Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

David Preiss; Luděk Zajíček On the symmetry of approximate Dini derivates of arbitrary functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 23 (1982), No. 4, 691--697

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106188

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 23.4 (1982)

ON THE SYMMETRY OF APPROXIMATE DINI DERIVATES OF ARBITRARY FUNCTIONS D. PREISS and L. ZAJIĆEK

Abstract: In the article the strongest relation connecting the approximate Dini derivates of arbitrary functions is found.

Key words: Approximate Dini derivates, 6 -porous sets.

Classification: 26A27

In [2] (see also [1]) the strongest relation connecting the Dini derivates of an arbitrary real function which holds except on a first category set is found. The corresponding problem for approximate Dini derivates was partially solved in [3] by the following theorem.

Theorem A. Let f be an arbitrary function on R. Then there exists a 6-porous set P such that for any $t \in R$ - P or

(1)
$$\tilde{f}_{ap}^+(t) = \tilde{f}_{ap}^-(t)$$
, $\underline{f}_{ap}^+(t) = \underline{f}_{ap}^-(t)$ or

(ii)
$$\max(|\hat{f}_{ap}^+(t)|, |\underline{f}_{ap}^+(t)|) = \max(|\hat{f}_{ap}^-(t)|, |\underline{f}_{ap}^-(t)|) = +\infty$$
.

The second author proved Theorem 1 which is more general than Theorem A and, after reading his preprint, the first author added an example showing that this result gives actually the desired strongest relation.

In the following the symbol ω stands for the outer Lebesgue measure in R. The right upper density of McR at $x \in R$ is denoted by $d^+(M,x)$. For definitions of porous and $\mathfrak G$ -porous sets

800 e.g. [2].

We shall use the following simple lemma.

Lemma. Let McR, $x \in R$ and 0 < c < 1 be given. Then lim sup $\alpha L(M \cap (x+ch,x+h)) / (1-c)h \ge d^+(M,x)$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $h^{-1}(u(M \cap (x,x+h)) \ge a$. Since $(x,x+h) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle x + e^{m+1}h, x + e^{m}h \rangle$ it is easy to see that $(u(x + e^{m+1}h, x + e^{m}h) (e^{m}h - e^{m+1}h)^{-1} \ge a$ for an index n. From this the conclusion of our lemma easily follows.

<u>Proposition</u>. Let f be an arbitrary function on R. Then the set $M(f) := \{x; \tilde{f}_{AD}^-(x) < \tilde{f}_{AD}^+(x) < +\infty\}$ is 6-porous.

<u>Proof.</u> Define $g(y,x) = (f(y) - f(x))(y - x)^{-1}$. For rational numbers R < s < S put

$$M(R,s,S) = \{x; \tilde{f}_{ap}^{-}(x) < R < s < \tilde{f}_{ap}^{+}(x) < S\}.$$

Obviously $M(f) = \bigcup M(R,s,S)$. Let rationals R < s < S be fixed. For positive integers n, k denote by M(n,k) the set of all points x for which

- (1) $d^+(\{z: g(z,x)>s\},x)>1/n$,
- (2) $\mu(\{y; g(y,x) > S, x < y < x + h\}) \cdot h^{-1} < C \text{ for } h < 1/k, and$
- (3) $\mu(\{y; g(y,x) > R, x-h < y < x\}) \cdot h^{-1} < C \text{ for } h < 1/k,$ where $C = \min (1/4(s-R)(S-R)^{-1}, 1/2n)$. Obviously $M(R,s,S) \subset C \cup M(n,k)$ and therefore it is sufficient to prove that M(n,k) is a porous set for fixed positive integers n, k. Let $x \in M(n,k)$ be given. Choose a number $0 such that (4) <math>2p(1-p)^{-1}$ (S R (s-R)/2) < (s-R)/2.

Let a $\sigma > 0$ be given. By (1) and Lemma there exists $h < \min(\sigma', 1/k)$ such that

(5) $\mu(\{z; g(z,x)>s\} \cap (x + (1-p)h, x+h)) (ph)^{-1} > 1/n.$

We shall prove that

(6) $(x + (1-2p)h, x + (1-p)h) \cap M(n,k) = \emptyset$.

Suppose on the contrary that there exists $y \in (x + (1-2p)h)$, $x + (1-p)h) \cap M(n,k)$. Then, of course, $g(y,x) \ge R + (s-R)/2$ or g(y,x) < R + (s-R)/2. We shall show that the both possibilities yield a contradiction.

a) The case $g(y,x) \ge R + (s-R)/2$.

In this case

(7) $(x,x + \omega(y-x)) \subset \{z; g(x,z) > S\} \cup \{z; g(z,y) > R\},$

where $\omega = 1/2 (s-R)(S-R)^{-1}$.

In fact, suppose that (7) does not hold. Then there exists $z \in (x,x+\omega(y-x))$ such that $g(z,x) \leq S$ and $g(z,y) \leq R$. Consequently we have

$$g(y,x) = \frac{(f(y) - f(x)) + (f(x) - f(x))}{y - x} \leq \frac{(y-x)R + (x-x)S}{y - x} =$$

- = R + (z-x) (S-R)/(y-x)< R + ω (S-R) = R + (z-R)/2 and this is a contradiction. Since y-x<1/k we obtain by (7),(2) and (3) ω (y-x)< G(y-x) + G(y-x) which contradicts to the definitions of the numbers G, ω .
 - b) The case g(y,x) < R + (s-R)/2.

In this case

(8) $(x + (1-p)h, x+h) \cap \{z; g(z,x) \ge x\} \subset \{z; g(z,y) > S\}.$

In fact, suppose that (8) does not hold. Then there exists $z \in (x + (1-p)h, x+h)$ such that $g(z,x) \ge s$ and $g(z,y) \le S$. Using

(4), we consequently obtain

$$\mathbf{s} \not \leq \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})) + (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{(z-y)S + (y-x)(R + (g-R)/2)}{z-x} = R + (s-R)/2 +$$

+ $(z - y)(z - x)^{-1}(S - R - (s-R)/2) \le R + (s-R)/2 +$ + $2p (1-p)^{-1} (S - R - (s-R)/2) < s$

and this is a contradiction. Since h < 1/k we obtain by (8),(5) and (2) ph/n < 2phC which contradicts the definition of C.

Since \mathscr{O} is an arbitrary positive number (6) yields that M(n,k) is porous at x. Therefore M(n,k) is a porous set and the proof of Proposition is complete.

Theorem 1. Let f be an arbitrary function on R. Then there exists a 6-porous set P such that for any $x \in R-P$ at least one from the following relations holds:

(i)
$$\bar{f}_{ap}^+(x) = \bar{f}_{ap}^-(x)$$
 and $\underline{f}_{ap}^+(x) = \underline{f}_{ap}^-(x)$

(ii)
$$\tilde{f}_{ap}^+(x) = +\infty$$
 and $\underline{f}_{ap}^-(x) = -\infty$

(iii)
$$\underline{f}_{ap}^+(x) = -\infty$$
 and $\hat{f}_{ap}^-(x) = +\infty$.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose that for an $x \in \mathbb{R}$ no from the relations (i), (iii), (iii) holds and max $(|\tilde{f}_{ap}^+(x)|, |f_{ap}^+(x)|) = \max(|\tilde{f}_{ap}^-(x)|, |f_{ap}^-(x)|) = +\infty$. Then it is easy to see that $x \in M(f(x)) \cup U \cap M(-f(x)) \cup M(-f(-x)) \cup M(-f(-x))$, where M(g) has the same sense as in Proposition. From this observation, Theorem A and Proposition, our theorem easily follows.

Theorem 2. Whenever \overline{D}^+ , \underline{D}^+ , \overline{D}^- , $\underline{D}^- \in \overline{R}$ are such that at least one from the following relations holds

(i)
$$\overline{D}^+ = \overline{D}^-$$
 and $\underline{D}^+ = \underline{D}^-$,

(ii)
$$\overline{D}^+ = +\infty$$
 and $\overline{D}^- = -\infty$,

(iii)
$$\underline{D}^+ = -\infty$$
 and $\overline{D}^- = +\infty$,

then there is a function f such that $\overline{D}_{ap}^+f(x) = \overline{D}^+$, $\underline{D}_{ap}^+f(x) = \underline{D}^+$, $\overline{D}_{ap}^-f(x) = \overline{D}^-$ and $\underline{D}_{ap}^-f(x) = \underline{D}^-$ holds for every x belonging to some residual subset of R.

<u>Proof.</u> If (i) holds, then the desired functions are constructed in Examples 2, 3 in [3].

If (ii) holds, let $d_n^+, d_n^- \in R$ be such that $d_n^+ \to \underline{D}^+, d_n^- \to \overline{D}^-$ and $|d_n^+| + |d_n^-| \le 2^n$ and let $A,B \in R$ be disjoint measurable sets such that $(\mu(I \cap A) > 0)$ and $(\mu(I \cap B) > 0)$ for every interval I. Let I_n be a sequence of all rational intervals. By induction we shall construct sequences g_n , h_n of functions $(n = 0, 1, \ldots)$ and sequences $T_n \in I_n$ of open intervals and F_n of disjoint compact nowhere dense subsets of A $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ such that

- (a) $0 \le g_n \le g_{n+1} \le h_{n+1} \le h_n \le 1$,
- (b) for every interval I there is an interval JCI such that $\sup g_n | J < \inf h_n | J,$
- (c) $\mu T_n \leq 2^{-n}$, $\mu T_n \leq 2^{-2n}$ dist (T_n, F_n) and $|h_{n+1}(u) g_{n+1}(v)| \leq 2^{-n-1}$ dist (T_n, F_n) for all $u, v \in T_n$,
- (d) for every $t \in T_n$ there is $s \in (0, 2^{-n})$ such that $\mu((t-s, t+s)-F_n) \leq 2^{-n}s, \text{ and }$
- (e) for every $t \notin T_n$ either $\mu F_n \leq 2^{-n} \operatorname{dist}^2(t, F_n)$ or $h_{n+1}(t) \leq \inf \{ h_{n+1}(u) 2^n (|x-u| + |x-t|); u \in T_n, x \in F_n \}$.

We put $g_1=0$, $h_1=1$ and, whenever g_n and h_n have been defined, we find an interval $J\subset I_n$ and $c,d\in(0,1)$ such that $g_n\leq c<< d\leq h_n$ on J. Next we find an interval $K\subset J$ such that $\mu K\leq \leq 2^{-3n-4}$ $(d-c)^2$, $\mu K\leq 2^{-n}$ dist $^2(R-J,K)$ and $\mu(K-A)<2^{-n-2}$ μK . Let $F_n\subset K\cap A$ be a compact nowhere dense set which does not contain the center of K such that $\mu(K-F_n)\leq 2^{-n-2}$ $\mu(K$. Finally we find an open interval T_n containing the center of K such that $\mu(T_n\leq 2^{-2n})$ dist (T_n,F_n) and $\mu(T_n\leq 2^{-n-2})$ $\mu(K)$ and we put $g_{n+1}(t)=g_n(t)$ and $g_{n+1}(t)=g_n(t)$

and

f(x) = 2 in all other cases.

$$\begin{split} &g_{n+1}(t) = c \text{ and } h_{n+1}(t) = (c+d)/2 \text{ for } t \in J-T_n \circ \\ &\qquad \qquad \text{Then (a),(b) and (c) are obvious and (d) follows from} \\ & (\omega((t-\omega K/2,t+\omega K/2)-F_n) \leq 2^{-n-2}(\omega K+\omega T_n \leq 2^{-n-1}\omega K) \circ \\ & (\omega((t-\omega K/2,t+\omega K/2)-F_n)) \leq 2^{-n-2}(\omega K+\omega T_n \leq 2^{-n-1}\omega K) \circ \\ & \text{To prove (e) assume that } t \notin T_n, \ u \in T_n \ \text{and } x \in F_n \ \text{are such that} \circ \\ & h_{n+1}(t) \geq h_{n+1}(u)-2^n \ (|x-u|+|x-t|) \circ \text{ If } t \notin J \ \text{then} \circ \\ & (\omega F_n \leq \omega K \leq 2^{-n} \ \text{dist}^2 \ (R-J,K) \leq 2^{-n} \ \text{dist}^2 \ (t,F_n) \circ \text{ If } t \in J \ \text{then,} \circ \\ & \text{according to the definition of the function } h_{n+1}, \ \text{we get} \circ \\ & 2^n(|x-u|+|x-t|) \geq (d-c)/2, \ \text{hence } \omega K+\text{dist } (t,F_n)+\omega K \geq 2^{-n-1}(d-c) \circ \\ & \text{and dist } (t,F_n) \geq 2^{-n-1}(d-c)-2(\omega K \geq 2^{-n-2}(d-c) \circ \text{ Hence} \circ \\ & (\omega F_n \leq \omega K \leq 2^{-3n-4}(d-c)^2 \leq 2^{-n} \ \text{dist}^2(t,F_n) \circ \\ & \text{Let } G = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_n \ \text{and choose } s_n \in T_n \circ \text{Put} \circ \\ & f(x) = \inf_{n+1} (s_n) + d_n^+(x-s_n) \ \text{for } x \in F_n, \ x > s_n, \\ & f(x) = h_{n+1}(s_n) + d_n^+(x-s_n) \ \text{for } x \in F_n, \ x < s_n, \ \text{and} \ \end{split}$$

Then G is a residual subset of R of measure zero, $f \ge 1$ on G and the set $\{x_i, f(x)=2\}$ is of positive measure in every interval, therefore (see, e.g.,[4], Proposition 5) $\overline{d}(\{t_i, f(t)=2\}, x)=1 \text{ for all } x \text{ from a residual subset of R and hence } \overline{D}_{ap}^+f(x)=+\infty \text{ and } \underline{D}_{ap}^-f(x)=-\infty \text{ holds in a residual subset of G. If } t \in G, \text{ let } C=\cup\{F_n; t\in T_n\} \text{ and } D=\cup\{F_n; \mu F_n\leq 2^{-n} \text{dist}^2(t,F_n)\}. \text{ From (d) we see that } \overline{d}(C,t)=1 \text{ and obviously } d(D,t)=0. \text{ If } x\in R-(C\cup D\cup G) \text{ and } x \notin_{k< m} F_k, \text{ then either } f(x)=2 \text{ and hence } f(x)-f(t)\geq 1 \text{ or } x \text{ belongs to some } F_n \text{ with } n\geq m. \text{ In the latter case } f(x)\geq h_{n+1}(s_n)-2^n|x-s_n|, \text{ hence } f(x)-f(t)\geq 2^n|x-t| \text{ according to (e). If } x\in C, x\in F_n \text{ and } x>t, \text{ then } |f(x)-f(t)-d_n^+(x-t)|\leq |f(t)-h_{n+1}(s_n)|+2^n|t-s_n|\leq 2^{-n} \text{ dist } (T_n,F_n)+2^n\mu T_n\leq 2^{-n+1} \text{ dist } (T_n,F_n)\leq 2^{-n+1}|x-t|.$

Similarly we get $|f(x) - f(t) - d_n^-(x-t)| \le 2^{-n+1} |x-t|$ for $x \in C$, $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$, x < t. Hence $\underline{D}_{ap}^+ f(t) = \underline{D}^+$ and $\overline{D}_{ap}^- f(t) = \overline{D}^-$ for every $t \in G$, which finishes the proof.

Finally we note that the case (iii) follows from (ii) by symmetry.

References

- [1] C.L. BELNA, G.T. CARGO, M.J. EVANS, P.D. HUMKE: Analogues of the Denjoy-Young-Saks theorem, preprint.
- L. ZAJÍČEK: On the symmetry of Dini derivates of arbitrary functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 22(1981), 195-209.
- [3] L. ZAJÍČEK: On approximate Dini derivates and one-sided approximate derivatives, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 22(1981), 549-560.
- [4] L. ZAJÍČEK: On cluster sets of arbitrary functions, Fund.

 Math. 83(1974), 197-217.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Univerzita Karlova, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 8.2. 1982)