

Karel Svoboda

Remark to the characterization of the sphere in E^4

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 4, 715--722

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105814>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

18,4 (1977)

REMARK TO THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SPHERE IN E^4

Karel SVOBODA, Brno

Abstract: We get an example of the global characterization of the sphere in E^4 using the existence of a parallel vector field in the normal bundle of a surface.

Key words: Surface, parallel normal vector field, sphere.

AMS: 53C45

Ref. Ž.: 3.934.1

In [1], p. 62, A. Švec has mentioned one possibility of characterizing the sphere among the surfaces in E^4 . In this contribution we give an example of the use of this idea. To give it, we have chosen one theorem, mentioned in [1], concerning the Weingarten surfaces in E^3 , and translate it to the analogous theorem valid for surfaces in E^4 .

Let M be a surface in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space E^4 . Let the system of open sets $\{U_\alpha\}$ cover this surface in such a way that in any domain U_α there is a field of orthonormal frames $\{M; v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ such that $v_1, v_2 \in T(M)$, $v_3, v_4 \in N(M)$ where $T(M)$, $N(M)$ is the tangent and normal bundle of M , respectively. Then

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} dM &= \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2, \\ dv_1 &= \omega^2 v_2 + \omega^3 v_3 + \omega^4 v_4, \quad dv_2 = -\omega^1 v_1 + \omega^3 v_3 + \omega^4 v_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$d\nu_3 = -\omega_1^3\nu_1 - \omega_2^3\nu_2 + \omega_3^4\nu_4, \quad d\nu_4 = -\omega_1^4\nu_1 - \omega_2^4\nu_2 - \omega_3^4\nu_3;$$

$$(2) \quad d\omega^i = \omega^j \wedge \omega_k^i, \quad d\omega_i^j = \omega_i^k \wedge \omega_k^j, \\ \omega_i^j + \omega_j^i = 0, \quad \omega^3 = \omega^4 = 0 \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

Using the well-known prolongation process, we get the existence of real functions a_i, b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), α_i, β_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) in each U_α such that

$$(3) \quad \omega_1^3 = a_1\omega^1 + a_2\omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = a_2\omega^1 + a_3\omega^2, \\ \omega_1^4 = b_1\omega^1 + b_2\omega^2, \quad \omega_2^4 = b_2\omega^1 + b_3\omega^2;$$

$$(4) \quad da_1 - 2a_2\omega_1^2 - b_1\omega_3^4 = \alpha_1\omega^1 + \alpha_2\omega^2, \\ da_2 + (a_1 - a_3)\omega_1^2 - b_2\omega_3^4 = \alpha_2\omega^1 + \alpha_3\omega^2, \\ da_3 + 2a_2\omega_1^2 - b_3\omega_3^4 = \alpha_3\omega^1 + \alpha_4\omega^2, \\ db_1 - 2b_2\omega_1^2 + a_1\omega_3^4 = \beta_1\omega^1 + \beta_2\omega^2, \\ db_2 + (b_1 - b_3)\omega_1^2 + a_2\omega_3^4 = \beta_2\omega^1 + \beta_3\omega^2, \\ db_3 + 2b_2\omega_1^2 + a_3\omega_3^4 = \beta_3\omega^1 + \beta_4\omega^2.$$

Let $n = x\nu_3 + y\nu_4$ be a non-trivial, parallel normal vector field on M (see [11]). We can choose the field of orthonormal frames $\{M; \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4\}$ in such a way that ν_3 and n are dependent. Thus we have $y = 0$ and hence $dx = 0$, $x\omega_3^4 = 0$ on M , so that $\omega_3^4 = 0$ and

$$k = (a_1 - a_3)b_2 - (b_1 - b_3)a_2 = 0$$

on M .

Denote as usual

$$(5) \quad H = (a_1 + a_3)^2 + (b_1 + b_3)^2, \quad k = a_1a_3 - a_2^2 + b_1b_3 - b_2^2$$

the mean and Gauss curvature of M respectively, and define the functions

$$(6) \quad H^1 = a_1 + a_3, \quad H^2 = b_1 + b_3$$

$$(7) \quad K^1 = a_1 a_3 - a_2^2, \quad K^2 = b_1 b_3 - b_2^2.$$

Consider another field of orthonormal frames $\{M; \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3, \bar{v}_4\}$ such that v_3 and n are dependent. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= e_1 \cos \varphi \cdot \bar{v}_1 - \sin \varphi \cdot \bar{v}_2, \quad v_3 = e_2 \bar{v}_3, \\ v_2 &= e_1 \sin \varphi \cdot \bar{v}_1 + \cos \varphi \cdot \bar{v}_2, \quad v_4 = \bar{v}_4, \quad e_1^2 = e_2^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have according to [1]

$$\bar{a}_1 = e_2 [a_1 \cos^2 \varphi + 2a_2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + a_3 \sin^2 \varphi],$$

$$\bar{a}_2 = -e_1 e_2 [(a_1 - a_3) \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + a_2 (\sin^2 \varphi - \cos^2 \varphi)],$$

$$\bar{a}_3 = e_2 [a_1 \sin^2 \varphi - 2a_2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + a_3 \cos^2 \varphi],$$

$$\bar{b}_1 = b_1 \cos^2 \varphi + 2b_2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + b_3 \sin^2 \varphi,$$

$$\bar{b}_2 = -e_1 [(b_1 - b_3) \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + b_2 (\sin^2 \varphi - \cos^2 \varphi)],$$

$$\bar{b}_3 = b_1 \sin^2 \varphi - 2b_2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + b_3 \cos^2 \varphi$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\bar{H}^1 = e_2 H^1, \quad \bar{H}^2 = H^2,$$

$$\bar{K}^1 = K^1, \quad \bar{K}^2 = K^2.$$

Now we are going to prove this

Theorem. Let M be a surface in E^4 and ∂M its boundary.

Let M satisfy these conditions:

(i) $K > 0$ on M ;

(ii) there is a non-zero parallel normal vector field

in $N(M)$;

(iii) there are functions $F(x,y), G(x,y)$ such that

$$(8) \quad F_x^2 + xF_xF_y + yF_y^2 > 0, \quad G_x^2 + xG_xG_y + yG_y^2 > 0$$

for each (x,y) and

$$(9) \quad F(H^1, K^1) = 0, \quad G(H^2, K^2) = 0$$

on M ;

(iv) ∂M consists of umbilical points.

Then M is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in E^4 .

Proof. We use the method of integral formula based on the Stokes theorem.

On M , consider the 1-form

$$\begin{aligned} \tau = & [(a_1 - a_3)\alpha_2 + (b_1 - b_3)\beta_2 - a_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) - \\ & - b_2(\beta_1 - \beta_3)]\omega^1 + [(a_1 - a_3)\alpha_3 + (b_1 - b_3)\beta_3 - \\ & - a_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4) - b_2(\beta_2 - \beta_4)]\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5) we get by exterior differentiation of

$$(10) \quad d\tau = -[2J + (H - 4K)K - 2k^2]\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$$

where

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} J = & \alpha_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1) + \beta_2(\beta_2 - \beta_4) + \\ & + \beta_3(\beta_3 - \beta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the equations (9). By differentiation of these relations we obtain

$$(12) \quad P_1 dH^1 + Q_1 dK^1 = 0, \quad P_2 dH^2 + Q_2 dK^2 = 0$$

where we denoted

$$P_1 = \partial F / \partial H^1, \quad Q_1 = \partial F / \partial K^1, \quad P_2 = \partial G / \partial H^2, \quad Q_2 = \partial G / \partial K^2.$$

Using (4), (6) and (7) we have

$$dH^1 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\omega^1 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4)\omega^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
dH^2 &= (\beta_1 + \beta_3)\omega^1 + (\beta_2 + \beta_4)\omega^2, \\
dK^1 &= (a_1\alpha_3 - 2a_2\alpha_2 + a_3\alpha_1)\omega^1 + (a_1\alpha_4 - 2a_2\alpha_3 + \\
&\quad + a_3\alpha_2)\omega^2, \\
dK^2 &= (b_1\beta_3 - 2b_2\beta_2 + b_3\beta_1)\omega^1 + (b_1\beta_4 - 2b_2\beta_3 + \\
&\quad + b_3\beta_2)\omega^2
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the equations (12) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad P_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) + Q_1(a_1\alpha_3 - 2a_2\alpha_2 + a_3\alpha_1) &= 0, \\
P_1(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) + Q_1(a_1\alpha_4 - 2a_2\alpha_3 + a_3\alpha_2) &= 0, \\
P_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3) + Q_2(b_1\beta_3 - 2b_2\beta_2 + b_3\beta_1) &= 0, \\
P_2(\beta_2 + \beta_4) + Q_2(b_1\beta_4 - 2b_2\beta_3 + b_3\beta_2) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $m \in M$ be an arbitrary fixed point of M . Consider that the orthonormal frame of M in the point $m \in M$ is chosen in such a way that $a_2 = 0$. Then we can put $b_2 = 0$ at $m \in M$ and the equations (13) have at $m \in M$ the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_1 + a_3Q_1)\alpha_1 + (P_1 + a_1Q_1)\alpha_3 &= 0, \\
(P_1 + a_3Q_1)\alpha_2 + (P_1 + a_1Q_1)\alpha_4 &= 0, \\
(P_2 + b_3Q_2)\beta_1 + (P_2 + b_1Q_2)\beta_3 &= 0, \\
(P_2 + b_3Q_2)\beta_2 + (P_2 + b_1Q_2)\beta_4 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there are functions ϱ_i, σ_i ($i = 1, 2$) such that at $m \in M$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= \varrho_1(P_1 + a_1Q_1), & \alpha_3 &= -\varrho_1(P_1 + a_3Q_1), \\
\alpha_2 &= \sigma_1(P_1 + a_1Q_1), & \alpha_4 &= -\sigma_1(P_1 + a_3Q_1), \\
\beta_1 &= \varrho_2(P_2 + b_1Q_2), & \beta_3 &= -\varrho_2(P_2 + b_3Q_2), \\
\beta_2 &= \sigma_2(P_2 + b_1Q_2), & \beta_4 &= -\sigma_2(P_2 + b_3Q_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence from (11)

$$J = \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 + \beta_2^2 + \beta_3^2 + (\gamma_1^2 + \epsilon_1^2)(P_1^2 + H^1P_1Q_1 + K^1Q_1^2) + (\gamma_2^2 + \epsilon_2^2)(P_2^2 + H^2P_2Q_2 + K^2Q_2^2)$$

at $m \in M$.

The assumption (ii) implies $k = 0$ on M as mentioned, i.e. relation (10) has the form

$$d\tau = - [2J + (H - 4K)K] \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2.$$

Further on, from the condition (iv) it follows that $\tau = 0$ on ∂M . Thus, the Stokes integral formula yields

$$(14) \quad \int_M [2J + (H - 4K)K] \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = \int_{\partial M} \tau = 0.$$

As $J \geq 0$ at $m \in M$ because of (i), (iii) and m is arbitrary, we have from (14)

$$2J + (H - 4K)K = 0$$

on M and hence

$$H - 4K = (a_1 - a_3)^2 + (b_1 - b_3)^2 + 4a_2^2 + 4b_2^2 = 0.$$

Thus any point $m \in M$ is umbilical; this completes our proof.

Remark that we proved in fact a more general assertion which is obtained from the theorem replacing the assumption (iii) by

(iii') there are functions $P_i, Q_i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$)

such that

$$P_1^2 + H^1P_1Q_1 + K^1Q_1^2 > 0, \quad P_2^2 + H^2P_2Q_2 + K^2Q_2^2 > 0$$

and

$$P_1dH^1 + Q_1dK^1 = 0, \quad P_2dH^2 + Q_2dK^2 = 0$$

on M .

In the following, we introduce three corollaries imp-

lied immediately by the proved theorem.

Corollary 1. Let M be a surface in E^4 satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iv) of the theorem. Let further

(iii) $H^1 = \text{const}$, $H^2 = \text{const}$, on M.

Then M is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in E^4 .

The assertion follows from the theorem by putting $F(H^1, K^1) \equiv H^1 - \text{const.}$, $G(H^2, K^2) \equiv H^2 - \text{const.}$.

Corollary 2. Let M be a surface in E^4 possessing the properties (ii), (iv) of the theorem. Let

(i) $K^1 > 0$, $K^2 > 0$ on M;

(iii) $H^1 = \text{const.}$, $K^2 = \text{const.}$ (or $H^2 = \text{const.}$, $K^1 = \text{const.}$) on M.

Then M is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in E^4 .

To prove this, it is sufficient to put $F(H^1, K^1) \equiv H^1 - \text{const.}$, $G(H^2, K^2) \equiv K^2 - \text{const.}$ (or $F(H^1, K^1) \equiv K^1 - \text{const.}$, $G(H^2, K^2) \equiv H^2 - \text{const.}$) in the theorem.

Corollary 3. Let M be a surface in E^4 satisfying (ii), (iv) of the theorem. Let

(i) $K^1 = \text{const.} > 0$, $K^2 = \text{const.} > 0$ on M.

Then M is a part of a 2-dimensional sphere in E^4 .

Let $F(H^1, K^1) \equiv K^1 - \text{const.}$, $G(H^2, K^2) \equiv K^2 - \text{const.}$; then the assertion follows immediately from the theorem.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] A. ŠVEC: Contributions to the global differential geometry on surfaces. Rozprawy ČSAV, 87, 1, 1977, 1-94
- [2] K. SVOBODA: Some global characterizations of the spher-

re in E^4 . Čas. pro pěst. matem. - to appear

Katedra matematiky

FS VUT

Gorkého 13, 60200 Brno

Československo

(Oblatum 27.7. 1977)