

Václav Koubek; J. Rajlich
Regular societies without short cycles

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 21 (1980), No. 1, 161--169

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105985>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REGULAR SOCIETIES WITHOUT SHORT CYCLES
V. KOUBEK, J. RAJLICH

Abstract: For every triple (n,m,k) of integers bigger than 1 a society is constructed such that each its team has n points, every point lies in m teams and it has not cycles with length $\leq k$.

Key words: Society, graph, cycle, team.

Classification: 05C99, 05C35

In this note all sets are finite. A society (or hypergraph) is a couple (X,R) where X is a set called an underlying set and R is a set of subsets of X called teams of the society. The notion of a society came into being as a generalization of that of a (symmetrical) graph - viewed as a society which has only two-point teams. Graphs were investigated in many papers. A special role among graphs is played by the regular ones. A graph (X,R) is k -regular if for each $x \in X$, $\text{card} \{A \in R; x \in A\} = k$. We generalize this notion as follows. A society (X,R) is (n,m) -regular if every team has n points and every point $x \in X$ lies exactly in m teams (n, m are natural numbers, $n,m > 0$). Thus an m -regular graph is a $(2,m)$ -regular society. Another important notion in the theory of graphs is that of a cycle. We generalize this

notion for a society in a natural way: a one-to-one sequence (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r) of teams, $r > 1$, is a cycle of length r if there are distinct points (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) such that $x_1 \in A_1 \cap A_2$, $x_2 \in A_2 \cap A_3, \dots, x_r \in A_r \cap A_1$. All simple examples of regular societies (or graphs) have short cycles. The question of existence of k -regular graphs without short cycles was solved in [2],[3]. A k -regular graph has been produced with girth $> n$ - the girth of a society with a cycle is the length of the shortest cycle in it, otherwise the girth is ∞ ($\infty > n$ for every natural number n). We can formulate this result as:

Proposition 1: There is $(2, n)$ -regular society with girth $> k$, for every couple of natural numbers $n, k, k > 1$.

The other important class of graphs are bipartite graphs. In this note a bipartite graph is a triple (X, Z, R) where (X, R) is a graph, $Z \subset X$ such that for every $A \in R$, $Z \cap A \neq \emptyset \neq (X - Z) \cap A$. If we want to generalize the notion of n -regular graph for the class of bipartite graphs then we can do this as follows: a bipartite graph (X, Z, R) is (n, m) -regular if for each $x \in X - Z$, $\text{card}\{A \in R; x \in A\} = n$, and for each $x \in Z$, $\text{card}\{A \in R; x \in A\} = m$. Then the well-known theorem on representatives can now be restated as follows:

Theorem 2: For a society (X, R) define a bipartite graph $\Phi(X, R) = (X \cup R, X, S)$ where $(x, A) \in S$ iff $x \in A$. Then Φ is a bijective correspondence between societies and bipartite graphs such that:

- a) a society (X, R) is (n, m) -regular iff $\Phi(X, R)$ is an (n, m) -regular bipartite graph;
- b) a society (X, R) has a cycle of length k iff $\Phi(X, R)$

has a cycle of length $2k$.

We now reformulate one of the results from [1]:

Proposition 3: For every couple n, k of natural numbers there is an (n, n) -regular society with girth $> k$.

The aim of this note is to prove the following generalization of Propositions 1 and 3.

Theorem 4: For every triple n, m, k of integers bigger than 1 there is an (n, m) -regular society with girth $> k$.

Using Theorem 2 we get:

Corollary 5: For every triple n, m, k of integers bigger than 1 there is an (n, m) -regular bipartite graph with girth $> k$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is based on the following idea. We construct societies $\mathcal{C}(n, m, s)$ without cycles and such that every team contains n points, every point is contained either in one or in exactly m teams. The parameter s characterizes the size of the society (see the introductory definitions and Lemma 6). We take a disjoint union $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s)$ of m copies $\mathcal{C}_i(n, m, s)$, $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, of $\mathcal{C}(n, m, s)$ and glue them together by the equivalence generated by a suitable sequence φ of bijections $\varphi_i: B_i(n, m, s) \rightarrow B_{i+1}(n, m, s)$, where $B_i(n, m, s)$ denotes the set of elements of $\mathcal{C}_i(n, m, s)$ contained only in one team. The resulting society $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \varphi)$ is (n, m) -regular (Lemma 7). With the aid of Lemma 9 we can, under certain assumptions on φ and s , replace φ by another sequence ψ of bijections $\psi_i: B_i \rightarrow B_{i+1}$ yielding a new society $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \psi)$ whose girth is three times bigger than

that of $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \varphi)$. Repeated blowing up of girth finally proves Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4: First we give some definitions.

We shall assume that n, m, s is a triple of positive integers. Define:

$$P(n, m, s) = \{ \{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2t+1}; t \leq s, i_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, t \\ i_{2j} \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, i_{2j+1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \};$$

$$Q(n, m, s) = P(n, m, s) \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\};$$

$$B(n, m, s) \subseteq P(n, m, s), \{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2t+1} \in B(n, m, s) \text{ iff } t = s;$$

$$C(n, m, s) = B(n, m, s) \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\};$$

$$T(n, m, s) = \{ Z \subset P(n, m, s); \exists \{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2t+1} \in P(n, m, s) - B(n, m, s), \\ \exists q \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

$$Z = \{ \{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2t+1} \} \cup \{ \{ a_j \}_{j=1}^{2t+3}; a_j = i_j \text{ for } j \leq 2t+1,$$

$$a_{2t+2} = q, a_{2t+3} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \} \cup \{ \{ 0, 1, \dots, n \} \};$$

$$U(n, m, s) = \{ Z \times \{q\}; Z \in T(n, m, s), q \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \};$$

$$\text{For } \{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2s+1} \in B(n, m, s) \text{ and for } w < s \text{ define } \sigma_w(\{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2s+1}) = \\ = \{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2w+1} \sigma_w(\{ i_j \}_{j=1}^{2s+1}) = \{ i_j \}_{j=2w+2}^{2s+1}. \text{ For } (x, q) \in C(n, m, s)$$

$$\text{where } x \in B(n, m, s) \text{ put } \sigma_w(x, q) = (\sigma_w(x), q), \sigma_w(x, q) =$$

$$= (\sigma_q(x), q). \text{ Put } \mathcal{C}(n, m, s) = (P(n, m, s), T(n, m, s)),$$

$$\mathcal{L}(n, m, s) = (Q(n, m, s), U(n, m, s)). \text{ Then it is clear:}$$

Lemma 6: Every team of $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s)$ or $\mathcal{C}(n, m, s)$ has exactly $m+1$ points. Every point of $P(n, m, s) - B(n, m, s)$ or $Q(n, m, s) - C(n, m, s)$ lies exactly in $m+1$ teams. Every point of $B(n, m, s)$ or $C(n, m, s)$ lies exactly in one team. The girth of $\mathcal{C}(n, m, s)$ or $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s)$ is bigger than any natural number.

We say that a mapping $\varphi: C(n, m, s) \rightarrow B(n, m, s)$ fulfils (*) if for every $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ the restriction φ on $B(n, m, s) \times \{q\}$ is a bijection. Let \sim_φ be an equivalence on

$C(n, m, s)$ such that $a \sim_{\varphi} b$ iff $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$. (The smallest equivalence on $Q(n, m, s)$ merging the same points as \sim_{φ} will be denoted \sim_{φ} , too.) We define a society $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \varphi)$ as follows: the underlying set is $Q(n, m, s, \varphi) = Q(n, m, s) / \sim_{\varphi}$, the teams are $U(n, m, s, \varphi) = \{Z / \sim_{\varphi} ; Z \in U(n, m, s)\}$. Then it is easy that:

Lemma 7: $\mathcal{L}(m, n, s, \varphi)$ is an $(n+1, m+1)$ -regular society whenever φ fulfils (*).

In the following we want to choose s and φ fulfilling (*) such that the girth of $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \varphi) \geq k$.

Let $w > 1$ be a natural number with $w < s$. Define: $H(n, m, s, w) = \{\sigma_w(x); x \in B(n, m, s)\}$, $L(n, m, s, w) = H(n, m, s, w) \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We say that $\psi: L(n, m, s, w) \rightarrow H(n, m, s, w)$ fulfils (*) if for every $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ the restriction of ψ to $H(n, m, s, w) \times \{q\}$ is a bijection. Further for $\psi_1: L(n, m, s, w) \rightarrow H(n, m, s, w)$, $\psi_2: C(n, m, w) \rightarrow B(n, m, w)$ define $\psi_1 \boxtimes \psi_2: C(n, m, s) \rightarrow B(n, m, s)$ by $\sigma_w(\psi_1 \boxtimes \psi_2(x)) = \psi_1(\sigma_w(x))$, $\pi_w(\psi_1 \boxtimes \psi_2(x)) = \psi_2(\pi_w(x))$. Then it is easy to prove

Lemma 8: Let $\psi = \psi_1 \boxtimes \psi_2$. Then ψ fulfils (*) iff ψ_1 and ψ_2 fulfil (*). Moreover the projection from $C(n, m, s)$ to $B(n, m, s)$ fulfils (*).

Now we prove the basic lemma of the proof:

Lemma 9: Let $\psi: L(n, m, s, w) \rightarrow H(n, m, s, w)$ fulfil (*). Let $h: L(n, m, s, w) \rightarrow \{2\ell, 2\ell+1, \dots, 2w+1\}$ be a one-to-one mapping. Define $\varphi: L(n, m, s, \ell) \rightarrow H(n, m, s, \ell)$ as follows: $\sigma_w(\varphi(x)) = \psi(\sigma_w(x))$, and if $x = \{i_j\}_{j=2\ell}^{2s+1}, q$ then $\varphi(x) = \{a_j\}_{j=2\ell}^{2s+1}$ where for $j \in \{2\ell, 2\ell+1, \dots, 2w+1\}$, $j \neq h(\sigma_w(x))$

we have $a_j = i_j$, and if $j = h(\sigma'_w(x))$ and j is even then $a_j = i_j + 1 \pmod{m}$, if $j = h(\sigma'_w(x))$ and j is odd then $a_j = i_j + 1 \pmod{n}$.

Then φ fulfils $(*)$. If moreover the girth α of

$\mathcal{B}(n, m, s, p \boxtimes \varphi) > \frac{s-w}{2}$ where p is the projection, then for every $\chi: C(n, m, l-1) \rightarrow B(n, m, l-1)$ fulfilling $(*)$ it holds that the girth of $\mathcal{B}(n, m, s, \chi \boxtimes \varphi) \geq 3\alpha$.

Proof: The former statement is obvious. We have to prove the latter one. Assume that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r is a cycle in $\mathcal{B}(n, m, s, \chi \boxtimes \varphi)$ and $r < 4\alpha$. Choose $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^{2w+1} \in B(n, m, w)$ and define $B_q = \{x; \exists y = (a, u) \in A_q, \sigma'_w(x) = \sigma'_w(y), \pi_w(x) = (\{i_j\}_{j=1}^{2w+1}, u)\}$. Since A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r form a cycle we get that $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset, B_2 \cap B_3 \neq \emptyset, \dots, B_r \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$, moreover we can choose a sequence of distinct points (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) such that $x_1 \in B_1 \cap B_2, x_2 \in B_2 \cap B_3, \dots, x_r \in B_r \cap B_1$. Hence B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r can be divided into sections which form cycles.

Define $\mu: \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ as follows:

$\mu(j) = u$ if A_j is an image of some $Z \times \{u\}$ by $\sim_{\chi \boxtimes \varphi}$, $Z \in \mathcal{C}(n, m, s)$. Since $\chi \boxtimes \varphi$ fulfils $(*)$ by Lemma 8 we get that μ is a correctly defined mapping. By Lemma 6, μ is not constant. Let $\mu(j-1) \neq \mu(j) = \mu(j+1) = \dots = \mu(\bar{j}) \neq \mu(\bar{j}+1)$. Then from the definition of $\mathcal{C}(n, m, s)$ we get that B_j and $B_{\bar{j}}$ determines all members between j and \bar{j} . The analogous statement holds for A_j and $A_{\bar{j}}$. Further if we choose $x, y \in \bigcup_{q=j}^{\bar{j}} A_q - C(n, m, s)$, $x = (\{a_u\}_{u=1}^{2t+1}, \mu(j))$, $y = (\{b_u\}_{u=1}^{2t'+1}, \mu(j))$, then $t, t' > w$ and for $u < 2w+1$, $a_u = b_u$. On the other hand if we choose $z \in A_{j-1} - C(n, m, s)$, $z = (\{c_u\}_{u=1}^{2s-1}, \mu(j-1))$ then there are two indexes $u_1, u_2 < 2w+1$ such that $c_{u_1} \neq a_{u_1}, c_{u_2} \neq a_{u_2}$ ($u_1 = h(\sigma'_w(x')$),

$u_2 = h(\sigma_w(x''))$ where $x', x'' \in C(n, m, s)$, $\chi \boxtimes \varphi(x') = \chi \boxtimes \varphi(x'')$ and $A_{j-1} \cap A_j$ contains the class of $\sim \chi \boxtimes \varphi$ containing x' - from the definition of φ we get $\text{card } A_{j-1} \cap A_j = 1$. Thus if $\mu(j-1) \neq \mu(j)$ then there is j' such that $\{\mu(j'), \mu(j'-1)\} = \{\mu(j), \mu(j-1)\}$ and $B_{j'-1} \cap B_{j'} = B_{j-1} \cap B_j$. Hence $\{B_{j'-1}, B_{j'}\} = \{B_{j-1}, B_j\}$.

We choose a cycle $B_t, B_{t+1}, \dots, B_{t'}$. Then by the following considerations one of the following two cases is necessary:

- 1) this cycle is in the sequence B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r once more in the converse ordering. But A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r is a cycle so these two cycles do not exhaust all sets B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r . From the rest we can also choose a cycle and again by the foregoing considerations there is still another cycle these. Thus from the sequence B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r it is possible to choose four disjoint cycles, hence $r \geq 4\alpha$ - a contradiction.
- 2) This cycle is contained in two other cycles (in the converse ordering). Hence $r \geq 3\alpha$.

The lemma is proved.

Assume that it is given k such that the girth of $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \varphi) \geq k$. Now we complete the proof by induction. Choose s such that the following considerations are possible. Choose ψ_0 as the projection. Put $k_0 = s - \frac{k}{2} (> 0)$. Assume that $k_1 = s - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{(mn)^{k_0}}{2} (> 0)$. Then there is a bijection from $L(n, m, s, k_0)$ to $\{2k_1+2, 2k_1+3, \dots, 2k_0\}$ and we can construct ψ_1 by Lemma 9. Since the girth of $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, \psi_0) = 2$ we get that the girth of $\mathcal{L}(n, m, s, p \boxtimes \psi_1) \geq 6$ (p is the projection). Now we assume that $k_2 = s - k_1 - \frac{(mn)^{k_1}}{2} (\geq 0)$ and we can construct ψ_2 again by Lemma 9. If we repeat this step for a

suitable number of times we wind up with the girth of $\mathcal{L}(n,m,s,p \boxtimes \psi_t) \geq k$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

Define

$\varphi(n,m,k) = \{r; \text{there is an } (n,m)\text{-regular society } (X,R) \text{ with girth } \geq k \text{ and } \text{card } X = r\}$;

$R(n,m,k) = \min \varphi(n,m,k)$.

Then it is easy to prove:

Proposition 10: $\varphi(n,m,k)$ forms an additive subsemi-group of natural numbers. If $r \in \varphi(n,m,k)$ then $\frac{rm}{n}$ is an integer. Define $\Phi(0) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, $\Phi(i+1) = \Phi(i) + \lfloor \frac{(nm)\Phi(i)}{2} \rfloor$. Then $\Phi(0) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} R(n,m,k) \leq \Phi(t)$ where t is the smallest number such that $2(3^t) \geq k$.

Proof: The disjoint union of societies preserves (n,m) -regularity and the girth of the disjoint union is the minimum of girths. Hence we get the first statement. The second statement follows from the fact that for (n,m) -regular society (X,R) it holds: $\text{card } R \cdot n = m \cdot \text{card } X$. The third one follows from the proof of Theorem 4.

The form of $\varphi(n,m,k)$ or the value of $R(n,m,k)$ is an open problem. These values are known only for simple cases e.g. if $n = 1$ or $m = 1$, or $n = 2 = m$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] V. NEUMANN-LARA: k -Hamiltonian graphs with given girth, Infinite and finite sets III, North-Holland 1975, 1133-1142.
- [2] H. SACHS: Regular graphs with given girth and restricted circuits, J. London Math. Soc. 38(1963), 423-429.

- [3] H. SACHS: On regular graphs with given girth, Theory of Graphs and its Applications, Prague 1964, 91-97.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Universita Karlova

Malostranské nám. 25, 11800 Praha 1

Československo

(Oblatum 15.8. 1979)