

Jiří Michálek

Linear functionals in SLM-spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 1, 111--126

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106514>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**LINEAR FUNCTIONALS IN SLM-SPACES**  
**J. MICHÁLEK**

**Abstract:** This article deals with linear functionals defined on statistical linear spaces in Menger's sense (SLM-spaces). The main aim is to describe all continuous linear functionals defined on a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  as a SLM-space, too. For these purposes we shall define a statistical norm of a linear functional which in a simple way characterizes continuous linear functionals.

**Key words:** Statistical metric space, statistical linear space,  $\epsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology, t-norm.

**Classification:** 60B99

Let a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be given. Let  $S^*$  be a vector space of all linear functionals defined on  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ , let  $S'$  be a linear subset  $S' \subset S^*$  of all linear functionals continuous in the  $\epsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. The basic properties of the  $\epsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology are given in [1], [2]. A special case of the dual space to a SLM-space is studied in [3].

**Definition 1.** Let a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be given, let  $f \in S^*$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . A function  $F_f(\cdot)$  defined by

$$F_f(u) = 1 - \sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \right\} \text{ for } u > 0$$

$$F_f(u) = 0 \text{ for } u \leq 0,$$

( $\omega F_x(u)$  is the jump of  $F_x(\cdot)$  at  $u$ ), will be called a statistical norm of the functional  $f$ . For  $f \equiv 0$  on  $S$  we put  $F_0(u) = H(u)$  where  $H(u) = 0$  for  $u \leq 0$  and  $H(u) = 1$  otherwise.

Properties of the statistical norm:

1. Let  $0 < u_1 \leq u_2$  then  $\frac{|f(x)|}{u_1} \geq \frac{|f(x)|}{u_2}$  for every  $x \in S$ . It implies that for every  $x$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$

$$1 - \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u_1} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u_1} \right) \right\} \leq 1 - \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u_2} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u_2} \right) \right\}$$

and hence  $F_f(u_1) \leq F_f(u_2)$ . The statistical norm of  $f \in S^*$  is a non-decreasing function in reals. Further, it is evident that  $0 \leq F_f(u) \leq 1$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{R}_1$ .

2. The function  $F_f(\cdot)$  has at most a countable number of discontinuity points and at every point the limits at the left and at the right exist.

3. In general, it is not true that  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} F_f(u) = 1$ . In every case, of course,  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} F_f(u)$  exists and  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} F_f(u) \leq 1$ .

4. If  $F_f(u) = H(u)$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{R}_1$ , then  $f(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in S$ .

5. In case of such a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  where  $\omega F_x(0) = 0$  for every  $x \neq 0$  the statistical norm  $F_f$  can be expressed in the form

$$F_f(u) = 1 - \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \right\}, \text{ too.}$$

Definition 2. A functional  $f \in S^*$  is said to be bounded with respect to the statistical norm if

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} F_f(u) > 0.$$

Theorem 1. A functional  $f \in S^*$  is bounded with respect to the statistical norm if and only if  $f$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology.

Proof. Let  $f \in S^*$  and let  $f$  be bounded with respect to the statistical norm. As  $f$  is linear it is sufficient to prove its continuity at the null vector in  $S$ . Assuming  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} F_f(u) = \varepsilon_0 > 0$  then

$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \right\} = 1 - \varepsilon_0$  and hence for every  $x$ ,  $|f(x)| > 0$ ,  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \right\} \leq 1 - \varepsilon_0$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be any sequence in  $S$ ,  $x_n \neq 0$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. It is clear that for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ F_{x_n} \left( \frac{|f(x_n)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_{x_n} \left( \frac{|f(x_n)|}{u} \right) \right\} = \omega F_{x_n}(0) \leq 1 - \varepsilon_0.$$

Let us suppose that  $|f(x_n)| \not\rightarrow 0$ . Then there exist such an  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and such a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  that

$$|f(x_{n_k})| \geq \varepsilon_1 \text{ for every } k \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Hence

$$F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{|f(x_{n_k})|}{u} \right) + \omega F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{|f(x_{n_k})|}{u} \right) \geq F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1}{u} \right) + \omega F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1}{u} \right)$$

also for every  $k \in \mathcal{N}$  and it implies that for every  $u > 0$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{|f(x_{n_k})|}{u} \right) + \omega F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{|f(x_{n_k})|}{u} \right)\} = 1 \text{ because } x_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$$

in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology.

But as follows from the properties of the supremum

$$\sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \{F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right)\} \geq F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{|f(x_{n_k})|}{u} \right) + \omega F_{x_{n_k}} \left( \frac{|f(x_{n_k})|}{u} \right)$$

for every  $k \in \mathcal{N}$  and therefore

$$\sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \{F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right)\} = 1 \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

This last equality is contrary to the assumption that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \{F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right)\} = 1 - \varepsilon_0 < 1.$$

This result implies that  $f \in S^*$  must be continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology.

Let us suppose, on the contrary, that  $f \in S'$  is not bounded with respect to the statistical norm, i.e. for every  $u > 0$

$$\sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \{F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right)\} = 1.$$

As  $f$  is a linear functional, Definition 1 implies that for arbitrarily chosen  $k > 0$

$$F_f(u) = 1 - \sup_{\{x: |f(x)| = k\}} \{F_x \left( \frac{k}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{k}{u} \right)\}, \text{ too.}$$

Further,  $f$  is continuous and hence  $|f(x)| \leq k_0$  in an  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$ . Now, let  $u_n \nearrow +\infty$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists  $y_n \in S$  where  $|f(y_n)| = k$  and therefore  $y_n \not\rightarrow 0$  in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology but

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \varepsilon &< \sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \{F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u_n} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u_n} \right)\} \leq F_{y_n} \left( \frac{|f(y_n)|}{u_n} \right) + \\ &+ \omega F_{y_n} \left( \frac{|f(y_n)|}{u_n} \right) + \varepsilon_n \leq \varepsilon_n + F_{y_n} \left( \frac{k}{u_n} \right) + \omega F_{y_n} \left( \frac{k}{u_n} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon_n + F_{y_n} \left( \frac{k}{u_n} + \sigma'_n \right) \text{ where } \sigma'_n \searrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that  $1 - (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_n) < F_{y_n} \left( \frac{k}{u_n} + \sigma'_n \right)$ , i.e.  $y_n \in \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_n, \frac{k}{u_n} + \sigma'_n)$  (for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ ) and we have proved that  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. This result, of course, is in contradiction to the continuity

of the functional  $f$  at the null vector in  $S$ . Q.E.D.

Let a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be given. Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  and let us define  $n_a(x) = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : F_x(\lambda) > a \}$ . If  $x=0$  then  $n_a(0)=0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ . On the contrary, if  $n_a(x)=0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  then  $x=0$  in  $S$  because  $x=0$  if and only if  $F_x(u)=H(u)$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . At the first sight it is clear that  $n_a(\lambda x) = |\lambda| n_a(x)$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_1$  and  $x \in S$ . Unfortunately, it is not true that  $n_a(x+y) \leq \min \{ n_a(x), n_a(y) \}$  for every pair  $x, y \in S$  in  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  besides the strongest  $t$ -norm  $T(a, b) = \min(a, b)$ . Nevertheless, we can define for every  $f \in S^*$  and every  $a \in (0, 1)$

$$\|f\|_a = \sup \{ |f(x)| : n_a(x) \leq 1 \}.$$

Let us denote  $\mathcal{O}_a = \{ x \in S : n_a(x) \leq 1 \}$ . From the definition of  $n_a(\cdot)$  it follows that when  $a \leq b$ , then  $n_a(x) \leq n_b(x)$  for every  $x \in S$  and hence  $\mathcal{O}_a \supset \mathcal{O}_b$ . Further, we immediately obtain that  $\|f\|_a \geq \|f\|_b$  if  $a \leq b$ . We also see that for every real  $\lambda$

$$\|\lambda f\|_a = |\lambda| \|f\|_a \text{ for every } a \in (0, 1) \text{ and}$$

every  $f \in S^*$ . We can prove, in an easy way, the triangular inequality

$$\|f+g\|_a \leq \|f\|_a + \|g\|_a$$

for every  $f, g \in S^*$  and every  $a \in (0, 1)$  because we know that  $\sup_x \{ |f(x)+g(x)| \} \leq \sup_x \{ |f(x)| \} + \sup_x \{ |g(x)| \}$ . If  $\sigma \in S^*$  is the null functional in  $S$  ( $\sigma(x)=0$  for every  $x \in S$ ), then surely  $\|\sigma\|_a = 0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ . On the contrary, let us suppose that  $\|f\|_a = 0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ . This assumption implies that  $f(x)=0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{O}_a = \{ x \in S : n_a(x) \leq 1 \}$ . Since for every  $x \in S$  there exists such a vector  $y \in \mathcal{O}_a$ ,  $y = \lambda x$ , we obtain that  $f(x)=0$  for every  $x \in S$ . We can prove a stronger statement even that  $\|f\|_a = 0$  implies  $f(x)=0$  for every  $x \in S$ . The assumption  $\|f\|_a = 0$  gives that  $f(x)=0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{O}_a = \{ x \in S : n_a(x) \leq 1 \}$ . Let  $x_0 \in S$ ,  $n_a(x_0) \geq 1$ .

So,  $y_0 = \frac{x_0}{n_a(x_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_a$  and hence  $f(y_0)=0$ . It implies that also  $f(x_0) = 0$  and it yields together that  $f(x)=0$  for every  $x \in S$ . The proved results lead us to the formulation of the following definition.

**Definition 3.** Let a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be given. Let  $f$  be a linear functional in  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ , let  $a \in (0, 1)$ . Then the number

$$\|f\|_a = \sup \{ |f(x)| : n_a(x) \leq 1 \}$$

where  $n_a(x) = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : F_x(\lambda) > a \}$  will be called a conjugate norm to  $n_a(\cdot)$ .

The conjugate norm  $\|f\|_a$  can assign the infinite value, too.  $\|f\|_a$  is defined in  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ , is nonincreasing and we put  $\|f\|_1 = \inf \{ \|f\|_a : a < 1 \}$ . As for every  $x \in S$  the corresponding probability distribution function  $F_x$  is left continuous, then for every  $x \in S$   $n_a(x)$  as a function in the argument  $a$  in  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  is right continuous.

Theorem 2. Let  $f$  be a linear functional defined in a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ .  $f$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology if and only if there exists  $a_0 \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  such that

$$\|f\|_{a_0} < \infty.$$

Proof. Let us suppose that  $\|f\|_{a_0} < +\infty$  for  $a_0 \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . As  $\|f\|_a$  is nonincreasing in  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ , then  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$  for every  $a \in \langle a_0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $\|f\|_1 = \inf_{a < 1} \|f\|_a$ . From the definition of the conjugate norm  $\|f\|_a$  it follows that for every  $x \in \sigma_{a_0} = \{x : n_{a_0}(x) \leq 1\}$   $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{a_0}$ . Since  $n_{a_0}(x) < 1$  iff  $F_x(1) > a_0$ , we see that the functional  $f(\cdot)$  is bounded in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -neighborhood  $\sigma(a_0, 1)$  and hence  $f$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology.

On the contrary, let us suppose that  $f$  is a continuous linear functional in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. Let us suppose that  $\|f\|_a = +\infty$  for every  $a \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . This assumption implies that for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists  $x_n \in S$  such that  $|f(x_n)| > n$  and  $x_n \in \sigma_{a_n}$ ,  $a_n \nearrow 1$ . If we put  $y_n = \frac{x_n}{n}$ , then  $|f(y_n)| = \frac{|f(x_n)|}{n} > 1$  for every  $n$  and  $y_n \in \frac{1}{n} \sigma_{a_n} = \frac{1}{n} \{x \in S : n_{a_n}(x) \leq 1\} = \{x \in S : n_{a_n}(x) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$  and hence  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology although  $|f(y_n)| > 1$ . It is impossible because we assumed continuity of the functional  $f$  at the null vector in  $S$ . Q.E.D.

At the beginning of our considerations we defined the statistical norm of a linear functional defined in a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ . At this situation a natural question arises about the relation between the statistical norm  $F_f$  and the conjugate norm  $\|f\|_a$  in case of a continuous linear functional defined in  $S$ . For this purpose let us put  $a_0 = \inf \{ a : \|f\|_a < +\infty \}$  in case of a continuous functional  $f$  and  $\|f\|_1 = \inf_{a < 1} \|f\|_a$ . By these relations we

defined a nonincreasing function  $\|f\|_a$  in the interval  $\langle a_0, 1 \rangle$  with finite values in  $\langle a_0, 1 \rangle$ . It is clear that  $\|f\|_a = \|f\|_{1-a}$ ,  $a \in \langle 0, 1-a_0 \rangle$  is a nondecreasing function in  $\langle 0, 1-a_0 \rangle$ .

Now, let  $\lambda \geq 0$  and let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_f(\lambda) &= \inf \{a > 0: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\} \text{ if } \{a > 0: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\} \neq \emptyset \\ \tilde{F}_f(\lambda) &= 1 \text{ if } \{a > 0: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\} = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain a nondecreasing function defined in  $\langle 0, +\infty \rangle$  which is left continuous,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_f(\lambda) = 1 - a_0$ . Let us put  $\epsilon_f = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_f(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 3.** For every continuous linear functional  $f$  defined in a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  the function  $\tilde{F}_f$  defined above is a nondecreasing left continuous real valued function in  $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$  with  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_f(\lambda) = 1 - a_0 \leq 1$  and  $\tilde{F}_f(0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* As  $\|f\|_a = \|f\|_{1-a}$  in  $\langle 0, 1-a_0 \rangle$  is a nondecreasing function then  $\{a > 0: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda_1\} \supset \{a > 0: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda_2\}$  for every pair

$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  and hence  $\tilde{F}_f(\lambda_1) \leq \tilde{F}_f(\lambda_2)$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$  be fixed and let us consider  $\lambda_n \nearrow \lambda$ ; surely  $\sup_n \tilde{F}_f(\lambda_n) \leq \tilde{F}_f(\lambda)$ . From the definition of  $\tilde{F}_f(\lambda)$  we know that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $a_n > 0$  such that  $\tilde{F}_f(\lambda_n) + \epsilon > a_n$  and  $\|f\|_{a_n} \geq \lambda_n$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since

$\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n+1}$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  we can choose  $a_n$  in the same way,  $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ , and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a_+$  exists. Surely  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_f(\lambda_n) \geq a_+ - \epsilon$ . The function  $\|f\|_a$  is nondecreasing, hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{a_n} \leq \|f\|_{a_+}$ ,

then  $\|f\|_{a_+} \geq \lambda$  which implies that  $\tilde{F}_f(\lambda) \leq a_+$ . In this way we have proved that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_f(\lambda_n) = \tilde{F}_f(\lambda)$  and hence  $\tilde{F}_f(\cdot)$  is left continuous in  $(0, +\infty)$  at those points  $\lambda \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$  where

$\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\} \neq \emptyset$ . It lasts to prove the left continuity at that  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$  where  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\lambda_n \nearrow \lambda$  and  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\} = \emptyset$ .

If, at least for one  $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$   $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda_{n_0}\}$  is empty, too, then by the definition of  $\tilde{F}_f(\cdot)$   $\tilde{F}_f(\lambda_{n_0}) = 1$  and hence  $\tilde{F}_f(\cdot)$  is left continuous at  $\lambda$ . Let us suppose that for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$   $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda_n\}$  is nonempty, i.e. for every  $\lambda_n$  there exists  $a_n \in (0, 1-a_0)$  such that  $\|f\|_{a_n} \geq \lambda_n$ . Since  $\|f\|_a$  is nondecreasing in  $(0, 1-a_0)$  we

can choose  $\{a_n\}$  as a nondecreasing sequence, too;  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a_+$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{a_n} \leq \|f\|_{a_+}$  and  $\|f\|_{a_+} \geq \lambda$  but it means that the set  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda\}$  is nonempty which is contrary to the assumption. So, a number  $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$  must exist such that  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq \lambda_{n_0}\} = \emptyset$

and  $\tilde{F}_f(\cdot)$  is left continuous at  $\lambda$ . Q.E.D.

Theorem 4. Let  $f$  be a linear continuous functional defined in a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ . Then the statistical norm  $F_f(\cdot)$  and  $\tilde{F}_f(\cdot)$  are equal at all points.

Proof. First we shall prove the implication

$$F_f(u) < a \Rightarrow \|f\|_a \geq u.$$

Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$  be such that  $F_f(u) < a$ . By the definition  $F_f(u) < a$  implies

$$\sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \right\} > 1-a.$$

It means there exists  $x_0 \in S$  with  $f(x_0) \neq 0$  such that

$$F_{x_0} \left( \frac{|f(x_0)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_{x_0} \left( \frac{|f(x_0)|}{u} \right) > 1-a.$$

Then we can state by means of  $\alpha_{1-a}(x_0) = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : F_{x_0}(\lambda) > 1-a \}$  that

$$\alpha_{1-a}(x_0) \leq \frac{|f(x_0)|}{u}$$

Now if we put  $z_0 = \frac{ux_0}{|f(x_0)|}$  then  $\alpha_{1-a}(z_0) \leq 1$ ,  $|f(z_0)| = u$  and hence

$$\|f\|_{1-a} = \sup \{ |f(z)| : \alpha_{1-a}(z) \leq 1 \} \geq u.$$

It proves: if  $F_f(u) < a$  then  $\|f\|_a \geq u$ . This implication can be expressed in the following form

$$\{a: F_f(u) < a\} \subset \{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\}.$$

Now, let us prove the opposite implication

$$F_f(u) \geq a \Rightarrow \|f\|_a \leq u.$$

Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$  be such that  $F_f(u) \geq a$ , i.e.

$$\sup_{\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}} \left\{ F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) + \omega F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \right\} \leq 1-a.$$

This implies that  $F_x \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{u} \right) \leq 1-a$  if  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

The definition of  $\alpha_{1-a}(\cdot)$  and the monotony of  $F_x$  give

$$\frac{|f(x)|}{u} \leq \alpha_{1-a}(x).$$

The last inequality holds for  $f(x)=0$  of course, too. It means the inequality  $|f(x)| \leq u$  must hold for every  $x \in S$  satisfying  $\alpha_{1-a}(x) \leq 1$ . The definition of  $\|f\|_{1-a}$  gives immediately that



$$\|f\|_{1-a} = \|f\|_a \leq u.$$

We proved the implications

$$\{a: \|f\|_a > u\} \subset \{a: F_f(u) < a\} \subset \{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\}.$$

Further, if  $\epsilon$  is any positive number, then

$$\{a: F_f(u) < a\} \subset \{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\} \subset \{a: \|f\|_a > u - \epsilon\} \subset \{a: F_f(u - \epsilon) < a\}.$$

Now, by means of the definition of  $\tilde{F}_f$  we obtain

$$F_f(u - \epsilon) \leq \tilde{F}_f(u) \leq F_f(u)$$

and the left semicontinuity of  $F_f$  gives that

$$F_f(u) = \tilde{F}_f(u).$$

In case  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\} = \emptyset$  we have also  $\{a: F_f(u) < a\} = \emptyset$  and thus  $F_f(u) = \tilde{F}_f(u) = 1$ . Q.E.D.

We have not so far mentioned the existence of a nontrivial continuous linear functional in a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$ . In every SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  the trivial continuous linear functional 0 exists,  $0(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in S$ . The existence of a nontrivial continuous functional is closely connected with the strongest locally convex topology which is weaker than the  $\epsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. The collection of all convex circled neighborhoods of 0 in the  $\epsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology forms a base for such a locally convex topology. In case of a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  with t-norm  $M(a, b) = \min(a, b)$  every  $\epsilon$ - $\eta$ -neighborhood is convex and circled and hence the topological dual space  $S'$  is sufficiently rich in continuous linear functionals. In case of the space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, M)$  we know, further, that for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  the number

$$n_a(x) = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : F_x(\lambda) > a \}$$

is a seminorm in  $S$  and in case of continuity at 0 of  $F_x$  for every  $x \neq 0$   $n_a(\cdot)$  is a norm even for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ . But without any assumption about a form of t-norm  $T$  in a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  we can prove that the conjugate norm

$$\|f\|_a = \sup \{ |f(x)| : n_a(x) \leq 1 \}, \quad a \in (0, 1)$$

has properties similar to a norm because  $\|0\|_a = 0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ , if  $\|f\|_a = 0$  then  $f = 0$  in  $S$ ,  $\|\lambda f\|_a = |\lambda| \|f\|_a$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_1$  if  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$  and  $\|f+g\|_a \leq \|f\|_a + \|g\|_a$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  if  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$ ,  $\|g\|_a < +\infty$ . Using the conjugate norm we constructed the function  $\tilde{F}_f$  for every continuous linear functional  $f$  in

S where  $\tilde{F}_f(\cdot)$  is defined in  $\langle 0, +\infty \rangle$ , nondecreasing and left continuous with  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_f(u) = \epsilon_f$ ,  $\epsilon_f \in (0, 1)$ . Let us construct a mapping

$$\mathcal{J}: S' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \quad , \quad \mathcal{J}'(f)(u) = F'_f(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & u \leq 0 \\ \tilde{F}_f(u) & \text{for } u > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $S'$  is the topological dual space of  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}'$  is the set of all left continuous nondecreasing functions defined in  $\mathcal{R}_1$  with non-negative values less or equal to 1.

If  $f=0$ , then  $\|f\|_a = 0$  for every  $a \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $\|f\|_a = 0$  for  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ , too, which implies that  $F'_0(u) = H(u)$  for every  $u$ . If  $\tilde{F}_f(u) = 1$  for every  $u > 0$ ,  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$  for  $a \in \langle 0, 1 - a_0 \rangle$ , and therefore  $\tilde{F}_f(u) < 1 - a_0$  but it is impossible. It implies that  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$  in  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . Let us suppose that for every  $u > 0$  there exists  $a_0 \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  such that  $\|f\|_{a_0} \geq u$ . As follows from the definition of  $\tilde{F}_f(u)$  in this case  $\tilde{F}_f(u) \leq a_0 < 1$ , and it is also impossible. It means that  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq u > 0\}$  is empty and the only possibility is that  $\|f\|_a = 0$ . This fact implies that  $f=0$  in  $S$ . Let  $\lambda$  be any real number and  $f$  any continuous linear functional in  $S$ . Then for every  $a \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  with  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$   $\|\lambda f\|_a = |\lambda| \|f\|_a$  and for  $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\{a: \|\lambda f\|_a \geq u\} = \{a: \|f\|_a \geq \frac{u}{|\lambda|}\}$$

and hence  $F'_{\lambda f}(u) = F'_f(\frac{u}{|\lambda|})$ . In case  $\lambda=0$  we have  $\lambda f=0$  and  $F'_{\lambda f}(u) = H(u)$  and if we put  $F'_f(\frac{u}{|\lambda|}) = H(u)$  for every  $u > 0$  then  $F'_f(\frac{u}{|\lambda|}) = H(u)$  for every  $u > 0$ . Let us prove the generalized triangular inequality given by the t-norm  $T(a,b) = \min(a,b)$ , i.e.

$$F'_{f+g}(u+v) \geq \min(F'_f(u), F'_g(v)).$$

Surely, it is possible to consider the case  $u > 0$ ,  $v > 0$  only. The functionals  $f, g$  are continuous and for  $f$  there exists such a number  $\epsilon_f > 0$  that  $\|f\|_a < +\infty$  in  $\langle 0, \epsilon_f \rangle$ , similarly for  $g$ ,  $\|g\|_a < +\infty$  in  $\langle 0, \epsilon_g \rangle$ . It follows that for every

$$a \in \langle 0, \min(\epsilon_f, \epsilon_g) \rangle$$

$$\|f+g\|_a \leq \|f\|_a + \|g\|_a.$$

By the definition

$$F'_f(u) = \inf \{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\}$$

$$F'_g(v) = \inf \{a: \|g\|_a \geq v\}$$

$$\{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\} \neq \emptyset \neq \{a: \|g\|_a \geq v\}$$

and  $\{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\} \subset \{a: \|f\|_a + \|g\|_a \geq u+v\}$  as well. Now, let us suppose that

$$F'_{f+g}(u+v) < \min(F'_f(u), F'_g(v)).$$

It means that there exists such a number  $a_\epsilon \geq 0$  that

$$a_\epsilon \in \{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\} \quad a_\epsilon - \epsilon < F'_{f+g}(u+v) < a_\epsilon < \min(F'_f(u), F'_g(v)).$$

Then for every  $a \geq \min(\inf \{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\}, \inf \{a: \|g\|_a \geq v\})$

$$a_\epsilon < a.$$

It means that  $\|f\|_{a_\epsilon} < u$ ,  $\|g\|_{a_\epsilon} < v$ , which together gives

$$\|f\|_{a_\epsilon} + \|g\|_{a_\epsilon} < u+v.$$

As for  $a_\epsilon$   $\|f+g\|_{a_\epsilon} \geq u+v$ , then this fact is contrary to the conclusion that

$$\|f\|_{a_\epsilon} + \|g\|_{a_\epsilon} < u+v.$$

This proves the inequality

$$F'_{f+g}(u+v) \geq \min(F'_f(u), F'_g(v))$$

must hold.

Now, we must consider the case  $F'_f(u)=1$ ,  $F'_g(v)=\inf \{a: \|g\|_a \geq v\}$ . It means that  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\} = \emptyset$  and  $\{a: \|g\|_a \geq v\} \neq \emptyset$ . In case if  $\{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\} \neq \emptyset$   $F'_{f+g}(u+v)=\inf \{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\}$ . Now, let us suppose the contrary again, i.e.

$F'_{f+g}(u+v) < \min(F'_f(u), F'_g(v))$ ; then for some  $a_\epsilon \in \{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\}$   $a_\epsilon - \epsilon < F'_{f+g}(u+v) < a_\epsilon < \min \{F'_g(v), 1\}$ . It means, of course, that  $\|g\|_{a_\epsilon} < v$ ,  $\|f\|_{a_\epsilon} < u$  for every  $a_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and hence  $\|g\|_{a_\epsilon} + \|f\|_{a_\epsilon} < u+v$ . As  $\|f+g\|_{a_\epsilon} \geq u+v$  then  $\|f\|_{a_\epsilon} + \|g\|_{a_\epsilon} \geq u+v$ , which is impossible and the generalized inequality must hold. Now, suppose that  $\{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\} = \emptyset$ . Then, by the definition  $F'_{f+g}(u+v) = 1$  and the generalized triangular inequality holds in a trivial way.

The last possibility is the case  $\{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\} \neq \emptyset$  but  $\{a: \|f\|_a \geq u\} = \{a: \|g\|_a \geq v\} = \emptyset$ . Then  $F'_f(u)=1$ ,  $F'_g(v)=1$ , too. Let us suppose  $F'_{f+g}(u+v) < 1$ . Then there exists  $a_\epsilon < 1$  such that  $F'_{f+g}(u+v) < a_\epsilon < 1$ . As we suppose  $\{a: \|f+g\|_a \geq u+v\}$  is nonempty then  $\|f+g\|_{a_\epsilon} \geq u+v$  which implies either  $\|f\|_{a_\epsilon} \geq u$  or  $\|g\|_{a_\epsilon} \geq v$ . This conclusion is of course impossible and the generalized triangular inequality holds in this case, too.

We have proved that to every  $f \in S'$  it is possible to assign a function  $F_f'$  such that  $f=0$  iff  $F_f'=H$ ,

$$F_{\lambda f}'(u) = F_f'\left(\frac{u}{|\lambda|}\right) \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{R}_1 \text{ and every } \lambda \in \mathcal{R}_1$$

and the generalized triangular inequality

$$F_{f+g}'(u+v) \geq \min(F_f'(u), F_g'(v))$$

holds for every  $f, g \in S'$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ .

In general,  $F_f'$  need not be a probability distribution function because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_f'(u) = e_f$  need not be equal to one. This fact leads us to the following definition.

Definition 4. Let  $S$  be a linear space, let  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm, let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be the set of all real valued nondecreasing functions defined in reals which are left continuous and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} F(u) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} F(u) \leq 1$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}'$ . If  $\mathcal{J}'$  is a mapping  $\mathcal{J}': S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  such that

1.  $(x=0) \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{J}'(x)=H)$  where  $H(0)=0$ ,  $H(u)=1$  for every  $u > 0$   
 $\mathcal{J}'(x)[0]=0$
2.  $\mathcal{J}'(\lambda x)[u] = \mathcal{J}'(x)\left[\frac{u}{|\lambda|}\right]$  for every  $x \in S$  and every  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_1$
3.  $\mathcal{J}'(x+y)[u+v] \geq T(\mathcal{J}'(x)[u], \mathcal{J}'(y)[v])$  for every  $x, y \in S$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{R}_1$

then the triple  $(S, \mathcal{J}', T)$  is called a generalized statistical linear space in the sense of Menger (GSLM-space).

The definition 4 is nonempty because every SLM-space is a GSLM-space, of course, and the dual space  $(S', \mathcal{J}', \min)$  to every SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  is a GSLM-space, too.

Theorem 5. Let a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{J}, T)$  be given. Then its topological dual space  $S'$  can be understood as a GSLM-space  $(S', \mathcal{J}', \min)$  where

$$\mathcal{J}'(f) = F_f'(\cdot) \text{ for } f \in S'.$$

The proof of this Theorem 5 was given before. We shall try to use the mapping  $\mathcal{J}'$  in the dual space  $S'$  to introduce an analogical topology to the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. Similarly, as for the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology, we shall define a family of neighborhoods which forms a base of a topology. Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\eta > 0$ , then the subset in  $S'$

$$\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon, \eta) = \{f \in S' : F_{f-f_0}'(\eta) > 1 - \varepsilon\}$$

will be called an  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -neighborhood of  $f_0$  in  $S'$ . It is clear that the family  $\{\mathcal{U} = \{\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon, \eta), \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \eta > 0\}, f_0 \in S'\}$  forms a

base for a topology which we shall call the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology, too. It is clear that for every  $\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon, \eta)$   $f_0 \in \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon, \eta)$  because  $F'_{f_0-f_0}(u) = H(u) = 1$  for  $u > 0$ . For any pair  $\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_i, \eta_i)$ ,  $i=1,2$  there exists such an  $\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$  that

$$\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0) \subset \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_1, \eta_1) \cap \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_2, \eta_2).$$

It is sufficient to put  $\varepsilon_0 = \min(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ ,  $\eta_0 = \min(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ . Further, if  $\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$  is given then for every  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ ,  $\eta \geq \eta_0$   $\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon, \eta) \subset \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$ ; similarly, for every  $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0$ ,  $\eta \leq \eta_0$   $\sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon, \eta) \supset \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$ . If  $f_1 \in \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$ , i.e.  $F'_{f_1-f_0}(\eta_0) > 1 - \varepsilon_0$ , then there exists  $\sigma'(f_1, \varepsilon^*, \eta^*)$  such that

$$\sigma'(f_1, \varepsilon^*, \eta^*) \subset \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0).$$

As the function  $F'_{f_1-f_0}(\eta_0)$  is left continuous at  $\eta_0$  there exist  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ ,  $\eta < \eta_0$  such that

$$F'_{f_1-f_0}(\eta) > 1 - \varepsilon > 1 - \varepsilon_0.$$

Let  $0 < \eta^* < \eta_0 - \eta$ ,  $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon$  and consider the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -neighborhood  $\sigma'(f_1, \varepsilon^*, \eta^*) = \{f \in S' : F'_{f-f_1}(\eta^*) > 1 - \varepsilon^*\}$ . Let  $f \in \sigma'(f_1, \varepsilon^*, \eta^*)$  then  $F'_{f-f_0}(\eta_0) = F'_{f-f_0}(\eta_0 - \eta + \eta) \geq \min(F'_{f-f_1}(\eta^*), F'_{f_1-f_0}(\eta)) \geq \min(1 - \varepsilon^*, 1 - \varepsilon) > 1 - \varepsilon_0$  hence  $f \in \sigma'(f_0, \varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$ .

We have proved that the system of the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -neighborhoods in  $S'$  defines a topology. This topology will be called also the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology and thanks to the generalized triangular inequality  $F'_{f+g}(u+v) \geq \min(F'_f(u), F'_g(v))$  it is no problem to prove that every net  $\{f_\alpha\}_\alpha$  in  $S'$  has at most one limit point because  $F'_f = H$  if and only if  $f=0$  in  $S'$ . This fact proves that the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology is a Hausdorffian topology. The generalized triangular inequality enables us to prove also that

$$\text{if } f_\alpha \rightarrow f \text{ and } g_\alpha \rightarrow g \text{ then } f_\alpha + g_\alpha \rightarrow f+g.$$

Unfortunately, it is not true that  $\lambda_\alpha f \rightarrow 0$ , in general, in this  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology if  $\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  in reals because if  $\varepsilon_f < 1$  then

$$\lim_{\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0} F'_{\lambda_\alpha f}(u) = \lim_{\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0} F'_f\left(\frac{u}{|\lambda_\alpha|}\right) = \varepsilon_f < 1 \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

This fact says that the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology in  $S'$  is not a linear topology, i.e. the operation of  $\lambda \cdot f$  need not be continuous in  $\mathcal{R} \times S'$ .

**Theorem 6.** The  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology in the dual space  $(S', \mathcal{Y}', \min)$  of a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{Y}, T)$  is a linear topology if and only if  $\varepsilon_f = 1$  for every  $f \in S'$ .

**Proof.** The proof is very simple. If  $\varepsilon_f = 1$  for every  $f \in S'$ , then for every  $\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  of reals and every  $f \in S'$

$$\lim_{\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0} F_{\lambda_\alpha} f(u) = \lim_{\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow 0} F_f\left(\frac{u}{|\lambda_\alpha|}\right) = \varepsilon_f = 1$$

for every  $u > 0$  and hence  $\lambda_\alpha f \rightarrow 0$  in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology.

If there exists, at least, one  $f_0 \in S'$  with  $\varepsilon_{f_0} < 1$  then  $\lambda_\alpha f_0 \not\rightarrow 0$  in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology which cannot be a linear topology in such a case. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 7.** The  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology in the dual space  $(S', \mathcal{Y}', \min)$  of a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{Y}, T)$  is metrizable.

**Proof.** The mapping  $\mathcal{Y}'(f)$  is constructed using the conjugate norm  $\|f\|_a = \sup \{|f(x)| : n_a(x) \leq 1\}$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,  $f \in S'$ . For our purposes we have put  $\|f\|_a = \|f\|_{1-a}$  for  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon_f = \sup \{a : \|f\|_a < +\infty\}$ . Now, we use  $\|f\|_a$  for the definition of a metric in the dual space  $S'$ . Let us define for every  $f, g \in S'$

$$\mathcal{N}_a(f-g) = \frac{\|f-g\|_a}{1 + \|f-g\|_a} \text{ for } a \in (0, \varepsilon_{f-g})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_a(f-g) = 1 \text{ for } a \in (\varepsilon_{f-g}, 1).$$

Using the inequality  $\varepsilon_{f+g} \geq \min(\varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_g)$  we can immediately prove that for every  $a \in (0, 1)$   $\mathcal{N}_a(\cdot)$  is a metric defined in  $S'$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_a(\cdot) \leq 1$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  then the integral

$$\varphi(f; g) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_a(f-g) da$$

exists and  $\varphi(f; g)$  is also a metric in  $S'$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S'$  such that  $\varphi(0; f_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . As

$$\varphi(0; f_n) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_a(f) da = \int_0^{\varepsilon_{f_n}} \frac{\|f_n\|_a}{1 + \|f_n\|_a} da + (1 - \varepsilon_{f_n}) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

it is clear that  $\varepsilon_{f_n} \rightarrow 1$  and  $\int_0^{\varepsilon_{f_n}} \frac{\|f_n\|_a}{1 + \|f_n\|_a} da \rightarrow 0$  if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$\|f\|_a$  is a nondecreasing function in  $(0, 1)$  hence  $\mathcal{N}_a(f)$  is also a nondecreasing function in  $(0, 1)$  and the convergence  $\varphi(0; f_n) \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{N}_a(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$  hence

$$\|f_n\|_a \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } a \in (0, 1).$$

Now, let  $u$  be any positive real number, then according to

the definition of  $F'_f(u)$

$$F'_{f_n}(u) = \inf \{a: \|f_n\|_a \geq u\}$$

or

$$F'_{f_n}(u) = 1 \text{ if } \{a: \|f_n\|_a \geq u\} = \emptyset.$$

We proved that  $\|f_n\|_{a_0} \rightarrow 0$  for  $a_0 \in (0,1)$ , i.e. for every  $a_0 \in (0,1)$  and every  $u_0 > 0$  there exists a natural  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$\|f_n\|_{a_0} < u_0.$$

It means that  $F'_{f_n}(u_0) \geq a_0$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ . The arbitrariness of  $u_0$  and of  $a_0$  implies immediately that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F'_{f_n}(u_0) = 1.$$

This fact proves the convergence of  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  to the null functional in  $S'$  with respect to the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology.

Now, on the contrary, let a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converge to 0 in  $S'$  with respect to the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F'_{f_n}(u) = 1$$

for every  $u > 0$ . We have for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $u > 0$  there exists a natural  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$

$$F'_{f_n}(u) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

As follows from the definition of  $F'_f(\cdot)$  either  $\{a: \|f_n\|_a \geq u\} = \emptyset$  or  $\inf \{a: \|f_n\|_a \geq u\} > 1 - \varepsilon$ . It implies that

$$\{a: \|f_n\|_a < u\} \supset (0, 1 - \varepsilon)$$

Then  $\lambda \{a: \|f_n\|_a < u\} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$  ( $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure) for every  $u > 0$  and this proves that  $\|f_n\|_a \rightarrow 0$  if  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $a \in (0,1)$ . As  $\mathcal{N}_a(f_n) \leq 1$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , thus

$$\varphi(0, f_n) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_a(f_n) da \rightarrow 0$$

where  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and Theorem 7 is proved. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 8.** Let a SLM-space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be given. Let  $(S', \mathcal{F}', \min)$  be its dual space. Then the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology in  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  is normable if and only if

$$\inf_{f \in S'} e_f > 0.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  be given and let the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology in

$S$  be normable. Then there exists such a convex neighborhood  $K$  which is  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -bounded. It means that the set  $K$  must be bounded with respect to every seminorm  $n_a(\cdot)$ ,  $a \in (0,1)$ ; in other words, for every  $a \in (0,1)$  there exists  $K_a$  such that for every  $x \in K$ ,  $n_a(x) \leq K_a < +\infty$ . Let  $f$  be any continuous linear functional defined in  $S$ . The continuity of  $f$  implies that  $\sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| \leq K_f < +\infty$ . Further, since  $K$  forms a neighborhood in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology in  $S$ , there exists  $\sigma(\varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$  in  $S$  such that  $\sigma(\varepsilon_0, \eta_0) \subset K$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $\eta_0 > 0$ . It means that for every  $x \in \sigma(\varepsilon_0, \eta_0)$   $|f(x)| \leq K_f$ , too.

As  $\sigma(\varepsilon_0, \eta_0) = \{x: n_{1-\varepsilon_0}(x) < \eta_0\} = \eta_0 \{x: n_{1-\varepsilon_0}(x) < 1\}$  then for every  $x \in \{x: n_{1-\varepsilon_0}(x) < 1\}$  and  $f \in S'$

$$\sup \{|f(x)| : x \in \{x: n_{1-\varepsilon_0}(x) < 1\}\} \leq \frac{K_f}{\eta_0} < +\infty.$$

Further,  $f$  is continuous and by the aid of Definition 3 we obtain

$$\|f\|_{1-\varepsilon_0} = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in \sigma_{1-\varepsilon_0}\} = \sup \{|f(x)| : n_{1-\varepsilon_0}(x) \leq 1\} \leq \frac{K_f}{\eta_0}$$

which implies that  $\|f\|_{\varepsilon_0} < +\infty$  for every  $f \in S'$ . It says that

$$\varepsilon_f \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0 \text{ for every } f \in S', \text{ i.e. } \inf \{\varepsilon_f : f \in S'\} > 0.$$

Let us suppose, vice versa, that  $\inf_{f \in S'} \varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_0 > 0$ . It means that for every  $a \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  and every  $f \in S'$   $\|f\|_a < +\infty$  and  $\|f\|_a$  is a norm in  $S'$ . As for any  $a \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$

$$\|f\|_a = \|f\|_{1-a} = \sup \{|f(x)| : n_{1-a}(x) \leq 1\} < +\infty$$

then  $\{x: n_{1-a}(x) \leq 1\}$  must be  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -bounded. Further,  $\{x: n_{1-a}(x) \leq 1\}$  is an absolutely convex neighborhood of 0 in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology as was shown in [1].

This  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -boundedness proves that the  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology is normable by a norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : n_{1-a}(x) \leq \lambda\} = n_{1-a}(x). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $B$  be a Banach space and  $B'$  its topological dual space. Then  $B = (B, \mathcal{F}, \min)$  where  $\mathcal{F}(x)[u] = H(u - \|x\|)$  and  $B' = (B', \mathcal{F}', \min)$  where  $\mathcal{F}'(f)[u] = H(u - \|f\|)$ .

**Proof.** First, we must verify all the requirements which are put on  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ . If  $x=0$  in  $B$ , then  $\|x\| = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}(0)[u] = H(u)$ . As

$$\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|, \text{ then } H(u - \|\lambda x\|) = H(u - |\lambda| \|x\|) = H\left(\frac{u}{|\lambda|} - \|x\|\right)$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda x)[u] = \mathcal{F}(x)\left[\frac{u}{|\lambda|}\right]$ . If  $H(u - \|x\|) = H(u)$  for every



$u > 0$  then it is possible only if  $x=0$  because  $\|x\|$  is a norm. Thanks to the triangular inequality  $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  it holds that

$$H(u+v-\|x+y\|) \geq \min[H(u-\|x\|), H(v-\|y\|)].$$

The same properties can be proved for the mapping  $\mathcal{J}'$ . The mapping  $\mathcal{J}'$  can be defined using the statistical norm of  $f \in S'$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}'(f)(u) &= 1 - \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ F_x\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{u}\right) + \omega F_x\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{u}\right) \right\} = \\ &= 1 - \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ H\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{u} - \|x\|\right) + \omega H\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{u} - \|x\|\right) \right\} = \\ &= H(u - \|f\|) \text{ because for every } x \in B \text{ } |f(x)| \leq \|x\| \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

#### References

- [1] J. MICHÁLEK: Statistical linear spaces. Part I. Properties of  $\varepsilon$ - $\eta$ -topology. Kybernetika, Vol.20(1984) No 1, 58-72.
- [2] J. MICHÁLEK: Statistical linear spaces. Part II. Strongest t-norm. Kybernetika, Vol.20(1984), No 2, 135-146.
- [3] J. MICHÁLEK: Random seminormed spaces. Comment.Math.Univ. Carolinae 27(1986), 775-789.

The author of the paper is indebted to Dr. J. Jelínek for his comments and advice.

Ústav teorie informace a automatizace ČSAV, Pod vodárenskou věží 4, 182 00 Praha 8-Liběň, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 28.5. 1985, revisum 10.12. 1986)