

Valeriu Popa

Theorems on multifunctions satisfying a rational inequality

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 4, 673--680

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106265>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THEOREMS ON MULTIFUNCTIONS SATISFYING
A RATIONAL INEQUALITY
V. POPA

Abstract: We prove a fixed point theorem for a sequence of multifunctions satisfying a rational inequality which generalizes theorem 3 from [1].

Key words: Multifunction, fixed point.

Classification: 54H25

In [1] B. Fisher gave the following theorem

Theorem 1. Let S and T be mappings of the complete metric space into itself such that for all x, y in X either

$$(1) \quad d(Sx, Ty) \leq \frac{c \cdot d(x, Sx) \cdot d(y, Ty) + b \cdot d(x, Ty) \cdot d(y, Sx)}{d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty)}$$

if $d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty) \neq 0$ where $b \geq 0$ and $1 < c < 2$, or

$$d(Sx, Ty) = 0$$

otherwise. Then each of S and T has a fixed point and these points coincide.

We now prove a similar common fixed point theorem for two multifunctions T_1 and T_2 and for a sequence of multifunctions which generalize theorem 1.

The method used is a combination of methods used in [1]-[3].

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote by $CB(X)$ the set

of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of (X, d) and by H the Hausdorff-Pompeiu metric on $CB(X)$

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B); \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}$$

where $A, B \in CB(X)$ and

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y).$$

Let $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $k > 1$. In what follows, the following well-known fact will be used: For each $a \in A$, there is a $b \in B$ such that

$$d(a, b) \leq k H(A, B).$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space, we denote

$$\sigma(A, B) = \sup \{ d(a, b); a \in A \text{ and } b \in B \}$$

where $A, B \in CB(X)$. If A consists of a single point "a" we write

$$\sigma(A, B) = \sigma(a, B). \text{ If } \sigma(A, B) = 0 \text{ then } A = B = \{a\} \text{ (Lemma 1 [4]).}$$

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a multifunction. Denote

$$F(T) = \{x \in X; x \in Tx\}.$$

Lemma. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T_1, T_2: (X, d) \rightarrow CB(X)$ be two multifunctions. If

$$(2) \quad H^p(T_1x, T_2y) \leq \frac{c \cdot d(x, T_1x) \cdot d^p(y, T_2y) + b d(x, T_2y) \cdot d^p(y, T_1x)}{\sigma(x, T_1x) + \sigma(y, T_2y)}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$ for which $\sigma(x, T_1x) + \sigma(y, T_2y) \neq 0$ where $p \geq 1$, $b \geq 0$ and $1 < c < 2$ and $F(T_1) \neq \emptyset$, then $F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $F(T_1) = F(T_2)$.

Proof. Let $u \in F(T_1)$, then $u \in T_1u$ and if $d(u, T_2u) \neq 0$ then by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^p(u, T_2u) &\leq H^p(T_1u, T_2u) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c \cdot d(u, T_1u) \cdot d^p(u, T_2u) + b d(u, T_2u) \cdot d^p(u, T_1u)}{\sigma(u, T_1u) + \sigma(u, T_2u)} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{c \cdot d(u, T_1 u) \cdot d^p(u, T_2 u) + b \cdot d(u, T_2 u) \cdot d^p(u, T_1 u)}{d(u, T_1 u) + d(u, T_2 u)}$$

which implies $d(u, T_2 u) = 0$. Since T_2 is closed, this shows that $u \in T_2 u$, which implies $F(T_1) \subset F(T_2)$. Analogously, $F(T_2) \subset F(T_1)$.

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ two multifunctions such that for all $x, y \in X$ the inequality (2) holds if $\sigma(x, T_1 x) + \sigma(y, T_2 y) \neq 0$ where $p \geq 1, b \geq 0$ and $1 < c < 2$. Then T_1 and T_2 have common fixed points and $F(T_1) = F(T_2)$.

Proof. Choose a real number k with

$$(3) \quad 1 < k < \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in T_1 x_0$. Then there is an $x_2 \in T_2 x_1$ so that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq k H(T_1 x_0, T_2 x_1)$. Suppose $x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \dots$ could be chosen so that $x_{2n-1} \in T_1 x_{2n-2}, x_{2n} \in T_2 x_{2n-1}$ and

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq k H(T_1 x_{2n-2}, T_2 x_{2n-1})$$

$$d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}) \leq k H(T_1 x_{2n-2}, T_2 x_{2n-3}).$$

Suppose first of all that

$$\sigma(x_{2n-2}, T_1 x_{2n-2}) + \sigma(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) = 0$$

for some n . Then $x_{2n-2} = \{T_1 x_{2n-2}\} = x_{2n-1} = \{T_2 x_{2n-1}\}$ and $x_{2n-2} = x_{2n-1}$ is a common fixed point for T_1 and T_2 .

Similarly $\sigma(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) + \sigma(x_{2n}, T_1 x_{2n}) = 0$ for some n implies that $x_{2n-1} = x_{2n}$ is a common fixed point for T_1 and T_2 .

Now suppose that $\sigma(x_{2n-2}, T_1 x_{2n-2}) + \sigma(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) \neq 0$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$. Then by (2) we have successively

$$d^p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq k^p H^p(T_1 x_{2n-2}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) \leq$$

$$\leq k^p \cdot \frac{cd(x_{2n-2}, T_1 x_{2n-2}) \cdot d^p(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) + bd(x_{2n-2}, T_2 x_{2n-1})}{(x_{2n-2}, T_1 x_{2n-2}) + (x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1})}$$

$$\frac{\cdot d^p(x_{2n-1}, T_1 x_{2n-2})}{\dots} \leq \frac{k^p \cdot c \cdot d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}) \cdot d^p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})}{d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})}$$

If $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) = 0$, then $x_{2n-1} = x_{2n}$ is a common fixed point for T_1 and T_2 . If $d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \neq 0$ then

$d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq (ck^p - 1) \cdot d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1})$ for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$. Similarly we have

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq (ck^p - 1) \cdot d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Repeating the above argument, we obtained

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (ck^p - 1)^n d(x_0, x_1) \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $0 < (ck^p - 1) < 1$ by (3), then by routine calculation one can show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and since X is complete, we have $\lim x_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

If we now suppose that $d(u, T_1 u) \neq 0$ then

$$d^p(x_{2n}, T_1 u) \leq H^p(T_2 x_{2n-1}, T_1 u) \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{cd(u, T_1 u) \cdot d^p(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) + bd(x_{2n-1}, T_1 u) \cdot d^p(u, T_2 x_{2n-1})}{\sigma(u, T_1 u) + \sigma(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1})} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{cd(u, T_1 u) \cdot d^p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + bd(x_{2n-1}, T_1 u) \cdot d^p(u, x_{2n})}{d(u, T_1 u) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})}$$

and on letting n tend to infinity we have $d(u, T_1 u) \leq 0$. It follows that $d(u, T_1 u) = 0$. Since $T_1 u$ is closed, this shows that $u \in T_1 u$. By lemma $u \in T_2 u$ and $F(T_1) = F(T_2)$.

If $T_1 = T_2$ we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T: (X, d) \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multifunction such that

$$H^p(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{cd(x, Tx).d(y, Ty)^p + bd(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)^p}{\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty)}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$ for which $\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty) \neq 0$, where $p \geq 1$, $b \geq 0$ and $1 < c < 2$, then T has fixed points.

If T_1 and T_2 are single valued mappings we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4. Let T_1 and T_2 be mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself such that for all x, y in X either

$$d^p(T_1x, T_2y) \leq \frac{cd(x, T_1x).d^p(y, T_2y) + b d(x, T_2y).d^p(y, T_1x)}{d(x, T_1x) + d(y, T_2y)}$$

if $d(x, T_1x) + d(y, T_2y) \neq 0$ where $p \geq 1$, $b \geq 0$ and $1 < c < 2$ or $d(T_1x, T_2y) = 0$ otherwise, then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point u .

Proof. The existence follows from the theorem 2. Now suppose that T_1 and T_2 have a second fixed point u' . Then $d(u, T_1u) + d(u', T_2u') = 0$ implies $d(T_1u, T_2u') = 0$ and so $u = T_1u$, $u' = T_2u'$ and $T_1u = T_2u'$ and so the common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 is in this case unique.

We note that without the extracondition " $d(x, T_1x) + d(y, T_2y) = 0$ implies $d(T_1x, T_2y) = 0$ " the common fixed point is not necessarily unique. (Ex., pp. 40, [1].)

Remark. If $p=1$ then theorem 1 is obtained.

Theorem 5. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of multifunctions of X into $CB(X)$ such that

$$(4) \quad H^p(T_1x, T_ny) \leq \frac{cd(x, T_1x).d^p(y, T_ny) + bd(x, T_ny).d^p(y, T_1x)}{\delta(x, T_1x) + \delta(y, T_ny)}$$

holds for x, y in X for which $\delta(x, T_1x) + \delta(y, T_ny) \neq 0$, where $n \geq 2$, $p \geq 1$, $b \geq 0$, $1 < c < 2$, then $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a common fixed

point and $F(T_1) = F(T_n)$.

The proof follows by theorem 2 and lemma.

Let X be a nonempty set and e and d two metrics on X and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a single valued mapping. For such mappings Maia [5] proved a fixed point theorem which was generalized in many directions by Iséki [6], I.A. Rus [7],[8], K.L. Singh [9] and others. I gave in [10] and [11] some generalizations of Maia's theorem for multifunctions.

Now we prove a fixed point theorem for a sequence of multifunctions in a set with two metrics.

Theorem 6. Let X be a metric space with two metrics e and d . If X satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $e(x,y) \leq d(x,y)$; $\forall x,y \in X$,
- (2) X is complete with respect to e ,
- (3) two multifunctions $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow X$ are punctually closed and punctually bounded with respect to both metrics,
- (4) T_1 or T_2 is u.s.e. with respect to e ,
- (5) the inequality (2) holds for all x, y in X for which $d^p(x, T_1 x) + d^q(y, T_2 y) < 0$, where $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$, $1 < c < 2$, then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point and $F(T_1) = F(T_2)$.

Proof. Analogously as in the proof of the theorem 2, for any $x_0 \in X$ we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{2n+1} \in T_1 x_{2n}$, $\{x_n\}$ being a Cauchy sequence with respect to d . Therefore, by $e \leq d$, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to e and since X is complete with respect to e , $x_n \rightarrow x$. As T_1 is u.s.c. from the theorem 4 [10], T_1 has a closed graph and then from $x_{2n+1} \in T_1 x_{2n}$ it results $x \in T_1 x$ and from lemma $F(T_1) = F(T_2)$.

Theorem 7. Let X be a metric space with two metrics e and d . If X satisfies the following conditions:

(1) The sequence of multifunctions $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is formed by punctually closed and punctually bounded multifunctions with respect to both metrics,

(2) e , d and T_1 satisfy conditions (1),(2) and (4) of theorem 6,

(3) the inequality (4) holds for all x, y in X for which $d(x, T_1 x) + d(y, T_n y) \neq 0$, where $n \geq 2$, $p \geq 1$, $b \geq 0$, $1 < e < 2$, then $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has common fixed points and $F(T_1) = F(T_n)$.

The proof follows by theorem 6 and lemma.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] B. FISHER: Theorems on mappings satisfying a rational inequality, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19(1978), 37-44.
- [2] J. ACHARI: On a family of maps and fixed points, C.R.Acad. Bulgare des Sciences, 2(1977), 171-174.
- [3] TORU KITA: A common fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in complete metric space, Mathematica Japonicae, 33(1977), 113-116.
- [4] I.A. RUS: Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric space, Mathematica Japonicae, 20(1975), 21-24.
- [5] D.G. MAIA: Un'osservazione sulle contrazioni metriche, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, 40(1968), 139-143.
- [6] K. ISÉKI: A common fixed point theorem, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova, 55(1975), 13-14.
- [7] I.A. RUS: On a fixed point theorem of Maia, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, XXII(1977), 40-42.
- [8] I.A. RUS: On a fixed point theorem in a set with two metrics, L'Analyse numérique et la théorie de l'approximation, 6(1977), 197-202.

- [9] K.L. SINGH: A note on common fixed points, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S.R., 22(70)(1978), 95-98.
- [10] V. POPA: Fixed point theorems for multifunctions, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, XXVII(1982), 21-27.
- [11] V. POPA: Fixed point theorems for a sequence of multifunctions, (to appear - Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S.R.)

Higher Education Institute, Bacău, Romania

(Oblatum 17.10. 1983)