

Josef Daneš

On the strict convexity of the polar operator

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 2, 393--400

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105783>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE STRICT CONVEXITY OF THE POLAR OPERATOR

Josef DANÉŠ, Praha

**Abstract:** There is proved that the polar operator is convex in any linear topological space and strictly convex in any separated locally convex space.

**Key words:** Linear topological spaces, locally convex spaces, polar operator, convexity, strict convexity.

AMS: Primary 46A05

Ref. Ž.: 7.972.2

Secondary 46A20

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a separated real locally convex space,  $n \geq 1$  an integer,  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  nonempty subsets of  $X$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  nonnegative numbers with  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . Then

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i \right)^{\circ} \subset \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ} \right)^* .$$

The equality holds if and only if  $\text{cocl}(A_i \cup \{0\}) = \text{cocl}(A_j \cup \{0\})$  for all  $i, j$  with  $t_i t_j > 0$ .

If  $X$  is a real linear topological space,  $M$  a subset of  $X$  and  $N$  a subset of the dual space  $X'$ , then  $\text{co}(M)$ ,  $\text{cl}(M)$ ,  $\text{cocl}(M)$  denotes the convex hull, closure and convex closed hull of  $M$ , respectively, and  $M^{\circ} = \{x' \in X' : \langle M, x' \rangle \geq 1\}$ ,  $N^{\circ} = \{x \in X : \langle x, N \rangle \leq 1\}$  the polar sets of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively (where, for example,  $\langle M, x' \rangle \leq 1$  means that one is an

upper bound for the set  $\langle M, x' \rangle = \{ \langle x, x' \rangle : x \in M \}$ .

If  $X$  is a linear space and  $M$  a subset of  $X$ , then  $M_*$  denotes the set  $\bigcup \{ [0, x] : [0, x] \subset M \} = \{ x \in X : [0, x] \subset M \}$  ( $[0, 0] = \{0\}$ ).

V.P. Fedotov [1] asserts that if  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are closed convex subsets of a real separated locally convex space  $X$  containing the origin, then

$$\frac{A_1 + \dots + A_n}{n} \supset \left( \frac{A_1^0 + \dots + A_n^0}{n} \right)^0,$$

the equality being true iff  $A_1 = \dots = A_n$ . It seems that his consideration implies only that this inequality holds if the left hand side of it is replaced by its closure (or by its  $(\cdot)_*$ -closure).

Our lemma 3 almost coincides with [1, Lemma 1]. Lemma 4 below has been indicated by Fedotov in [1, Lemma 2] in case  $t = \frac{1}{2}$  but his proof is not clear (it seems that it contains a gap at the induction step and that a lemma like our lemma 2 is necessary).

In what follows our Theorem is divided into two theorems 1 and 2. The proof of Theorem 2 is quite different from that of the corresponding part of [1] and seems to be more straightforward.

The proof of the following easy lemma is omitted.

Lemma 1. Let  $X$  be a linear space and  $M$  a subset of  $X$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (i)  $M_* \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $M$  contains 0;
- (ii)  $M \subset M_*$  if and only if  $M$  is starshaped (relative to 0);
- (iii)  $M_* = \bigcap_{r>0} (1+r)M$  whenever  $M$  contains 0;

(iv) If  $C$  is a linear topological space, then  $M_* \subset \text{cl}(M)$ ;

(v) if  $X$  is a linear topological space and  $M$  is star-shaped (relative to  $O$ ), then  $M \subset M_* \subset \text{cl}(M)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be a (possibly non-separated) real linear topological space,  $n \geq 1$  an integer,  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  nonempty subsets of  $X$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  nonnegative numbers with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1. \text{ Then}$$

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i \right)^{\circ} \subset \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ} \right)_* .$$

**Proof.** We may restrict ourselves to the case when all  $A_i$ 's are convex and contain  $O$ . Let  $x'$  in  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i \right)^{\circ}$  be given and set  $h_i = \sup \langle A_i, x' \rangle \in [0, +\infty]$ . Then  $h_i^{-1} x' \in A_i^{\circ}$  ( $\infty^{-1} = 0$ ) whenever  $h_i > 0$ , so that

$$(1) \quad \left( \sum_{+} t_i h_i^{-1} \right) x' \in \sum_{+} t_i A_i^{\circ}$$

where  $\sum_{+}$  is the summation over all  $i$ 's with  $h_i > 0$ . If  $h_i = 0$  and  $a > 0$ , then  $a^{-1} x' \in A_i^{\circ}$  so that

$$(2) \quad \left( \sum_{0} t_i a^{-1} \right) x' \in \sum_{0} t_i A_i^{\circ},$$

where  $\sum_{0}$  denotes the summation over all  $i$ 's with  $h_i = 0$ .

From (1) and (2) it follows that

$$(3) \quad \left( \sum_{+} t_i h_i^{-1} + \sum_{0} t_i a^{-1} \right) x' \in \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ}$$

for each  $a > 0$ .

If  $t_i > 0$ , then  $h_i$  is finite, because  $t_i A_i \subset \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i$  implies  $x' \in (t_i A_i)^{\circ} = t_i^{-1} A_i^{\circ}$  so that  $h_i = \sup \langle A_i, x' \rangle = t_i^{-1} \sup \langle t_i A_i, x' \rangle \leq t_i^{-1}$ . Hence  $h_i = +\infty$  implies  $t_i = 0$ .

Let  $b \in (0, +\infty)$  be arbitrary and set  $g_i = h_i$  if  $h_i$  is fi-

nite and  $g_1 = b$  otherwise. Then, by the Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sum_+ t_1 g_1^{-1} + \sum_0 t_1 a^{-1})(\sum_+ t_1 g_1 + \sum_0 t_1 a) \geq \\ & \geq (\sum_+ t_1 g_1^{-1} g_1 + \sum_0 t_1 a^{-1} a)^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n t_i)^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $b \rightarrow +\infty$ , we see that

$$(\sum_+ t_1 h_1^{-1} + \sum_0 t_1 a^{-1})(\sum_+ t_1 h_1 + \sum_0 t_1 a) \geq 1,$$

if we agree that  $t_1 h_1 = 0$  whenever  $h_1 = +\infty$  (and, consequently,  $t_1 = 0$ ). From this and (3) it follows that

$$(4) \quad (\sum_+ t_1 h_1 + \sum_0 t_1 a)^{-1} x' \in \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_+ t_1 h_1 &= \sum_+ t_1 h_1 + \sum_0 t_1 h_1 = \sup \langle \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i, x' \rangle \leq \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\sum_+ t_1 h_1 + \sum_0 t_1 a \leq 1 + a$ . Hence, by (4),

$(1 + a)^{-1} x' \in \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^0$ , i.e.,  $x' \in (1 + a) \sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^0$  for each  $a > 0$ . By lemma 1, (iii),  $x' \in (\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^0)$ .

The proof is completed.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $0 < t < 1$ . Then the following definition (by induction) of two sequences  $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{v_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is correct:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0 &= t, & v_0 &= 1 - t, \\ u_{k+1} &= \frac{u_0}{1 - v_0 v_k} & v_{k+1} &= \frac{v_0}{1 - u_0 u_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, both sequences lie in  $(0, 1)$ , strictly increase and converge to one.

**Proof.** We shall prove, by induction, the following as-

section:

(6<sub>n</sub>)  $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{v_k\}_{k=0}^n$  are well defined and strictly increasing sequences contained in (0,1).

(6<sub>1</sub>) is true, because  $1 > 1 - u_0^2 > 0, 1 > 1 - v_0^2 > 0$ , so that

$$1 > u_1 = \frac{u_0}{1 - v_0 v_0} > u_0, \quad 1 > v_1 = \frac{v_0}{1 - u_0 u_0} > v_0.$$

Suppose that (6<sub>n</sub>) is true for some  $n = m \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$u_{m+1} - u_m = \frac{u_0 v_0 (v_m - v_{m-1})}{(1 - v_0 v_m)(1 - v_0 v_{m-1})}.$$

As  $1 > v_m > v_{m-1} > 0$  and  $u_m > 0$  (by the inductive hypothesis), we have  $1 > 1 - v_0 v_m > 0, 1 > 1 - v_0 v_{m-1} > 0$  and, consequently,  $u_{m+1} > u_m$ . The inequality  $u_{m+1} < 1$  follows from

$$u_{m+1} = \frac{u_0}{1 - v_0 v_m} = \frac{1 - v_0}{1 - v_0 v_m} < \frac{1 - v_0 v_m}{1 - v_0 v_m} = 1.$$

Similarly  $v_m < v_{m+1} < 1$ . Hence (6<sub>n</sub>) holds for each  $n$ .

Let  $u = \lim u_k, v = \lim v_k$ . From (5) it follows that

$$u = \frac{u_0}{1 - v_0 v} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \frac{v_0}{1 - u_0 u}$$

leading to the following equation for  $u$ :

$$u_0 u^2 - (1 + u_0^2 - v_0^2)u + u_0 = 0.$$

As  $1 + u_0^2 - v_0^2 = 1 + u_0 - v_0 = 2u_0$ , the last equation is of the form

$$u_0 u^2 - 2u_0 u + u_0 = u_0 (u - 1)^2 = 0.$$

This equation has the unique solution  $u = 1$ . Similarly  $v = 1$ .

Lemma 3. Let  $X$  be a separated locally convex space and  $A, B, C$  three nonempty subsets of  $X$ . If  $C$  absorbs  $A$  and  $A \neq C \supset$

$\supset A + B$ , then  $\text{cocl } (C) \supset B$ .

**Proof.** We may suppose that  $X$  is a real locally convex space. Let us suppose that there is a point  $x$  in  $B$  which is not in  $\text{cocl } (C)$ . Then there exists  $x'$  in  $X'$  such that  $\langle x, x' \rangle > \sup \langle C, x' \rangle$ . As  $C$  absorbs  $A$ , the number  $\sup \langle A, x' \rangle$  is finite. Then  $\sup \langle A + C, x' \rangle = \sup \langle A, x' \rangle + \sup \langle C, x' \rangle < \sup \langle A, x' \rangle + \langle x, x' \rangle \leq \sup \langle A, x' \rangle + \sup \langle B, x' \rangle = \sup \langle A + B, x' \rangle \leq \sup \langle A + C, x' \rangle$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $X$  be a real separated locally convex space,  $A, B$ , and  $C$  three nonempty subsets of  $X$  and  $0 < t < 1$ . If  $tA + (1 - t)B \subset C$  and  $tA^0 + (1 - t)B^0 \subset C^0$ , then

$$\text{cocl } (A \cup \{0\}) = \text{cocl } (B \cup \{0\}) = \text{cocl } (C \cup \{0\}).$$

**Proof.** It is clear that we may restrict ourselves to the case when all sets  $A, B, C$  are convex, closed and contain  $0$ , and to show that  $A = B = C$ .

Let  $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{v_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be the sequences from lemma 2. We shall show, by induction, that

$$(7_n) \quad u_n A \subset C \subset u_n^{-1} A, \quad v_n B \subset C \subset v_n^{-1} B$$

holds for all  $n \geq 0$ .

(7<sub>0</sub>) is true because  $u_0 A, v_0 B \subset u_0 A + v_0 B \subset C$  and  $u_0 A^0, v_0 B^0 \subset u_0 A^0 + v_0 B^0 \subset C^0$ , i.e.  $C = C^{00} \subset (u_0 A^0)^0 = u_0^{-1} A, (v_0 B^0)^0 = v_0^{-1} B$ . Let (7<sub>n</sub>) hold for some  $n = m \geq 0$ . Then

$$u_0 A + v_0 B \subset C = (1 - v_0 v_m) C + v_0 v_m C \subset (1 - v_0 v_m) C + v_0 B$$

so that, by lemma 3,  $u_0 A \subset (1 - v_0 v_m) C$ , i.e.  $u_{m+1} A \subset C$ . Similarly  $v_{m+1} B \subset C$ . The other two inclusions in (7<sub>m+1</sub>) follow in the same manner by considering the polar sets to  $A, B$ , and  $C$ . Hence (7<sub>n</sub>) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ .

As  $u_n x \in C$  for each  $n \geq 0$  and  $x \in A$ , and  $u_n \rightarrow 1$ , we have

that  $A \subset C$ . Similarly one sees that  $C \subset A$  and  $B \subset C \subset B$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let the hypotheses of Theorem 1 be satisfied. If  $X$  is locally convex and  $(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i)^{\circ} = (\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ})_*$ , then  $\text{cocl}(A_i \cup \{0\}) = \text{cocl}(A_j \cup \{0\})$  for all  $i, j$  with  $t_i t_j > 0$ .

**Proof.** From lemma 1, (v) it follows that  $(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ})_* = \text{cl}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ})$ . It is clear that we may restrict ourselves to the case when  $n > 1$ , all  $A_i$ 's are convex, closed and contain 0, and all  $t_i$ 's are positive. We have to show that  $A_1 = \dots = A_n$ .

Set  $t = t_1$ ,  $A = A_1$ ,  $B = \text{cl}(\sum_{i=2}^n t_i (1 - t_1)^{-1} A_i)$  and  $C = (\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ})^{\circ}$ . Then

$$tA + (1 - t)B \subset \text{cl}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i) \subset C,$$

because  $(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i)^{\circ} = (\text{cl}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ}))^{\circ\circ} = C^{\circ}$ . As  $B^{\circ} \subset (\sum_{i=2}^n t_i (1 - t_1)^{-1} A_i^{\circ})_*$  (by Theorem 1), we have that  $tA^{\circ} + (1 - t)B^{\circ} \subset t_1 A_1^{\circ} + (\sum_{i=2}^n t_i A_i^{\circ})_* \subset (\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ})_* = \text{cl}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ}) = (\text{cl}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i^{\circ}))_* = C^{\circ}$  (we have used that  $M_* + N_* \subset (M + N)_*$  which is true for any two subsets  $M, N$  of a linear space). Hence we conclude that  $A_1 = A = B = C$ , by lemma 4. By the same reasons one sees that also  $A_2 = \dots = A_n = C$ .

The proof is completed.

**Remark.** We hope that our Theorem will find applications in convex analysis.

An easy application is as follows. Let the hypotheses of



Theorem 1 be satisfied and let  $p$  be a  $K$ -subadditive functional on  $X'$  ( $K \geq 0$ ;  $p(u + v) \leq Kp(u) + Kp(v)$  for all  $u, v$  in  $X'$ ). Then  $\sup p((\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i)^0) \leq c(K, n) (\sum_{i=1}^n \sup p(t_i A_i^0))$ ,  
 $\sup p((\sum_{i=1}^n t_i A_i)^0) \leq K^m (\sum_{i=1}^n \sup p(t_i A_i^0) + (2^m - n)p(0))$ ,  
 where  $c(K, n) = \frac{K(2K^{n-1} - K^{n-2} - 1)}{K - 1}$  ( $c(K, n) = 1$  if  $K = 1$ ) and  $m$  is the first integer such that  $n \leq 2^m$ , provided  $p$  is continuous on straight lines.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] FEDOTOV V.P.: An analogon of an inequality between arithmetic and harmonic means for convex sets, *Optimizacija* 12(1973), 116-121.

Matematický ústav  
 Karlova universita  
 Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8  
 Československo

(Oblatum 16.2. 1977)