

Jaroslav Ježek

A note on isomorphic varieties

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 23 (1982), No. 3, 579--588

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106177>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON ISOMORPHIC VARIETIES  
Jaroslav JEŽEK

**Abstract:** We shall characterize all the pairs  $(\Delta, \Gamma)$  of similarity types such that the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras is isomorphic (as a category) to some variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras.

**Key words:** Algebra, variety.

**Classification:** 08C05

---

McKenzie [1] proved that for any finite type  $\Delta$ , the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras is isomorphic to a variety of (2,1)-algebras (algebras with one binary and one unary operation); he asks if the variety of all (2,1)-algebras is isomorphic to some variety of (2)-algebras (i.e. groupoids). The aim of the present paper is to give a negative answer to this question and, more generally, to characterize all the pairs  $(\Delta, \Gamma)$  of types such that the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras is isomorphic to some variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras.

By a type we mean a set of operation symbols; every operation symbol  $F$  is associated with a non-negative integer, denoted by  $n_F$  and called the arity of  $F$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a type. A  $\Delta$ -algebra  $A$  is determined by a non-empty set (the underlying set of  $A$ , denoted also by  $A$ ) and by an assignment of an  $n_F$ -ary operation on the set  $A$  to any symbol  $F \in \Delta$ ; this operation will

be denoted by  $F_A$ .

Let  $V, W$  be two varieties and  $X \mapsto X^*$  be a functor from the category  $V$  into the category  $W$ . Following [1], we say that  $X \mapsto X^*$  is an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$  if every algebra from  $W$  is isomorphic to  $A^*$  for some  $A \in V$ , and if  $X \mapsto X^*$  induces a bijection of  $\text{hom}(A, B)$  onto  $\text{hom}(A^*, B^*)$  for every  $A, B \in V$ . (It is easy to see that if  $A, B \in V$  then  $A \simeq B$  iff  $A^* \simeq B^*$ .) We say that two varieties  $V, W$  are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$ .

Lemma 1. Let  $V, W$  be two varieties and  $X \mapsto X^*$  be an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$ . Then:

- (1) If  $A \in V$  then  $A$  is one-element iff  $A^*$  is one-element.
- (2) If  $\alpha$  is a  $V$ -morphism then  $\alpha$  is injective iff  $\alpha^*$  is injective.
- (3) If  $\alpha$  is a  $V$ -morphism then  $\alpha$  is surjective iff  $\alpha^*$  is surjective.

Proof.  $A$  is one-element iff for any  $B \in V$  there is exactly one morphism in  $\text{hom}(B, A)$ .  $\alpha$  is injective iff it is a monomorphism.  $\alpha$  is surjective iff the following is true for all  $V$ -morphisms  $\beta, \gamma$ : if  $\alpha = \gamma\beta$  and if  $\gamma$  is injective then  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2. Let  $V, W$  be two varieties and  $X \mapsto X^*$  be an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer; let  $P$  be a  $V$ -free algebra of rank  $k$  and suppose that  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank 1; let  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  be free generators of  $P$  and let  $x$  be a free generator of  $P^*$ . For every  $a \in V$  we can define a one-to-one mapping  $\iota_A$  of  $A^*$  onto  $A^k$  in this way: if  $a \in A^*$  then  $\iota_A(a) = (\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_k))$  where  $\alpha$  is the unique morphism

from  $\text{hom}(P, A)$  with  $\alpha^*(x) = a$ . If  $\beta \in \text{hom}(A, B)$  in  $V$ ,  $a \in A^*$  and  $\iota_A(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  then  $\iota_B(\beta^*(a)) = (\beta(a_1), \dots, \beta(a_k))$ .

**Proof.** Evidently, it is possible to define a mapping  $\iota_A$  of  $A^*$  into  $A^k$  as above. Conversely, define a mapping  $\alpha_A$  of  $A^k$  into  $A^*$  as follows: if  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A^k$ , put  $\alpha_A(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \alpha^*(x)$  where  $\alpha$  is the unique morphism from  $\text{hom}(P, A)$  with  $\alpha(x_1) = a_1, \dots, \alpha(x_k) = a_k$ . Evidently, the mappings  $\alpha_A \iota_A$  and  $\iota_A \alpha_A$  are both identical, so that  $\iota_A$  is bijective and  $\alpha_A$  is its inverse. Let  $\beta \in \text{hom}(A, B)$ ,  $a \in A^*$  and  $\iota_A(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . There is a unique  $\alpha \in \text{hom}(P, A)$ , with  $\alpha^*(x) = a$ ; we have  $a_1 = \alpha(x_1), \dots, a_k = \alpha(x_k)$ . Now  $\beta \alpha \in \text{hom}(P, B)$ ,  $(\beta \alpha)^*(x) = \beta^*(a)$  and so  $\iota_B(\beta^*(a)) = (\beta \alpha(x_1), \dots, \beta \alpha(x_k)) = (\beta(a_1), \dots, \beta(a_k))$ .

Let  $V, W$  be two varieties. By an equivalence between  $V, W$  we mean an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$  commuting with the underlying set functors. (Then this functor induces a bijection between  $V, W$ .)

**Lemma 3.** Let  $V, W$  be two varieties and  $X \mapsto X^*$  be an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$ . Let  $P$  be a  $V$ -free algebra of rank 1 and suppose that  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank 1, too. Then  $V, W$  are equivalent.

**Proof.** It follows easily from Lemma 2.

**Corollary.** Let  $V, W$  be two varieties of idempotent algebras. If  $V, W$  are isomorphic then they are equivalent.

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 3 and assertion (1) of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\Delta, \Gamma$  be two types, let  $V$  be the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras and let  $W$  be some variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras; let  $X \mapsto X^*$  be an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$ . Then there are an integer  $k \geq 1$  and an algebra  $P \in V$  such that  $P$  is a  $V$ -free algebra of rank  $k$  and  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank 1.

**Proof.** Evidently, there is an algebra  $P \in V$  such that  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank 1. Let us call an algebra  $A \in W$   $s$ -projective in  $W$  if for any surjective morphism  $\alpha$  in  $W$  and any morphism  $\beta \in \text{hom}(A, B)$ , where  $B$  is the end of  $\alpha$ , there exists a morphism  $\gamma$  in  $W$  with  $\beta = \alpha \gamma$ . Every  $W$ -free algebra is  $s$ -projective in  $W$ . Hence  $P^*$  is  $s$ -projective in  $W$  and so  $P$  is  $s$ -projective in  $V$ . However, in  $V$  every  $s$ -projective algebra is  $V$ -free (as it is easy to see). Hence  $P$  is  $V$ -free of rank  $k$  for some cardinal number  $k$ . Suppose  $k=0$ . Then for every  $a \in V$ ,  $\text{hom}(P, a)$  contains exactly one morphism; but then  $\text{hom}(P^*, B)$  contains exactly one morphism for every  $B \in W$ , which is evidently impossible. Hence  $k \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $k$  is infinite. Then  $P$  is the coproduct (in  $V$ ) of  $\omega$  copies of  $P$ , so that  $P^*$  is the coproduct (in  $W$ ) of  $\omega$  copies of  $P^*$ ; thus  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank  $\omega$ . However, this is impossible.

In the following Lemmas 5,6,7,8,9 and 10 let  $\Delta, \Gamma$  be two types, let  $V$  be the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras and  $W$  be some variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras; let  $X \mapsto X^*$  be an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$ ; let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer; let  $P \in V$  be an algebra such that  $P$  is a  $V$ -free algebra of rank  $k$  and  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank 1. We shall fix free generators  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  of  $P$  and a free generator  $x$  of  $P^*$ . For every  $A \in V$  define  $\cup_A$  as in Lemma 2; write  $\cup$  instead of  $\cup_A$ . Further, let us fix a  $W$ -free algebra  $Q$

with an infinite countable set of free generators  $\{x_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < \omega, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ . The free generators  $x_{i,j}$  of  $Q$  will be called variables and the elements of  $Q$  - terms. Define morphisms  $\alpha_i: P \rightarrow Q$  by  $\alpha_i(x_j) = x_{i,j}$ . Then  $Q$  is a coproduct (in  $V$ ) of  $\omega$  copies of  $P$ , with canonical morphisms  $\alpha_i$  ( $1 \leq i < \omega$ ). Consequently,  $Q^*$  is a coproduct (in  $W$ ) of  $\omega$  copies of  $P^*$ , with canonical morphisms  $\alpha_i^*$ . Put  $y_i = \alpha_i^*(x)$ ; then  $Q^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra with free generators  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  and we have  $\iota(y_i) = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k})$ . For every  $F \in \Gamma$  denote by  $(F^{[1]}, \dots, F^{[k]})$  the  $k$ -tuple  $\iota(F_{Q^*}(y_1, \dots, y_{n_F}))$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and let  $a \in Q^*$  be an element belonging to the subalgebra of  $Q^*$  generated by  $\{y_i; i \in I\}$ . Put  $\iota(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Then every variable contained in some of the terms  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  belongs to  $\{x_{i,j}; i \in I, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ .

**Proof.** There is an endomorphism  $\varepsilon$  of  $Q$  such that  $\varepsilon^*(y_i) = y_i$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $\varepsilon^*(y_i) = y_{i+1}$  for all  $i \notin I$ . We have  $\varepsilon^*(a) = a$  and so  $\varepsilon(a_1) = a_1, \dots, \varepsilon(a_k) = a_k$  by Lemma 2; hence  $\varepsilon(z) = z$  for any variable  $z$  contained in some of the terms  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . We have  $\varepsilon(x_{i,j}) = x_{i+1,j}$  for all  $i, j$  such that  $i \notin I$ ; hence  $\varepsilon(x_{i,j}) = x_{i,j}$  implies  $i \in I$ .

**Lemma 6.** If  $\Gamma$  contains a nullary symbol then  $\Delta$  contains a nullary symbol.

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 5.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $M$  be a subset of  $Q$  such that every variable belongs to  $M$ , the terms  $F^{[1]}, \dots, F^{[k]}$  belong to  $M$  for any symbol  $F \in \Gamma$  and  $\varepsilon(M) \subseteq M$  for any endomorphism  $\varepsilon$  of  $Q$  mapping all variables into  $M$ . Then  $M=Q$ .

**Proof.** Denote by  $D$  the set of all  $u \in Q^*$  such that if  $\iota(u) = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  then  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in M$ . Since  $\iota(y_i) = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k})$  and  $M$  contains all variables, we have  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots\} \subseteq D$ . Let us prove that  $D$  is a subalgebra of  $Q^*$ . Let  $F \in \Gamma$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_{n_F} \in D$ . Put  $e = F_{Q^*}(d_1, \dots, d_{n_F})$ ,  $\iota(d_i) = (d_{i,1}, \dots, d_{i,k})$  and  $\iota(e) = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$ ; we have  $d_{i,j} \in M$ . Denote by  $\varepsilon$  the endomorphism of  $Q$  with  $\varepsilon^*(y_1) = d_1, \dots, \varepsilon^*(y_{n_F}) = d_{n_F}$  and  $\varepsilon^*(y_i) = y_i$  for  $i > n_F$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\varepsilon(x_{i,j}) = d_{i,j}$  for  $i \leq n_F$  and  $\varepsilon(x_{i,j}) = x_{i,j}$  for  $i > n_F$ . We have  $\varepsilon^*(F_{Q^*}(y_1, \dots, y_{n_F})) = F_{Q^*}(d_1, \dots, d_{n_F}) = e$  and so  $\varepsilon(F^{[1]}) = e_1, \dots, \varepsilon(F^{[k]}) = e_k$ . By the properties of  $M$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\} \subseteq M$  and so  $e \in D$ . We have proved that  $D$  is a subalgebra of  $Q^*$  containing the generators and so  $D = Q^*$ . Hence for every  $u \in Q^*$  we have  $\iota(u) \in M^k$ ; but then  $M=Q$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let  $F \in \Gamma$  be unary; let  $a \in Q^*$  be such that  $\iota(F_{Q^*}(a))$  is a sequence of pairwise different variables. Then  $\iota(a)$  is a sequence of pairwise different variables.

**Proof.** Put  $\iota(F_{Q^*}(a)) = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$  and  $\iota(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an endomorphism of  $Q$  with  $\varepsilon^*(y_1) = a$ , so that  $\varepsilon(x_{1,1}) = a_1, \dots, \varepsilon(x_{1,k}) = a_k$ . We have  $\varepsilon^*(F_{Q^*}(y_1)) = F_{Q^*}(a)$  and so  $\varepsilon(F^{[1]}) = z_1, \dots, \varepsilon(F^{[k]}) = z_k$ . From this it follows that  $F^{[1]}, \dots, F^{[k]}$  is a sequence of pairwise different variables; by Lemma 5,  $\{F^{[1]}, \dots, F^{[k]}\} = \{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,k}\}$ . Since  $\varepsilon(F^{[1]}, \dots, \varepsilon(F^{[k]}))$  are pairwise different variables, the same must be true for  $\varepsilon(x_{1,1}), \dots, \varepsilon(x_{1,k})$ , i.e. for  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let  $k \geq 2$ . Then there is a symbol  $F \in \Gamma$  of arity  $\geq 2$  such that  $F^{[1]}, \dots, F^{[k]}$  are pairwise different variables.

**Proof.** There is an element  $a \in Q^*$  with  $\iota(a) = (x_{1,1}, \dots, \dots, x_{k,1})$ . By Lemma 5,  $a$  does not belong to the subalgebra of  $Q^*$  generated by  $y_i$ , for any  $i$ . From this it follows that there are a symbol  $F \in \Gamma$  of some arity  $n \geq 2$ , elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q^*$  and unary symbols  $H^1, \dots, H^m$  ( $m \geq 0$ ) such that  $a = H_{Q^*}^1 \dots \dots H_{Q^*}^m F_{Q^*}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Put  $b = F_{Q^*}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . By Lemma 8,  $\iota(b)$  is a sequence of pairwise different variables. There is an endomorphism  $\varepsilon$  of  $Q$  with  $b = \varepsilon^*(F_{Q^*}(y_1, \dots, y_n))$ ; hence  $\varepsilon(F^{[1]}, \dots, \varepsilon(F^{[k]}))$  is a sequence of pairwise different variables, so that  $F^{[1]}, \dots, F^{[k]}$  are pairwise different variables.

**Lemma 10.** There is a mapping  $\lambda : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  with the following three properties:

- (1)  $n_G \leq k n_{\lambda(G)}$  for all  $G \in \Delta$ .
- (2) If  $G_1, \dots, G_m \in \Delta$  are pairwise different and  $\lambda(G_1) = \dots = \lambda(G_m)$  then  $m \leq k$ .
- (3) If  $k \geq 2$  then the set  $\Gamma \setminus \lambda(\Delta)$  contains an at least binary symbol.

**Proof.** Let  $G \in \Delta$ . Suppose that there is no symbol  $H \in \Gamma$  such that  $G(z_1, \dots, z_{n_G}) \in \{H^{[1]}, \dots, H^{[k]}\}$  for some pairwise different variables  $z_1, \dots, z_{n_G}$ . Then the set  $M$  of terms which are not of the form  $G(z_1, \dots, z_{n_G})$  with  $z_1, \dots, z_{n_G}$  pairwise different variables satisfies evidently the assumptions of Lemma 7, so that  $M=Q$  by Lemma 7, evidently a contradiction. This shows that for every  $G \in \Delta$  we can choose some  $\lambda(G) \in \Gamma$  such that  $G(z_1, \dots, z_{n_G}) \in \{\lambda(G)^{[1]}, \dots, \lambda(G)^{[k]}\}$  for some pairwise different variables  $z_1, \dots, z_{n_G}$ . (1) follows from Lemma 5, (2) is evident and (3) follows from Lemma 9.



**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  be two types and let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. The following two conditions (I), (II) are equivalent:  
 (I) There exists an isomorphic functor  $X \mapsto X^*$  from the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras to some variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras such that for some  $P \in V$ ,  $P$  is a  $V$ -free algebra of rank  $k$  and  $P^*$  is a  $W$ -free algebra of rank 1.

(II) There exists a mapping  $\lambda: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that the following four conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $n_G \leq kn_{\lambda(G)}$  for all  $G \in \Delta$ .
- (2) If  $G_1, \dots, G_m \in \Delta$  are pairwise different and  $\lambda(G_1) = \dots = \lambda(G_m)$  then  $m \leq k$ .
- (3) If  $k \geq 2$  then the set  $\Gamma \setminus \lambda(\Delta)$  contains an at least binary symbol.
- (4) If  $\Gamma$  contains a nullary symbol then  $\Delta$  contains a nullary symbol.

**Proof.** The direct implication follows from Lemmas 10 and 6. Now let (II) be satisfied. Denote by  $V$  the variety of all  $\Delta$ -algebras. If  $k=1$  then  $\lambda$  is injective and  $n_G \leq n_{\lambda(G)}$  for all  $G \in \Delta$ ; this, together with (4), implies that  $V$  is equivalent to a variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras. Let  $k \geq 2$ . By (3) there exists an at least binary symbol  $S \in \Gamma \setminus \lambda(\Delta)$ , and evidently it is enough to consider the case when  $S$  is binary. For every  $F \in \Gamma$  fix a finite sequence  $\mu_F$ , consisting of all pairwise different symbols  $G \in \Delta$  with  $F = \lambda(G)$ . If  $\Gamma$  contains nullary symbols, fix a nullary symbol  $H \in \Delta$ . For every  $\Delta$ -algebra  $A$  define a  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $A^*$  with the underlying set  $A^k$  as follows:

$S_{A^*}((a_1, \dots, a_k), (b_1, \dots, b_k)) = (b_k, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})$ ;  
 if  $F \in \Gamma \setminus \{S\}$  is a symbol of arity  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mu_F = (G^1, \dots, G^m)$ ,

put

$$F_{A^*}((a_1, \dots, a_k), (a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{2k}), \dots, (a_{nk-k+1}, \dots, a_{nk})) = \\ = (G_A^1(a_1, \dots, a_{n_{G_1}}), \dots, G_A^m(a_1, \dots, a_{n_{G_m}}), a_1, \dots, a_1);$$

if  $F \in \Gamma$  is nullary and  $(\mathcal{U}_F(G^1, \dots, G^m))$ , put

$$F_{A^*} = (G_A^1, \dots, G_A^m, H_A, \dots, H_A).$$

For every  $\Delta$ -morphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  define a  $\Gamma$ -morphism  $\alpha^*: A^* \rightarrow B^*$  by  $\alpha^*(a_1, \dots, a_k) = (\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_k))$ . It is not difficult to prove that the class  $W$  of  $\Gamma$ -algebras isomorphic to  $A^*$  for some  $A \in V$  is a variety and that  $X \mapsto X^*$  is an isomorphic functor from  $V$  to  $W$  such that the  $V$ -free algebra of rank  $k$  corresponds to the  $W$ -free algebra of rank  $k$ . We shall not give here a detailed proof of this fact, since it is analogous to that of Theorem 1.1 of [1].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Delta, \Gamma$  be two types. For every integer  $i \geq 0$  put  $d_i = \text{Card} \{ F \in \Delta; n_F \geq i \}$  and  $g_i = \text{Card} \{ F \in \Gamma; n_F \geq i \}$ . The variety  $V$  of all  $\Delta$ -algebras is isomorphic to some variety of  $\Gamma$ -algebras iff the following seven conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If  $d_0$  is infinite then  $d_0 \leq g_0$ .
- (2) If  $d_1$  is infinite then  $d_1 \leq g_1$ .
- (3)  $\text{Min}(d_i; i \geq 0) \leq \text{Min}(g_i; i \geq 0)$ .
- (4) If  $g_2 = 0$  then  $d_i \leq g_i$  for all  $i$ .
- (5) If  $g_1 = 1$  then either  $d_i \leq g_i$  for all  $i$  or  $d_1 = 0$ .
- (6) If  $g_0 = 1$  then  $d_0 \leq 1$ .
- (7) If  $\Gamma$  contains a nullary symbol then  $\Delta$  contains a nullary symbol.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, the isomorphism of  $V$  to some variety

of  $\Gamma$ -algebras is equivalent to the existence of an integer  $k \geq 1$  satisfying the condition (I) of Theorem 1 and thus to the existence of  $k$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition (II) of Theorem 1. It is not difficult to re-formulate this condition in terms of the cardinal numbers  $d_i$  and  $g_i$ .

#### R e f e r e n c e

- [1] R. MCKENZIE: A new product of algebras and a type reduction theorem (to appear).

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Universita Karlova, Sokolovská  
83, 18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 23.4. 1982)