold. We prove the statement of lemma by the indirect proof. Thus, let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t) = M_1, M_1 \in (-\infty, \infty], M_1 \neq 0$ (the limit exists by virtue of Lemma 1).

From this there exists a number $t_1 \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(11) \quad |Z(t)| \geq M_2 t^{n-1}, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty),$$

where $M_2 = \frac{|M_1|^\beta}{2(n-1)!}$ for $M_1 < \infty$ and $M_2 = 1$ for $M_1 = \infty$. Further, according to Levin's lemma ([5], p. 50) and (10) there exist constants $M_3 > 0$ and $t_2 \in [0, \infty)$ with the properties

$$\int_{\beta}^t [y^{(i)}(t)]^2 dt \leq M_3 t^{2(n_0-i)} \int_{\beta}^t [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq t < \infty, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_0\},$$

$$\int_{t_2}^{\infty} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt \leq \varepsilon = \frac{1}{4} M_2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_0-1} \binom{n-j}{j} \frac{n_0}{n-j} M_3 \right]^{-1}.$$

There exists a number $t_3 \in [t_2, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_0^t [y^{(i)}(t)]^2 dt \leq \varepsilon M_3 t^{2(n_0-i)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} \int_0^{t_2} [y^{(j)}(t)]^2 dt \leq 2\varepsilon M_3 t^{2(n_0-i)},$$

$i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1\}, t \in [t_3, \infty)$ holds. From this and from (3) there exists $t_4 \in [t_3, \infty)$ such that

$$|Z(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} y^2(t) + \left\{ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_0-1} \binom{n-j}{j} \frac{n_0}{n-j} M_3 \varepsilon \right\} t^{n-1} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} y^2(t) + \frac{M_2}{2} t^{n-1}, \quad t \in [t_4, \infty).$$

This inequality is in contradiction to (11) for an arbitrary zero $\tau, \tau \geq t_1, \tau \geq t_4$ of the function y . Lemma is proved. It is clear that the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 1. Let (4) be valid. Then $y \in O_{n\alpha}^1 (y \in O_{n\alpha}^2)$ if, and only if $\int_0^{\infty} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt = \infty (< \infty)$.

Theorem 2. Let (4) be valid, $y \in O_{n\alpha}^1$ and $M \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (|y^{(n_0-1)}(t)| - Mt^{1/2}) = \infty.$$

Proof. We prove the statement by the indirect proof. Thus suppose that there exist numbers $t_0 \in R_+$ and $M_1 \in (0, \infty)$ with the property

$$|y^{(n_0-1)}(t)| - Mt^{1/2} \leq M_1, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty).$$

Then there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that

$$|y^{(i)}(t)| \leq 2Mt^{n_0-i-1/2}, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty), 0 \leq i < n_0$$

holds and according to (3)

$$(12) \quad |Z(t; y)| \leq M_2 t^{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} y^2(t), \quad t \in [t_1, \infty),$$

where $M_2 < \infty$ is a suitable constant. On the other hand, as $y \in O_{na}^1$ there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$Z^{(n-1)}(t; y) \geq 3(n-1)! M_2, \quad Z(t; y) \geq 2M_2 t^{n-1}, \\ t \in [t_2, \infty).$$

The last inequality contradicts the (12) for an arbitrary zero $\tau, \tau \geq t_2$ of y . The theorem is proved.

Theorem 3. Let (4) be valid and $y \in O_{na}^1$. Let there exist positive constant M and a nonnegative function $g \in C^0(R_+)$ such that

$$(13) \quad |f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq t^{\frac{n_0}{n_0-1}} g(|x_1|) \quad \text{in } [M, \infty) \times R^n$$

holds. Then y is unbounded.

Proof. We prove the conclusion by the indirect proof. Thus suppose, that

$$(14) \quad |y(t)| \leq M_1 < \infty, \quad t \in R_+.$$

According to Theorem 2 there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ such that

$$(15) \quad t_k \in [M, \infty), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = M, \\ |y^{(n_0-1)}(t_k)| \geq M_2 t_k^{1/2}, \quad k \in N, \\ M_2 = 2^\sigma M_1^{\frac{n_0+1}{n}} \left[2 \max_{0 \leq x \leq M_1} g(x) \right]^{\frac{n_0-1}{n}}, \\ \sigma = (3n_0 - 2)(n_0 + 1) + 1.$$

Denote

$$v_{jk} = \max_{M \leq t \leq t_k} |y^{(j)}(t)|, \quad k \in N, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then it follows from (13–15) and Kolmogorov–Horny Theorem ([4] p. 393) that there exists $s \in N$ with the property

$$M_2 t_s^{1/2} \leq v_{n_0-1, s} \leq 2^\sigma v_{0s}^{\frac{n_0+1}{n}} v_{ns}^{\frac{n_0-1}{n}} \leq 2^\sigma M_1^{\frac{n_0+1}{n}} v_{ns}^{\frac{n_0-1}{n}}.$$

If we define a number τ , such that $\tau \in [M, t_s], |y^{(n)}(\tau)| = v_{ns}$ holds, then according to (13), (15) and (14) we have

$$2 \max_{0 \leq x \leq M_1} g(x) t_s^{\frac{n_0-1}{n}} \leq v_{ns} \leq \tau^{\frac{n_0-1}{n}} \max_{0 \leq x \leq M_1} g(x).$$

Then obtained contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark. For $y \in O_{4,1}^1$ the statement of Theorem 3 was proved without the validity of (13).

Lemma 3. *Let (4) be valid and $y \in O_{n\alpha}^2$. Let there exist continuous functions $a : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, $g : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ such that g is non-decreasing,*

$$H = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) t^{\frac{n_0}{2}} g(t^{n_0/2}) > 0$$

and

$$(16) \quad |f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \geq a(t) g(|x_1|) \quad \text{in } D$$

holds. Then $\int_0^\infty t[y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt < \infty$, $\int_0^\infty t|y(t)y^{(n)}(t)| dt < \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-2)}(t; y) = C \neq \pm\infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t; y) = 0$.

Proof. The validity of $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t; y) = 0$ follows from Lemma 2. First we prove by the indirect proof that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-2)}(t; y) = C \neq \pm\infty$. As $y \in O_{n\alpha}^2$, then according to Lemma 1, Z^{n-2} is non-increasing on R_+ . Thus suppose that

$$(17) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-2)}(t; y) = -\infty.$$

Now we prove the relation

$$(18) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (|y^{(n_0-2)}(t)| - t) = \infty.$$

Thus suppose on the contrary that $|y^{(n_0-2)}(t)| \leq t + M$, $t \in R_+$. From this there exist constants M_1 and $\tau \in R_+$ such that (see (3))

$$\left| Z(t) - \frac{n_0^2}{2} J_{n-2}(t; [y^{(n_0-1)}]^2) \right| \leq M_1 t^{n-2}, \quad t \in [\tau, \infty),$$

that contradicts to (17). Thus the relation (18) is valid. According to (18) there exists an increasing sequence $\{t_k\}_0^\infty$ such that

$$(19) \quad t_k - t_{k-1} \geq 1, \quad |y^{(n_0-2)}(t_k)| \geq t_k, \quad k \in N,$$

$y^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 - 1$ has a zero in the interval

$$\Delta_k = [t_{k-1}, t_k], \quad \max_{t \in \Delta_k} |y^{(n_0-2)}(t)| = |y^{(n_0-2)}(t_k)|, \quad k \in N.$$

Put $v_{ik} = \max_{t \in \Delta_k} |y^{(i)}(t)|$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$, $v_{n_0k} = t_{k-1}^{-1}$. Let $\Delta_{ik} \subset \Delta_k$ be an interval such that $\max_{t \in \Delta_{ik}} |y^{(i)}(t)| = v_{ik}$, $\min_{t \in \Delta_{ik}} |y^{(i)}(t)| = 0$ and $y^{(i)}$ does not change the sign on Δ_{ik} , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$, $k \in N$. Then

$$(20) \quad v_{ik}^2 \leq 2 \int_{\Delta_{ik}} |y^{(i+1)}(t)y^{(i)}(t)| dt \leq 2v_{i+1,k} \int_{\Delta_{ik}} |y^{(i)}(t)| dt \leq 4v_{i+1,k}v_{i-1,k},$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 - 2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n_0-1,k}^2 &\leq 2 \int_{\Delta_{n_0-1,k}} [t^{-1} + t^{-1}(y^{(n_0)}(t))^2] |y^{(n_0-1)}(t)| dt \leq \\ &\leq 4v_{n_0,k}v_{n_0-2,k} + 2v_{n_0-1,k}t_{k-1}^{-1} \int_{\Delta_k} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $K_k = 2t_{k-1}^{-1} \int_{\Delta_k} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 dt$, then by virtue of Theorem 1 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} K_k = 0$ and thus

$$v_{n_0-1,k} \leq \frac{1}{2} [K_k + \sqrt{K_k^2 + 16v_{n_0-2,k}v_{n_0,k}}] \leq 4\sqrt{v_{n_0-2,k}v_{n_0,k}}, \quad k \geq k_0,$$

$k_0 \in N$ is a suitable number (see (19), too).

From this and from (20) we can easily get by means of the induction

$$(21) \quad v_{ik} \leq 4^{(n_0-i)(n_0+i-1)} v_{0k}^{n_0} v_{n_0k}^i, \quad k \geq k_0, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_0\}.$$

Especially for $i = n_0 - 2$ and by virtue of (19) we have

$$(22) \quad t_k \leq v_{n_0-2,k} \leq 4^{2(n-3)} t_{k-1}^{-\frac{n_0-2}{n_0}} v_{0k}^{\frac{2}{n_0}} \leq 2^{-\frac{2}{n_0}} v_{0k}^{\frac{2}{n_0}}, \quad k \geq k_1$$

where $k_1 \geq k_0$ is a suitable number.

Let $\{\bar{\Delta}_k\}$ be a sequence of intervals such that

$$\bar{\Delta}_k = [\sigma_k, \bar{\sigma}_k], \quad \bar{\Delta}_k \subset \Delta_k, \quad \bar{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k = 1, \quad \max_{t \in \bar{\Delta}_k} (|y(t)|) = v_{0k},$$

$k \in N$. Then with respect to (21)

$$|y(t)| \geq v_{0k} - \int_{\bar{\Delta}_k} |y'(t)| dt \geq v_{0k} - v_{1k} \geq v_{0k} - 4^{n_0(n_0-1)} t_{k-1}^{-\frac{1}{n_0}} v_{0k}^{\frac{n_0-1}{n_0}}, \quad k \geq k_0$$

and thus there exists $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that by virtue of (22)

$$(23) \quad |y(t)| \geq t_k^{\frac{n_0}{2}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_k^{\frac{n_0}{2}}, \quad t \in \bar{\Delta}_k, \quad k \geq k_2.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon \leq \frac{H}{2}$ be an arbitrary number. As $y \in O_{n_0}^2$ it follows from Lemma 1 that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bar{\Delta}_k} (-1)^i y^{(n)}(t) y(t) dt = 0$ and therefore there exists a sequence $\{\varrho_i\}_1^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_i = \infty, \quad \varrho_i \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \bar{\Delta}_k, \quad |y^{(n)}(\varrho_i) y(\varrho_i)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad i \in N.$$

From this, and according to (1), (16) and (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} [a(\varrho_i) g(|y(\varrho_i)|) |y(\varrho_i)|] \geq \\ &\geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} [a(\varrho_i) g(\varrho_i^{\frac{n_0}{2}}) \varrho_i^{\frac{n_0}{2}}] \geq H \geq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves the validity of $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n_0-2)}(t; y) = C \neq \pm \infty$. From this, from Lemma 1 and by means of integration per partes we have for $v(t) = [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2$, resp. $v(t) = (-1)^n y^{(n)}(t) y(t)$:

$$\int_0^\infty t v(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty v(t) dt dt \leq \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty Z^{(n)}(t; y) dt dt = Z^{(n-2)}(0; y) - C < \infty.$$

The lemma is proved.

Theorem 4. Let (4) be valid and $y \in O_{na}^2$. Let positive constant K and the continuous, non-decreasing function $g : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ exist such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) > 0$ and

$$|f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \geq \frac{1}{t} g(|x_1|) \quad \text{in } K, \infty) \times R^n$$

holds. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 2$.

Proof. Let $M > 0$ be a constant such that $g(M) > 0$ and let $D_1 = \{t : t \in R_+, |y(t)| \leq M\}, D_2 = R_+ - D_1, y_i(t) = |y(t)|$ for $t \in D_i, y_i(t) = 0$ for $t \in R_+ - D_i, i = 1, 2$. Then, according to Theorem 1 $y_i^{(n_0)} \in L^2(R_+), i = 1, 2, y_1 \in L^{(\infty)}(R_+)$. As the assumptions of Lemma 3 are fulfilled, then

$$(24) \quad \infty > \int_0^\infty t |y^{(n)}(t) y(t)| dt \geq \int_K^\infty g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| dt \geq g(M) \int_K^\infty |y_2(t)| dt.$$

Thus $y_2 \in L^1(R_+)$ and according to [3] p. 236

$$(25) \quad |y^{(i)}(t)| \leq K_1 < \infty, \quad t \in R_+, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$$

for a suitable constant K_1 . We prove by the indirect proof that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$. Thus suppose on the contrary that there exist a sequence $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ and a constant $K_2 > 0$ such that

$$(26) \quad |y(t_k)| \geq K_2, \quad k \in N, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty, \quad t_k \geq K.$$

Let $\tau_k \in R_+$ be the first zero of y lying on the left of $t_k, \Delta_k = [\tau_k, t_k]$. Then it follows from (24), (25) and (26)

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \int_K^\infty g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| dt &\geq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_i} g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| dt \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [\max_{t \in \Delta_i} |y'(t)|]^{-1} \int_0^{K_2} g(s) s ds = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ and the statement follows from (25) a Kolmogorov – Horny Theorem ([4]).

Remark. The statement of Theorem 4 was proved for the linear equation under weaker assumptions in [6].

Theorem 5. Let $y \in O_{4,1}^2$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$. Moreover, if there exist positive constant K and continuous functions $g : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, $g_1 : R^3 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $g > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$,

$$(27) \quad |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)| \geq \frac{1}{t} g(|x_1|) g_1(x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

on

$$[K, \infty) \times R^4, \quad \text{then} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Proof. Put for the simplicity $Z(t; y) = Z(t)$. It is clear according to (3) that

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} Z''(t) &= -y''(t) y(t) + y'^2(t); \\ Z'''(t) &= -y'''(t) y(t) + y'(t) y''(t). \end{aligned}$$

It was proved in [2] that there exist sequences $\{t_k^i\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ such that it holds $t_k^i \in [K, \infty)$, $y^{(i)}(t_k^i) = 0$, $y^{(i)}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [t_k^0, \infty)$, $t \neq t_k^i$ and $t_k^0 < t_k^1 < t_k^2 < t_k^3 < t_{k+1}^0$, $k \in N$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. From this

$$(29) \quad (-1)^{i+1} y^{(i)}(t) y(t) > 0 \quad (< 0) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_k^0, t_k^i)$$

(for $t \in (t_k^i, t_{k+1}^0)$), $k \in N$.

It follows from (28), (29) that $z'''(t) \leq y'(t) y''(t)$, $t \in [t_k^0, t_k^1]$ and thus

$$(30) \quad Z''(t_k^1) - Z''(t_k^0) \leq -2y'^2(t_k^0) = -2Z''(t_k^0).$$

As Z'' is according to (10), (28) non-decreasing and non-negative, we can conclude from (28), (30)

$$(31) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z''(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y'(t_k^2) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0.$$

Thus the first part of the statement is valid.

By virtue of (31)

$$(32) \quad \int_0^\infty t |y^{(4)}(t) y(t)| dt \leq \int_0^\infty t Z^{(4)}(t) dt \leq \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty Z^{(4)}(t) dt dt = Z''(0) < \infty.$$

$$(33) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) y(t) = 0.$$

We prove by the indirect proof that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$. Thus suppose without loss of generality that there exists a constant $M > 0$ with the property

$$(34) \quad |y(t_k^1)| \geq M, \quad k \in N.$$

Denote $\{\tau_k\}$, $k \in N$ the sequence such that $\tau_k \in (t_k^0, t_k^1)$, $|y(\tau_k)| = \frac{M}{2}$, $k \in N$.

Then it follows from (33), (34), (28), (31) that for a suitable $M_1 < \infty$ we have

$$|y^{(i)}(t)| \leq M_1, \quad t \in \Delta_k = [\tau_k, t_k^1], \quad k \in N, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From this and from (27), (32) and (31)

$$0 \leftarrow \int_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta_k} t |y^{(4)}(t) y(t)| dt \geq M_2 \int_{\Delta_k} g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| dt \geq \\ \geq \frac{M_2}{\max_{t \in \Delta_k} |y'_k(t)|} \int_{M/2}^M g(s) s ds \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty.$$

$M_2 = \min_{|x_i| \leq M_1, i=2,3,4} g_1(x_2, x_3, x_4) > 0$. The gained contradiction proves the theorem.

3. This paragraph deals with the case when (5) is valid.

Theorem 6. Let $y \in O_{n\alpha}^1$ and (5) be valid. Then the following statements hold:

- a) $y^{(n_0)}$ is unbounded on R_+ .
- b) If $\alpha + n_0$ is odd and $M \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (|y^{(n_0-1)}(t)| - Mt) = \infty.$$

- c) Let there exist a non-negative function $g \in C^0(R_+)$ such that

$$(35) \quad |f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq t^{\frac{n}{n_0-1} \sigma} g(|x_1|)$$

holds in D , where $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} [1 - (-1)^{\alpha+n_0}]$. Then y is unbounded on R_+ .

Proof. The statement a) can be proved similarly to the Theorem 2. Now, we prove the case b). Put

$$Z_1(t) = Z(t; y) + \frac{n}{2} J_{n-1}(t, [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2), \quad t \in R_+$$

and suppose, on the contrary, that

$$|y^{(n_0-1)}(t)| - Mt \leq M_1 < \infty, \quad t \in R_+.$$

Then according to (3)

$$(36) \quad |Z_1(t)| \leq M_2 t^{n-1}, \quad t \in R_+,$$

where $M_2 < \infty$ is a suitable constant. As $y \in O_{n\alpha}^1$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_1^{(n-1)}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[Z(t; y) + \frac{n}{2} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 \right] = \infty.$$

This relation contradicts to (36) and b) is valid. The case c): If $\alpha + n_0$ is odd, the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. If $\alpha + n_0$ is even, then the statement follows from Kolmogorov–Horny Theorem, (35) and a). The theorem is proved.

Theorem 7. Let $y \in O_{30}$. Then $y \in O_{30}^2$. Moreover, if there exist continuous functions $g : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, $h : R_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $g(0) = 0$, $g(x_1) > 0$ for $x_1 > 0$ and

$$(37) \quad |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)| \geq g(|x_1|) h(|x_2|) \quad \text{in } R_+ \times R^2 \text{ holds.}$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ and y' is bounded on R_+ .

Proof. It follows from [1] and (37) that there exist sequences $\{t_k^i\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ such that $t_k^0 < t_k^1 < t_k^2 < t_{k+1}^0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k^0 = \infty$,

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} y^{(i)}(t_k^i) &= 0, & (-1)^{i+1} y^{(i)}(t) y(t) &> 0 & \text{for } t \in (t_k^0, t_k^i), \\ (-1)^i y^{(i)}(t) y(t) &> 0 & \text{for } t \in (t_k^i, t_{k+1}^0), & k = 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

According to (3)

$$Z''(t; y) = -\frac{1}{2} y'^2(t) + y(t) y''(t); \quad Z'''(t, y) = y(t) y'''(t) \geq 0$$

holds. From this (for $t = t_k^0$) we can see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z''(t; y) = M$, $M \in (-\infty, 0]$

and thus $y \in O_{30}^2$ and

$$(39) \quad \int_{t_1^0}^{\infty} y(t) y'''(t) dt < \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y'(t_k^2)| = \sqrt{-M}.$$

We can conclude that y' is bounded, $|y'(t)| \leq M_1$. Further, it follows from (39) and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leftarrow \int_{t_k^0}^{t_k^1} y(t) y'''(t) dt &\geq \frac{M_2}{M_1} \int_{t_k^0}^{t_k^1} y(t) g(|y(t)|) y'(t) dt \geq \frac{M_2}{M_1} \int_0^{|y(t_k^1)|} sg(|s|) ds, \\ M_2 &= \min_{0 \leq x \leq M_1} h(x) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y(t_k^1) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 8. Let $y \in O_{31}$ and let a constant $M > 0$ and continuous functions $g_1 : R_+^3 \rightarrow R_+$, $g_2 : R_+^3 \rightarrow R_+$ exist such that $g_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $x_1 > 0$,

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1(|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|) &\leq |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)|, \\ (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) &\in R_+ \times R^3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)| &\leq g_2(|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|), \\ (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) &\in R_+ \times R^3, \quad |x_3| \leq M \text{ holds. Then } y \in O_{31}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to [1] and (40) there exist sequences $\{t_k^i\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ such that $t_k^0 < t_k^2 < t_k^1 < t_{k+1}^0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k^0 = \infty$, $y^{(i)}(t_k^i) = 0$, $y^{(i)}(t) y(t) > 0$ for $t \in (t_k^0, t_k^i)$, $y^{(i)}(t) y(t) < 0$ for $t \in (t_k^i, t_{k+1}^0)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $i = 1, 2$. By virtue of (3) $Z''(t; y) = \frac{1}{2} y'^2(t) - y(t) y''(t)$, $Z'''(t, y) = -y'''(t) y(t) \geq 0$ holds. If $y \in O_{31}^2$, then

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z''(t; y) = M_1 < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{2} y'^2(t_k^2) = Z''(t_k^2; y) \rightarrow M_1$. Thus y' is bounded on R_+ that contradicts to Theorem 5 of [1]. Theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bartušek: *The asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the differential equation of the third order*. Arch. Math. (Brno) 20, 3, 1984, 101–112.
- [2] M. Bartušek: *The asymptotic behaviour of oscillatory solutions of the equation of the fourth order*. Arch. Math. (Brno) 21, 2, 1985, 93–104.
- [3] E. F. Beckenbach, R. Bellman: *Inequalities*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961.
- [4] Г. Г. Харди, Д. Е. Диттльвуд, Г. Поля, *Неравенства*, Изд. иностр. литературы, Москва, 1948.
- [5] И. Т. Кигирадзе, *Некоторые сингулярные краевые задачи для обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнений*. Изд. Тбилисс. ун-ва, Тбилиси 1975.
- [6] I. T. Kiguradze: *On vanishing at infinity of solutions of ordinary differential equations*. Czech. Math. J. 33 (108), 1983, 613–646.
- [7] M. Švec: *Sur le comportement asymptotique des intégrales de l'équation différentielle $Y^{(4)} + Q(x)Y = 0$* , Czech. Math. J. 8 (83), 1958, 230–245.

M. Bartušek
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science, J. E. Purkyně University
 Janáčkovo nám. 2a
 662 95 Brno
 Czechoslovakia