

Shigeru Itoh

Multivalued generalized contractions and fixed point theorems

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 2, 247--258

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105770>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

MULTIVALUED GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Shigeru ITOH, Tokyo

Abstract: We prove fixed point theorems for multivalued generalized contraction and contractive mappings in metrically convex metric spaces. Theorem 1 generalizes a fixed point theorem of Assad-Kirk for multivalued contraction mappings, Theorem 2 that of Assad for multivalued contractive mappings.

Key words: Multivalued generalized contraction (contractive) mapping, metrically convex metric space.

AMS: Primary 47H10, 54H25

Ref. Ž.: 7.978.53

Secondary 54C60, 54E50

1. Introduction. Recently fixed point theorems for multivalued contraction or contractive mappings were obtained by Nadler [9], Assad-Kirk [1] and Assad [2], etc. On the other hand, Kannan [5] initiated studies of certain type of mappings which have many similarities to contraction and nonexpansive mappings. His ideas were further studied and generalized by Reich [10], Ćirić [3], Kannan [8], Hardy-Rogers [5], Goebel-Kirk-Shimi [4] and Wong [11, 12, 13], etc.

In this paper we shall give fixed point theorems for multivalued generalized contraction mappings and generalized contractive mappings. Theorem 1 is an extension of a theorem in Assad-Kirk [1]. Theorem 2 extends a fixed point theorem in Assad [2].

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professors H. Umegaki and W. Takahashi for their encouragement in preparing this paper.

2. Preliminaries. Let (X,d) be a metric space. For any $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$, we denote $d(x,A) = \inf \{d(x,y) : y \in A\}$. It can easily be checked the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For any $x,y \in X$ and $A \subset X$, we have

$$|d(x,A) - d(y,A)| \leq d(x,y).$$

Let $\mathcal{CB}(X)$ denote the family of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of X and D be the Hausdorff metric on $\mathcal{CB}(X)$ induced by the metric d on X . The following lemmas are direct consequences of the definition of Hausdorff metric.

Lemma 2. If $A, B \in \mathcal{CB}(X)$ and $x \in A$, then for any positive number ϵ , there exists a $y \in B$ such that

$$d(x,y) \leq D(A,B) + \epsilon.$$

Lemma 3. For any $x \in X$ and any $A, B \in \mathcal{CB}(X)$, it follows that

$$|d(x,A) - d(x,B)| \leq D(A,B).$$

(X,d) is said to be metrically convex if for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exists an element $z \in X$, $x \neq z \neq y$, such that

$$d(x,z) + d(z,y) = d(x,y).$$

In Assad and Kirk [1] the following is noted.

Lemma 4. If K is a nonempty closed subset of a complete and metrically convex metric space (X,d) , then for any $x \in K$, $y \notin K$, there exists a $z \in \partial K$ (the boundary of K) such

that

$$d(x,z) + d(z,y) = d(x,y).$$

3. Generalized contraction mappings. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of a metric space (X,d) and T be a mapping of K into $\mathcal{CB}(X)$. T is said to be a generalized contraction mapping if there exist nonnegative real numbers α, β, γ with $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ such that for any $x, y \in K$,

$$D(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x,y) + \beta \{d(x, T(x)) + d(y, T(y))\} \\ + \gamma \{d(x, T(y)) + d(y, T(x))\}.$$

If $\beta = \gamma = 0$, then T is called α -contraction.

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. Let (X,d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space, K a nonempty closed subset of X . Let T be a generalized contraction mapping of K into $\mathcal{CB}(X)$. If for any $x \in \partial K$, $T(x) \subset K$ and $\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} < 1$, then there is a $z \in K$ such that $z \in T(z)$.

Proof. Denote $k = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2}$, then $0 \leq k < 1$.

If $k = 0$, then the conclusion of Theorem 1 is obvious. So we may assume that $k > 0$. We choose sequences $\{x_n\}$ in K and $\{y_n\}$ in X in the following way. Let $x_0 \in \partial K$ and $x_1 = y_1 \in T(x_0)$. By Lemma 2, there exists a $y_2 \in T(x_1)$ such that

$$d(y_1, y_2) \leq D(T(x_0), T(x_1)) + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k.$$

If $y_2 \in K$, let $x_2 = y_2$. If $y_2 \notin K$, choose an element $x_2 \in K$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, y_2) = d(x_1, y_2)$ using Lemma 4. By induction, we can obtain sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that for

$n = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$(1) \quad y_{n+1} \in T(x_n),$$

$$(2) \quad d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq D(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma} k^n,$$

where

$$(3) \quad y_{n+1} = x_{n+1} \text{ if } y_{n+1} \in K, \text{ or}$$

$$(4) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = d(x_n, y_{n+1}) \text{ if } y_{n+1} \notin K.$$

We shall estimate the distance $d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 2$.

There arise three cases.

(i) The case that $x_n = y_n$ and $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq D(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma} k^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, T(x_{n-1})) + d(x_n, T(x_n))\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, T(x_n)) + d(x_n, T(x_{n-1}))\} + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma} k^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma} k^n, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1-\beta-\gamma)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha+\beta+\gamma)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma} k^n$$

and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}{1-\beta-\gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{k^n}{1+\beta+\gamma}.$$

(ii) The case that $x_n = y_n$ and $x_{n+1} \neq y_{n+1}$. By (4) we obtain that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_n, y_{n+1}) = d(y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

As in the case (i), we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{k^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma},$$

thus

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{k^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma}.$$

(iii) The case that $x_n \neq y_n$ and $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$. In this case $x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ holds. We have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

By (2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq D(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, T(x_{n-1})) + d(x_n, T(x_n))\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, T(x_n)) + d(x_n, T(x_{n-1}))\} + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, y_n)\} + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n) = d(x_{n-1}, y_n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (1 + \gamma) d(x_n, y_n) + (\alpha + \gamma) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \\ &\quad + \beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + (\beta + \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n \\ &\leq (1 + \gamma) d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n) \\ &\quad + (\beta + \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1 + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + \frac{k^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma}.$$

As in the case (ii), we have

$$d(x_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{k^{n-1}}{1 + \beta + \gamma}.$$

Thus it follows that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\ + \frac{k^{n-1}}{1 - \beta - \gamma} + \frac{k^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma}.$$

The case that $x_n \neq y_n$ and $x_{n+1} \neq y_{n+1}$ does not occur. Since

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2}, \text{ for } n \geq 2 \text{ we have}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} kd(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{k^n}{1 - \beta - \gamma}, \text{ or} \\ kd(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \frac{k^{n-1} + k^n}{1 - \beta - \gamma}. \end{cases}$$

Put $\sigma = k^{\frac{1}{2}} \max(\|x_0 - x_1\|, \|x_1 - x_2\|)$, then by induction we can show that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\sigma + \frac{n}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It follows that for any $m > n \geq 1$,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sigma \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (k^{\frac{1}{2}})^i + \frac{1}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} i (k^{\frac{1}{2}})^i.$$

This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete and K is closed, $\{x_n\}$ converges to some point $z \in K$. By the way of choosing $\{x_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} = y_{n_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Then we have

$$d(x_{n_i}, T(z)) \leq D(T(x_{n_i-1}), T(z)) \\ \leq \alpha d(x_{n_i-1}, z) + \beta \{d(x_{n_i-1}, T(x_{n_i-1})) + d(z, T(z))\} \\ + \gamma \{d(x_{n_i-1}, T(z)) + d(z, T(x_{n_i-1}))\} \\ \leq \alpha d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, z) + \beta \{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i})$$

$$+ d(z, x_{n_1}) + d(x_{n_1}, T(z))\} + \gamma \{d(x_{n_1-1}, x_{n_1}) \\ + d(x_{n_1}, T(z)) + d(x_{n_1}, z)\} ,$$

thus

$$(1 - \beta - \gamma)d(x_{n_1}, T(z)) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \{d(x_{n_1}, z) + d(x_{n_1-1}, x_{n_1})\}$$

and

$$d(x_{n_1}, T(z)) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \{d(x_{n_1}, z) + d(x_{n_1-1}, x_{n_1})\} .$$

Therefore, $d(x_{n_1}, T(z)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the inequality

$$d(z, T(z)) \leq d(x_{n_1}, z) + d(x_{n_1}, T(z))$$

and the above result, it follows that $d(z, T(z)) = 0$. Since $T(z)$ is closed, this implies that $z \in T(z)$. q.e.d.

Since every Banach space is metrically convex, we have the following corollary for singlevalued mappings.

Corollary 1. Let E be a Banach space and K be a nonempty closed subset of E . Let f be a generalized contraction mapping of K into E . If $f(\partial K) \subset K$ and $\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} < 1$, then there exists a (unique) fixed point of f in K .

3. Generalized contractive mappings. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of a metric space (X, d) . Let T be a mapping of K into $\mathcal{CB}(X)$. T is said to be a generalized contractive mapping if there exist nonnegative real numbers α , β , γ such that for any $x, y \in K$ with $x \neq y$,

$$D(T(x), T(y)) < \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{d(x, T(x)) + d(y, T(y))\} \\ + \gamma \{d(x, T(y)) + d(y, T(x))\} ,$$

where $0 < \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma \leq 1$. If $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, then T is called contractive. T is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in K$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $D(T(x), T(x_0)) < \epsilon$ whenever $d(x, x_0) < \delta$. If T is continuous at each point of K , we say that T is continuous on K .

We shall give a fixed point theorem for continuous generalized contractive mappings.

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space and K be a nonempty compact subset of X . Let T be a generalized contractive mapping of K into $\mathcal{CB}(X)$ and continuous on K . If for any $x \in \partial K$, $T(x) \subset K$ and $\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} \leq 1$, then there exists an element $z \in K$ such that $z \in T(z)$.

Proof. Define a function g of K into \mathbb{R}^+ (nonnegative real numbers) by $g(x) = d(x, T(x))$ ($x \in K$), then by Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - g(y)| &\leq |d(x, T(x)) - d(y, T(x))| \\ &+ |d(y, T(x)) - d(y, T(y))| \leq d(x, y) + D(T(x), T(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence g is continuous and since K is compact, there exists a $z \in K$ such that $g(z) = \min \{g(x) : x \in K\}$. Suppose that $g(z) > 0$, then we obtain a contradiction. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a $x_n \in T(z)$ for which

$$d(x_n, z) \leq g(z) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

If $x_n \in K$ for n sufficiently large, then some subsequence $\{x_{n_1}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converges to an $x_0 \in K$. We may assume that $x_0 \neq z$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x_0) &= d(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq D(T(z), T(x_0)) \\
&< \alpha d(z, x_0) + \beta \{d(z, T(z)) + d(x_0, T(x_0))\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(z, T(x_0)) + d(x_0, T(z))\} \\
&\leq \alpha g(z) + \beta \{g(z) + g(x_0)\} + \gamma \{g(z) + g(x_0)\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1 - \beta - \gamma)g(x_0) < (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)g(z).$$

Thus

$$g(x_0) < \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} g(z) \leq g(z),$$

contradicting the minimality of $g(z)$. If there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_1}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_1} \notin K$, then $z \notin \partial K$. For simplicity, we may assume that $x_n \notin K$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lemma 4, for each n there exists a $y_n \in \partial K$ for which $d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z) = d(x_n, z)$. Since K is compact and $T(y_n) \subset K$, there exists $w_n \in T(y_n)$ such that $d(x_n, w_n) = d(x_n, T(y_n))$. We may also assume that $\{y_n\}$ converges to some $y_0 \in \partial K$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
8\varepsilon &= \alpha d(y_0, z) + \beta \{d(y_0, T(y_0)) + d(z, T(z))\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(y_0, T(z)) + d(z, T(y_0))\} - D(T(y_0), T(z)),
\end{aligned}$$

then $\varepsilon > 0$, because $y_0 \neq z$. For this ε , there exists a positive integer N such that for any $n \geq N$

$$(5) \quad d(y_0, z) - d(y_n, z) < 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(6) \quad g(y_0) - \varepsilon < g(y_n),$$

$$(7) \quad d(x_n, z) < g(z) + 2\varepsilon, \text{ and}$$

$$(8) \quad D(T(y_n), T(z)) < D(T(y_0), T(z)) + 2\varepsilon$$

Then for any $n \geq N$, we have

$$g(y_0) - \varepsilon < g(y_n) = d(y_n, T(y_n))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq d(y_n, w_n) \leq d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, w_n) = d(x_n, y_n) + d(x_n, T(y_n)) \\
&\leq d(x_n, y_n) + D(T(z), T(y_n)) \leq d(x_n, y_n) + D(T(z), T(y_0)) + 2\varepsilon \\
&= d(x_n, y_n) + \alpha d(y_0, z) + \beta \{d(y_0, T(y_0)) + d(z, T(z))\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(y_0, T(z)) + d(z, T(y_0))\} - 6\varepsilon \\
&\leq d(x_n, y_n) + (\alpha + 2\gamma)d(y_0, z) + (\beta + \gamma)g(y_0) + (\beta + \gamma)g(z) - \\
&\quad - 6\varepsilon < (1 + \beta + \gamma)g(z) + (\beta + \gamma)g(y_0) - 2\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$g(y_0) < \frac{1 + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} g(z) = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \beta - \gamma}$$

Take a $u \in T(y_0)$ such that $d(y_0, T(y_0)) = d(y_0, u)$. Since $g(z) > 0$, $u \neq y_0$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g(u) &= d(u, T(u)) \leq D(T(y_0), T(u)) \\
&< \alpha d(y_0, u) + \beta \{d(y_0, T(y_0)) + d(u, T(u))\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(y_0, T(u)) + d(u, T(y_0))\} \\
&\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)g(y_0) + (\beta + \gamma)g(u)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(u) < \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} g(y_0).$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(u) &< \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} g(z) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} \\
&\leq g(z) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $g(z) = 0$ and since $T(z)$ is closed, we have $z \in T(z)$. q.e.d.

In Banach spaces, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 2. Let K be a nonempty compact subset of a Banach space E and f be a continuous generalized contractive mapping of K into E . If $f(\partial K) \subset K$ and $\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} \leq 1$, then there exists a (unique) fixed point of f in K .

Remark. If for any $x \in K$, $T(x) \subset K$ in Theorem 1 (or Theorem 2), then the conditions that $k = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} < 1$ (or $k \leq 1$) and that X is metrically convex are unnecessary.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] ASSAD N.A., KIRK W.A.: Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, Pacific J. Math. 43(1972), 553-562.
- [2] ASSAD N.A.: Fixed point theorem for set valued transformations on compact sets, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.(4)8 (1973), 1-7.
- [3] ĆIRIČ L.B.: Fixed points for generalized multi-valued contractions, Mat. Vesnik 9(1972), 265-272.
- [4] GOEBEL K., KIRK W.A., SHIMI T.N.: A fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.(4)7(1973), 67-75.
- [5] HARDY G., ROGERS T.: A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull. 16(1973), 201-206.
- [6] KANNA R.: Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60(1968), 71-76.
- [7] KANNA R.: Some results on fixed points IV, Fund. Math. 74(1972), 181-187.
- [8] KANNA R.: Fixed point theorems in reflexive Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38(1973), 111-118.
- [9] NADLER S.B. Jr.: Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pa-

cific J. Math. 30(1969), 475-488.

- [10] REICH S.: Kannan's fixed point theorem, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4)4(1971), 1-11.
- [11] WONG C.S.: Common fixed points of two mappings, Pacific J. Math. 48(1973), 299-312.
- [12] WONG C.S.: Fixed point theorems for generalized nonexpansive mappings, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 18(1974), 265-276.
- [13] WONG C.S.: Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps, Pacific J. Math. 54(1974), 305-312.

Department of Information Sciences
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Oh-Okayama, Meguro-Ku, Tokyo 152
Japan

(Oblatum 2.4. 1976)