

Jan Chvalina

On homeomorphic topologies and equivalent set-systems

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 12 (1976), No. 2, 107--115

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106935>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON HOMEOMORPHIC TOPOLOGIES AND EQUIVALENT SET-SYSTEMS

JAN CHVALINA, Brno
(Received December 16, 1975)

1. Introduction. Let P be a non-void set. Set-systems $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \exp P$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a permutation f of the set P (i.e. a one-to-one mapping of P onto itself) such that $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{f(X) : X \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$, (cf. [6] p. 323). To every topology u (in the sense of [2] or [5]) it can be assigned such a set-system $\mathcal{S}(u)$ so that topologies u, v are homeomorphic if and only if set-systems $\mathcal{S}(u), \mathcal{S}(v)$ are equivalent in the above sense and $u \neq v$ implies $\mathcal{S}(u) \neq \mathcal{S}(v)$. The aim of this note is to give a constructive proof of the possibility of a non-trivial extension of the set-system valued mapping \mathcal{S} onto a system of more general topologies which do not satisfy the so called U-axiom (the idempotency of closures).

2. Preliminaries. By a topological space we mean the so called Čech's topological space (see [1]), that is a pair (P, u) , where P is a set and u a mapping of $\exp P$ into itself satisfying the following axioms:

$$1^0 \ u\emptyset = \emptyset, \quad 2^0 \ X \subset uX \quad \text{for } X \subset P, \quad 3^0 \ X \subset Y \subset P \text{ implies } uX \subset uY.$$

If

$$4^0 \ u(X \cup Y) = uX \cup uY, \quad X \subset P, \quad Y \subset P$$

holds then the topology u is called an A-topology and (P, u) an A-space (closure operations, closure spaces in the terminology of [2]). Topologies fulfilling axioms 1^0 through 3^0 and

$$5^0 \ uuX = uX \quad \text{for each } X \subset P \quad (\text{U-axiom})$$

are called U-topologies and corresponding spaces U-spaces. If axioms 1_0 through 5_0 are satisfied, we speak about AU-spaces, AU-topologies (topologies in the sense of [2] or [5]).

Denote by $\mathcal{C}(P)$ the lattice of all topologies on the set P (with respect to the ordering: $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(P)$, $u \leq v$ if $uX \subset vY$ for each $X \subset P$, cf. [7] 1.2., 2.1.). For $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ there holds $(u \vee v)X = uX \cup vX$, $(u \wedge v)X = uX \cap vX$, $X \subset P$. Subsystems of $\mathcal{C}(P)$ of all A-topologies and U-topologies are denoted by $\mathcal{A}(P)$ and $\mathcal{U}(P)$ respectively

Let $\mathcal{C}^*(P)$ means the set of all totally irreducible elements in the lattice $(\mathcal{C}(P), \wedge, \vee)$, $\mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P)$ the set of all maximal elements in $\mathcal{C}^*(P)$ and let $\mathcal{C}_0^*(P)$ be the system of all atoms in $(\mathcal{C}(P), \vee, \wedge)$. For $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(P)$, $u \cong v$ means that u, v are homeomorphic for $S_1 \subset \exp P$, $S_2 \subset \exp P$, $S_1 \sim S_2$ means that S_1, S_2 are equivalent in the above sense, i.e. there exists a permutation f of the set P such that $S_2 = \{f(X) : X \in S_1\}$. In this case we shall write $S_2 = f(S_1)$. Similarly, if $S_2 = \{f^{-1}(X) : X \in S_1\}$, then we write $S_2 = f^{-1}(S_1)$. The permutation group of the set P will be denoted by $\Phi(P)$. If X is a set, ϱ the equivalence relation on X then X/ϱ denotes a decomposition of X induced by ϱ . A system of topologies $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{C}(P)$ is called topological if $u \in X, v \in \mathcal{C}(P)$, $v \cong u$ implies $v \in X$.

In [7], 2.3. is given a characterization of totally irreducible elements of $\mathcal{C}(P)$:

Proposition 1. $u \in \mathcal{C}^*(P)$ iff there exists a non-void set $X_0 \subset P$ and a point $a \in P$ such that $uX = X \cup \{a\}$ for $X \subset P$, $X_0 \subset X$ and $uX = X$ otherwise.

From here it follows immediately

Lemma 1. The topology $u \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P)$ iff there exist points $a \in P$, $b \in P$ so that $uX = X \cup \{b\}$ if $a \in X$ and $uX = X$ otherwise.

Evidently, $\mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P)$ is a topological system.

3. Auxiliary assertions. Let P be a set of the cardinality at least 5, $u \in \mathcal{C}^*(P)$. By T_u will be denoted the set $X_0 \subset P$ and by a_u the point a both considered in proposition 1. §2. Put $\mathcal{C}_1^*(P) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^*(P) : \text{card } T_u \geq 2, \text{card } (P - T_u) \geq 3\}$. Evidently, $\mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P) = \{u \in \mathcal{C}^*(P) : \text{card } T_u = 1\}$. Put $\mathcal{F}_C(P) = \{u \vee v : u \in \mathcal{C}_1^*(P), v \in \mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P), T_v = \{a_u\}, a_v \notin T_u\}$. It is easy to see that a topology w belongs to $\mathcal{F}_C(P)$ iff there exist a set $X_1 \subset P$ with $\text{card } X_1 \geq 2$, $\text{card } (P - X_1) \geq 3$ and points $x_1, x_2 \in P - X_1$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ such that $X \subset P$, $X_1 \subset X$ implies $wX = X \cup \{x_1\}$, $X \subset P$, $x_1 \in X$ implies $wX = X \cup \{x_2\}$ and $wX = X$ otherwise. If we denote by u, v topologies from $\mathcal{C}_1^*(P)$, $\mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P)$ respectively such that $w = u \vee v$, then $X_1 = T_u$, $\{x_1\} = \{a_u\} = T_v$, $x_2 = a_v$. If $w \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$, then by $T(w)$ will be denoted the set X_1 (considered above), by λ_w and b_w the above considered point x_1 and x_2 respectively. Hence, there is defined a one-to-one mapping \mathbf{T} of the system $\mathcal{F}_C(P)$ into the set $2^P \times P \times P$ by the rule: $\mathbf{T}(u) = \langle T(u), a_u, b_u \rangle$, for $u \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$.

Further, denote by $\mathcal{A}_1(P)$ a system of all A-topologies on P satisfying the following condition:

There exists a pair X_1, X_2 of non-void disjoint subsets of the set P with $X_1 \cup X_2 = P$ such that

- (i) $uX_1 = X_1 \cup X_2$,
- (ii) $uX = X \cup X_1$ if $X \subset P$, $X \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$,
- (iii) $uX = X$ if $X \subset P$, $X \cap X_1 = \emptyset$ or $X_1 \cup X_2 \subset X$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_1(P) \neq \emptyset$. To every A-topology u from the system $\mathcal{A}_1(P)$ is assigned a pair of sets X_1, X_2 with above described properties. We shall denote these sets by $L_1(u)$,

$L_2(u)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}_{\max}^*(P) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1(P)$. Put $\mathcal{T}_A(P) = \{u \in \mathcal{A}_1(P) : \text{card } L_1(u) \geq 2, P \neq L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)\}$ and finally $\mathcal{T}(P) = \mathcal{T}_A(P) \cup \mathcal{T}_C(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P)$.

Let f be a permutation of the set P . By \bar{f} will be denoted a mapping of $\mathcal{C}(P)$ into itself induced by the permutation f in the way: $\bar{f}(u)X = f^{-1}uf(X)$ for $u \in \mathcal{C}(P)$, $X \subset P$, (i.e. $\bar{f}(u)$ is a topology projectively generated by the mapping $f : P \rightarrow (P, u)$). Notice that in [7] 1.4. is $\bar{f}(u)$ denoted by $f \circ u$, where is also examined that for $f \in \Phi(P)$ is f an automorphism of the lattice $(\mathcal{C}(P), \vee, \wedge)$. It is clear that a system $\mathcal{S}(P) \subset \mathcal{C}(P)$ is topological iff it is f -stable for every permutation of the set P , i.e. $f(\mathcal{S}(P)) \subset \mathcal{S}(P)$ for each $f \in \Phi(P)$. A union of an arbitrary collection of topological systems is evidently a topological system.

Lemma 2. *Let P be a set. Systems $\mathcal{T}_A(P)$, $\mathcal{T}_C(P)$, $\mathcal{T}(P)$ are topological.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$, $f \in \Phi(P)$. It holds $f^{-1}(L_1(u)) \cap f^{-1}(L_2(u)) = \emptyset$. Let $X \subset P$ be such a set that $X \cap f^{-1}(L_1(u)) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\emptyset \neq f(X \cap f^{-1}(L_1(u))) = f(X) \cap L_1(u)$ and $u \in \mathcal{A}_1(P)$ we have $\bar{f}(u)X = f^{-1}uf(X) = f^{-1}(f(X) \cap L_1(u)) = f^{-1}uL_1(u) = f^{-1}(L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)) = f^{-1}(L_1(u)) \cup f^{-1}(L_2(u))$. From $X \cap f^{-1}(L_1(u)) = \emptyset$ there follows $f(X) \cap L_1(u) = \emptyset$, i.e. $f^{-1}uf(X) = X$. We get that $L_i(\bar{f}(u)) = f^{-1}(L_i(u))$ ($i = 1, 2$) thus it holds $\bar{f}(u) \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$, i.e. the system $\mathcal{T}_A(P)$ is topological. It can be proved in a similar way that the system $\mathcal{T}_C(P)$ is topological hence the system $\mathcal{T}(P)$, which is a union of $\mathcal{T}_A(P)$, $\mathcal{T}_C(P)$ and $\mathcal{U}(P)$, is a topological system, too.

Lemma 3. *Let P be an infinite set. It holds $\text{card} [(\mathcal{T}(P) \cap \mathcal{A}(P)) - \mathcal{U}(P)] = 2^{\text{card } P}$, $\text{card} [((\mathcal{T}(P) \cap \mathcal{A}(P)) - \mathcal{U}(P)) / \cong] = \text{card } P$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$, $x \in L_1(u)$. Then $u\{x\} = L_1(u) \neq \{x\}$, $u^2\{x\} = uL_1(u) = L_1(u) \cup L_2(u) \neq L_1(u)$, thus $u^2 \neq u$ and we have that $\mathcal{T}_A(P) \cap \mathcal{U}(P) = \emptyset$. Further, for arbitrary $u \in \mathcal{T}_C(P)$ and arbitrary $x \in T(u)$ there holds $u[\{x\} \cup (T(u) - \{x\})] = uT(u) = T(u) \cup \{a_u\} \neq T(u) = \{x\} \cup (T(u) - \{x\}) = u\{x\} \cup u(T(u) - \{x\})$, thus $\mathcal{T}_A(P) \cap \mathcal{T}_C(P) = \emptyset$. It holds $(\mathcal{T}(P) \cap \mathcal{A}(P)) - \mathcal{U}(P) = \mathcal{T}_A(P)$. If we put $\mathcal{S} = \{\langle X, Y \rangle \in \exp' P \times \exp' P : \text{card } X \geq 2 \text{ and } X \cap Y = \emptyset\}$, where $\exp' P = \exp P - \{\emptyset\}$, then we have $\text{card } \mathcal{S} = 2^{\text{card } P} \cdot 2^{\text{card } P} = 2^{2 \cdot \text{card } P}$ for $\text{card } P \geq \aleph_0$. The mapping $\mathbf{L} : \mathcal{T}_A(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ defined by the rule $\mathbf{L}(u) = \langle L_1(u), L_2(u) \rangle$, for $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$, is bijective, hence $\text{card } \mathcal{T}_A(P) = 2^{2 \cdot \text{card } P}$. Assign to every \mathbf{A} -topology $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$ a triad of cardinal numbers $\langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle_u$, where $m_i = \text{card } L_i(u)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $m_3 = \text{card}(P - (L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)))$. Evidently, if $u, v \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$ are nonhomeomorphic topologies then $\langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle_u \neq \langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle_v$, hence $\text{card} [\mathcal{T}_A(P) / \cong] \leq \text{card} \times \{\langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle : m_i \leq \text{card } P, i = 1, 2, 3\} = \text{card } P$. On the other hand, if a, b are arbitrary points in P , $\mathcal{L} \subset 2^P$ is a set-system of the cardinality $\text{card } P$ such that $X \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $a \notin X$ and $X \in \mathcal{L}$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}$, $X \neq Y$ implies $\text{card } X \neq \text{card } Y$ then $\text{card } P = \text{card } \mathcal{L} = \text{card} \{u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P) : L_1(u) \in \mathcal{L}, L_2(u) = \{a\}\} \leq \text{card} [\mathcal{T}_A(P) / \cong]$. Therefore it holds $\text{card} [(\mathcal{T}(P) \cap \mathcal{A}(P)) - \mathcal{U}(P)] / \cong = \text{card } P$.

Lemma 4. *Let P be an infinite set. It holds $\text{card} [\mathcal{T}(P) - (\mathcal{A}(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P))] = 2^{2 \cdot \text{card } P}$, $\text{card} [(\mathcal{T}(P) - (\mathcal{A}(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P))) / \cong] = \text{card } P$.*

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$ then $u \notin \mathcal{A}(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P)$. Hence $\mathcal{T}_c(P) = \mathcal{T}(P) - (\mathcal{A}(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P))$. Now, similarly as in the proof of lemma 3, we put $\mathcal{S} = \{\langle X, x, y \rangle : X \subset P, x \in P, y \in P, \text{card } X \geq 2, \text{card } (P - X) \geq 3, x \notin X, y \notin X, x \neq y\}$. The mapping $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{T}_c(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, defined by $\mathbf{T}(u) = \langle T(u), a_u, b_u \rangle$ for $u \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$ is evidently bijective hence $\text{card } \mathcal{T}_c(P) = \text{card } \mathcal{S} = 2^{\text{card } P} \cdot \text{card } P = 2^{\text{card } P}$. Denote by \mathcal{M} the set of pairs of cardinal numbers $\{\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle : m_i \leq \text{card } P \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$. We have (similarly as in the proof of lemma 3) that $\text{card } (\mathcal{T}_c(P)/\cong) \leq \text{card } \mathcal{M} = \text{card } P$. On the other hand, let $a \in P, b \in P, a \neq b$ be arbitrary but fixed points, \mathcal{L} be a system of subsets $X \subset P$ such that $\text{card } \mathcal{L} = \text{card } P, X \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $\text{card } X \geq 2, a \notin X, b \notin X$ and such that $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}, X \neq Y$ implies $\text{card } X \neq \text{card } Y$. Then we have $\text{card } P = \text{card } \mathcal{L} \leq \text{card } \{u \in \mathcal{T}_c(P) : u \text{ non } \cong v\} = \text{card } [\mathcal{T}_c(P)/\cong]$.

Lemma 5. *Let P be an infinite set, $\mathcal{X} \in [\mathcal{T}(P) - \mathcal{U}(P)]/\cong$. Then it holds $\text{card } \mathcal{X} \geq \text{card } P$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{T}(P) - \mathcal{U}(P) = \mathcal{T}_A(P) \cup \mathcal{T}_c(P)$ with disjoint summands. Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$. Since $\text{card } P \geq \aleph_0$ there exists a set $X \in \{L_1(u), L_2(u), P - (L_1(u) \cup L_2(u))\}$ such that $\text{card } X = \text{card } P$. Suppose that $X = L_1(u)$. Let $a \in L_2(u)$. Put $T_u = \{v_x \in \mathcal{T}_A(P) : L_1(v_x) = (L_1(u) - \{x\}) \cup \{a\}, L_2(v_x) = (L_2(u) - \{a\}) \cup \{x\}, x \in L_1(u)\}$. Then $\text{card } T_u = \text{card } L_1(u) = \text{card } P$ and every A-topology belonging to the system T_u is homeomorphic to the A-topology u . (If $v_{x_0} \in T_u$ then the permutation $f \in \Phi(P)$ defined by $f(x) = x$ for $x \in P, x_0 \neq x \neq a$ and $f(x_0) = a, f(a) = x_0$ is a homeomorphism of the space (P, u) onto the space (P, v_{x_0}) . Thus $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)/\cong$ implies $\text{card } \mathcal{X} \geq \text{card } P$. In the same way we get that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)/\cong$ implies $\text{card } \mathcal{X} \geq \text{card } P$, as well.

Let $u \in T(u)$. Denote by $D(u)$ the system of all subsets of P closures of which are proper subsets of P dense in the space (P, u) , i.e. $D(u) = \{X \subset P : uX \neq P, u^2X = P\}$. Further, for $u \in \mathcal{T}(P)$ we put $F(u) = D(u) \cup C(u)$, where $C(u)$ is the system of all closed sets in the space (P, u) . It is clear that $u \in u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$ iff $D(u) = \emptyset$, hence $F(u) = C(u)$ for each $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$. In further development we shall deal with properties of the mapping $F : \mathcal{T}(P) \rightarrow \exp \exp P$. Cardinality of the set P is supposed at least 5.

Lemma 6. *Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P), v \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$. Then $F(u) \text{ non } \sim F(v)$.*

Proof. Admit that there exists such a permutation f of the set P that $f(F(u)) = F(v)$. Let $x_0 \in L_1(u), x_1 \in L_1(u)$ be arbitrary points, $x_0 \neq x_1$. Such points exist because of $\text{card } L_1(u) \geq 2$. Since $\{x_0\} \notin F(u), \{x_1\} \notin F(u)$ and f is a permutation of P , we have $\{f(x_0)\} \notin F(v), \{f(x_1)\} \notin F(v)$. However, the only singleton which does not belong to the system $F(v)$ is $\{a_v\}$. This is a contradiction, hence systems $F(u), F(v)$ are not equivalent.

Corollary. *Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P), v \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$. Then $F(u) \neq F(v)$.*

Lemma 7. *Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(P), v \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$. Then $F(u) \text{ non } \sim F(v)$.*

Proof. Admit that there exists a permutation $f \in \Phi(P)$ such that $f(F(u)) = F(v)$.

Let $x_0 \in L_1(v)$. Since $[P - (L_1(v) \cup L_2(v))] \cup \{x_0\} \in D(v) \subset F(v)$, there exists a set $X \in F(u) = C(u)$ such that $f(X) = [P - (L_1(v) \cup L_2(v))] \cup \{x_0\}$. Since $L_1(v) \cup L_2(v) \in C(v) \subset F(v)$ there exists a set $Y \in C(u)$ with the property $f(Y) = L_1(v) \cup L_2(v)$. There is $X \cap Y \in C(u)$ (an intersection of an arbitrary system of closed sets in a U-space is a closed set), thus $f(X \cap Y) \in F(v)$. From $\{x_0\} = f(X) \cap f(Y) = f(X \cap Y)$ and $\{x_0\} \notin C(v)$, $\{x_0\} \notin D(v)$ (because of $L_1(v) \cup L_2(v) \neq P$) we get a contradiction. Hence $F(u) \text{ non } \sim F(v)$.

Corollary. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_A(P)$. Then $F(u) \neq F(v)$.

Lemma 8. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$. Then $F(u) \text{ non } \sim F(v)$.

Proof. Suppose similarly as above that $f(F(v)) = F(u)$ for some $f \in \Phi(P)$. Since $v[P - \{a_v, b_v\}] = P - \{b_v\}$; $v^2[P - \{a_v, b_v\}] = v[P - \{b_v\}] = P$, thus $P - \{a_v, b_v\} \in F(v)$, we have that $P - \{f(a_v), f(b_v)\} = f[P - \{a_v, b_v\}] \in F(u) = C(u)$. Further, $v(T(v) \cup \{a_v, b_v\}) = T(v) \cup \{a_v, b_v\}$ hence the set $f(T(v) \cup \{f(a_v), f(b_v)\})$ is closed in the space (P, u) . Then $f(T(v)) = [P - \{f(a_v), f(b_v)\}] \cap [f(T(v)) \cup \{f(a_v), f(b_v)\}]$ is a closed set in (P, u) . From here $T(v) = f^{-1}f(T(v)) \in F(v)$. Since $\text{card}(P - T(v)) \geq 3$, thus $T(v) \notin D(v)$ we have $T(v) \in C(v)$, i.e. $v(T(v)) = T(v)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $F(u) \text{ non } \sim F(v)$.

Corollary. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$. Then $F(u) \neq F(v)$.

Lemma 9. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_A(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_A(P)$, $u \neq v$. Then $F(u) \neq F(v)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_A(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_A(P)$ be different A-topologies. Then either $L_1(u) \neq L_1(v)$ or $L_1(u) = L_1(v)$ and $L_2(u) \neq L_2(v)$. Suppose that $L_1(u) - L_1(v) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in L_1(u) - L_1(v)$. Then $v\{a\} = \{a\}$, thus $\{a\} \in C(v) \subset F(v)$. On the other hand, $u\{a\} = L_1(u) \neq \{a\}$, $u^2\{a\} = L_1(u) \cup L_2(u) \neq P$, thus $\{a\} \notin C(u) \cup D(u) = F(u)$. Hence $F(u) \neq F(v)$ in this case. The same result we get under the assumption $L_1(v) - L_1(u) \neq \emptyset$. Now, let $L_1(u) = L_1(v)$, $L_2(u) \neq L_2(v)$. If $L_2(u) - L_2(v) \neq \emptyset$ we choose a point $a \in L_2(u) - L_2(v)$ and a point $b \in L_1(u)$. Put $X = P - \{a, b\}$. Then $uX = L_1(u) \cup X = P - \{a\}$ and $u^2X = u(P - \{a\}) = P$, thus $X \in D(u) \subset F(u)$. Similarly $vX = P - \{a\}$. However $v^2X = v(P - \{a\}) = P - \{a\}$, thus $X \notin C(v) \cup D(v) = F(v)$. Hence $F(u) \neq F(v)$ again. If $L_2(v) - L_2(u) \neq \emptyset$ then we get $F(u) \neq F(v)$ in a similar way as above.

Lemma 10. Let $u \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$, $u \neq v$. Then $F(u) \neq F(v)$.

Proof. Topologies $u \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{F}_C(P)$ are different iff exactly one of the following cases occurs:

- | | |
|-------|--|
| (1,1) | $T(u) = T(v), \quad a_u \neq a_v, \quad b_u = b_v,$ |
| (1,2) | $T(u) = T(v), \quad a_u \neq a_v, \quad b_u \neq b_v,$ |
| (1,3) | $T(u) = T(v), \quad a_y = a_v, \quad b_u \neq b_v,$ |
| (2,1) | $T(u) \neq T(v), \quad a_u = a_v, \quad b_u = b_v,$ |

- (2,2) $T(u) \neq T(v), a_u \neq a_v, b_u = b_v,$
(2,3) $T(u) \neq T(v), a_u \neq a_v, b_u \neq b_v,$
(2,4) $T(u) \neq T(v), a_u = a_v, b_u \neq b_v.$

In cases (1,1), (1,2) there holds $u\{a_u\} = \{a_u, b_u\}, u^2\{a_u\} = \{a_u, b_u\} \neq P$, thus $\{a_u\} \notin F(u), \{a_u\} \in F(v)$. If (1,3) occurs we have $u\{a_u, b_u\} = \{a_u, b_u\}, v\{a_u, b_u\} = \{a_u, b_u, b_v\}$. Since $v^2\{a_u, b_u\} = \{a_u, b_u, b_v\} \neq P$, it holds $\{a_u, b_u\} \in F(u), \{a_u, b_v\} \notin F(v)$. Now, consider these possibilities: $T(u) \subseteq T(v), T(v) \subseteq T(u), T(u) \parallel T(v)$. If $T(u) \subseteq T(v)$ then in cases (2,1)–(2,4) there is $uT(u) = T(u) \cup \{a_u\} \neq T(u) = vT(u)$ and $u^2T(u) = T(u) \cup \{a_u, b_u\} \neq P$ for $\text{card}(P - T(u)) \geq 3$. Thus $T(u) \notin F(u), T(u) \in F(v)$. If $T(v) \subseteq T(u)$ then similarly as above $uT(v) = T(v), vT(v) = T(v) \cup \{a_v\} \neq T(v), v^2T(v) = T(v) \cup \{a_v, b_v\} \neq P$, hence $T(v) \in F(u), T(v) \notin F(v)$. Let $T(u) \parallel T(v)$. In cases (2,1), (2,4) it holds $vT(u) = T(u)$ for $a_v \notin T(u)$. However, $uT(u) \neq T(u), u^2T(u) \neq P$, thus $T(u) \notin F(u), T(u) \in F(v)$. In cases (2,2), (2,3) are a_u, a_v different. It can be shown, similarly as in cases (1,1), (1,2) that set-systems $F(u), F(v)$ are also different. Therefore we get that set-systems $F(u), F(v)$ are distinguished in all possible cases (1,1)–(2,4) by a suitable subset of P , q.e.d.

For the sake of completeness we formulate here the following well-known theorem:

Lemma 11. *Let u, v be U -topologies. Then $u \neq v$ implies $F(u) \neq F(v)$ and u, v are homeomorphic iff $F(u) \sim F(v)$.*

Lemma 12. *Let $u \in \mathcal{T}(P), v \in \mathcal{T}(P)$ be homeomorphic topologies. Then $F(u) \sim F(v)$.*

Proof. Let f be a homeomorphic mapping of the space (P, u) onto the space (P, v) . Then $C(u) = f^{-1}(C(v))$, (it follows e.g. from [2] 16 C.2. and 16 C.4.). Let $u \in \mathcal{T}(P) - \mathcal{U}(P)$. Then $D(u) \neq \emptyset$. Let $X \in D(u)$ be an arbitrary set, $Y = f(X)$. Then $Y \in D(v)$ for $vY = vf(X) = f(uX) \neq f(P) = P$ and $v^2Y = v^2f(X) = f(u^2X) = f(P) = P$, thus $v \in \mathcal{T}(P) - \mathcal{U}(P)$. Since $X \in f^{-1}(Y)$ we have $D(u) \subset f^{-1}(D(v))$. Let $X \in f^{-1}D(v)$. There exists $Y \in D(v)$ such that $X = f^{-1}(Y)$. Since $uX = P$ implies $P = f(uX) = vf(X) = vY, uX$ is a proper subset in P . Further, $u^2X = f^{-1}f(u^2X) = f^{-1}(v^2Y) = f^{-1}(P) = P$, thus $X \in D(u)$. Therefore $D(u) = f^{-1}(D(v))$ and we get $F(u) = f^{-1}(F(v))$, i.e. $F(u) \sim F(v)$.

Lemma 13. *Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P), v \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$ be A -topologies with the property $F(u) \sim F(v)$. Then u, v are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_A(P), v \in \mathcal{T}_A(P)$ be such A -topologies that $F(u) \sim F(v)$. Let $f \in \Phi(P)$ be a permutation with $F(u) = f(F(v)), x \in L_1(v)$. Since $\{x\} \notin C(v), \{x\} \notin D(v)$, i.e. $\{x\} \notin F(v)$, it holds $\{f(x)\} \notin F(u)$. Since every point $a \in P$ with the property $u\{a\} \neq \{a\}$ belongs to $L_1(u)$, there is $f(x) \in L_1(u)$, hence $L_1(v) \subset f^{-1}(L_1(u))$. Let $y \in f^{-1}(L_1(u))$. If $x \in P$ is a point with $x = f(y)$, then $x \in L_1(u)$, thus $\{x\} \notin F(u)$. Then $\{y\} = f^{-1}\{f(y)\} = f^{-1}\{x\} \notin F(v)$ hence $y \in L_1(v)$. Therefore we get the equality $L_1(v) = f^{-1}(L_1(u))$. Now let $x_0 \in L_1(v)$. Put $M = [P - (L_1(u) \cup f(L_2(v)))] \cup \{f(x_0)\}$.

Since $[P - (L_1(v) \cup L_2(v))] \cup \{x_0\} \in D(v)$, we have that $M = f[P - (L_1(v) \cup L_2(v))] \cup f\{x_0\} \in F(u)$. Since $f(x_0) \in L_1(u)$ it holds $uM \neq M$, $u^2M = P$ thus $f(L_2(v)) \subset L_2(u)$. Admit that $f(L_2(v)) \neq L_2(u)$. There is $L_1(u) \cup f(L_2(v)) = f(L_1(v) \cup L_2(v)) \in F(u)$. On the other hand, $u(L_1(u) \cup f(L_2(v))) = L_1(u) \cup L_2(u) \neq P$, $u^2(L_1(u) \cup f(L_2(v))) = u(L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)) = L_1(u) \cup L_2(u) = u(L_1(u) \cup f(L_2(v)))$, thus $L_1(u) \cup f(L_2(v)) \notin C(u) \cup D(u) = F(u)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $L_2(u) = f(L_2(v))$, i.e. $L_2(v) = f^{-1}(L_2(u))$. From equalities $L_i(v) = f^{-1}(L_i(u))$, $i = 1, 2$, it follows immediately that A -topologies u, v are homeomorphic.

Lemma 14. *Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$ be such topologies that $F(u) \sim F(v)$. Then u, v are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$, $v \in \mathcal{T}_c(P)$ be topologies with the required property. There exists a permutation $f \in \Phi(P)$ such that $f(F(u)) = F(v)$. Since $x \in P$, $x \neq a_v$ implies $\{x\} \in F(v)$, it holds $f(a_u) = a_v$. From $\{a_u, b_u\} \in C(u) \subset F(u)$ it follows $\{a_v, f(b_u)\} = f\{a_u, b_u\} = F(v)$. Since $X \in D(v)$ implies $\text{card } X \geq 3$ for $\text{card}(P - T(v)) \geq 3$, there holds $\{a_v, f(b_u)\} \in C(u)$. From here, with respect to $v\{a_v\} = \{a_v, b_v\}$, we get $\{a_v, b_v\} \subset v\{a_v, f(b_u)\} = \{a_v, f(b_u)\}$, hence $f(b_u) = b_v$. We are going to show that $f(T(u)) = T(v)$. Put $X = f(T(u))$, let $a \in T(u)$. There is $f(T(u) - \{a\}) = f(T(u)) - \{f(a)\} = X - \{f(a)\}$, where $f(a) \in X$. Further, $u(T(u) - \{a\}) = T(u) - \{a\}$, i.e. $T(u) - \{a\} \in F(u)$, hence $X - \{f(a)\} \in F(v)$. Since the system $D(v)$ contains the only set $P - \{a_v, b_v\}$ and $a_u \neq a \neq b_u$, i.e. $a_v \neq f(a) \neq b_v$, it holds $P - \{a_v, b_v\} \neq X - \{f(a)\}$, hence $X - \{f(a)\} \in C(v)$. It means that $v(X - \{f(a)\}) = X - \{f(a)\}$. Since $T(u) \notin F(u)$ it holds that $X \notin F(v)$ thus $vX \neq X$ and we have $T(v) \subset X = f(T(u))$ for $a_v \notin X$. Further, $T(v)$ is not a subset of $X - \{f(a)\}$, hence $f(a) \in T(v)$. Since a was an arbitrary point from $T(u)$ we have $f(T(u)) \subset T(v)$, thus $f(T(u)) = T(v)$. Therefore u, v are homeomorphic.

4. Main theorem. Now, we summarize results obtained in the preceding paragraph. Let A, B be sets, ϱ be a binary relation on A , σ a binary relation on B . We say that the mapping $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is an embedding of a monorelational system (A, ϱ) into a monorelational system (B, σ) if φ is injective and for every pair of elements $a \in A$, $b \in A$, there holds $a \varrho b$ iff $f(a) \sigma f(b)$.

Theorem. *Let P be an infinite set. There exists a topological system $\mathcal{T}(P) \subset \mathcal{C}(P)$ with the property $\mathcal{U}(P) \subset \mathcal{T}(P)$ and a mapping $F : \mathcal{T}(P) \rightarrow \exp \exp P$ such that it holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} 1^\circ \text{ card } [(\mathcal{T}(P) \cap \mathcal{A}(P)) - \mathcal{U}(P)] &= \text{card } [\mathcal{T}(P) - (\mathcal{A}(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P))] = \\ &= 2^{\text{card } P}, \text{ card } [((\mathcal{T}(P) \cap \mathcal{A}(P)) - \mathcal{U}(P)) / \cong] = \\ &= \text{card } [(\mathcal{T}(P) - (\mathcal{A}(P) \cup \mathcal{U}(P))) / \cong] = \text{card } P \text{ and } \mathcal{X} \in [\mathcal{T}(P) - \mathcal{U}(P)] / \cong \\ &\text{ implies } \text{card } \mathcal{X} \geq \text{card } P. \end{aligned}$$

2° $F : \mathcal{T}(P) \rightarrow \exp \exp P$ is an embedding of the monorelational system $(\mathcal{T}(P), \cong)$, into the monorelational system $(\exp \exp P, \sim)$.

3° If u is a U -topology on P , then $F(u)$ is the system of all closed subsets of the space (P, u) .

Proof. Let P be an infinite set. Let symbols $\mathcal{T}(P)$ and $F: \mathcal{T}(P) \rightarrow \exp \exp P$ have the same meaning as in the beginning of the preceding paragraph. By lemma 2, the system $\mathcal{T}(P)$ is topological. Assertion 3° is contained in lemmas 3, 4, 5. Assertion 2° follows from lemmas 6 to 14 and corollaries of lemmas 6, 7, 8. Assertion 3° is an immediate consequence of the definition of the mapping F , q.e.d.

Let (P, u) be a topological space which is not a U -space, v a U -modification of the topology u (see [1], 6.1). Then the system $C(u)$ of all closed sets of the space (P, u) coincides with the system $C(v)$ of all closed sets of the U -space (P, v) hence using subsets of P , closures of which are proper dense subsets of (P, u) , we get different set-systems for u and v with above described properties.

Let us mention in this connection a problem formulated in [6] p. 328: Is it possible to assign to any Čech's space (P, u) the system $\mathcal{S}(u) \subset \exp P$ so that $u \neq v$ implies $\mathcal{S}(u) \neq \mathcal{S}(v)$ and u, v are homeomorphic iff $\mathcal{S}(u) \sim \mathcal{S}(v)$?

From results of paper [3] there follows the negative answer for $\text{card } \mathcal{C}(P) > \text{card } \exp \exp P$ if $\text{card } P = 4$ or 5 . However, if $\text{card } P \geq 6$ the problem seems to be unsolved up to now. Note that it is not difficult to get a negative answer in the case when a homeomorphisms of topological spaces and the equivalence of corresponding set-systems are given by the same permutation $f \in \Phi(P)$. Such a modification of the mentioned problem can be expressed in the language of category theory. Related problems are treated in [4]. Denote by $\mathfrak{A}(P)$ a category, objects of which are A -spaces (P, u) , where P is a fixed set of the cardinality at least 4, $u \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ and morphisms are homeomorphisms. Let $\mathfrak{S}(P)$ denote a category with objects (P, S) , $S \subset \exp P$, where P is a fixed set. Morphisms between (P, S) and (P, T) are permutations $f \in \Phi(P)$ such that $X \in S$ implies $f(X) \in T$. By $U_{\mathfrak{A}}, (U_{\mathfrak{S}})$ there will be denoted the forgetful functor from $\mathfrak{A}(P), (\mathfrak{S}(P))$ into the category of sets.

Proposition 2. *Let P be a set, $\text{card } P \geq 3$, $F: \mathfrak{A}(P) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(P)$ such a functor that $U_{\mathfrak{S}} \circ F(f) = U_{\mathfrak{A}}(f)$ for each $f \in \text{mor } \mathfrak{A}(P)$. Then there exists a pair $(P, u) \in \text{ob } \mathfrak{A}(P)$, $(P, v) \in \text{ob } \mathfrak{A}(P)$ so that $(P, u) \neq (P, v)$ and $F(P, u) = F(P, v)$.*

Proof. Let P be a set of the cardinality at least 3. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be different points. Put $Q = P - \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. Consider A -topologies u, v on the set P such that $u\{a_1\} = \{a_1, a_2\} = v\{a_2\}$, $u\{a_2\} = \{a_2, a_3\} = v\{a_3\}$, $u\{a_3\} = \{a_1, a_3\} = v\{a_1\}$ and $uX = vX = X$ for each $X \subset Q$. Denote by $S(u), S(v)$ such set-systems that $(P, S(u)) = F(P, u)$, $(P, S(v)) = F(P, v)$. Consider an arbitrary set $X \in S(u)$. If $X \subset Q$ or $\text{card}(X - Q) = 3$ we consider a morphism $f \in [(P, u), (P, v)]_{\mathfrak{A}}$ which satisfies the conditions $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(f)|_Q = \text{id}_Q$, $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(f)(a_1) = a_1$, $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(f)(a_2) = a_3$, $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(f)(a_3) = a_2$. Then $X = F(f)(X) \in S(v)$. If $\text{card}(X - Q) = 1$, e.g. $X - Q = \{a_2\}$ then using the homeomorphism $g: (P, u) \rightarrow (P, v)$ such that $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(g)(a_2) = a_2$, $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(g)(a_3) = a_1$, $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(g)(a_1) = a_3$ and $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(g)|_Q = \text{id}_Q$, we get $X = g(X) = F(g)(X)$. Let $\text{card}(X - Q) = 2$. Let $a \in \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be a point which does not belong to X . Considering a morphism $h \in [(P, u), (P, v)]_{\mathfrak{A}}$ such that $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(h)|_Q = \text{id}_Q$ and $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(h)(a) = a$, $U_{\mathfrak{A}}(h)(b) = c$,

$U_{\mathfrak{A}}(h)(c) = b$, where $\{a, b, c\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, we have $X = F(h)(X) \in S(v)$. Therefore $S(u) \subset S(v)$, hence $S(u) = S(v)$, whereas $u \neq v$, q.e.d.

Note that the above proposition and its proof can be modified for the case of connected compact A-topologies (for definitions see [2] 20 B.1. and 41 A.3.).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Čech: *Topological spaces*, Topological papers of Eduard Čech, Academia, Prague 1968, 436—472.
- [2] E. Čech: *Topological spaces*, Academia, Prague 1966.
- [3] J. Chvalina: *On the number of general topologies on a finite set*, Scripta Fac. Sci. Nat. UJEP Brno, Math. I 3 (1973), 7—22.
- [4] J. Chvalina and M. Sekanina: *Realizations of closure spaces by set-systems*, Proceedings of the 3rd Prague Topological Symposium, Prague 1972, 85—87.
- [5] K. Kuratowski: *Topologie I*, Warszawa 1957.
- [6] F. Neuman and M. Sekanina: *Equivalent systems of sets and homeomorphic topologies*, Czechoslovak Math. Journ. 15 (90) (1965), 323—328.
- [7] M. Sekanina: *Системы топологий на данном множестве*, Чехослов. мат. журнал, 15 (90) (1965), 9—29.

J. Chvalina,
662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a
Czechoslovakia